Full Papers

Removal of Heavy Metals from Organic Reaction Mixtures: Preparation and Application of Functionalized Resins¹

Damien Barbaras,^{†,‡} Jörg Brozio,[‡] Ib Johannsen,[§] and Thomas Allmendinger*,[‡]

Novartis Pharma AG, Technical Research and Development, CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland, and University of Southern Denmark, Department of Physics and Chemistry, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark

Abstract:

Using a toolbox, sulfur and amine ligands are attached to a variety of hydrophobic and hydrophilic resins, and the combinations were tested for the removal of heavy metals from a number of products, prepared by metal-catalyzed reactions. As a result, cheap combinations of silica resins and simple polyamines proved to be among the most effective metal scavengers particularly in apolar solvents such as cyclohexane. Expensive cyclic polyamines are not suitable, owing to kinetic retardation of complexation. Functionalized PEGbased polymers, originally designed for solid phase synthesis, show promising performance as metal scavengers. The results are discussed and compared to alternative approaches for purification such as salt-formation and chemical downstream transformation.

Introduction

Metal-organic chemistry is a cornerstone in synthesis. In addition to the stoichiometric use of Mg and Li reagents, the use of heavy metal catalysts is of utmost importance e.g. in *Heck* and cross-coupling reactions whose application has reached industrial scale.² The removal of toxic heavy metals needs to be assured for drug substances at the ppm level which has sometimes proved difficult. Several techniques to purge product streams and effluents from metal contamination have been already reviewed^{3,4} and have been divided into (1) extraction and precipitation treatments and (2) solid phase treatments;⁵ a screening system for the latter method was

* To whom correspondence should be addressed: Telephone: +41 (0)61 696 82 25. Fax: +41 (0)61 696 27 11. E-mail: thomas.allmendinger@novartis.com.

- (2) (a) King, A. O.; Yasuda, N. Top. Organometal. Chem. 2004, 6, 205–245. (b) Blaser, H. U.; Schmidt, E. Asymmetric Catalysis on Industrial Scale: Challenges, Approaches and Solutions; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2004. (c) Schlummer, B.; Scholz, U. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1599–1626.
- (3) Garrett, C. E.; Prasad, K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 889-900.

(5) Rosso, V. W.; Lust, D. A.; Bernot, P. J.; Grosso, J. A.; Modi, S. P.; Rusowicz, A.; Sedergran, T. C.; Simpson, J. H.; Srivastava, S. K.; Humora, M. J.; Anderson, N. G. Org. Process Res. Dev. 1997, 1, 311–314.

1068 • Vol. 13, No. 6, 2009 / Organic Process Research & Development Published on Web 10/20/2009

described recently.⁶ Faced with similar problems during drug development, we set up a toolbox of functionalized resins and tested it on a number of heavy metal-contaminated products and reaction mixtures.

Choice and Preparation of Resins

The use of functionalized resins offers a simple suspension—filtration sequence for the purification of metal-contaminated product solutions.⁷ The design of such resins has some degree of freedom: (a) the nature of the solid support, (b) the linker or spacer, (c) the complexing agent or ligand, and finally (d) the loading of the resin. All of these factors may contribute to the properties of the final resin. Thus, a toolbox or construction kit was designed as shown in Table 1. We chose silica gel (S), cross-linked polystyrene (P), and cross-linked polyethyleneg-lycol (O) resins as support; the linkages to the ligands (\mathbf{a} – \mathbf{n}) were made direct (1) or via methyl-, propyl-, and glycidox-ypropyl-spacers (2), (3), and (4), respectively.⁸

For the preparation of silica-based resins, three approaches were applied:

- (a) Activation of silica with thionyl chloride to give chloro-silica followed by nucleophilic substitution⁹ (Table 2). Whereas polyamines react smoothly to obtain the corresponding silica gels with useful loading (S1f, S1g, and S1l, 0.4–1.0 mmol/g), sulfur nucleophiles lead to resins S1b and S1e containing less than 0.05 mmol/g of the ligand.
- (b) Silanation of (3-bromopropyl)trimethoxysilane or (3bromopropyl)trichlorosilane¹⁰ followed by nucleophilic substitution (Table 3).

- (9) (a) Firouzabadi, H.; Iranpoor, N.; Karimi, B.; Hazarkhani, H. Synlett
 2000, 263–265. (b) Zolfigol, M. A.; Madrakian, T.; Ghaemi, E.; Afkhami, A. Green Chem. 2002, 4, 611–614.
- (10) Rosenberg, E. US 5.695.882, 1997.

[†]Current address: Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) Zürich, Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland.

^{*} Novartis Pharma AG.

[§] University of Southern Denmark.

Part of D.B.'s master thesis at the École Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Mulhouse, France.

⁽⁴⁾ Mendonca, A. Power of Functional Resins in Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2008.

