Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

PAPER

Check for updates

Received 19th September 2019,

Accepted 7th October 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ob02045d

Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2019, 17, 9348

Cu-Catalysed oxidative amidation of cinnamic acids/arylacetic acids with 2° amines: an efficient synthesis of α -ketoamides[†]

Anup Kumar Sharma, Anjali Jaiswal and Krishna Nand Singh 🕩 *

A new and convenient copper-catalysed synthesis of α -ketoamides has been accomplished using readily available cinnamic acids/arylacetic acids and 2° amines in an open atmosphere. The reaction between cinnamic acid and amine involves the formation of enamine followed by its aerobic oxidation, whereas the reaction of arylacetic acid with amine involves amide formation followed by benzylic methylene oxidation.

Introduction

rsc.li/obc

 α -Ketoamides are key structural motifs of a variety of natural products, bio-active molecules and pharmaceuticals such as anti-HIV, anti-tumor, anti-IBD, anti-bacterial, immunosuppressant and enzyme inhibitors.¹ They also act as valuable intermediates and synthons in a number of functional group transformations and total synthesis. Due to their potential significance, several methods have been explored for the synthesis of α -ketoamides using transition-metal catalysts based on Pd, Cu, Ag, Au, and Fe, as well as metal-free catalysts, especially iodine based.^{2,3}

The synthesis of α -ketoamide scaffolds has been accomplished using diverse substrates such as ethylarenes, terminal alkenes, terminal alkynes, aryl methyl ketones, aryl acetaldehydes, 2-oxo aldehydes, 1-arylethanols, 2-arylethanols, 2-oxo alcohols, and phenylglyoxylic acids.⁴ MW/UV-assisted oxidative synthesis of α -ketoamides is also reported using acetophenones and secondary amines.⁵ Among various catalytic methods of α -ketoamide formation, the strategies involving oxidative amidation⁴ and double aminocarbonylation⁶ have received much more attention using carbon monoxide (CO) as a direct source of carbonyl functionality, and molecular oxygen (O₂)/air as a terminal oxidant and/or reactant (Scheme 1).

Decarboxylative reactions have recently cropped up as a powerful method to form carbon–carbon or carbon–heteroatom bonds in organic synthesis.⁷ Carboxylic acids are stable, nontoxic, easy to store/handle, readily available at low costs in great structural diversity, and far less air and moisture sensitive in comparison with typical cross-coupling organometallic

Department of Chemistry, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University,

reagents. Zhao *et al.* have described a metal-free oxidative aryl migration and C–C bond cleavage for the synthesis of α -ketoamides from acrylic derivatives, whereas Liu *et al.* have reported a carbon degradation-based amidation to form pyridyl benzamides using phenylacetic acids and 2-aminopyridine.⁸ Therefore, the development of fresh strategies for the synthesis of α -ketoamides employing easily available materials is in high demand. In view of the above, and as part of our ongoing research on decarboxylative coupling reactions,⁹ we describe herein a new and efficient one-pot approach to α -ketoamides by the reaction of cinnamic/arylacetic acids with secondary amines under aerobic conditions (Scheme 1).

Previous approach:



Our approach: Decarboxylative oxidative amidation/oxidative amidation



Scheme 1 Previous reports and the present strategy.

View Article Online

Varanasi 221005, India. E-mail: knsingh@bhu.ac.in, knsinghbhu@yahoo.co.in †Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ c9ob02045d

Results and discussion

In order to optimize the reaction conditions, a model reaction employing cinnamic acid (1a) and morpholine (2a) was investigated in detail by varying different parameters such as catalyst, solvent, oxidant, base and temperature (Table 1).

