The Synthesis of Quinoline-based Tin Complexes with Pendant Schiff Bases

Patrick W. V. Butler^[a] and Jas S. Ward*^[a,b]

Abstract. Whilst pursuing the synthetic utility of quinoline-based tin complexes, $Me_2Sn(Quin-NO_2)_2$ (1) and $Ph_2Sn(Quin-NO_2)_2$ (2) (Quin-NO₂ = 5-nitroquinolino-8-oate) were synthesized bearing coordinatively inert nitro groups. Conventional reduction methodologies successfully converted 1 to $Me_2Sn(Quin-NH_2)_2$ (3) and 2 to $Ph_2Sn(Quin-NH_2)_2$ (4) (Quin-NH₂ = 5-aminoquinolino-8-oate). The synthetically useful amine groups proved difficult to exploit in the presence of the central tin atom, however, a complete Schiff base func-

tionalized Sn complex of the dimethyltin pro-ligand $Me_2Sn(Quin-py)_2$ (6) was successfully synthesized from 5-[(pyridin-2-ylmethylene)amino]quinolin-8-ol (HQuin-py; 5) in good yield via an alternative strategy exploiting the oxophilic tendencies of tin. All species were fully characterized by NMR (including ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectroscopy), HR-ESI MS and single-crystal X-ray diffraction, and preliminary studies of their supramolecular potential are also discussed.

Introduction

Journal of Inorganic and General Chemistry

The flexibility of tin to adopt a range of coordination states and bonding modes has led to the development of a diverse array of structures from discrete mononuclear complexes^[1,2] to clusters,^[3] and polymers.^[4,5] Organotin complexes in particular have been shown to possess significant chemical utility in terms of catalysis, finding prominent application over the last two decades in CO₂ fixation to form carbonates^[6] and the esterification and transesterification important to biodiesel production.^[7,8] Tin complexes of ester-functionalized quinolines.^[9,10] and other metal complexes incorporating 8-hydroxyquinoline (Quin) such as Ga(Quin)₃,^[11] have been previously synthesized for a variety of uses, with particular interest in the study of their medicinal potential.^[12] Furthermore, Sn-O bonds have been shown to be useful synthetic precursors, in particular in the ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of caprolactone, which was successfully performed on templated catenanes to expand the sizes of the catenated rings.^[13]

The structural flexibility of tin further suggests it would be amenable to incorporation in a variety of multi-metallic systems. The development of homometallic and heterometallic systems has yielded catalysts with both improved catalytic activity and specificity, and in select cases, capable of catalyzing multi-step reactions.^[14–16] Consequently, the investigation of multi-metallic structures is a continuing and expanding focus of catalysis research presently.

- E-Mail: james.s.ward@jyu.fi
- [a] Research School of Chemistry Australian National University
- Canberra, ACT, Australia [b] Department of Chemistry, Nanoscience Center University of Jyvaskyla
- Jyvaskyla 40014, Finland Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW
- under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/zaac.201900063 or from the author.

A common motif in multi-metallic systems is the conjugated pyridyl-imine ligand which provides a strong and favorable bidentate binding site for a large variety of central metal atoms.^[17] Beside strong chelating characteristics and tunable electronic properties, the functionality is further benefited by being readily accessible from amine functionalized precursors by either a conventional acid-catalyzed imine-condensation beforehand or via self-assembly in-situ in combination with Lewis acidic metals.^[18,19] The scarcity of examples of multimetallic and supramolecular systems of Schiff base functionalized tin complexes was notable and warranted further investigation. Herein we report the synthesis of new organotin complexes with pendant Schiff bases using a functionalized quinoline-based ligand system.

Results and Discussion

The first step toward six-coordinate complexes involved the formation of the nitro precursor complexes 1 and 2. These reactions proceeded smoothly in good yield (79 and 73%, respectively) (Scheme 1) by the reaction of the organotin rea-



Scheme 1. The reactions of R_2 SnCl₂ (R = Me, Ph) with HQuin-NO₂ to form R_2 Sn(Quin-NO₂)₂ (1 and 2), followed by reduction to the amine-functionalized R_2 Sn(Quin-NH₂)₂ complexes (3 and 4).