⁽⁶⁾ Welch, C. J.; Albaneze-Walker, J.; Leonard, W. R.; Biba, M.; DaSilva, J.; Henderson, D.; Laing, B.; Mathre, D. J.; Spencer, S.; Bu, X.; Wang, T. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2005, 9, 198–205.

⁽⁷⁾ The sometimes low solubility of aromatic compounds makes this approach inefficient. For a recent successful alternative approach based on the reslurry of the metal-contaminated compound in the presence of soluble scavengers, see: Flahive, E. J.; Ewanicki, B. L.; Sach, N. W.; O'Neill-Slawecki, S. A.; Stankovic, N. S.; Yu, S.; Guinness, S. M.; Dunn, J. Org. Process. Res. Dev. 2008, 12, 637–645.

⁽⁸⁾ For details, see the experimental section.

Table 1. Con	struction kit	for fun	ctionalized	resins
--------------	---------------	---------	-------------	--------



Table 2. Activation and functionalization of silica to S1x

	$\xrightarrow[80°C, 48h]{OO} \xrightarrow[O]{OO} CI \xrightarrow[OO]{OO} CI \qquad HNu, bas solvent, \Delta, A$	te 48h −O O-Si O Nu
Resin #	Structure	Loading [mmol/g]
S1b	-0-Si -0'Si -0'SH	0.04
S1e	SH -O-Si) S N N SH N SH SH SH SH SH SH SH SH SH SH	0.03
S1f	O-Si)N OH	0.91
$S1g_1 (n=1)$ $S1g_2 (n=2)$ $S1g_3 (n=3)$ $S1g_4 (n=4)$	$ \begin{array}{c} \hline - O \\ \hline - O \\ - O \\ \hline - O \\ \hline \end{array} \\ H \\$	0.70 0.90 0.66 0.57
S1I	$\begin{pmatrix} -0\\ -0-\dot{S}i\\ -0 \end{pmatrix}$ H $\begin{pmatrix} -0\\ -3 \end{pmatrix}$ H H_2	0.40

(c) Nucleophilic epoxide opening of (3-glycidoxypropyl)trimethoxysilane¹¹ followed by silanation onto silica gel (Table 4). The latter two methods also make sulfur-based scavengers such as S4b, S4d, S4e easily accessible.

Polysiloxane-based resins functionalized with thiourea are commercially available as Deloxan THP II (loading: $\geq 0.3-0.5$

Table 3. Silanation and nucleophilic substitution of (3-bromopropyl)trimethoxysilane or (3-bromopropyl)-trichlorosilane to S3x

(MeO) ₃ Si B or Cl ₃ Si B	r 1. activated SiO ₂ heptane, r.t., 20h 2. HNu, base r solvent, Δ, 20h	O'-SilNu
Resin #	Structure	Loading [mmol/g]
S3g ₂ (n=2)		0.89
S3m		0.26
Deloxan [®] THP II « S3c »		0.30-0.50
	<u> </u>	

mmol/g) from Evonik–Degussa¹² and can be numbered in our system as **S3c** (see Table 3, last entry).

A great variety of functionalized polystyrenes are already commercially available; e.g. Matrix Innovation¹³ offer a metal scavenger kit containing resins carrying thiol, diethylenetriamine, phenanthrene, imidazole, and anthranilic acid as ligands (see Table 5, **P2a**, **P2g**₁, **P1h**, **P2i**, **P2j**), and, of course, the well-known Amberlite resins are designed for various extraction purposes.¹⁴ Two more resins have also been prepared (Table

⁽¹¹⁾ Bruening, R. L.; Tarbet, B. J.; Izatt, R. M.; Bradshaw, J. S. U.S. Patent 5.190.661, 1993.

^{(12) (}a) Woods, J. B.; Spears, R.; Krauter, J.; McCarthy, T.; Murphy, M.; Hord, L.; Doorley, P.; Chen, B. *Chem. Ind. (Boca Raton, FL, U.S.)* 2007, *115*, 493–499. (b) See entries for "Deloxan" at http://www.finechemicals.de.

⁽¹³⁾ Matrix innovation: http://www.matrix-innovation.com; other companies now offer similar kits, see: http://www.silicycle.com; http://www. reaxa.com; http://www.jmcatalysts.com/pharma/index.asp (Smopex); http://www.phosphonics.com; http://www.polymerlabs.com.

Table 4. Nucleophilic epoxide opening and silanation of (3-glycidoxypropyl)trimethoxysilane to S4x



5): The known polystyrene-bound trimercapto-triazine (PS-TMT: **P2e**) is accessible from Merrifield resin (chloromethyl polystyrene) by means of nucleophilic substitution.¹⁵ The expensive DOTA (1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecan-N,N',N'',N'''-tetra-acetic acid), as another example of a macrocyclic polyamine, was attached to aminomethyl polystyrene by means of a peptide coupling reaction¹⁶ to form **P2n**.