The reaction commenced with 40 mol% Cu(OAc)₂ in DMSO in an open air atmosphere at 110 °C for 24 h, which gave rise to the product 1-morpholino-2-phenylethane-1,2-dione (3a) in 61% yield (entry 1). Upon changing the solvent from DMSO to DMF, 1,4-dioxane, p-xylene, toluene, ethanol, CH₃CN and PEG-600 (entries 2-8), only p-xylene could make some enhancement in the product yield (64%, entry 4). Notably the reaction remained completely futile in the absence of the catalyst (entry 9). Thereafter, the use of some other Cu salts such as CuI, CuBr, CuCl₂ and Cu(OTf)₂ was tried (entries 10-13), but none of them could surpass the efficacy of $Cu(OAc)_2$. The combination of Cu(OAc)₂ with other oxidants such as K₂S₂O₈ and TBHP also staved worthless (entries 14 & 15). The role of base was also found to be insignificant with no increase in the product yield (entry 16), while replacing $Cu(OAc)_2$ by Ag_2CO_3 in the reaction rather decreased the product yield considerably (entry 17). The $Cu(OAc)_2/Ag_2CO_3$ combination also could not improve the product yield further (entry 18). The use of I_2 and DIB in combination with TBHP in acetonitrile at 80 °C also

Table 1	Optimization of the reaction conditions ^a			
la	* (catalyst, solvent	, oxidant , temp.	O N 3a
Entry	Catalyst	Oxidant	Solvent	$\operatorname{Yield}^{b}(\%)$
1	$Cu(OAc)_2$	Air	DMSO	61
2	$Cu(OAc)_2$	Air	DMF	54
3	$Cu(OAc)_2$	Air	1,4-Dioxane	Trace
4	$Cu(OAc)_2$	Air	<i>p</i> -Xylene	64
5	$Cu(OAc)_2$	Air	Toluene	62
6	$Cu(OAc)_2$	Air	EtOH	20^{c}
7	$Cu(OAc)_2$	Air	CH ₃ CN	32^c
8	$Cu(OAc)_2$	Air	PEG-600	28
9		Air	<i>p</i> -Xylene	Trace
10	CuI	Air	<i>p</i> -Xylene	35
11	CuBr	Air	<i>p</i> -Xylene	43
12	CuCl ₂	Air	<i>p</i> -Xylene	48
13	$Cu(OTf)_2$	Air	<i>p</i> -Xylene	28
14	$Cu(OAc)_2$	$K_2S_2O_8$	<i>p</i> -Xylene	Trace
15	$Cu(OAc)_2$	TBHP	<i>p</i> -Xylene	15
16	$Cu(OAc)_2$	Air	<i>p</i> -Xylene	$54^{d}, 15^{e}$
17	Ag_2CO_3	Air	<i>p</i> -Xylene	21
18	$Cu(OAc)_2/Ag_2CO_3$	Air	<i>p</i> -Xylene	64
19	I ₂	TBHP	CH ₃ CN	21^c
20	DIB	TBHP	CH ₃ CN	23 ^c
21	$Cu(OAc)_2$	Air	<i>p</i> -Xylene	37^c , 63^f , 61^g ,
				$58^{h}, 64^{i,j}$

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **1a** (1.0 mmol), **2a** (2.0 mmol), catalyst (40 mol%), oxidant (2.0 equiv.), solvent (1.0 mL), 110 °C, 24 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield after column chromatography. ^{*c*} At 80 °C. ^{*d*} Using K₂CO₃. ^{*e*} Using KOtBu. ^{*f*} At 130 °C. ^{*g*} Catalyst (20 mol%). ^{*h*} **2a** (1.0 mmol). ^{*i*} **2a** (4.0 mmol). ^{*j*} Under O₂.

Under the optimized set of conditions, the scope and versatility of the reaction were then thoroughly examined using different sets of cinnamic acids/arylacetic acids and amines having various substitution patterns, which readily delivered the desired products **3a-t** in moderate to good yields (Table 2).

Unsubstituted as well as substituted cinnamic acids bearing both electron-donating (OMe and Me) and electronwithdrawing substituents (Cl, F, Br, and NO₂) on the aromatic ring smoothly underwent the reaction to afford the α -ketoamides (3). The position of the substituent on the aromatic ring was noticed to have some effect on the product yield. *ortho*-Substitution provided somewhat inferior product yield as compared to *meta*- and *para*-substitution, which may be attributed to the steric effect (**3a–f**). To expand the adaptability of the reaction, investigations were also carried out using a number of arylacetic acids *viz*. phenylacetic acid, 3-methoxy-

 Table 2
 Scope of the reaction^{a,b}



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **1** (1.0 mmol), **2** (2.0 mmol), Cu(OAc)₂ (40 mol%), *p*-xylene (1.0 mL), 110 °C, 24 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield after column chromatography. ^{*c*} Using arylacetic acids.