^{*} Dr. J. S. Ward



gents, R_2 SnCl₂ (R = Me, Ph) with two equivalents of HQuin– NO₂ in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of NEt₃. This methodology proved an improvement over other previously prepared tin quinoline complexes that were recovered in lower yields.^[20]

The resulting six-coordinate complexes were sufficiently stable to allow full (structural) characterization, including by X-ray diffraction. Additionally, ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectroscopy identified the dimethyl (1) and diphenyl (2) complexes as sharp singlets at -214 and -381 ppm, respectively. In the case of 1, ¹H-¹¹⁹Sn coupling was notably observed, manifesting as satellite resonances around the methyl peak in the ¹H NMR spectrum (see page S6, Supporting Information). The corresponding ¹³C-¹¹⁹Sn coupling was not observed in any experiment, though this was not unexpected due to the relatively low abundances of both the $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ (1.1 %) and $^{119}\mathrm{Sn}$ (8.6 %) nuclei. Examination of the molecular structures (Figure 1 and Figure S2. Supporting Information) shows the *trans*-oxygen-cis-nitrogen arrangement to be favored. The C-Sn-C angles were found to be 107.9° (Me-Sn-Me) and 106.5(2)°/113.3(2)° (Ph-Sn-Ph; two crystallographically distinguishable molecules were present in the asymmetric unit cell), with the complexes adopting a heavily distorted octahedral arrangement. This distorted arrangement notably creates an acute angle between the planes of the two quinoline substituents in both complexes, measured to be 79.5° and $79.3^{\circ}/84.4^{\circ}$ for the dimethyl (1) and diphenyl (2) complexes, respectively.



Figure 1. The molecular structure of $Me_2Sn(Quin-NO_2)_2$ (1). Thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths /Å and angles /°: Sn1–N1 2.337, Sn1–O1 2.118; N1–Sn1–N1ⁱ 78.3, O1–Sn1–O1ⁱ 150.5.

The reduction of the nitro precursors, **1** and **2**, to the aminefunctionalized complexes was achieved by catalytic hydrogenation with Pd/C in an atmosphere of hydrogen. Characterization of the complexes indicated the ¹¹⁹Sn NMR signals to have shifted upfield to -234 and -390 ppm for the dimethyl and diphenyl complexes, respectively. The formation of the amine was corroborated in the ¹H NMR spectra by the appearance of a broad singlet between $\delta = 4$ and 5 ppm and the appearance of N–H stretching frequencies between 3000 and 3500 cm⁻¹ for both complexes. The solid-state structure of **4** was also obtained upon recrystallization (Figure 2).



Figure 2. The molecular structure of $Ph_2Sn(Quin-NH_2)_2$ (4). Thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths /Å and angles /°: Sn1–N1 2.328(5), Sn1–N10 2.293(6), Sn1–O1 2.093(5), Sn1–O10 2.086(5); N1–Sn1–N10 77.0(2), N1–Sn1–O1 75.2(2), N1–Sn1–O10 83.6(2), N10–Sn1–O1 84.2(2), N10–Sn1–O10 75.7(2), O1–Sn1–O10 153.5(2). It should be noted that there were two crystallographically independent molecules of 4 present in the asymmetric unit cell, however, the bonds lengths and angles of the two molecules were crystallographically indistinguishable, therefore only one of them is reported herein.

The C–Sn–C angle of **4** was found to be $103.8(3)^{\circ}/104.3(3)^{\circ}$ [cf. 106.5(2)°/113.3(2)° for **2**; two crystallographically distinguishable molecules were present in the asymmetric unit cell of **2** and **4**], which again resulted in a heavily distorted octahedral structure. The acute angle between the planes of the quinoline substituents was also retained with values of 83.3 and 83.5° for the two crystallographically independent molecules. No crystallographically distinguishable change was observed in the length of the six bonds around the central tin atom to reflect the electronic change of going from the nitro (**2**) to the amine (**4**) functionalized complex.

The successful synthesis of the diamine complexes presented the first opportunity to investigate self-assembly reactions. The tin complexes **3** and **4** (3 equiv.) were reacted with 2-formylpyridine (6 equiv.) in the presence of a series of transition metals (2 equiv.), including salts of Fe^{II}, Co^{II}, Mn^{II}, and Zn^{II}. The stoichiometric ratio for an M_2L_3 metallohelicate was chosen to minimize potential kinetic products, and the reactions stirred for 3 d to allow ample time for the prospective assemblies to equilibrate to the thermodynamic product. Unfortunately, the dark red diamine pro-ligands dominated the color of each assembly and obscured any observation of potentially characteristic color changes arising due to chelation of the metal ions.