Highly hydrophilic polyether resins were introduced by Meldal for solid phase synthesis.¹⁷ These super permeable organic combinatorial chemistry (SPOCC) resins (marketed as Versabeads O) were further functionalized to resin $O3g_1$ (Scheme 1). Furthermore, because of their high N content, polyvinyl amines cross-linked with PEG-diglycidyl-ethers appeared very interesting.¹⁸ For test purposes a sample of (5), V-LE400 PG2229, was included in a preliminary study.

Test Cases

To test the resin–spacer–ligand combinations described above we used real products and reaction mixtures from metalcatalyzed reactions. Table 6 summarizes these test cases. Entries 1 and 2 depict reactions in the synthesis of SPP100 (Aliskiren),¹⁹ a renin inhibitor recently launched for the treatment of hypertension.²⁰ The *Kumada* coupling (entry 1) to form **T1** uses nickel catalysts; nickel salts end up in the aqueous waste after workup. The drug substance base **T2** of Aliskiren is formed by catalyzed hydrogenation of an azide (entry 2) and may sometimes be contaminated with up to 20 ppm of Pd due to the so-called leaching. *Sonogashira* coupling uses a combination of Pd and Cu catalysts, as in the effective synthesis of terbinafine **T3**,²¹ an antimycotic drug (entry 3). The crude product contains 50-200 ppm Pd and 10-20 ppm Cu which are usually removed by short-path distillation.²² *Suzuki* coupling is amongst the widely used reaction to couple aryl-moieties. Using soluble Pd catalysts, the heavy metal remains entirely in the crude product; **T4** shows an example (entry 4). *Buchwald–Hartwig* coupling is a viable method to prepare diarylamines. **T5** and **T6** (intermediates for COX-2 inhibitors) represent examples of such a transformation.²³

Results and Discussion

For the target **T2**—Aliskiren free base, as a solution in ethanol, obtained after hydrogenation of the precursor azide (Table 6)—not many methods for the removal of heavy metals are feasible: Aqueous extraction is impossible, and crystallization to the later drug substance salt does not alter the metal content significantly. Thus, a broad screening of scavengers for the ethanolic solution was performed. A simple set up²⁴ was applied: 4 mL of the metal-contaminated solutions (10% **T2** in ethanol) were treated with functionalized resins (100–300 mg), and the mixtures were shaken in screw cap vials for 20 h at room temperature. The samples were filtered, the filtrates were evaporated to dryness, and the residues were analyzed for the metals. Table 7 shows the result for all available and synthesized resins.

In general most polystyrene-based resins (Table 5 for structures) proved to be unsuccessful (Table 7, entries 18–28) along with most Matrix Innovation resins, PS-TMT (**P2e**), PS-DOTA (**P2n**) resins, and some Amberlite resins. Only PS-diethylenetriamine (**P2g**₁, entry 20) as well as Amberlite GT73 (entry 26) were capable of reducing the Pd content from 14 ppm to 3 ppm and 2 ppm, respectively.

Silica-based resins show a much better performance (Table 7, entries 1-16). Most of the resins depicted in Tables 2-4fulfilled the requirement for test case **T2** (14 ppm $\rightarrow \leq 2$ ppm) regardless of the nature of the ligand (S- or N-based); exceptions were low-loaded S-resins (S1b and S1e, Table 7, entries 1 and 2), the macrocyclic S3m, and the arylthioether S4d²⁵ (Table 7, entries 11 and 13). For a limited kinetic study, 100 mL of the ethanolic solution of T2 was stirred with 7.5 g of resin $S4g_2$, and samples of the supernatant were drawn each hour and analyzed for the Pd content of their evaporation residue. After 4 h, a steady state was achieved at 6-7 ppm, and it took 20 and 90 more hours to lower the Pd content to 6 and 4 ppm, respectively (Figure 1). These results indicate that complexation is not at all an instantaneous process. For macrocyclic polyamines, complexation with Pd is known to be even more kinetically hindered²⁶ which is the explanation for the temperature effect of the experiment using resin S3m in which 1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane (cyclam) is attached on propyl-silica (Table 7, entries 11 and 12).

- (24) For details, see the Experimental Section.
- (25) This was described in a patent to be an efficient scavenger, see reference 11.
- (26) Kaden, T. University of Basel, personal communication.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Rohm & Haas: http://www.amberlyst.com/literature/us/IRC748.pdf. (15) For the preparation of PS-TMT, see: Ishihara, K.; Nakayama, M.;

Kurihara, H.; Haraguchi, H. *Chem. Lett.* **2000**, *10*, 1218–1219. (16) Böhnke, H. *Novartis Pharma AG*, Process Research and Development

Report, 1996.
 (17) Grøtli, M.; Gotfredsen, C. H.; Rademann, J.; Buchardt, J.; Clark, A. J.;

<sup>Duus, J. Ø.; Meldal, M. J. Comb. Chem. 2000, 2, 108–119.
(18) Gavelin, P.; Rehnberg, P. N.; Johannsen, I. WO 2006015594 A1 2006/</sup>

^{0216, 2006.} (19) Herold, P.; Stutz, S.; Spindler, F. WO 2002002508 A1 20020110, 2002.

