

View Article Online View Journal

Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: Z. Zhang, H. Feng, L. Liu, C. Yu, X. Lv, X. Zhu, W. R. Wong, R. A. Jones, M. Pan and C. Su, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, DOI:



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

Manuscript for Dalton Trans.

First example of Tb₃-containing metallopolymer-type hybrid materials with efficient and high color-purity green luminescence

Zhao Zhang,^a Heini Feng,^a Lin Liu,^a Chao Yu,^a Xingqiang Lü,^{*a} Xunjin Zhu,^b Wai-Kwok Wong,^b Richard A. Jones,^c Mei Pan^d and Chengyong Su^{*d}

^aSchool of Chemical Engineering, Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Degradable Medical Material, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, Shaanxi, P. R. China

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Hong Kong Baptist University, Waterloo Road, Kowloon Tong,

Hong Kong, P. R. China

^cDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, 1 University

Station A5300, Austin, TX 78712-0165, United States

^dMOE Laboratory of Bioinoragnic and Synthetic Chemistry/KLGH EI of Environment and Energy Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, Guangdong, China

To whom correspondence should be addressed.

*Xingqiang Lü: 86-29-88302312(o); E-mail: lvxq@nwu.edu.cn

*Chengyong Su: 86-20-84115178(o); E-mail: cesscy@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Abstract

In the series of homo-leptic trinuclear complexes { $[Ln_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)] \cdot Cl$ } (Ln = La, 1; Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, 3 or Ln = Gd, 4) self-assembled from the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL (4-allyl-2-(1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-6-methoxyphenol) and LnCl₃·6H₂O, the suitable energy level match endows the efficient green luminescence ($\Phi_{overall}$ = 72%) of the Tb₃-arrayed complex **3**. The copolymerization between each of these complex monomers 1-4 with C=C-containing MMA (methyl methacrylate) or NBE (norborene) shows that the degradative chain transfer of the terminal four flexible ally groups within restrains their radical polymerization with MMA while does not hinder their effective ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) with NBE. Thus, two kinds of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 1@PMMA, 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA and PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-1), Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) are obtained, respectively. Especially for both 3@PMMA and Poly(NBE-3) with high color-purity characteristic green emission of Tb^{3+} ion, the improved physical properties including the significantly enhanced luminescence ($\Phi_{overall} = 76\%$ or 83%) are observed, and the covalently-bonding endows the higher-concentration self-quenching as compared to the physical doping.

Keyword: PMMA-supported doping or PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid material; Tb₃-arrayed benzimidazole-type complex; Radical polymerization or ROMP; Energy transfer

1. Introduction

Due to the large Stokes shift, ms-grade long lifetime and characteristic narrow line-like emission of Eu^{3+} or Tb^{3+} ion, there has been a growing interest on the development of new kinds of high color-purity Eu^{3+} (red) or Tb^{3+} -based (green) optical materials with potential applications in organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs),¹ white light-emitting devices,² color-tuning pigments³ or biological fluoro-immunoassay.⁴ However, limited by the forbidden parity from f-f transitions of these two inorganic Ln^{3+} ions, the molar absorption coefficients are normally very low ($\varepsilon = 0.01$ -10 M⁻¹cm⁻¹). From the viewpoint of effective sensitization, suitable chromophore is required to allow for indirect population of those Ln^{3+} ions' emissive excited states through ligand excitation ("antenna effect").⁵ For this purpose, the compatibility between the excited state level of the chromophore and the accepting level of the corresponding Ln^{3+} ion should be realized⁶ in these luminescent Ln^{3+} -complexes besides the avoidance of the non-radiative deactivation by OH-, NH- or CH-oscillators around the Ln^{3+} ion.⁷ Nevertheless, the Ln^{3+} -complexes generally present low thermal stability and poor mechanical property, giving rise to another parallel challenge.

In order to overcome these deficiencies and simultaneously improve the photo-physical property of these Ln³⁺-complexes, one of simple while effective solutions is through physical doping into a host inorganic or organic polymeric matrix.⁸ On the other hand, an alternative approach is to trapping the Ln³⁺-complex into a silica-based host⁹ or a polymer backbone¹⁰ with covalent bonds for the formation of grafting-type inorganic-organic hybrid materials. By contrast, Ln³⁺-containing metallopolymers,¹¹ as a unique class of grafted hybrid materials, possess both the beneficial property of the inorganic Ln³⁺ ions and the attractive features

Dalton Transactions

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript

Published on 19 February 2015. Downloaded by Michigan State University on 24/02/2015 13:30:33.

including mechanical strength, flexibility, ease of processing and low cost of organic polymers. This advantage, especially compared with Ln³⁺-containing doping hybrid materials, endows the ability to effectively resolve the high homogeneity and clustering of emitters and makes them more ideal as optoelectronic materials. Till now, to the best of our knowledge, the developed Ln³⁺-containing metallopolymers are usually limited from the mononuclear Ln³⁺-complexes through coupling,¹² ring-opening polymerization,¹³ radical polymerization¹⁴ or electro-polymerization,¹⁵ and few systems fabricated from d-f heterometallic¹⁶ or f-f polymetallic complexes.¹⁷ In our recent reports, through the radical copolymerization of the divinyl-modified ZnLn or Zn_2Ln (Ln = Nd, Yb or Er) Salen-type Schiff-base complex monomer and another C=C-containing monomer, two examples of near-infrared (NIR) luminescent ZnLn^{16b, 16c} or Zn₂Ln-containing^{16d} metallopolymers are constructed. Moreover, another example of NIR luminescent metallopolymers based on allyl-modified Ln₄(Salen)₄ (Ln = Nd or Yb) complexes is successfully built by the controlled ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) with norborene (NBE).¹⁷ In the two kinds of metallopolymers, the additive electronic communication between the homo- or hetero-multiple metal centers actually induces more efficient energy transfer. In consideration of the relatively higher first excited state (17286 cm⁻¹, ${}^{5}D_{0}$ for Eu³⁺; 20545 cm⁻¹, ${}^{5}D_{4}$ for Tb³⁺) of visible luminescent Eu³⁺ or Tb³⁺ ion than that (11257 cm⁻¹, ${}^{4}F_{3/2}$ for Nd³⁺; 10400 cm⁻¹, ${}^{2}F_{5/2}$ for Yb³⁺, 6610 cm⁻¹, ${}^{4}I_{13/2}$ for Er³⁺) of NIR luminescent Nd³⁺, Yb³⁺ or Er³⁺ ion,¹⁸ new organic ligands also with unsaturated C=C functions while not vinyl- or allyl-modified Salen-type Schiff-base ligands need to be designed, where the polymerizable multi-metallic Eu³⁺ or Tb³⁺ complex monomer with higher ${}^{3}\pi$ - π * energy level matched well with the first excited state of Eu³⁺ or Tb³⁺ ion

can also be copolymerized with other C=C-containing monomers. Thus, new polymetallic-containing metallopolymers with Eu^{3+} - or Tb^{3+} -based high color-purity visible emission are expected. Herein, through the self-assembly of the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand **HL** and LnCl₃·6H₂O (Ln = La, Eu, Tb or Gd), a series of homo-leptic trinuclear complexes {[Ln₃(L)₄Cl₄(MeOH)(H₂O)]·Cl} (Ln = La, 1; Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, **3** or Ln = Gd, **4**) are obtained, respectively. The series of Ln₃-arrayed (Ln = Eu or Tb) complex monomers with four terminal allyl groups should be copolymerized with MMA or NBE, and thus, the first example of high color-purity Eu³⁺- or Tb³⁺-based trimetallic emissive metallopolymers could be anticipated.