Paper

phenylacetic acid, 2-methylphenylacetic acid, and 3-thiopheneacetic acid, which underwent transformation adequately to give the products in good yields (3a-3c, 3g). To increase the usefulness of the reaction, some other secondary amines such as piperidine, pyrrolidine, diethylamine and dipropylamine were also made to react with different cinnamic acids, which led to the formation of products 3h-3t in fairly good yields. Piperidine and pyrrolidine gave better yields as compared to diethylamine and dipropylamine, which is perhaps controlled by the basicity and steric nature of the amines. In place of secondary amines, the reaction was also carried using primary amines such as benzylamine and 2-aminopyridine with cinnamic acid, which however furnished only a trace amount of the desired product, with a good yield of α,β -unsaturated amides. Furthermore, the reaction of primary amines with arylacetic acids led to one carbon degraded amides as the only isolable product, which is in close conformity with the observation of Liu's group.^{8b}

To demonstrate the synthetic utility of the method, a gram scale reaction using 1.184 g of **1a** (8 mmol) with 1.5 mL of morpholine was carried out under the standard conditions (Scheme 2), which led to the formation of the desired product 1-morpholino-2-phenylethane-1,2-dione (**3a**) in 51% (0.893 g) yield.

To gain insight into the reaction mechanism, various control experiments were carried out (Scheme 3). The standard reaction in the presence of radical scavengers such as TEMPO and BHT was fully suppressed implying a radical pathway (Scheme 3, eqn (1)). The reaction also remained futile when carried out under an N_2 atmosphere (Scheme 3, eqn (1)), which implicates the role of atmospheric oxygen in the reaction. In order to ascertain the intermediacy of (E)-1-morpholino-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (A), the reaction of the proposed intermediate A was separately carried out under the standard conditions, which did not afford the product at all (eqn (2)) thereby excluding the possibility of intermediate A. Assuming the involvement of phenylglyoxal (B) as an intermediate, a control experiment involving B with 2a under established conditions was also carried out which gave rise to the product in 89% yield (eqn (3)). An additional experiment involving 1'a and 2a in the presence of Cu(OAc)2 was also performed under an N2 atmosphere to afford the corresponding amide C (eqn (4)). The isolated amide C, when made to react under the standard conditions, also gave rise to the desired product 3a.

Based on control experiments, isolation of products, and the existing literature, 3,4,8,9 a plausible mechanism is outlined in Scheme 4. The reaction of cinnamic acid (1a) in the presence of 2a and Cu(II) is assumed to pass through copper cinna-



Scheme 2 Gram scale synthesis of α-ketoamide 3a



Scheme 3 Control experiments.



Scheme 4 Plausible mechanism.

mate to give the enamine, which on subsequent reaction with Cu/O_2 forms aminodioxetane. The O–O bond cleavage of the aminodioxetane then leads to the formation of aryl glyoxal.

The reaction of the amine 2a with aryl glyoxal affords a hemiaminal intermediate which is then oxidized by Cu/O₂ to produce product 3a. Conversely, phenylacetic acid (1'a) reacts with 2a in the presence of a copper catalyst to form the amide first followed by copper catalysed aerobic oxidation of the benzylic methylene to afford product 3a.

Conclusions

In summary, a new and simple method for the synthesis of α -ketoamides has been developed *via* oxidative amidation of bench stable and readily available cinnamic acids/arylacetic acids with 2° amines in an open atmosphere in the presence of Cu(OAc)₂. The strategy involves *in situ* decarboxylation as well as oxidative amidation of cinnamic acids. The methodology is versatile and provides a useful alternative to access α -ketoamides.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to the SERB, New Delhi for financial support (file no. EMR/2016/000750). One of the authors (AKS) thanks the UGC, New Delhi for a Senior Research Fellowship.