 ⁽¹⁹⁾ Herold, F., Stutz, S., Spindiel, F. WO 2002002008 AI 20020110, 2002.
 (20) (a) Anderson, D. L. *Drugs Today* 2007, 43, 849–855. (b) Siragy, H. M.;

Kar, S.; Kirkpatrick, P. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2007**, *6*, 779–780.

⁽²¹⁾ Beutler, U.; Fleury, C.; Penn, G.; Ryser, T.; Schenkel, B. Surf. Sci. Catal. 1997, 108, 31–35.

⁽²²⁾ Beutler, U.; Penn, G. WO 2005021483 A2 20050310, 2005.

⁽²³⁾ Acemoglu, M.; Allmendinger, T.; Calienni, J.; Cercus, J.; Loiseleur, O.; Sedelmeier, G. H.; Xu, D. *Tetrahedron* 2004, 60, 11571–11586.

	Resin #	Structure	Loading [mmol/g]
	P2a	O SH	2.20
Resins	P2g₁		2.30
Innovation	P1h		1.70
Matrix	P2i		1.80
	P2j	О Н ОСН	2.00
sins	Amberlite [®] GT73	Thiol-functionalized styrene divinylstyrene copolymer	≥1.20
m & Haas Re	Amberlite [®] IRC747	O H PO ₃ Na ₂	≥1.75
Roh	Amberlite [®] IRC748 《P2k 》		≥1.35
PS-TMT	P2e	SH N N S N SH SH	0.93
PS-DOTA	P2n		0.54

To compare the resins and the conditions a simple calculation is helpful. Taking the amount of resin applied (g), considering its individual loading (mmol/g), and calculating the amount of Pd removed from the solution (mmol_{before treatment} – mmol_{after treatment}) an efficiency ratio $R_{\rm E}$ of mmol ligand/mmol Pd can be calculated²⁷ which is depicted in Tables 7–12. It is worth noting that for the ethanolic solution of **T2** containing 14 ppm of Pd (based on solvent-free substance) a ligand/Pd ratio of >800 is needed to achieve the goal (<2 ppm Pd). The sulfurfunctionalized resins **S1b** and **S1e** were inefficient because of their very low loading, but the low ratio $R_{\rm E}$ indicates the high complexing power of bis(2-mercaptoethyl) sulfide (**b**) and of 2,4,6-trimercapto-[1,3,5]-triazine (**e**).

The most effective resins were found to be $\mathbf{S4g_1} < \mathbf{S4b} \approx \mathbf{S3c} < \mathbf{GT73} < \mathbf{S1gn} \approx \mathbf{S3g_2} \approx \mathbf{S4e}$ (Table 7). For these resins the experiments were repeated, using gradually lower amounts down to 10 mg; the results are summarized in Table 8 and reveal $\mathbf{S1g_2}$ and Amberlite GT73 to be the best (25 mg are sufficient). The performance of Amberlite GT73, a commercial thiol-functionalized polystyrene-based resin, appears to be astonishing, as a similar resin (**P2a**) from Matrix Innovation proved to be ineffective. This is common to most other polystyrene-based resins applied for **T2** (see above) and can be explained by the swelling characteristics of cross-linked polystyrene being not sufficient in ethanol, making the binding sites not well accessible. It is most likely that Amberlite GT73 has another openpore core structure to explain its properties.^{28,29}



For terbinafine **T3**, containing 10 ppm Cu and 58 ppm Pd, the resin screening was restricted to the best resins found for **T2**: **S1g₂**, **S3g₂**, **S4e**, **S4g₂**, **O3g₁**, and **GT73**. Additionally the effect of the solvent on the metal extraction process was evaluated. Thus, 10% solutions of **T3** in cyclohexane, dichloromethane, and ethanol were prepared, and 4 mL of each solution was tested against 300 mg of the chosen resins. The results are summarized in Table 9. Whereas the copper content of terbinafine **T3** was reduced in each case from 10 to <2 ppm, the scavenging efficiency for Pd showed a strong solvent effect. In dichloromethane most resins exhibited the worst performance, which was somewhat better in ethanol. In cyclohexane even silica had some effect, and all the resins used removed Pd to <2 ppm. For this solvent the screening was extended to lower