2. Experimental

Materials and methods

High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade tetrahydrofuran (THF) was purchased from Fisher Scientific and purified over solvent columns. Other solvents were used as received from Sigma Aldrich and stored over 3 Å activated molecule sieves. Methyl methacrylate (MMA) was dried over CaH₂, distilled and stored under dried N₂ prior to use. Azobis(isobutyronitrile) (AIBN) was purified by recrystallization twice from absolute MeOH prior to use. Other chemicals containing Hoveyda-Grubbs II (H-Grubbs II) and norborene (NBE) were commercial products of reagent grade and were used without further purification. All manipulations of air and water sensitive compounds were carried out under dried N₂ using the standard Schlenk line techniques. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 240C elemental analyzer. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Nagna-IR 550

Dalton Transactions

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript

spectrophotometer in the region 4000-400 cm⁻¹ using KBr pellets. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL EX 400 spectrometer with SiMe₄ as internal standard in CD₃CN, CDCl₃ and/or DMSO-66 at room temperature. ESI-MS was performed on a Finnigan LCODECA XP HPLC-MS_n mass spectrometer with a mass to charge (m/z) range of 4000 using a standard electrospray ion source and MeCN as solvent. Electronic absorption spectra in the UV/Visible region and diffuse reflection (DR) spectra were recorded with a Cary 300 UV spectrophotometer, steady-state visible fluorescence and PL excitation spectra were recorded on a Photon Technology International (PTI) Alpha scan spectrofluorometer, and visible decay spectra were recorded on a pico-N₂ laser system (PTI Time Master). The quantum yield $(\Phi_{overall})$ of visible luminescence for each sample in solution was determined by the relative comparison procedure, using a reference of a known quantum yield (quinine sulfate in dilute H₂SO₄ solution, $\Phi_r = 0.546$). The quantum yield ($\Phi_{overall}$) of visible luminescence for each solid state sample was determined by absolute method using an integrating sphere¹⁹ (150 nm diameter, $BaSO_4$ coating) on Edingburgh Instrument FLS928. Three parallel measurements were carried out for each sample, so that the presented $\Phi_{overall}$ value corresponds to the arithmetic mean value with the estimated errors of 10%. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analyses of the polymers were performed using a Waters 1525 binary pump coupled to a Waters 2414 refractive index detector with HPLC THF as the eluant on American Polymer Standard 10 μ m particle size, linear mixed bed packing columns. The GPC was calibrated using polystyrene standards. The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were recorded on a D/Max-IIIA diffractometer with graphite-monochromatized Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). Thermogravimetric (TG) analyses were carried out on a NETZSCH TG 209 instrument under

flowing nitrogen by heating the samples from 25 to 600 °C.

Synthesis of 5-ally-2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-benzaldehyde

5-Ally-2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-benzaldehyde was obtained by the Williamson synthesis from *o*-vanillin (6.08 g, 40 mmol) and allyl bromide (12.0 mL, 140 mmol) as the starting materials in the presence of anhydrous K₂CO₃ (11.06 g, 80 mmol) and the subsequent *para* Claisen rearrangement according to a well-established procedure from the literature.¹⁷ Yield: 3.92 g, 51%. Element analysis (%): calcd for C₁₁H₁₂O₃ (192.21): C, 68.74; H, 6.29. Found: C, 68.72; H, 6.34. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 11.10 (s, 1H, -OH), 9.90 (s, 1H, -CHO), 6.99 (s, 1H, -Ph), 6.95 (s, 1H, -Ph), 5.97 (m, 1H, -CH=C), 5.13 (m, 2H, =CH₂), 3.91 (s, 3H, -OMe), 3.38 (s, 2H, -CH₂).

Synthesis of diallyl-modified Salen-type Schiff-base precursor H_2L^0 ($H_2L^0 = N_1N^2$ -bis(5-allyl-3-methoxy-salicylidene)phenylene-1,2-diamine)

To a stirred solution *o*-phenylenediamine (0.55 g, 5 mmol) in absolute EtOH (20 mL), 5-ally-2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-benzaldehyde (1.92 g, 10 mmol) was added, and the resultant mixture was continuously stirred under N₂ atmosphere at room temperature for 12 h. The insoluble red precipitate was filtered and washed with cold diethyl ether to give a red polycrystalline solid. Yield: 1.46 g, 64%. Element analysis (%): calcd for $C_{28}H_{28}N_2O_4$ (456.64): C, 73.66; H, 6.18; N 6.14. Found: C, 73.75; H, 6.15; N, 6.06. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3320 (b), 3070 (w), 2993 (w), 2943 (w), 2898 (w), 2839 (w), 1620 (s), 1581 (m), 1475 (s), 1394 (m), 1344 (w), 1267 (vs), 1230 (w), 1209 (w), 1161 (m), 993 (s), 966 (w), 908 (m), 840

```
(w), 800 (w), 746 (w), 686 (w), 600 (w), 545 (w), 503 (w), 480 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN): δ (ppm) 13.16 (s, 2H, -OH), 8.71 (s, 2H, -CH=N), 7.37 (m, 4H, -Ph), 6.94 (s, 4H, -Ph), 6.01 (m, 2H, -CH=C), 5.10 (m, 4H, =CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.86 (s, 6H, -OMe), 3.36 (m, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>).
```

Synthesis of allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL (HL = 4-allyl-2-(1*H*-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-6-methoxyphenol)

The solution of the diallyl-modified Salen-type Schiff-base precursor H_2L^0 (4.56 g, 10 mmol) in absolute MeOH (20 mL) was refluxed under N₂ atmosphere for 36 h, where the color of the solution changed from dark red to brown and white. After cooling to room temperature, the volatile materials were removed under vacuum, and the colorless solid residue (HL) remained was washed with absolute EtOH and dried under vacuum. Yield: 1.96 g, 70%. Element analysis (%): calcd for C₁₇H₁₆N₂O₂ (280.33): C, 72.84; H, 5.75; N, 9.99. Found: C, 72.76; H, 5.87; N, 9.91. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3651 (w), 3524 (w), 3464 (w), 3067 (w), 2970 (w), 2928 (w), 2907 (w), 2837 (w), 2766 (w), 2731 (w), 2654 (w), 2596 (w), 2531 (w), 2486 (w), 2293 (w), 2259 (w), 2212 (w), 2116 (w), 2021 (w), 1977 (w), 1933 (w), 1894 (w), 1846 (w), 1827 (w), 1776 (w), 1722 (w), 1624 (s), 1599 (m), 1499 (s), 1454 (s), 1398 (s), 1342 (w), 1254 (vs), 1146 (s), 1063 (s), 997 (s), 964 (w), 914 (m), 851 (m), 800 (m), 748 (m), 681 (w), 615 (m), 548 (w), 494 (w), 434 (w). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ (ppm) 12.99 (s, 1H, -NH), 11.09 (s, 1H, -OH), 7.72 (d, 1H, -Ph), 7.60 (d, 1H, -Ph), 7.31 (m, 3H, -Ph), 6.94 (s, 1H, -Ph), 6.09 (m, 1H, -CH=C), 5.17 (m, 2H, =CH₂), 3.90 (s, 3H, -OMe), 3.44 (d, 2H, -CH₂).

Synthesis of homo-leptic trinuclear complexes $\{[Ln_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)] \cdot Cl\}$ (Ln = La,

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript

1; Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, 3 or Ln = Gd, 4)

The CH₂Cl₂-MeOH solution (10 mL, V/V = 1/1) containing HL (168 mg, 0.6 mmol) and Et₃N (84 μ L, 0.6 mmol) was stirred for 30 min at RT, then a solution of LnCl₃·6H₂O (0.6 mmol, Ln = La, 212 mg; Ln = Eu, 220 mg; Ln = Tb, 224 mg or Ln = Gd, 223 mg) in absolute MeOH (5 mL) was added and the mixture was refluxed under N₂ atmosphere for 3 h, respectively. After cooling to RT, the resultant respective clear yellow solution was filtered, and diethyl ether was allowed to diffuse slowly into the filtrate at RT. Pale yellow microcrystalline products were obtained in about two weeks, respectively.

For 1: Yield: 193 mg, 73%. Element analysis (%): calcd for $C_{69}H_{66}N_8O_{10}Cl_5La_3$ (1761.31): C, 47.05; H, 3.78; N, 6.36. Found: C, 47.15; H, 3.94; N, 6.28. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3380 (b), 3220 (s), 2977 (s), 2940 (s), 2740 (m), 2679 (s), 2491 (w), 1620 (s), 1570 (s), 1507 (vs), 1465 (s), 1430 (s), 1399 (m), 1351 (m), 1276 (m), 1246 (s), 1211 (m), 1171 (w), 1133 (m), 1057 (s), 1039 (w), 1010 (w), 994 (s), 917 (w), 851 (m), 820 (w), 770 (s), 748 (s), 683 (w), 654 (w), 623 (w), 585 (w), 569 (w), 530 (w), 498 (w). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- δ_6): δ (ppm) 13.17 (s, 4H, -NH), 8.24 (m, 1H, -OH), 7.79 (m, 2H, -Ph), 7.71 (m, 4H, -Ph), 7.61 (m, 2H, -Ph), 7.49 (m, 4H, -Ph), 7.39 (m, 3H, -Ph), 7.27 (m, 3H, -Ph), 7.18 (m, 6H, -Ph), 6.03 (m, 4H, -CH=C), 5.13 (m, 8H, =CH₂), 3.87 (s, 3H, MeOH), 3.83 (s, 12H, -OMe), 3.33 (s, 8H, -CH₂). ESI-MS (in MeCN) *m/z*: 1725.86 (100%), [M-Cl]⁺; 1762.32 (18%), [M+H]⁺.