Subsequent crystallization attempts of the self-assemblies yielded no crystals of suitable quality for X-ray diffraction, and NMR and MS data were convoluted and inconclusive. Further diffusion NMR analysis was similarly unable to identify any large aggregates. Due to the increased likelihood of kinetic products at higher concentrations these reactions were performed at concentrations too dilute and on too small scale (ca.



20 μ mol) to be observed by ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectroscopy, even with prolonged acquisition times. A ¹H NMR titration experiment conducted by adding sequential portions of 0.5 molar equivalents of 2-formylpyridine to a mixture of the **4** (3 equiv.) and diamagnetic Zn^{II} (2 equiv.) showed no consumption of the amine or aldehyde signals. Heating the mixture to 50 °C for 12 h to potentially overcome any kinetic barrier returned no change in the chemical shifts or integrations either. It was therefore concluded that the self-assembly of these potential pro-ligands was inhibited.

Understanding the reasons for a lack of supramolecular aggregation could benefit future studies, with the most likely culprits being electronic or steric factors. In particular, while there is literature precedent for the imine condensation of 8-hydroxy-5-aminoquinoline,^[21] in general, highly aromatic amines are less active towards imine condensation due to the delocalization of the amine lone pair into the aromatic π -system. In addition to this already inherent deactivation, the quinoline is coordinated to a highly oxidized central Sn^{IV} atom. Therefore, it is reasonable to suggest the amine may be sufficiently deactivated to inhibit the Schiff base formation. Likewise, the bulky octahedral tin pro-ligands may create substantial steric interference, increasing the energy of the fully coordinated assembly to the point that it is energetically unfavorable, and thereby, unable to form altogether.

To investigate the nucleophilic efficacy of the less sterically bulky amine-functionalized tin complex 3, attempts were made to isolate the Schiff-base ligand outside of self-assembly. Experiments involved modifying the aldehyde in an attempt to induce dative or hydrogen bonding to stabilize, and thereby favor, the imine. However, the reactions of 3 with salicylaldehyde and 2-formylphenylboronic acid were inconclusive with no shift of the NMR signals being observed. Nevertheless, heating 3 to reflux with 2-formylpyridine and a catalytic amount of acetic acid returned a downfield shift in the ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum to -228 ppm (cf. -234 ppm for 3). The same change was also observed when trying to trap the imine by chelation with BaCl₂. In both cases, the ¹H NMR spectra were found to be complex, however, by diffusion NMR spectroscopy a slow diffusing species was able to be identified at $11.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, markedly slower than the parent complex **3** (14.5 × 10⁻¹⁰ m²·s⁻¹). Extraction of the corresponding spectrum using SCORE^[22] (Figure 3) matched closely with that expected for the Schiff base, in particular, the strong singlet at 8.8 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum is highly characteristic of the resonance expected for an imine.

The results from trapping the assembled tin ligand suggest that the diamine complex is sufficiently nucleophilic to undergo the imine condensation required for self-assembly, which indicated that steric hindrance was the primary factor inhibiting potential supramolecular aggregation. It was therefore decided to probe the steric properties directly by pre-constructing the complete Schiff base functionalized Sn complex, $Me_2Sn(Quin-py)_2$ (6) [Quin-py = 5-((pyridin-2-ylmethylene)-amino)quinolin-8-olate]. The complete Schiff base functionalized complex could then be reacted under the same conditions as previously used for the sub-component self-assembly, thereby isolating the influence of the steric properties.

The synthesis of the assembled ligand (Scheme 2) began with the reduction of HQuin-NO₂ to the corresponding known amine HQuin-NH₂ by catalytic hydrogenation. Thereafter, condensation with 2-formylpyridine formed the quinoline-pyridyl Schiff base HQuin-py (**5**). Finally, reaction of **5** (2 equiv.) with Me₂SnCl₂ and a stoichiometric amount of NEt₃ (2 equiv.)



Scheme 2. The alternative strategy followed to synthesize the complete pre-constructed complex $Me_2Sn(Quin-py)_2$ (6) via the Schiff base (5).



Figure 3. The SCORE component analysis of the acid-catalyzed condensation between $Me_2Sn(Quin-NH_2)_2$ (3) and 2-formylpyridine. The extracted spectrum (left) was determined from an exponential fit (right) of the diffusion data.

afforded **6** in a respectable yield of 49% after purification, with the remaining yield being comprised of unreacted starting materials. Potential side-products arising due to chelation of the central tin atom by the imine/pyridyl were notably not observed, which was attributed to the harder oxygen donor being more favorable over the comparatively softer dative nitrogen bonds of the imine/pyridyl groups.