(27) The calculation is done according to the formula:

$$R_{\rm E} = \frac{n_{\rm R}}{\Delta n_{\rm Pd}} = \frac{M_{\rm R} \times L_{\rm R} \times M_{\rm Pd}}{m_{\rm T} \times (c_{\rm Pd}^0 - c_{\rm Pd}^{\rm aT})}$$

where $n_{\rm R}$ is the molar amount of ligand bound to resin used for one scavenging experiment; $\Delta n_{\rm Pd}$ is the molar amount of Pd removed from the sample, $m_{\rm R}$ is the mass of resin used, $L_{\rm R}$ is its loading with the ligand, $m_{\rm T}$ is the mass of the target sample (evaporation residue or mass of solution × its concentration), $c_{\rm Pd}$ is the concentration of Pd in the sample before (0) and after treatment (aT) in ppm or mg/kg; $M_{\rm Pd}$ is the molecular weight of Pd (106.4). The higher the value of $R_{\rm E}$ the less efficient is the resin.

- (28) Rohm & Haas did not disclose the details for Amberlite GT73 which is now replaced by the commercial Amberlite GT74.
- (29) Thiol-modified scavengers such as Smopex 234 from Johnson Matthey (fibres, surface modified with-CO-O-CH₂-CH₂-SH) proved to be efficient in certain other cases tested by Markus Bänziger (personal communication).

amounts of resin (Table 10), and $R_{\rm E}$ values were calculated. Compared to ethanol (where the resins show $R_{\rm E}$ values of ~1000 or larger), good resins now show $R_{\rm E}$ ratios of <50 and can be ordered as $S4e > S1g_2 > S4g_2 > S3g_2 > GT73$.

Products derived from *Suzuki* and *Buchwald–Hartwig* coupling reactions usually contain much more Pd than the examples **T2** and **T3** just discussed.³⁰ For instance crude and even recrystallized **T4** has a content of 9000 and 3350 ppm, respectively. The latter material was subjected to metal scavenging in isopropanol, using a subset of resins and applying the standard protocol (100 and 300 mg resin); the results are summarized in Table 11. A small number of resins were used for the metal removal from xylene solutions of Pd-contaminated biaryl amines **T5** and **T6**, obtained from *Buchwald–Hartwig* coupling reactions (Table 12). For **T4** and **T6** resins **S4e**, **S3g**₂, and **S4g**₂ were found to be effective to lower the target's high Pd-load considerably (3350 \rightarrow <10 ppm, 1800 \rightarrow <40 ppm, Tables 11 and 12). Again, **P2g**₁ is less efficient in protic isopropanol (Table 11, entry 5).

As a test case we also examined aqueous effluents stemming from the Ni-catalyzed *Kumada* coupling to **T1** which contain >200 ppm Ni. We used a classical ion-exchange resin and compared it with modern polyamines. Thus, the aqueous phase from the workup of **T1** was neutralized³¹ and treated with Amberlite IRC748, a polystyrene resin functionalized with an imino-diacetic acid group. The results, which are summarized

⁽³⁰⁾ One target of catalyst optimization is, of course, to increase the turnover number and thus to decrease the amount of precious metal needed.

⁽³¹⁾ The reaction mixture for the preparation of $\mathbf{T1}$ is worked up with aqueous HCl or H₂SO₄ solution. For details, see reference 19.



^a Relative to dry substance.

in Table 13, show the feasibility of this approach. Similar results can be obtained with the highly amino functionalized polyetherresin **5**. Less than 1% of the resin is sufficient to remove the nickel completely. Not unexpectedly, resin **5** appears blue when loaded with nickel, resembling the properties of the Ni(en)₂Cl₂ complexe³² (Figure 2).

Summary and Outlook

The most efficient resins found in our study are summarized in Table 14; the majority of them are based on silica gel carrying polyamine functionalities. The spacer obviously does not play

(32) Sullivan, J. K.; Fanning, J. C. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1969, 31, 49-57.

a major role as direct linkage (**S1g**), propyl-spacers (**S3g**) and glycidoxypropyl anchors (**S4g**) are equivalent. To choose for a particular application, their accessibility and cost need to be considered. Activation of silica to obtain (**S1x**) resins is a laborious and hazardous process since excess of thionyl chloride needs to be used and (even worse) then removed by either distillation (similar to the literature⁹) or filtration. On the other hand, silanation of organosilanes is much simpler. The differences to prepare (**S3g**_n) and (**S4g**_n) resins culminate in the price of the linkers: (3-bromopropyl)trimethoxysilane being about 30 times more expensive than (3-glycidoxypropyl)trimethoxysilane.³³ Polyamines themselves such as triethylenetetramine (in

Table 7. Pd content of T2 after treatment of 4 g of 10% solution in ethanol with 100 or 300 mg resin at room temperature for 20 h (initial content 14 ppm Pd)