For **2**: Yield: 192 mg, 71%. Element analysis (%): calcd for C₆₉H₆₆N₈O₁₀Cl₅Eu₃ (1800.48): C, 46.03; H, 3.69; N, 6.22. Found: C, 46.06; H, 3.82; N, 6.15. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3373 (b), 3210 (m), 2945 (m), 2841 (m), 1622 (s), 1571 (s), 1522 (m), 1487 (vs), 1463 (s), 1445 (s), 1424 (s), 1345 (m), 1307 (w), 1280 (m), 1243 (s), 1202 (m), 1140 (m), 1057 (s), 1012 (w), 1000 (s), 957 (w), 910 (m), 865 (w), 850 (w), 817 (m), 766 (s), 748 (s), 686 (w), 654 (w), 624 (w), 574 (w), 499 (w). ESI-MS (in MeCN) *m/z*: 1765.02 (100%), [M-Cl]⁺; 1801.48 (16%), [M+H]⁺.

For **3**: Yield: 189 mg, 69%. Element analysis (%): calcd for C₆₉H₆₆N₈O₁₀Cl₅Tb₃ (1821.37): C, 45.50; H, 3.65; N, 6.15. Found: C, 45.47; H, 3.74; N, 6.10. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3390 (b), 3227 (m), 2975 (m), 2941 (m), 2737 (m), 2677 (m), 2490 (w), 1621 (s), 1570 (s), 1507 (s), 1495 (vs), 1464 (s), 1431 (m), 1398 (m), 1350 (w), 1278 (w), 1245 (s), 1211 (w), 1171 (w), 1133 (w), 1057 (m), 1037 (w), 995 (s), 917 (w), 825 (w), 821 (w), 770 (s), 747 (m), 688 (w), 655 (w), 623 (w), 589 (w), 502 (w). ESI-MS (in MeCN) *m/z*: 1785.92 (100%), [M-CI]⁺; 1822.38 (13%), [M+H]⁺.

For **4**: Yield: 185 mg, 68%. Element analysis (%): calcd for C₆₉H₆₆N₈O₁₀Cl₅Gd₃ (1816.35): C, 45.63; H, 3.66; N, 6.17. Found: C, 45.97; H, 3.81; N, 6.08. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3378 (b), 3219 (m), 2977 (m), 2941 (m), 2739 (m), 2679 (m), 2492 (w), 1620 (s), 1571 (s), 1507 (s), 1465 (vs), 1434 (s), 1399 (m), 1352 (m), 1278 (m), 1246 (s), 1211 (m), 1170 (w), 1133 (m), 1057 (s), 1037 (w), 1010 (w), 995 (s), 917 (w), 852 (m), 820 (w), 771 (s), 748 (s), 687 (w), 654 (w), 623 (w), 589 (w), 569 (w), 531 (w), 499 (w). ESI-MS (in MeCN) *m/z*: 1780.89 (100%), [M-Cl]⁺; 1817.35 (14%), [M+H]⁺.

Determination of the crystal structure

Published on 19 February 2015. Downloaded by Michigan State University on 24/02/2015 13:30:33

Single crystals for 3.2MeOH·4H₂O of suitable dimensions were mounted onto thin glass fibers. All the intensity datas were collected on a Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer (Mo-K α radiation and $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) in Φ and ω scan modes. Structures were solved by

Direct methods followed by difference Fourier syntheses, and then refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques against F^2 using SHELXTL.²⁰ All other non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Absorption corrections were applied using SADABS.²¹ All hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined isotropically using a riding model. Crystallographic data and refinement parameters for the complexes are presented in Table 1. Relevant atomic distances and bond angles are collected in Table 1S. CCDC reference number 1035177 is for **3**·2MeOH·4H₂O.

Synthesis of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 1@PMMA, 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA

The homogeneous polymerization MMA of complexes of and each $\{[Ln_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)] \cdot Cl\}$ (Ln = La, 1; Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, 3 or Ln = Gd, 4) with a stipulated feeding molar ratio (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 or 800:1) in activation with AIBN was carried out in a Fisher-Porter glass reactor and protected by dried N₂ according to the typical procedure.²² A mixture of MMA (2.7 mL, 25.3 mmol) in activation with AIBN (37.6 mg, 1.5 mol % of MMA) and { $[Ln_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)] \cdot Cl$ } (0.063 mmol; Ln = La (1), 111 mg; Ln = Eu (2), 114 mg; Ln = Tb (3), 115 mg or Ln = Gd (4), 116 mg) was dissolved in dry xylene (30 mL), and the resultant homogeneous solution was purged with N_2 for 10 min and sealed under a reduced N2 atmosphere. The solution was heated to 60 °C with continuous stirring for 24 h. The viscous mixture was diluted with dry THF (20 mL) and precipitated with absolute diethyl ether (50 mL) for three times. The resulting solid products were collected by filtration and dried at 45 °C under vacuum to constant weight, respectively.

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript

For 1@PMMA (400:1): Yield: 91%. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3631 (w), 3381 (m), 3221 (w), 3300 (w), 2996 (m), 2949 (m), 2844 (w), 1732 (vs), 1625 (m), 1570 (w), 1505 (w), 1460 (m), 1390 (s), 1348 (w), 1309 (w), 1255 (w), 1201 (w), 1058 (w), 1045 (w), 1018 (w), 1002 (w), 960 (w), 867 (w), 823 (w), 767 (m), 746 (m), 684 (w), 658 (w), 585 (w), 555 (w), 514 (w), 466 (w). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- δ_6 -CDCl₃, v/v = 10:1): δ (ppm) 13.12 (s, 4H, -NH), 8.20 (m, 1H, -OH), 7.78 (m, 2H, -Ph), 7.70 (m, 4H, -Ph), 7.61 (m, 2H, -Ph), 7.48 (m, 4H, -Ph), 7.38 (m, 3H, -Ph), 7.27 (m, 3H, -Ph), 7.17 (m, 6H, -Ph), 6.01 (m, 4H, -CH=C), 5.11 (m, 8H, =CH₂), 3.86 (s, 3H, MeOH), 3.83 (s, 12H, -OMe), 3.56 (s, 330H, -COOMe), 3.32 (s, 8H, -CH₂), 1.85 (b, 220H, -CH₂), 0.91 (m, 330H, -CH₃).

For **2@PMMA** (400:1): Yield: 90%. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3624 (w), 3383 (m), 3219 (w), 3301 (w), 3070 (w), 2996 (m), 2950 (m), 2841 (w), 1731 (vs), 1624 (m), 1570 (w), 1501 (w), 1466 (w), 1391 (s), 1345 (w), 1308 (w), 1252 (w), 1200 (w), 1059 (w), 1041 (w), 1017 (w), 1000 (w), 966 (w), 868 (w), 820 (w), 765 (m), 746 (m), 681 (w), 650 (w), 580 (w), 551 (w), 510 (w), 461 (w).

For **3@PMMA** (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 and 800:1): Yield: 83% (200:1); 89% (400:1); 91% (600:1); 92% (800:1). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3620 (w), 3379 (m), 3222 (w), 3301 (w), 2998 (m), 2948 (m), 2841 (w), 1731 (vs), 1621 (m), 1570 (w), 1507 (w), 1460 (m), 1391 (s), 1345 (w), 1309 (w), 1256 (w), 1201 (w), 1056 (w), 1048 (w), 1017 (w), 1002 (w), 962 (w), 867 (w), 823 (w), 764 (m), 746 (m), 686 (w), 658 (w), 581 (w), 551 (w), 514 (w), 462 (w).

For **4@PMMA** (400:1): Yield: 88%. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3627 (w), 3380 (m), 3220 (w), 3305 (w), 3070 (w), 2997 (m), 2949 (m), 2842 (w), 1732 (vs), 1625 (m), 1571 (w), 1505 (w), 1460 (w), 1392 (s), 1347 (w), 1309 (w), 1254 (w), 1201 (w), 1057 (w), 1046 (w), 1018 (w),

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5DT00141B

1002 (w), 961 (w), 867 (w), 822 (w), 767 (m), 743 (m), 684 (w), 652 (w), 585 (w), 554 (w), 512 (w), 465 (w).

Synthesis of PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-1), Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4)

The homogeneous copolymerization of NBE and each of complexes 1-4 with a stipulated feeding molar ratio (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 or 800:1) in activation with H-Grubbs II was carried out in a Fisher-Porter glass reactor and protected by dried N₂. To a solution of the complex {[Ln₃(L)₄Cl₄(MeOH)(H₂O)]·Cl} (0.02 mmol, Ln = La (1), 35.4 mg; Ln = Eu (2), 35.8 mg; Ln = Tb (3), 36.2 mg or Ln = Gd (4), 36.4 mg) in dry CHCl₃ (30 mL), NBE (8 mmol, 752 mg) and H-Grubbs II (1.5 mol-% of NBE; 11.3 mg, added in three times: 7 mg, 2.3 mg and 2 mg, respectively) were added, and the resultant homogeneous solution was purged with N₂ for 10 min and sealed under a reduced N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at RT for 72 h. Subsequently, ethyl vinyl ether (1 mL) was added to quench the reaction. Each of the obtained products was precipitated as viscous substance by slow dropping into cold EtOH. The resulting solids were collected by filtration and dried at 45 °C under vacuum to constant weight, respectively.