Journal of Inorganic and General Chemistry

gemeine Chemie

Characterization of 6 identified the ¹¹⁹Sn NMR resonance at -228 ppm, correlating well with that found previously by trapping the Schiff base (vide supra). Analysis of the solidstate structure (Figure 4) shows a remarkably acute angle between the quinoline substituents of 72.1° (cf. 79.5° for 1), which might suggest that expanding the angle to accommodate the formation of a supramolecular structure would incur an associated energetic penalty that would hinder, if not entirely inhibit, assembly. No crystallographically distinguishable change was observed in the length of the six bonds around the central tin atom to reflect the electronic change of going from the nitro (1) to the Schiff base (6) functionalized complex, and warrants no further comment. Similar to 5, the pyridyl substituents in the solid-state structure of 6 adopt an anti conformation with the imine to minimize electronic repulsion between the two nitrogen lone pairs.



Figure 4. The molecular structure of $Me_2Sn(Quin-py)_2$ (6). Thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Inset bottom right: the acute angle (72.1°) between the planes of the two Quin-py substituents of 6. Selected bond lengths /Å and angles /°: Sn1–N1 2.343, Sn1–O1 2.104; N1–Sn1–N1ⁱ 73.8, O1–Sn1–O1ⁱ 154.0.

Following the successful synthesis of the pre-constructed complex, it was then combined in acetonitrile with $Fe[BF_4]_2$ in a stoichiometric ratio of 3:2 (complex **6**:metal) and stirred for 3 d. Analysis of the resulting dark red mixture by 1D NMR, diffusion NMR, and mass spectrometry returned convoluted spectra, however, ultimately no evidence was able to be found for the formation of the metallohelicate or any other large supramolecular aggregate.

In response to this, four-coordinate tin complexes were envisioned to trim down the steric shrubbery around the central tin atom. Therefore, an analogous route to that described for the six-coordinate complexes was envisaged to access the less sterically congested four-coordinate tin complexes. The reaction of $SnCl_2$ with two equivalents of HQuin-NO₂ and NEt₃ rapidly turned dark red. However, subsequent analysis indicated that the chlorides had not been displaced as intended, and instead the addition of the quinoline ligands had formed an octahedral complex, oxidizing the central tin from +2 to +4 in the process.

The formation of Cl₂Sn(Quin-NO₂)₂ (previously prepared to explore its fluorescent properties),^[23] while unintended, did still provide a potential pathway to the target four-coordinate complex. A silver-anion exchange was attempted to collapse the octahedral complex back to the desired four-coordinate structure and initial results of the reaction with Ag[SbF₆] were promising. The NMR analysis of the product [¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ = 9.80 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 8.93 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 8.79 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 8.16 (dd, J = 8.8, 5.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H)] showed a single set of quinoline peaks, shifted significantly from the free ligand [¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ = 9.23 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 8.94 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.56 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.82 (dd, J = 8.9, 4.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1 H).]. Additionally, HR-MS identified the protonated parent ion of the neutral four-coordinate species with isotope matching showing good agreement. However, an inability to confidently characterize this complex has hindered further studies, with research ongoing. The results illustrate the challenge of stabilizing the lower four-coordinate central Sn^{IV} atom sufficiently to be amenable to sub-component self-assembly.

Conclusions

The precursor tin complexes $Me_2Sn(Quin-NO_2)_2$ (1) and $Ph_2Sn(Quin-NO_2)_2$ (2) were synthesized in good yields, then straightforwardly reduced into their more synthetically versatile amine-functionalized analogues Me₂Sn(Quin-NH₂)₂ (3) and Ph₂Sn(Quin-NH₂)₂ (4) using Pd/C and hydrogen gas. Subsequent analysis of 3 and 4 revealed that the amino groups were largely insensitive toward the formation of Schiff bases, even "trapped" Schiff bases stabilized by coordination to a central metal atom. Synthesis of the pre-constructed complex $Me_2Sn(Quin-py)_2$ (6) via a revised methodology demonstrated that the Schiff bases could be installed and tolerated by the central tin atom without issue. However, the increased acute angle found between the quinoline substituents (confirmed as a favorable arrangement in the solid-state studies) compellingly indicated a steric impediment for supramolecular aggregation. Substituents that would enforce a wider angle than the quinoline reported here, as well as less coordinately saturated tin complexes (<6), are currently being pursued to continue probing the potential of Schiff base functionalized tin complexes.