			Pd level [ppm] of T2 after treatment with		
entry	resin #	loading [mmol/g]	100 mg	300 mg	$R_{\rm E}$
1	S1b	0.04	9	11	210
2	S1e	0.03	11	13	270
3	S1g ₁	0.70	2	2	1600
4	S1g ₂	0.90	1	<1	1800
5	S1g ₃	0.66	1	<1	1400
6	S1g ₄	0.57	1	<1	1200
7	S1f	0.91	10	9	>6000
8	S11	0.40	6	3	>1300
9	S3c	0.50	3	2	3300
10	$S3g_2$	0.89	2	1	2000
11	S3m	0.26	12	9	>4000
12	S3m (40 °C)	0.26	13	5	>2000
13	S4d	0.68	13	12	>27000
14	S4b	0.46	3	2	1100
15	S4e	0.67	2	<1	1500
16	S4g ₁	1.07	3	3	>2500
17	Silica	1.00	14	14	~
18	P2a	2.20	13	12	>87000
19	P2e	0.62	12	11	>16000
20	P2g ₁	2.30	4	3	>6000
21	P1h	1.70	14	14	~
22	P2i	1.80	9	6	18000
23	P2j	2.00	14	14	~~
24	P2n	0.26	14	14	~
25	P2n (40 °C)	0.26	14	14	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
26	GT73	1.20	2	2	2700
27	IRC747	1.75	13	13	>46000
28	IRC748	1.35	13	13	>35000

particular the technical grade composed of mixtures) are bulk chemicals and very cheap (compare Table 15). The more expensive 2,4,6-trimercapto-[1,3,5]-triazine (TMT) is well perceived as a metal scavenger.^{5,15} The present study revealed its advantage when linked to silica **S4e** in contrast to the polystyrene analogue **P2e**.³⁴ Silica is not combustible which might serve as a disadvantage compared to polystyrene when it comes to the need for resin disposal.³⁵ Here functionalized polyether resins may play a role as they combine the hydrophilic properties with combustibility. **O3g**₁—the only prepared sample yet—proved to have efficiency comparable to that of **S1g**₂, and further elaboration will bring about better polyether-based resins.

As scavenging would add as an additional process step, it has to be compared to possible alternatives.

- First of all, the heavy metal load could be reduced by optimizing the catalysis step, but also leaching from solid supported catalysts has to be suppressed by other means.
- For terbinafine **T3** a short-path distillation was established to reduce the heavy metal content.²²

- As salt formation of basic products is a viable method to reduce their metal content,³⁶ this was verified for **T4**, too. After screening of some acids,³⁷ the naphthalene-2-sulfonic acid salt of **T4** was prepared, exhibiting a Pd content of only 13 ppm (Scheme 2).
- As T5 and T6 are intermediates in the synthesis of COX-2 inhibitors,²³ it is worthwhile checking for the depletion of the Pd level further along the syntheses. Thus, T6 was transformed into its chloroacetyl derivative T6-CA (a precursor towards the preparation of the final drug candidate, Robenacoxib)³⁸ which was free of Pd after crystallization (Scheme 3). In addition, chromatography on silica gel was efficient to remove Pd to <1 ppm for T5 and T6.

Experimental Section

General Methods. Reactions were carried out using ovendried glassware under an atmosphere of dry argon or nitrogen and magnetically or mechanically stirred, unless noted otherwise. Reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers (Acros, Aldrich, Fluka, Lancaster) and used without further purification, unless noted otherwise. Analytical grade solvents (n-butanol, ethanol, ethyl acetate, isopropanol, methanol, methylene chloride, tert-butylmethylether) were used as received for reactions, for extractions, and chromatographic purifications, unless otherwise stated. N,N-dimethylacetamide, 1,2-dimethoxyethane, N,N-dimethylformamide, dimethylsulfoxide, n-heptane, tetrahydrofuran, and toluene were stored over molecular sieves. Deuterated solvents were obtained from Armar Chemicals, Switzerland. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was used for monitoring reactions, carried out using Merck silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plates, and visualized with UV light, except as indicated otherwise. Flash chromatography (FC) was performed using Merck silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh) at a pressure of \sim 0.3 bar.³⁹ Eluents and R_f are indicated. Concentration under reduced pressure was performed at 40 °C. Yields refer to chromatographically purified or recrystallized, spectroscopically pure compounds. Melting points were measured on a Büchi SMP-20 apparatus in open glass capillaries and are uncorrected.¹H NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker DPX 300 MHz or on Bruker DMX 500 MHz spectrometer at 298 K in the indicated deuterated solvent, unless otherwise stated. Data are reported as follow: chemical shift (δ , ppm), integration, multiplicity (s, singulet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet or not resolved signal; br, broad signal), coupling constant(s) (J, Hz). All signals were referenced to the internal solvent signal as standard (CDCl₃, δ 7.26; CD₃OD, δ 3.31; DMSO, δ 2.50). ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with ¹H-decoupling on Bruker DPX 75 MHz or on Bruker DMX 125 MHz spectrometer at 298 K in the indicated deuterated solvent, unless otherwise stated. All signals were referenced to the internal solvent signal as standard (CDCl₃, δ 77.0; CD₃OD, δ 49.0; DMSO, δ 39.5). Mass spectra were obtained from the Mass Spectroscopy

⁽³³⁾ See Table 15; 30 CHF/g compared to 1 CHF/g (Sigma-Aldrich prices for 25 and 250 mL quantities, respectively).