For **Poly(NBE-1)** (400:1): Yield: 79%. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3313 (b), 2943 (m), 2862 (s), 2733 (w), 2603 (m), 2530 (w), 2495 (w), 1994 (w), 1772 (m), 1710 (m), 1662 (w), 1616 (w), 1490 (s), 1448 (s), 1348 (w), 1257 (s), 1205 (m), 1145 (w), 1037 (s), 966 (vs), 912 (w), 864 (w), 821 (s), 744 (w), 698 (vs), 671 (w), 580 (w), 497 (w), 439 (w). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- δ_6 -CDCl₃, v/v = 10:1): δ (ppm) 13.17 (s, 4H, -NH), 8.28 (m, 1H, -OH), 7.60 (b, 8H,

-Ph), 7.53 (b, 2H, -Ph), 7.46 (b, 2H, -Ph), 7.25 (b, 8H, -Ph), 7.06 (b, 1H, -Ph), 6.86 (b, 2H, -Ph), 6.78 (b, 1H, -Ph), 5.72 (m, 4H, -Ph), 5.54 (b, 4H, -CH=C), 5.27 (s, 150H, -CH=CH- (Z)), 5.14 (s, 150H, -CH=CH- (E)), 4.90 (d, 4H, -CH=CH₂), 4.79 (d, 4H, -CH=CH₂), 3.94 (m, 3H, MeOH), 3.93 (m, 12H, -OMe), 3.36 (m, 8H, -CH₂-C=C), 2.72 (s, 150H, -CH), 2.37 (s, 150H, -CH), 1.73 (m, 450H, -CH₂), 1.28 (s, 300H, -CH₂), 0.95 (m, 150H, -CH₂).

For **Poly(NBE-2)** (400:1): Yield: 74%. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3310 (b), 2941 (m), 2862 (s), 2735 (w), 2600 (m), 2534 (w), 2492 (w), 1990 (w), 1773 (m), 1713 (m), 1660 (w), 1614 (w), 1495 (s), 1447 (s), 1345 (m), 1255 (s), 1204 (m), 1149 (w), 1036 (s), 967 (vs), 910 (w), 865 (w), 818 (w), 747 (w), 674 (w), 580 (w), 493 (w), 431 (w).

For **Poly(NBE-3)** (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 or 800:1): Yield: 55% (200:1); 77% (400:1); 82% (600:1); 85% (800:1). FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3313 (b), 2945 (m), 2862 (s), 2734 (w), 2603 (m), 2530 (w), 2496 (w), 1994 (w), 1773 (m), 1710 (m), 1660 (w), 1616 (w), 1491 (s), 1448 (s), 1347 (m), 1257 (s), 1206 (m), 1145 (w), 1036 (s), 968 (vs), 912 (w), 864 (w), 820 (w), 743 (w), 670 (w), 580 (w), 497 (w), 438 (w).

For **Poly(NBE-4)** (400:1): Yield: 73%. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3311 (b), 2943 (m), 2860 (s), 2733 (w), 2601 (m), 2530 (w), 2490 (w), 1994 (w), 1772 (m), 1711 (m), 1662 (w), 1616 (w), 1491 (s), 1448 (s), 1347 (m), 1257 (s), 1205 (m), 1148 (w), 1037 (s), 965 (vs), 912 (w), 864 (w), 820 (w), 745 (w), 671 (w), 582 (w), 497 (w), 438 (w).

3. Results and discussion

Published on 19 February 2015. Downloaded by Michigan State University on 24/02/2015 13:30:33

Synthesis and characterization of the ligand HL and its series of complex monomers ${[Ln_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)] \cdot Cl}$ (Ln = La, 1; Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, 3 or Ln = Gd, 4)

Dalton Transactions

As shown in Scheme 1, 5-ally-2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-benzaldehyde was synthesized by the

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5DT00141B

Williamson synthesis and the subsequent para Claisen rearrangement with o-vanillin and allyl bromide as the starting materials in the presence of anhydrous K₂CO₃ as the literature.¹⁷ The diallyl-modified Salen-type Schiff-base precursor H_2L^0 was obtained from the condensation reaction of o-phenylenediamine with 5-ally-2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-benzaldehyde in a 1:2 molar ratio, while in refluxing absolute MeOH, the precursor H_2L^0 was successfully converted into the off-white benzimidazole-type ligand HL in a good yield of 70%. Furthermore, reaction of the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL and LnCl₃·6H₂O (Ln = La, Eu, Tb or Gd) in different metal to ligand molar ratios (1:1, 4:3 1:2 or 1:4) afforded the similar series of homo-leptic trinuclear complexes $\{[Ln_3(L)_4(MeOH)(H_2O)] \cdot C\}$ (Ln = La, 1; Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, 3 or Ln = Gd, 4), respectively, despite the slight difference of yields. Similar to the good solubility of the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL in common organic solvents except for water, complexes 1-4 are also soluble in slightly-polar organic solvents due to the introduction of flexible allyl groups together with the charge of the two components (the cationic $[Ln_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)]^+$ part and one free Cl⁻ anion) in each of the four complexes.

[Scheme 1 inserted here]

The Schiff-base precursor H_2L^0 , the benzimidazole-type ligand HL and its series of complexes 1-4 were well characterized by EA, FT-IR, ¹H NMR and ESI-MS. In the FT-IR spectra, two characteristic strong absorptions at 1620-1624 and 993-1000 cm⁻¹ attributed to the *v* vibration and the ω vibration of the terminal active =CH₂ groups from allyl substituents were observed for the precursor H_2L^0 , the ligand HL and complexes 1-4, respectively. As to

the ¹H NMR spectrum identification of the precursor H_2L^0 or the ligand HL, the similar intra-molecular resonance-assisted hydrogen bonded (RAHB) O-H···N proton resonance (δ = 13.16 ppm for H_2L^0 or $\delta = 11.09$ ppm for HL) and the well-kept proton resonances ($\delta = 6.01$, 5.10 and 3.36 ppm for H_2L^0 or $\delta = 6.09$, 5.17 and 3.44 ppm for HL) of the functional allyl groups were exhibited. Nevertheless, the replacement of the typical -CH=N- proton resonance $(\delta = 8.71 \text{ ppm})$ for the precursor H_2L^0 with the typical -NH proton resonance ($\delta = 12.99 \text{ ppm}$) for the ligand HL endowed their distinctive difference between. The ¹H NMR spectrum (shown in Figure 1) of the *anti*-ferromagnetic trinuclear La₃-arrayed complex 1, due to the coordination of La³⁺ ions, showed a slightly spread shift (δ from 13.17 to 3.33 ppm) of the proton resonances of the ligands relative to that of the free ligand HL (δ from 12.99 to 3.44 ppm). Moreover, besides the persistence of proton resonances ($\delta = 6.03$, 5.13 and 3.33 ppm) for the terminal allyl groups of complex 1, the presence of new proton resonances ($\delta = 8.24$ and 3.87 ppm) from one coordinated MeOH molecule, together with the slight shift of the proton resonances of four coordinated ligands also confirmed the formation of La₃-arrayed complex 1. The ESI-MS spectra of the series of complexes 1-4 displayed the similar patterns and exhibited the strong mass peak at m/z 1725.86 (1), 1765.02 (2), 1785.92 (3) or 1780.89 (4) assigned to the major species of $[Ln_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)]^+$ of complexes 1-4, respectively. These observations further indicate that each of discrete homo-leptic Ln₃-arrayed units is retained in the corresponding dilute MeCN solution.