Experimental Section

General Methods: All reactions were performed in a dry nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques with anhydrous, degassed solvents unless otherwise noted. Acetonitrile was dried with CaH₂, methanol was dried with Mg filings followed by vacuum distillation, and triethylamine was dried with 4 Å molecular sieves prior to

ARTICLE



use. All uncharacterized chemicals were obtained from commercial suppliers and used as received.

NMR spectra were collected at 298 K with Bruker Avance III 400 (400 MHz for ¹H, 101 MHz for ¹³C, and 149 MHz for ¹¹⁹Sn), Bruker Avance 600 (600 MHz for ¹H, 151 MHz for ¹³C), and Bruker Ascend 700 (700 MHz for ¹H, 176 MHz for ¹³C) spectrometers. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm. Coupling constants (*J*) were measured in Hz. ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} chemical shifts are reported relative to the relevant residual solvent, whereas an external reference ($\delta = 0.00$ ppm) was used for ¹¹⁹Sn (Me₄Sn). Assignments were supported by 2D NMR analysis.

Diffusion NMR Spectroscopy: Diffusion NMR spectroscopic data was collected with a Bruker Avance 600 at 298 K fitted with a 5 mm CP TCI probe and z-gradient coil of 5.35×10^{-4} T cm⁻¹ maximum field strength. The convection compensated pulse program, dstebpgp3s, was used to mitigate the low viscosity of [D₃]acetonitrile. The gradient pulse length and diffusion time were determined individually for each sample by calibrating the parameters required for 95% signal attenuation at 95% gradient strength. All experiments were conducted by increasing the gradient strength from 2–90% in 16 equal steps. Diffusion data was processed by Dynamics Centre. Errors were estimated from the standard error of the regression model and compared with the diffusion coefficient range as indicated by the HR-DOSY plot.

Small Molecule HR-ESI MS: Small molecule HR-ESI MS was performed with a Waters LCT Premier OA-TOF mass spectrometer operated by the RSC Joint Mass Spec Facility. Analyses including isotope matching and error estimation were determined using Thermo Xcalibur.

Small molecule Single-crystal X-ray Diffraction: Small molecule single-crystal X-ray diffraction data was obtained with an Oxford Diffraction SuperNova diffractometer at 150 K. The data was collected and reduced using CrysAlisPro. All structures were solved within Olex2^[24] by ShelXT^[25] with refinement by ShelXL.^[26]

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Nitro-functionalized Sixcoordinate Tin Complexes (1 and 2): To an acetonitrile (18 mL) solution of 8-hydroxy-5-nitroquinoline (173 mg, 0.91 mmol) was added triethylamine (0.137 mL, 0.91 mmol). The resulting orange solution was frozen with liquid nitrogen and the organotin reagent (0.46 mmol) was added. While still frozen, the reaction flask was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen (4×), then allowed to warm to ambient temperature before being heated to reflux for 8 h. Thereafter, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was resuspended in methanol (25 mL). After sonicating for 80 min, the product was isolated by filtration.

Dimethyltin Bis(5-nitroquinolin-8-olate) (1): Obtained as a yellow solid. X-ray diffraction quality crystals were afforded by vapor diffusion of diisopropyl ether into a concentrated DCM solution of 1. Yield 79% (207 mg, 0.36 mmol). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.48 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 8.75 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 8.54 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.55 (dd, *J* = 7.0, 4.9, 2 H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 0.54 (s, 6 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 164.6 (2×C), 143.3 (2×CH), 137.2 (2×CH), 135.0 (2×C), 132.3 (2×CH), 131.8 (2×C), 125.2 (2×CH), 124.8 (2×C), 112.6 (2×CH), 7.8 (2×CH₃). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (149 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -214.6 (s). IR: $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3064, 3007, 1604, 1500, 1460, 1386, 1286, 1097, 741, 447 cm⁻¹. HR-ESI (+ve ion): found: *m/z* = 550.9995. calcd. for [C₂₀H₁₆N₄O₆Sn + Na]⁺ 550.9990 [M + Na]⁺. C₂₀H₁₆N₄O₆Sn: calcd. C 45.58; H 3.06; N 10.63%; found C 45.57, H 2.91; N 10.62%.