⁽³⁴⁾ Similar resins are now commercially available: Isolute Si-TMT by Biotage (http://www.biotage.com) and SiliaBond DMT by Silicycle (http://www.silicycle.com) which could be enumerated in our system as S3e.

⁽³⁵⁾ For cost reasons, reuse of the resin needs to be considered; during regeneration the precious metal may be recovered as well.

⁽³⁶⁾ Manley, P. W.; Acemoglu, M.; Marterer, W.; Pachinger, W. Org. *Process Res. Dev.* **2003**, *7*, 436–445.

⁽³⁷⁾ Naphthalene-1-carboxylic acid, sulfuric acid, benzene-sulfonic acid, and naphthalene-2-sulfonic acid were used.

⁽³⁸⁾ Acemoglu, M.; Allmendinger, T.; Calienni, J. V.; Cercus, J.; Loiseleur, O.; Sedelmeier, G. H.; Xu, D. WO 2001023346, A2 20010405,2001.

⁽³⁹⁾ Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. **1978**, 43, 2923–2925.



Figure 1. Time dependence for the removal of Pd from ethanolic T2 solution using resin S3g₂.

Table 8. Pd content of T2 after treatment of 4 g of 104	%
solution in ethanol with 10–50 mg resin at room	
temperature for 20 h (initial content 14 ppm Pd)	

		· · ·			11	/
		loading	Pd lev after t	el [ppm] reatmen	of T2 of t with	$R_{ m E}$
entry	resin no.	[mmol/g]	10 mg	$25 \ \mathrm{mg}$	50 mg	(50 mg resin)
1	S1g ₂	0.90	4	3	2	1000
2	S3c	0.50	10	6	4	700
3	$S3g_2$	0.89	11	8	6	1500
4	S4e	0.67	7	5	4	900
5	$S4g_2$	0.71	4	4	4	950
6	GT73	1.20	5	3	2	1300

Service of Novartis Pharma AG, Basel (Switzerland). Elemental analyses and loading of all functionalized resins were obtained from the Analytical Service of Solvias AG, Basel (Switzerland). For the determination of heavy metals, inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) measurement after a wet decomposition step was used which corresponds to the method described in European Pharmacopoeia, 6th ed., 2008 <2.2.57>. The limit of quantification for each analyte is 1 mg/ kg with a relative standard deviation of not more than 10%.

General Procedure for the Chlorination of Silica Gel (Table 2). Silica gel (20.0 g) and SOCl₂ (80 mL) were introduced in a 250 mL double-wall filter reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a condenser. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred and heated at 80 °C for 48 h. The unreacted SOCl₂ was filtered, and the chloride silica gel was dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 48 h.

Procedure for the Preparation of Resin S1b. Chloride silica gel (5.0 g, 6.50 mmol Cl, 1 equiv) and anhydrous DMF (50 mL) were introduced in a 200 mL three-necked reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a condenser. A solution of bis-(2-mercaptoethyl)sulfide (10.03 g, 65.0 mmol, 10 equiv) and DIPEA (5.4 mL, 32.50 mmol, 5 equiv) in anhydrous DMF (40 mL) was slowly added to the beige-yellow mixture. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred and heated at 40 °C for 48 h. The functionalized silica resin was isolated by filtration, washed with DMF (2×50 mL), EtOH (3×50 mL), and dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 12 h.

Procedure for the Preparation of Resin S1e. Chloride silica gel (5.0 g, 6.50 mmol Cl, 1 equiv) and anhydrous DMAc (50 mL) were introduced in a 200 mL three-necked reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a condenser. Et₃N (9.0 mL, 65 mmol, 10 equiv) and 2,4,6-trimercapto-[1,3,5]-triazine (TMT) (5.76 g, 32.5 mmol, 5 equiv) were added to the brown solution. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred and heated

at 50 °C for 48 h. The functionalized silica resin was isolated by filtration, washed with DMAc (2 \times 50 mL), 2 M HCl (2 \times 50 mL), H₂O (2 \times 50 mL), EtOH (2 \times 50 mL), and dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 12 h.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Resins S1f, S1g₁₋₄, and S1l. Chloride silica gel (5.0 g, 6.50 mmol Cl, 1 equiv) and anhydrous THF (50 mL) were introduced in a 100 mL three-necked reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a condenser. The desired mono- or polyamine (10 equiv) was slowly added to the solution. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred and heated at 40 °C for 48 h. The functionalized silica resin was isolated by filtration, washed with THF (2 × 50 mL), EtOH (3 × 50 mL), and dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 12 h.