Published on 19 February 2015. Downloaded by Michigan State University on 24/02/2015 13:30:33

[Figure 1 inserted here]

The solid state structure of 3.2MeOH·4H₂O as the representative of complexes 1-4 was determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, and its crystallographic data and

Dalton Transactions

selected bond parameters are presented in Tables 1 and 1S, respectively. Complex

3 2MeOH 4H₂O crystallizes in the triclinic space group P-1. For **3** 2MeOH 4H₂O, the

smallest symmetric unit is composed of one cation $[Tb_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)]^+$, one free Cl⁻

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5DT00141B

anion, two solvates MeOH and four solvates H₂O. As shown in Figure 2, four deprotonated $(L)^{-}$ ligands chelate to three Tb^{3+} ions with a non-linear linkage (Tb1-Tb2-Tb3 angle of

144.51(5)°), where the central Tb^{3+} ion (Tb2) is bridged by two phenolic O atoms (O1 and O5 or O3 and O7) from the corresponding two deprotonated $(L)^{-1}$ ligands to the two terminal Tb³⁺ ions (Tb1 or Tb3), respectively. The three Tb³⁺ ions exhibit three kinds of different coordination environments, where the unique central Tb^{3+} ion (Tb2) is eight-coordinate and bound by two sets of the pheonlic O atom (O5 or O7) and the methoxy O atom (O6 or O8) from two different deprotonated (L) ligands and two sets of the imidazole N (N2 or N4) and the pheonlic O atom (O1 or O3) from the other two different deprotonated (L) ligands. However, both of the two terminal Tb³⁺ ions (Tb1 and Tb3) with the slightly different coordination environments are seven-coordinate: in addition to the imidazole N (N6 or N8) and the pheonlic O atom (O5 or O7) from one deprotonated (L) ligand and the pheonlic O atom (O1 or O3) and the methoxy O atom (O2 or O4) from another deprotonated (L) ligand. it saturate its coordination sphere from two coordinated Cl⁻ anions (Cl1 and Cl2 or Cl3 and Cl4) and one O10 atom from the coordinated H₂O or one O9 atom from the coordinated MeOH molecule, respectively. Two unique Tb…Tb distances of 3.8563(35) and 3.8368(37) Å are between the central Tb^{3+} ion (Tb2) and the two terminal Tb^{3+} ions (Tb1 and Tb3). respectively. In addition to the weak N1-H1...Cl5 H-bonding (3.045(2) Å, shown in Figure

1S) between the free Cl⁻ anion (Cl5) for charge balance with one deprotonated (L)⁻ ligand,

Dalton Transactions

free solvates MeOH and H₂O are not bound to the homo-leptic framework, and they exhibit no observed interactions with the host structure. It is worth noting that the homo-leptic trinuclear host structure in $3 \cdot 2$ MeOH·4H₂O is distinctively different from the reported structures of mononuclear Ln-arrayed or homo-leptic binuclear Ln₂-arrayed complexes²³ while comparable to CI⁻dependent homo-leptic Ln₃-arrayed structure²⁴ based on the analogue Br-modified benzimidazole-type ligand. This result shows that the discrete homo-leptic Ln₃-arrayed host structure of complex $3 \cdot 2$ MeOH·4H₂O is stable and not strictly relied on the stoichiometries as the literature,²³ especially with the involvement of electron-donating allyl while not electron-withdrawing Br group. Moreover, all the four functional allyl groups with the C=C bond lengths of 1.297(10)-1.304(19) Å for the typical C=C bonds in complex $3 \cdot 2$ MeOH·4H₂O are well retained.

Published on 19 February 2015. Downloaded by Michigan State University on 24/02/2015 13:30:33

[Figure 2 inserted here]

Photophysical property and energy transfer of the series of complexes ${[Ln_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)] \cdot Cl}$ (Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, 3 or Ln = Gd, 4) in solution The photophysical property of the ligand HL and its complexes 2-4 has been examined in

dilute MeCN solution at RT or 77 K, and summarized in Table 2 and Figures 3-4. As shown in Figure 3, similar ligand-centered solution absorptions (224-226, 296-300 and 330-332 nm) of complexes **2-4** in the UV-visible region are observed, where all the intra-ligand low-energy π - π * transition absorptions are red-shifted upon coordination of Ln³⁺ ions compared to that (324 nm) of the free ligand **HL**. For complex **2**, photo excitation ($\lambda_{ex} = 359$ nm) of the chromophore just gives rise to weak residual visible emission ($\lambda_{em} = 428$ nm) assigned to the

 π - π * ligand transition, and no characteristic Eu³⁺-based line-like emissions are observed. In contrast, for complex **3**, as shown in Figure 4, the ligand-centered emission is not detectable in the emission spectrum, while the strong characteristic ligand-field splitting emissions of Tb³⁺ ion from the emitting level (⁵D₄) to the ground multiple ⁷F_J (J = 6, 5, 4, 3) are exhibited, respectively. The strongest emission ($\lambda_{em} = 543$ nm) based on the hyper-sensitive ⁵D₄ \rightarrow ⁷F₅ transition, together with the second maximum peak at 488 nm corresponding to the ⁵D₄ \rightarrow ⁷F₆ transition, endows the bright green solution luminescence with a CIE (Commission International De L'Eclairage) chromatic coordinate x = 0.304 and y = 0.599 for complex **3**.

[Figures 3-4 inserted here]

It is of special interest to explore the detailed mechanism of energy transfer process for the two complexes **2-3**. As a suitable reference compound, the Gd₃-arrayed complex **4** allows the further study of the chromophore luminescence in the absence of energy transfer, because the Gd³⁺ ion has no energy levels below 32150 cm⁻¹, and therefore cannot accept any energy from the excited state of the chromophore.²⁵ Different from the typical fluorescence (λ_{em} = 426 and τ = 1.51 ns) at RT, as shown in Figure 2S, complex **4** exhibits the phosphorescence (λ_{em} = 433 and τ = 29.4 µs) at 77 K, from which the triplet (³ π - π *) energy level at 23095 cm⁻¹ is obtained. With regard to the singlet (¹ π - π *) energy level (28736 cm⁻¹) estimated by the lower wavelength of its UV-visible absorbance edge, so the larger energy gap ΔE_1 (5641 cm⁻¹, ¹ π - π * -³ π - π *) than 5000 cm⁻¹, as shown in Figure 5, endows the effective inter-system crossing process according to Reinhouldt's empirical rule.²⁶ Further through checking the energy level match between the ligand-based ³ π - π * energy level (23095 cm⁻¹) and the first excited state level of Eu³⁺ or Tb³⁺ ion, in spite of the energy gap ($\Delta E_2 = 5809$ nm) for complex

Dalton Transactions

2, it is actually large enough to go beyond the reasonable range of 2500-4000 cm⁻¹ from Latva's empirical rule.²⁷ The possible heavy non-radiative deactivation results in the complete quenching of the characteristic Eu³⁺-based emissions. As to complex **3**, the relatively smaller energy gap ($\Delta E_2 = 2550 \text{ nm}$) within the ideal 2500-4500 cm⁻¹ range²⁷ confirms the suitability of the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand **HL** as a sensitizer for Tb³⁺ ion. The Tb³⁺ ion (⁵D₄) lifetime of complex **3** (Figure 6) under excitation of 346 nm is measured to be $\tau_{obs} =$ 104.54 μ s, which is not one of the longest so for reported Tb³⁺-complexes,²⁸ while the attractive high quantum yield ($\Phi_{overall}$, 72%) for complex **3** in solution is obtained. This should be mainly attributed to the suitable energy level match and the additive electronic communication between three Tb³⁺ centers in complex **3** despite the vibrationic quenching⁷ from OH-containing oscillators (MeOH and H₂O) in the inner coordination spheres of the two terminal Tb³⁺ ions.

Published on 19 February 2015. Downloaded by Michigan State University on 24/02/2015 13:30:33.

[Figures 5-6 inserted here]

Synthesis and characterization of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 1@PMMA, 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA and PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-1), Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4)

In consideration of the excellent performance of PMMA as one of the popular polymer matrices with low cost, low optical absorbance and good mechanical property,²⁹ it is of interest to explore the radical polymerization behaviors of the series of Ln₃-arrayed complexes **1-4** containing four active allyl groups as the complex monomers like those¹⁶

Dalton Transactions

containing active vinyl groups with MMA in activation with AIBN. To our dismay, the

copolymerization does not effectively occur as expected, where each of complexes 1-4 is just

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5DT00141B

physically doped into the *in situ* formed PMMA matrix from homo-polymerization of MMA, exclusively giving the series of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 1@PMMA, 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA, as shown in Scheme 2, respectively. As to the isolated hybrid materials, in the FT-IR spectra from the simple combination of characteristic absorptions from each of 1-4 and PMMA, the intense absorption band at 1731-1732 cm⁻¹ attributed to the ν (C=O) vibration of PMMA appears, and the characteristic absorptions at 1621-1625 and 1000-1002 cm⁻¹ assigned to the ν (allyl) vibration and the ω (allyl) vibration of complexes 1-4 are weakened while well kept due to the low concentration doping. Nevertheless, the absence of the band absorptions in the range of 3373-3390 cm⁻¹ attributed to the coordinated MeOH and H_2O in complexes 1-4, together with the slight blue-shift by 3-4 cm⁻¹ of ν (C=O) vibrations (1731-1732 cm⁻¹) of 1@PMMA, 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA than that (1728 cm⁻¹) of pure PMMA indicates that the two coordinated MeOH and H₂O should be replaced by carbonyl groups from PMMA through physical doping (also shown in Scheme 2). Especially based on the ¹H NMR spectrum (also shown in Figure 1) of anti-ferromagnetic 1@PMMA, the well combined and almost no shifted proton resonances of the coordinated benzimidazole-type ligand (L)⁻ and PMMA are unambiguously identical to those of complex 1 and PMMA, respectively. The results indicate that the series of complexes 1-4 with active allyl groups can not be copolymerized with MMA in presence of AIBN through the free-radical mechanism,¹⁶ probably due to the degradative chain transfer³⁰ of the

flexible allyl groups, from which lower reactivity of the resonance-stabilized monomeric

radical formed from those complex monomers than that of MMA leads much less tendency to initiate a new polymer chain. It is of special notice that the quantitative ¹H NMR analysis of **1@PMMA** further shows the distinctively lower molar ratio of 110:1 than the initial feed molar ratio (400:1) of MMA with **1**, which should be due to the loss of MMA from oligometric PMMA.