Diphenyltin Bis(5-nitroquinolin-8-olate) (2): Obtained as a yellow solid. X-ray diffraction quality crystals were afforded by vapor diffusion of pet. spirits into a concentrated DCM solution of **2**. Yield 73% (221 mg, 0.34 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 9.47$ (s, 2 H), 8.78 (dd, J = Hz, 2 H), 8.61 (s, 2 H), 7.62–7.44 (m, H), 7.38 (d, J = Hz, 2 H), 7.27 (m, 2 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 164.4$ (2×C), 147.2 (2×C), 143.8 (2×CH), 137.7 (2×CH), 134.9 (2×C), 134.7 (4×CH), 132.3 (2×CH), 129.3 (2×CH), 128.7 (4×CH), 128.3 (2×C), 125.3 (2×CH), 124.6 (2×C), 113.0 (2×CH). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (149 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -381.6$ (s). IR: $\tilde{v} = 3064, 2978, 1603, 1566, 1501, 1490, 1460, 1285, 1095, 740 cm⁻¹. HR-ESI (+ve ion): found: <math>m/z = 675.0302$. calcd. for [C₃₀H₂₀N₄O₆Sn + Na]⁺ 675.0303 [M + Na]⁺. C₃₀H₂₀N₄O₆Sn: calcd. C 55.33; H 3.10; N 8.60%; found: C 55.30, H 3.03; N 8.56%.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Diamine Six-coordinate Tin Complexes (3 and 4): The nitro-precursor complex and Pd/C (5 % w/w) were combined in methanol (14 mL). The mixture was evacuated and sealed in an atmosphere of H_2 before stirring for 8 h. The resulting dark mixture was then filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with excess methanol (ca. 100 mL). Thereafter the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the free-base product.

Dimethyltin Bis(5-aminoquinolin-8-olate) (3): Obtained as a red solid. Yield 51% (111 mg, 0.24 mmol). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CD₃CN): $\delta = 8.42$ (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2 H), 8.25 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.17 (dd, J = 8.3, 4.6 Hz, 2 H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 4.06 (br. s, 4 H), 0.22 (s, 6 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (176 MHz, CD₃CN): $\delta = 150.9$ (2×C), 143.4 (2×CH), 136.8 (2×C), 134.9 (2×C), 132.4 (2×C), 121.4 (2×CH), 120.5 (2×CH), 114.4 (2×CH), 114.0 (2×CH), 6.2 (2×CH₃). ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (149 MHz, CD₃CN): $\delta = -234$ (s) ppm. **IR**: $\tilde{v} = 3326$, 3213, 1597, 1573, 1459, 1419, 1385, 1367, 1282, 1092, 779, 720 cm⁻¹. **HR-ESI** (+ve ion): found: m/z = 491.0513. calcd. for [C₂₀H₂₀N₄O₂Sn + Na]⁺ 491.0506 [M + Na]⁺.

Diphenyltin Bis(5-aminoquinolin-8-olate) (3): Obtained as a red solid. X-ray diffraction quality crystals were afforded by vapor diffusion of diisopropyl ether into a concentrated DCM solution of 4 doped with 5 µL of benzene. Yield 74 % (78.5 mg, 0.11 mmol). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃CN): δ = 8.51 (s, 2 H), 8.28 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.58– 7.44 (m, 4 H), 7.25–7.16 (m, 8 H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 6.89 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 2 H, 4.15 (br. s, 4 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (151 MHz, CD₃CN): $\delta = 151.7$ (2×C), 150.4 (2×C), 146.4 (2×C), 143.9 $(2 \times C)$, 136.7 $(2 \times C)$, 135.7 $(2 \times CH)$, 133.1 $(2 \times CH)$, 129.0 (2×CH) 128.8 (2×CH), 121.3 (2×CH), 120.6 (2×CH), 114.7 $(2 \times CH)$, 114.4 $(2 \times CH)$. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (149 MHz, CD₃CN): δ = -390.7 (s) ppm. **IR**: $\tilde{v} = 3334$, 3225, 3060, 1597, 1573, 1458, 1419, 1384, 1367, 1282, 1092, 728, 698 cm⁻¹. HR-ESI (+ve ion): found: m/z = 593.1007. calcd. for $[C_{30}H_{24}N_4O_2Sn + H]^+ 593.1000 [M + H]^+$. C₃₀H₂₀N₄O₆Sn•CHCl₂: calcd. C 55.07; H 3.88; N 8.29%; found: C 55.18, H 3.67; N 8.59%.