Notes and references

- (a) S. Alvarez, R. Alvarez, H. Khanwalkar, P. Germain, G. Lemaire, F. Rodriguez-Barrios, H. Gronemeyer and A. R. D. Lera, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2009, 17, 4345;
 (b) T. D. Ocain and D. H. Rich, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1992, 35, 451;
 (c) A. Chiou, T. Markidis, V. Constantinou-Kokotou, R. Verger and G. Kokotos, *Org. Lett.*, 2000, 2, 347.
- 2 (a) D. Tomita, K. Yamatsugu, M. Kanai and M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 6946; (b) L. Yin, M. Kanai and M. Shibasaki, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 7620; (c) Z. Zhang, Q. Zhang, Z. Ni and Q. Liu, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 1269; (d) K. K. S. Sai, P. M. Esteves, E. T. d. Penha and D. A. Klumpp, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 6506.
- 3 D. Kumar, S. R. Vemula and G. R. Cook, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, 6, 4920.
- 4 (a) C. Zhang and N. Jiao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 28;
 (b) R. Deshidi, M. Kumar, S. Devari and B. A. Shah, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 9533; (c) M. Kumar, S. Devari, A. Kumar, S. Sultan, Q. N. Ahmed, M. Rizvi and B. A. Shah, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2015, 4, 438; (d) F. T. Du and J. X. Ji, Chem.

Sci., 2012, 3, 460; (e) C. Zhang, Z. J. Xu, L. R. Zhang and N. Jiao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 11088, (Angew. Chem., 2011, 123, 11284); (f) N. Mupparapu, S. Khan, S. Battula, M. Kushwaha, A. P. Gupta, Q. N. Ahmed and R. A. Vishwakarma, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 1152; (g) C. K. Liu, Z. Fang, Z. Yang, Q. W. Li, S. Guo and K. Guo, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 25167; (h) C. K. Liu, Y. Z. Yang, S. Y. Guo, Y. Zeng, N. Zhu, X. Li, Z. Fang and K. Guo, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 8570; (i) S. S. Kotha, S. Chandrasekar, S. Sahu and G. Sekar, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 7451; (j) C. Liu, Z. Yang, Y. Zeng, Z. Fang and K. Guo, Org. Chem. Front., 2017, 4, 2375; (k) F. Liu, K. Zhang, Y. Liu, S. Chen, Y. Chen, D. Zhang, C. Lin and B. Wang, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 7158; (1) M. Ramanathan, C. K. Kuo and S. T. Liu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 11446; (m) S. Dutta, S. S. Kotha and G. Sekar, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 47265.

- 5 (a) J. Li, S. He, K. Zhang, Z. Quan, Q. Shan, Z. Sun and B. Wang, *ChemCatChem*, 2018, **10**, 4854; (b) S. Nekkanti, K. Veeramani, N. P. Kumar and N. Shankaraiah, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 3439.
- 6 (a) Y. Wang, X. Yang, C. Zhang, J. Yu, J. Liu and C. Xia, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 2539; (b) J. Liu, R. Zhang, S. Wang, W. Sun and C. Xia, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 1321; (c) H. Urata, Y. Ishii and T. Fuchikami, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 4407; (d) R. M. B. Carrilho, M. M. Pereira, A. Takacs and L. Kollar, Tetrahedron, 2012, 68, 204.
- 7 (a) A. J. Borah and G. Yan, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 8094; (b) J. D. Weaver, A. Recio III, A. J. Grenning and J. A. Tunge, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1846; (c) N. Rodríguez and L. J. Goossen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 5030; (d) P. Liu, G. Zhang and P. Sun, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 10763; (e) T. Satoh and M. Miura, Synthesis, 2010, 20, 3395.
- 8 (a) L. Liu, L. Du, D. Z. Negrerie, Y. Du and K. Zhao, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 5772; (b) L. Deng, B. Huang and Y. Liu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2018, 16, 1552.
- 9 (a) S. Kumar, R. Singh and K. N. Singh, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2018, 360, 422; (b) A. K. Sharma, P. Kumar, R. K. Vishwakarma and K. N. Singh, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2018, 7, 688; (c) T. Guntreddi, R. Vanjari and K. N. Singh, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 976; (d) T. Guntreddi, R. Vanjari and K. N. Singh, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3624; (e) R. Singh, B. K. Allam, N. Singh, K. Kumari, S. K. Singh and K. N. Singh, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 2656.