[Scheme 2 inserted here]

Published on 19 February 2015. Downloaded by Michigan State University on 24/02/2015 13:30:33

Assisted by the success of homo-polymerization of NBE or it derivatives³¹ and their copolymerization^{17,32} with many other C=C-containing (including ally groups) functional monomers using the typical ROMP in activation with Grubbs-type catalysts, the copolymerization of the series of Ln₃-arrayed complexes 1-4 containing four active allyl groups with NBE were carried out in absolute CHCl₃ at RT in activation with H-Grubbs II (also in Scheme 2). Undoubtedly, all the copolymerizations were complete after 72 h as expected, from which each of the benzimidazole-based Ln₃-arrayed unit with four functional allyl groups is covalently bonded to the PNBE polymeric backbone, thus the first example of metallopolymers based on the homo-trinuclear Ln₃-arrayed units is constructed to date. The isolated metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-1), Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) with Wolf Type II fashion³³ are also well characterized by FT-IR, ¹H NMR and GPC. In the FT-IR spectra, the characteristic absorptions of unsaturated C=C bonds from allyl groups of complexes 1-4 completely disappear. The ¹H NMR spectrum analysis of the anti-ferromagnetic Poly(NBE-1) (also shown in Figure 1) shows the combined proton resonances ($\delta = 13.17$ to 0.95 ppm) of the coordinated benzimidazole-type ligand (L)⁻ and NBE, where the proton resonances of new -CH=CH- within at 5.54 ppm and the terminal

-C=CH₂ at 4.90 and 4.79 ppm from NBE-ring-opening groups of **1**, together with those of the Z-mode -CH=CH- at 5.27 ppm and the *E*-mode -CH=CH- at 5.14 ppm from PNBE, are displayed, respectively. As shown in Table 2S, the number-average molecular weights (M_n) and polydispersity indexes (PDI = M_w/M_n) of the series of PNBE-supported grafting hybrid materials from different feeding molar ratios are in the range of 20020-25592 g/mol and 2.11-2.58, respectively, where those relatively lower molecular weights in the 10⁴ g/mol magnitude could endow the good solubility and the facilitated film-forming. Especially for the obtained hybrid materials **Poly(NBE-3)** from different feeding molar ratios (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 and 800:1) with NBE to **3**, there has an almost linear relationship between the molecular weights and the feeding molar ratios, suggesting a random-bonding while homogeneous distribution of **3** alone the polymeric backbone.³⁴

PXRD patterns of the two series of { $[Ln_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)] \cdot Cl$ }-containing doping and grafting hybrid materials (as shown in Figures 7a and 7b) show only amorphous peaks of PMMA and PNBE, respectively. It suggests that in the two kinds of hybrid materials with the good film-forming property, the Ln₃-arrayed benzimidazole-type complex unit is homogeneously distributed into the PMMA matrix with complicated weak interactions or the PNBE matrix with strong covalently-bonds between, respectively, especially on the condition of low concentrations. Moreover, TG analysis of the series of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials shows an increase of about 25 °C for the T_{onset} in comparison with the pure PMMA, as shown in Figure 8, and a relatively slight increase (15 °C) is observed for another series of PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials as compared with pure PNBE. However, the decomposition with maxima around much higher temperature interval (452-458 °C) for the PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials than that (366-372 °C) for the PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials is exhibited, and both are distinctively higher than that (278 °C) of the representative complex **3**. These observations further demonstrate that the thermal stabilities of the two kinds of hybrid materials are essentially improved by doping or grafting, and the metallopolymer-type hybrid materials are more stable in relative to those physical doping hybrid materials.

[Figures 7 and 8 inserted here]

Photophysical properties of the two series of hybrid materials 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA and Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) in solid state

Published on 19 February 2015. Downloaded by Michigan State University on 24/02/2015 13:30:33

For the two series of hybrid materials, their photophysical properties in solid state at RT or 77 K have been examined, and also summarized in Table 2 and Figures 9-12 and 2S. As shown in Figure 9, the DR spectra of both the PMMA-supported doping and PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials from complexes **2-4** exhibit the relatively broader absorption bands than those of complexes **2-4** in solution, where the absorptions at 212-214, 298-304 and 347-350 nm or 208-210, 222-224, 303-304 and 341-344 nm in the UV-visible region should be assigned to electronic transitions from the organic moieties of both the coordinated benzimidazole-type ligands and PMMA or PNBE, respectively. Due to the characteristic absorption bands of Eu³⁺, Tb³⁺ or Gd³⁺ ion commonly appearing above 1000 nm,³⁵ they are not discerned in the corresponding samples. Upon excitation of the chromophore's absorption band ($\lambda_{ex} = 346$ nm for **2@PMMA** or $\lambda_{ex} = 343$ nm for **4@PMMA**), both **2@PMMA** and **4@PMMA** in solid state also exhibit the ligand-based

Dalton Transactions

emissions shown in Figure 10, and **3@PMMA** also gives rise to the strong characteristic ligand-field splitting while also high color-purity green emissions (${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{J}$, J = 6, 5, 4, 3 shown in Figure 11a and a CIE coordinate of x = 0.302 and y = 0.598 shown in Figure 12) of Tb³⁺ ion. Especially for the solid **3@PMMA**, the lifetime value (τ = 291.50 μ s also shown in Figure 6) is found to distinctively increase in relative to that of complex **3** in solution, which should be assigned to the newly coupling interactions between -C=O of PMMA with the OH-containing oscillators around Tb³⁺ ions to suppress the multiphonon relaxation.³⁶ Similarly, for the PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid material **Poly(NBE-3)**, the ligand-centered emission is also completely quenched, and the stronger high color-purity green emission with a CIE coordinate of x = 0.301 and y = 0.600 (also shown in Figure 12) of Tb³⁺ ion is observed, as shown in Figure 11b.

[Figures 9-12 inserted here]

It is worth noting that the maximum excitation positions ($\lambda_{ex} = 383$ nm for **Poly(NBE-2**), $\lambda_{ex} = 395$ nm for **Poly(NBE-3**) or $\lambda_{ex} = 381$ nm for **Poly(NBE-4**)) for the PNBE-supported grafting hybrid materials in solid state are all distinctively red-shifted as compared to the solution spectra of complex monomers **2-4** or the solid spectra of the PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials. This result, together with the slight red-shift of the ligand-centered emission for **Poly(NBE-2**) or **Poly(NBE-4**), suggests the increased conjugation from the formation of covalently-bonded metallopolymers.³⁷ Moreover, the extension of the PNBE-based backbone for **Poly(NBE-3**), changes the ligand electric fields around the Tb³⁺ ions, leading to the further increase of lifetime value ($\tau = 451.04 \ \mu s$ also shown in Figure 6). From the viewpoint of energy transfer, the weak coupling interactions in **3@PMMA** or the strong covalently-bonding in **Poly(NBE-3)** probably could recombine the charge carrier at Tb³⁺-related trap site³⁵ in the corresponding polymer matrix, endowing the effective decrease of the ${}^{3}\pi$ - π * energy level (22222 cm⁻¹ or 21978 cm⁻¹) of the chromophore to strengthen the ligands-to-metal energy transfer. These results based on more suitable energy match (also shown in Figure 5) are well in accordance with the relatively larger quantum yields ($\Phi_{overall}$, 76% for **3@PMMA** or 83% for **Poly(NBE-3)**). Noticeably, the emission spectra of both **3@PMMA** ($\lambda_{ex} = 370$ nm) and **Poly(NBE-3)** ($\lambda_{ex} = 395$ nm) from the Tb₃-arrayed complex **3** at a variety of feeding molar ratios (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 and 800:1), exhibit the same well-defined emission peaks characteristic of the ${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{J}$ (J = 6, 5, 4, 3) transitions of Tb³⁺ ion, as shown in Figure 11. Although there has an almost linear relationship between the hyper-sensitive emission intensity and the feeding molar ratio, the concentration self-quenching³⁶ for the series of doping hybrid materials **3@PMMA** at 400:1 is effectively prevented from the formation of uniform grafting hybrid materials **Poly(NBE-3)**.