8-Hydroxy-5-aminoquinoline: Adapted from *Sleath*.^[27] To methanol (14 mL) was added 8-hydroxy-5-nitroquinoline (400 mg, 2.1 mmol) and Pd/C (40 mg, 10% w/w). The flask was evacuated and backfilled with hydrogen (3 ×) before stirring in an atmosphere of H₂ gas for 3.5 h. The resulting dark green reaction mixture was filtered through celite and washed with excess methanol (ca. 100 mL) until the filtrate ran clear. Afterwards, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a dark red solid. Yield 98% (337 mg, 2.1 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, [D₆]acetone): $\delta = 8.77$ (s, 1 H), 8.49 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.47 (s, 1 H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.84 (br. s, 2 H). ¹³**C NMR** (101 MHz, [D₆]acetone): $\delta = 148.6$ (CH), 145.4 (C), 139.4 (C), 137.0 (C), 132.1 (CH), 120.7 (C), 120.1 (CH), 111.2

(CH), 110.5 (CH). **ESI-MS** (+ve ion): found: m/z = 161.2, calcd for $[C_9H_8N_2O + H]^+ 161.2 [M + H]^+$.

laemeine Chemie

Journal of Inorganic and General Chemistry

Zeitschrift für ar

5-[(Pvridin-2-vlmethylene)amino]quinolin-8-ol (5): To an open flask was added 8-hydroxy-5-aminoquinoline (337 mg, 2.1 mmol), 2-formylpyridine (0.20 mL, 2.1 mmol), and ethanol (25 mL). Acetic acid (two drops) was added and the dark red mixture heated to reflux for 3 h, following which the solvent was removed. The crude product was purified by recrystallization from ethanol:acetone (3:1, v:v) to obtain the product as a brown powder. X-ray diffraction quality crystals were afforded by vapor diffusion of diisopropyl ether into a concentrated DCM solution of 5. Yield 7% (39.4 mg, 0.15 mmol). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.85 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 8.84 (s, 1 H), 8.77 (s, 1 H), 8.74 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 8.36 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.86 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.39 (dd, J = 7.4, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.30 (s, 1 H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 159.1 (CH), 155.1 (C), 151.7 (C), 149.9 (CH), 148.6 (CH), 138.9 (C), 138.3 (C), 136.8 (CH), 133.2 (CH), 125.3 (C), 125.2 (CH), 121.9 (CH), 121.9 (CH), 114.3 (CH), 109.9 (CH). **HR-ESI** (+ve ion): found: m/z = 250.0983; calcd. for $[C_{15}H_{11}N_3O + H]^+ 250.0980 [M + H]^+$.

Dimethyltin Bis(5-((pyridin-2-ylmethylene)amino)quinolin-8-olate) (6): The Schiff base 5 (30.9 mg, 0.12 mmol), was dissolved in acetonitrile (20 mL) and triethylamine (0.02 mL, 0.12 mmol) was added. The reaction was stirred 10 min before freezing with liquid nitrogen and adding Me₂SnCl₂ (13.6 mg, 0.06 mmol). While still frozen, the reaction flask was evacuated and backfilled with nitrogen $(4 \times)$, and then allowed to warm to ambient temperature before being heated to reflux for 8 h. Thereafter the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting brown solid was then extracted into dichloromethane (20 mL) and washed with water (5 \times 20 mL) and brine $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$, dried with sodium sulfate, and evaporated to give a dark red solid. X-ray diffraction quality crystals were afforded by vapor diffusion of diisopropyl ether into a concentrated DCM solution of 6. Yield 49 % (19.5 mg, 0.03 mmol). ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.87 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 8.78 (s, 2 H), 8.72-8.68 (m, 2 H), 8.58-8.54 (m, 2 H), 8.37-8.22 (m, 4 H), 7.80 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.57 (d, J =8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.37–7.32 (m, 2 H), 7.29 (s, 2 H), 0.49 (s, 6 H). ¹³C{¹H} **NMR** (176 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 157.6 (2×C), 156.8 (2×CH), 155.4 (2×C), 149.8 (2×CH), 143.1 (2×CH), 136.8 (2×C), 136.7 (2×CH), 136.0 (2×CH), 135.9 (2×CH), 133.8 (2×C), 127.1 (2×C), 124.8 (2×CH), 121.5 (2×CH), 117.1 (2×CH), 113.6 $(2 \times CH)$, 7.3 $(2 \times CH_3)$. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR (149 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -228.9$ (s) ppm. IR: $\tilde{v} = 3052, 2963, 1615, 1566, 1462, 1316, 1258, 1096,$ 1015, 779, 729, 518 cm⁻¹. **HR-ESI** (+ve ion): found: m/z = 647.1220; calcd. for [C₃₂H₂₆N₆O₂Sn + H]⁺ 647.1217 [M + H]⁺.

Supporting Information (see footnote on the first page of this article): Crystallographic data, NMR spectra and diffusion NMR data for all complexes.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the ANU Research School of Chemistry Analytical Department for all their time and patience throughout this work. **Keywords:** Schiff bases; Tin; Coordination chemistry; Quinoline; Main group elements

References

- D. A. Evans, D. W. C. MacMillan, K. R. Campos, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 10859–10860.
- [2] Y. Ding, X. Liu, X. Ma, Y. Liu, M. Zhong, W. Li, Z. Yang, Y. Yang, J. Organomet. Chem. 2018, 868, 55–60.
- [3] R. R. Holmes, Acc. Chem. Res. 1989, 22, 190-197.
- [4] C. E. Carraher, M. R. Roner, J. Organomet. Chem. 2014, 751, 67– 82.
- [5] V. Chandrasekhar, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2002, 52.
- [6] J.-C. Choi, T. Sakakura, T. Sako, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 3793–3794.
- [7] F. R. Abreu, M. B. Alves, C. C. S. Macêdo, L. F. Zara, P. A. Z. Suarez, J. Mol. Catal. A 2005, 227, 263–267.
- [8] S. Einloft, T. O. Magalhães, A. Donato, J. Dullius, R. Ligabue, *Energ. Fuel* **2008**, 22, 671–674.
- [9] R. Shankar, M. Kumar, R. K. Chadha, G. Hundal, *Inorg. Chem.* 2003, 42, 8585–8591.
- [10] M. Vafaee, M. M. Amini, H. R. Khavasi, S. W. Ng, E. R. T. Tiekink, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2012**, *26*, 471–477.
- [11] M. J. Clarke, F. Zhu, D. R. Frasca, Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 2511– 2534.
- [12] L. Pellerito, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2002, 224, 111-150.
- [13] P.-F. Cao, A. Bunha, J. Mangadlao, M. J. Felipe, K. I. Mongcopa, R. Advincula, *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48, 12094.
- [14] D. Das, S. S. Mohapatra, S. Roy, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 3666– 3690.
- [15] J. Jiao, C. Tan, Z. Li, Y. Liu, X. Han, Y. Cui, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, DOI 10.1021/jacs.7b11679.
- [16] S. W. S. Choy, M. J. Page, M. Bhadbhade, B. A. Messerle, Organometallics 2013, 32, 4726–4729.
- [17] V. C. Gibson, C. Redshaw, G. A. Solan, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 1745–1776.
- [18] D. L. Davies, F. Lelj, M. P. Lowe, K. S. Ryder, K. Singh, S. Singh, *Dalton Trans.* 2014, 43, 4026–4039.
- [19] I. A. Riddell, M. M. J. Smulders, J. K. Clegg, J. R. Nitschke, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 47, 457–459.
- [20] V. Kumar Das, Y. Chee-Keong, P. J. Smith, J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 327, 311–326.
- [21] H.-L. Gao, X.-P. Zhou, Y.-X. Bi, H.-Y. Shen, W.-M. Wang, N.-N. Wang, Y.-X. Chang, R.-X. Zhang, J.-Z. Cui, *Dalton Trans.* 2017, 46, 4669–4677.
- [22] M. Nilsson, G. A. Morris, Anal. Chem. 2008, 80, 3777-3782.
- [23] Y. Fazaeli, M. M. Amini, E. Najafi, E. Mohajerani, M. Janghouri, A. Jalilian, S. W. Ng, *J. Fluoresc.* **2012**, *22*, 1263–1270.
- [24] O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. a. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2009, 42, 339–341.
- [25] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 2015, 71, 3-8.
- [26] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 2015, 71, 3-8.
- [27] P. R. Sleath, J. B. Noar, G. A. Eberlein, T. C. Bruice, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3328–3338.

Received: March 18, 2019 Published Online: April 25, 2019