4. Conclusion

Published on 19 February 2015. Downloaded by Michigan State University on 24/02/2015 13:30:33

Through the self-assembly from the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand **HL** and $LnCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$, a series of homo-leptic Ln_3 -arrayed complexes { $[Ln_3(L)_4Cl_4(MeOH)(H_2O)] \cdot Cl$ } (Ln = La, 1; Ln = Eu, 2; Ln = Tb, 3 or Ln = Gd, 4) are obtained, respectively, in which energy transfer mechanism reveals the suitable energy level match for the Tb₃-arrayed complex **3** with efficient green luminescence ($\Phi_{overall} = 72\%$). Moreover, each of these complex monomers **1-4** cannot be copolymerized with MMA from the radical polymerization, while can be effectively copolymerized with NBE through ROMP, giving two kinds of

PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 1@PMMA, 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA and PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-1), Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) respectively. For both 3@PMMA and **Poly(NBE-3)** with high color-purity characteristic emissions of Tb^{3+} ion, the significantly improved physical properties, such as thermal stability, film-forming property and the luminescent property, are exhibited, and the covalently-bonding endows the higher-concentration (up to 400:1) self-quenching. This result suggests that these hybrid materials especially the metallopolymer-type hybrid materials can be used as green luminescent optical fibers for practical applications of high color-purity polymer-based OLEDs.

Supporting information

The synthesis and characterization of **PMMA** in activation with AIBN and **PNBE** in activation with H-Grubbs II in Supporting information; the selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) for $3 \cdot 2\text{MeOH} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and GPC data of the samples of **PMMA**, **PNBE** and the series of metallopolymers **Poly(NBE-1)**, **Poly(NBE-2)**, **Poly(NBE-3)** and **Poly(NBE-4)** in Tables 1-2S; Perspective drawing of the weak N1-H1…Cl5 H-bonding (3.045(2) Å) interaction between the host framework and the free Cl5 in complex $3 \cdot 2\text{MeOH} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and the visible emission and excitation spectra of complex **4** in MeCN solution at 1×10^{-5} M and the hybrid materials **4@PMMA** and **Poly(NBE-4)** with the feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state at 77 K in Figures 1-2S, respectively.

Acknowledgements

This work is funded by the National Natural Science Foundation (21373160, 91222201, 21173165), the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University from the Ministry of Education of China (NCET-10-0936), the research fund for the Doctoral Program (20116101110003) of Higher Education, the Science and Technology and Innovation Project (2012KTCQ01-37) of Shaanxi Province, Graduate Innovation and Creativity Fund (Visiting Learner) of Northwest University in P. R. of China.

References

- (a) J. Kido and Y. Okamoto, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 2357; (b) M. A. Katkova and M. N.
 Bochkarev, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 6599.
- 2 (a) S. Sivakumar, F. C. J. M. Van Veggel and M. Raudsepp, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 12464; (b) Q.-L. Dai, M. E. Foley, C. J. Breshike, A. Lita, S. Adrian and G. F. Strouse, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 15475; (c) M. M. Shang, C. X. Li and J. Lin, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 1372.
- 3 (a) F. Wang, Y. Han, C. S. Lim, Y. H. Lu, J. Wang, J. Xu, H. Y. Chen, C. Zhang, M. H. Hong and X. G. Liu, *Nature*, 2010, 463, 1061; (b) L. Armelao, S. Quici, F. Barigelletti, G. Accorsi, G. Bottaro, M. Cavazzini and E. Tondello, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2010, 254, 487; (c) S. Roy, A. Chakraborty and T. K. Maji, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2014, 273-274, 139; (d) T. W. Duan and B. Yan, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, 2, 5098.
- 4 (a) J.-C. G. Bünzli, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 2729; (b) X. H. Wang, H. B. Chang, J. Xie, B. Z.
 Zhao, B. T. Liu, S. L. Xu, W. B. Pei, N. Ren, L. Huang and W. Huang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*,

273-274, 201; (c) M. C. Heffem, L. M. Matosziuk and T. J. Meade, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 4496.

- 5 E. G. Moore, A. P. S. Samuel and K. N. Raymond, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 542.
- 6 P. A. Tanner and C.-K. Duan, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2010, 254, 3026.
- 7 J.-C. G. Bünzli and S. V. Eliseeva, in Springer Series on Fluorescence, Vol. 7, Lanthanide Spectroscopy, Materials, and Bio-applications, ed. P. Hänninen and H. Härmä, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 2010, vol. 7, ch. 2.
- 8 (a) B. Yan, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, 2, 9304; (b) L. D. Carlos, R. A. S. Ferreira, V. De Zea Bermudez, B. Julian-Lopez and P. Escribano, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, 40, 536.
- 9 K. Binnemans, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 4283.
- 10 J. Feng and H. J. Zhang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 387;
- 11 J. M. Stanley and B. J. Holliday, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2012, 256, 1520.
- 12 (a) J. Pei, X. L. Liu, W. L. Yu, Y. H. Lai, Y. H. Liu and R. Cao, *Macromolecules*, 2002, 35, 7274; (b) A. Balamurugan, M. L. P. Reddy and M. Jayakannan, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, 113, 14128.
- 13 A. Meyers, A. Kimyonok and M. Weck, Macromolecules, 2005, 38, 8671.
- 14 (a) Q. Ling, Y. Song, S. J. Ding, C. Zhu, D. S. H. Chan, D.-L. Kwong, E.-T. Kang and K.-G. Neoh, *Adv. Mater.*, 2005, 17, 455; (b) H. Xu, R. Zhu, P. Zhao and W. Huang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, 115, 15627.
- 15 X. Y. Chen, X. P. Yang and B. J. Holliday, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 1546.
- 16 (a) J. F. Li, F. Y. Song, L. Wang, J. M. Jiao, Y. X. Cheng and C. J. Zhu, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2012, **33**, 1268; (b) Z. Zhang, W. X. Feng, P. Y. Su, X. Q. Lü, J. R. Song, D. D.

Fan, W.-K. Wong, R. A. Jones and C. Y. Su, Inorg. Chem., 2014, 53, 5950; (c) Z. Zhang, W.

X. Feng, P. Y. Su, L. Liu, X. Q. Lü, J. R. Song, D. D. Fan, W.-K. Wong, R. A. Jones and C.

Y. Su, Synthe. Metals, 2015, 199, 128; (d) T. Z. Miao, W. X. Feng, Z. Zhang, P. Y. Su, X. Q.

- Lü, J. R. Song, D. D. Fan, W.-K. Wong, R. A. Jones and C.-Y. Su, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, 2839.
- 17 W. X. Feng, Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, P. Y. Su, X. Q. Lü, J. R. Song, D. D. Fan, W.-K. Wong, R.
 A. Jones and C. Y. Su, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, 2, 1489.
- 18 S. Comby and J. -C. G. Bünzli, in *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*, ed. Jr K. A. Gschneidner, J.-C. G. Bünzli and V. K. Pecharsky, Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2007, vol. 37, ch. 235.
- 19 (a) C. de Mello Donegá, S. Alves and G. F. De Sá Jr., *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 10, 1199; (b)
 J. C. de Mello, H. F. Wittmann and R. H. Friend, *Adv. Mater.*, 1997, 9, 230.
- 20 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97: Program for crystal structure refinement, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- 21 G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1996.

Published on 19 February 2015. Downloaded by Michigan State University on 24/02/2015 13:30:33

- 22 W. A. Braunecker and K. Matyjaszewski, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2007, 32, 93.
- 23 X. P. Yang, R. A. Jones, M. M. Oye, M. J. Wiester and R. J. Lai, *New. J. Chem.*, 2011, **35**, 310.
- 24 X. P. Yang, R. A. Jones, M. J. Wiester, M. M. Oye and W.-K. Wong, *Cryst. Growth & Des.*, 2010, **10**, 970.
- 25 W. T. Carnall, P. R. Fields and K. Rajnak, J. Chem. Phys., 1968, 49, 4443.
- 26 F. J. Steemers, W. Verboom, D. N. Reinhoudt, E. B. van der Tol and J. W. Verhoeven, J.

Am. Chem, Soc., 1995, 117, 9408.

- 27 M. Latva, H. Takalo, V. M. Mukkala, C. Matachescu, J. C. Rodriguez-Ubis and J. Kanakare, *J. Lumin.*, 1997, **75**, 149.
- 28 L. J. Xu, G. T. Xu and Z. N. Chen, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2014, 273-274, 47.
- (a) K. Lunstroot, K. Driesen, P. Nockemann, L. Viau, P. H. Mutin, A. Viouxb and L. Binnemans, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 1879; (b) W. Q. Fan, J. Feng, S. Y. Song, Y. Q. Lei, G. L. Zheng and H. J. Zhang, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 1903; (c) S. Sivakumar and M. L. P. Reddy, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 10852; (d) S. Biju, Y. K. Eom, J.-C. G. Bünzli and H. K. Kim, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, **1**, 6935.
- 30 S. Inoue, T. Kumagai, H. Tamezawa, H. Aota, A. Matsumoto, K. Yokoyama, Y. Matoba and M. Shibano, *J. Polym. Sci. A*, 2011, **49**, 156.
- 31 W. J. Sommer and M. Weck, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2007, 151, 860.
- 32 S. K. Yang, A. V. Ambade and M. Weck, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 129.
- 33 M. O. Wolf, Adv. Mater., 2001, 13, 545.
- 34 P. Gumbley, D. Koylu and S. W. Thomas, Macromolecules, 2011, 44, 7956.
- 35 F. Mercier, C. Alliot, L. Bion, N. Thromat and P. Toulhoat, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom., 2006, 150, 21.
- 36 H. X. Huang, J. Liu and Y. Q. Cai, J. Lumin., 2013, 143, 447.
- 37 J. Feng, J. B. Yu, S. Y. Song, L. N. Sun, W. Q. Fan, X. M. Guo, S. Dang and H. J. Zhang, *Dalton Tran.*, 2009, 2406.
- 38 P. Martin-Ramos, V. Lavín, M. Ramos Silva, I. R. Martín, F. Lahoz, P. Chamorro-Posada, J.
 A. Paixão and J. Martín-Gil, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, 1, 5701.

39 L. D. Carlos, R. A. S. Ferreira, V. de Z. Bermudez, B. Julian-Lopez and P. Escribano,

Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 536.

Compound	3 ·2MeOH·4H ₂ O
Empirical formula	C ₇₁ H ₈₂ Cl ₅ N ₈ O ₁₆ Tb ₃
Formula weight	1957.46
Crystal size/mm	$0.26 \times 0.23 \times 0.21$
T/K	296(2)
λ/Å	0.71073
Crystal system	Triclinic
Space group	P-1
a/Å	16.089(15)
b/Å	17.155(17)
c/Å	18.684(17)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$	71.523(16)
$eta /^{\circ}$	80.787(19)
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	62.199(15)
$V/\text{\AA}^3$	4326(7)
Ζ	2
$\rho/\mathrm{g\cdot cm}^{-3}$	1.503
μ/mm^{-1}	2.641
<i>F</i> (000)	1944
Data/restraints/parameters	15042/53/908
Quality-of-fit indicator	0.976
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0975$
	$_{W}R_{2} = 0.2480$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.1798$
	$_{W}R_{2} = 0.3223$

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for complex 3.2MeOH $\cdot4$ H₂O

Dalton Transactions

Table 2 The photophysical properties of the ligand **HL** and its complexes **2-4** at 1×10⁻⁵ M in absolute MeCN solution, the PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials **2@PMMA**, **3@PMMA** and **4@PMMA**, the PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials **Poly(NBE-2)**, **Poly(NBE-3)** and **Poly(NBE-4)** from the same feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state at RT or 77 K

Compound	Absorption	Excitation	Emission		
	$\lambda_{ab}/nm [log(\epsilon/dm^3mol^{-1}cm^{-1})]$	λ_{ex}/nm	λ_{em}/nm	τ	Φ
HL	228 (0.57), 300 (0.53), 324(0.36)	326	405(s)	1.67 ns	_ ^a
2 ($Ln^{3+} = Eu^{3+}$)	226(1.55), 298(0.97), 330(0.59)	272, 317, 359	428(w)	< 1 ns	< 10 ⁻⁵
3 ($Ln^{3+} = Tb^{3+}$)	226(1.61), 296(0.95), 332(0.58)	273, 346	488, 543, 583, 622	104.54 <i>µ</i> s	0.72
$4 (Ln^{3+} = Gd^{3+})$	224(1.75), 300(1.00), 330(0.61)	274, 317, 359	426(m)	1.51 ns	_a
		272, 318, 359	433(s)	29.4 µs (77 K)	_a
2@PMMA	212, 304, 347	282(sh), 346	430(w)	_ ^a	_a
3@PMMA	214, 298, 350	303, 370	492, 548, 588, 623	291.50 µs	0.76
4@PMMA	212, 304, 348	281(sh), 343	426(m)	1.38 ns	_ ^a
		304, 361	450(s)	89.0 µs (77 K)	_ ^a
Poly(NBE-2)	208, 222, 304, 341	320, 383	443(w)	_a	_a
Poly(NBE-3)	210, 223, 304, 342	325, 395	491, 547, 586, 624	451.04 μs	0.83
Poly(NBE-4)	209, 224, 303, 344	318, 381	440(m)	0.92 ns	_a
		317, 383	455(s)	174.8 µs (77 K)	_a

Published on 19 February 2015. Downloaded by Michigan State University on 24/02/2015 13:30:33.

^aThe lifetime or the quantum yield of the emission is too weak or unnecessary to be determined.

Captions to Schemes and Figures

Scheme 1 Reaction scheme for the synthesis of the diallyl-modified Salen-type Schiff-base precursor H_2L^0 , the allyl-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL and its series of homo-leptic Ln₃-arrayed complexes 1-4.

Scheme 2 Reaction scheme for the synthesis of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 1@PMMA, 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA and PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-1), Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4).

Figure 1 ¹H NMR spectra for PMMA, PNBE, 1, 1@PMMA and Poly(NBE-1) from the feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in DMSO- δ_6 and/or CDCl₃ at RT.

Figure 2 Perspective drawing of the cationic part in complex $3 \cdot 2MeOH \cdot 4H_2O$; H atoms, free anion Cl⁻ and solvates are omitted for clarity.

Figure 3 UV-visible absorption spectra of the ally-modified benzimidazole-type ligand HL and its Ln_3 -arrayed complexes 2-4 in MeCN solution at 1×10^{-5} M at RT.

Figure 4 Visible emission and excitation spectra of the ligand HL and its complexes 2-4 in MeCN solution at 1×10^{-5} M at RT.

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript

Figure 5 Schematic energy level diagram and energy transfer process of Eu^{3+} or Tb^{3+} for complex **2-3** in solution and the PMMA-supported doping or PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials based on complexes **2-3** with the same feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state.

Figure 6 Luminescence decay profiles for complex 3 in solution and the hybrid materials 3@PMMA and Poly(NBE-3) from the same feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state with emission monitored at approximate 545 nm.

Figure 7 PXRD patterns of PMMA and its series of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA (7a), PNBE and its series of PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) (7b) from the same feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state.

Figure 8 TG curves of complex 3, PMMA, PNBE, and the two series of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA and PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) from the same feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state.

Figure 9 DR spectra of the two series of PMMA-supported doping hybrid materials 2@PMMA, 3@PMMA and 4@PMMA and PNBE-supported metallopolymer-type hybrid materials Poly(NBE-2), Poly(NBE-3) and Poly(NBE-4) from the same feeding molar ratio of

400:1 in solid state.

Figure 10 Visible emission and excitation spectra of PMMA@2 and PMMA@4, or Poly(NBE-2) and Poly(NBE-4) with the feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state at RT.

Figure 11 Emission and excitation spectra of the hybrid materials 3@PMMA (11a) or Poly(NBE-3) (11b) from different feeding molar ratios (200:1, 400:1, 600:1 and 800:1) in solid state at RT.

Figure 12 CIE chromaticity graphs with the same color coordinate (x = 0.30, y = 0.60) for the emissions of **3@PMMA** and **Poly(NBE-3)** with the feeding molar ratio of 400:1 in solid state.

Scheme 1



Scheme 2



Figure 1



Figure 2







Figure 4







Figure 6







Figure 8



Figure 9

Figure 10

Figure 12

Table of content

In the obtained Tb^{3+} -based efficient ($\Phi_{\text{overall}} = 76-83\%$) and high color-purity green luminescent **3@PMMA** from doping and metallopolymer-type **Poly(NBE-3)**, the grafting endows the higher-concentration self-quenching as compared to the physical doping.