General Procedure for the Activation of Silica Gel (Table 3). Nitric acid solution (1 N, 40 mL) was slowly added to a 100 mL three-necked reactor containing silica gel (10.0 g) and equipped with a mechanical stirrer, a reflux condenser and a thermometer. The mixture was degassed, stirred, and heated at reflux for 6 h. The activated silica gel was isolated by filtration, washed with H₂O (3×50 mL), MeOH (3×50 mL), and dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 48 h. The oven-dried gel was then placed in a 200 mL sintered glass funnel. Moisturized air generated from a saturated solution of sodium bromide was passed through the gel for 12 h.

General Procedure for the Silanation Reaction (Table 3). Dried-activated silica gel (10.0 g) was introduced in a 100 mL three-necked reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a reflux condenser. A solution of (3-bromopropyl)trimethoxysilane (36.5 mL, 150 mmol) in *n*-heptane (40 mL) was slowly added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 48 h. The functionalized silica resin was isolated by filtration, washed with heptane (3×50 mL), MeOH (3×50 mL), H₂O (3×50 mL), MeOH (3×50 mL), and dried under vacuum at 100 °C for 2 h.

Procedure for the Preparation of Resin S3g₂. 3-Bromopropyl-functionalized silica resin (6.0 g, 14.5 mmol Br, 1 equiv) and *N*-methylpyrrolidone (25 mL) were introduced in a 100 mL three-necked reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a condenser. Triethylenetetramine (21.6 mL, 145.0 mmol, 10 equiv) was slowly added, and the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred and heated at 80 °C for 20 h. The functionalized silica resin was isolated by filtration, washed with H₂O (3 × 20 mL), 1 M aqueous H₂SO₄ solution (20 mL), H₂O (3 × 20 mL), 1 M aqueous ammonium hydroxide solution (20

Table 9. Pd content of T3 after treatment of 4 g of 10% solution in cyclohexane, dichloromethane, or ethanol with 300 mg resin at room temperature for 20 h (initial content 59 ppm Pd)

			Pd level [ppm] of T3 after treatment in				$R_{ m E}$	
entry	resin #	loading [mmol/g]	cC ₆ H ₁₂	CH_2Cl_2	EtOH	cC ₆ H ₁₂	CH_2Cl_2	EtOH
1	S1g ₂	0.90	<2	3	6	1300	1300	1400
2	$S3g_2$	0.89	2	24	14	1300	2100	1600
3	S4e	0.67	<2	<2	<2	900	900	900
4	$S4g_2$	0.71	2	18	10	1000	1400	1200
5	$O3g_1$	0.52	2	8	3	700	800	800
6	GT73	1.20	<2	16	<2	1700	2300	1700
7	silica	1.00	6	50	12	—	—	-

Table 10. Pd content of T3 after treatment of 4 g of 10% solution in cyclohexane with 10-100 mg resin at room temperature for 20 h (initial content 59 ppm Pd)

-							
		loading	Pd level [ppm] of T3 after treatment in cC_6H_{12} with				
entry	resin #	[mmol/g]	10 mg	20 mg	50 mg	100 mg	$R_{\rm E}$
1	S1g ₂	0.90	1	<1	<1	<1	40
2	$S3g_2$	0.89	14	5	2	1	200
3	S4e	0.67	<1	<1	<1	<1	<30
4	$S4g_2$	0.71	7	2	1	<1	70
5	GT73	1.20	27	6	2	<1	290
6	silica	1.00	41	23	14	10	_

Table 11. Pd content of T4 after treatment of 4 g of 10% solution in isopropanol with 100 or 300 mg resin at room temperature for 20 h (initial content 3350 ppm Pd)

		loading	Pd level [p after treat	Pd level [ppm] of T4 after treatment with	
entry	resin #	[mmol/g]	100 mg	300 mg	$R_{\rm E}$
1	S1g ₂	0.90	603	50	22
2	$S3g_2$	0.89	950	8	21
3	S4e	0.67	904	5	16
4	$S4g_2$	0.71	790	6	17
5	$P2g_1$	2.30	930	750	71
6	$O3g_1$	0.52	530	99	13
7	GT73	1.20	2940	1980	70

Table 12. Pd content of T5 and T6 before and after treatment of 4 g of 2.5% solution in xylene with 300 mg resin at room temperature for 20 h

		loading	Pd content	Pd level [ppm] after treatment with	
target	resin #	[mmol/g]	[ppm]	300 mg	$R_{\rm E}$
Т5	S4e	0.67	9300	5000	50
T6	S1g ₂	0.90	1800	320	190
	$S3g_2$	0.89		<40	<160
	S4e	0.67		<40	<120
	$S4g_1$	0.71		600	190

mL), H₂O (3 \times 20 mL), MeOH (3 \times 20 mL), and dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 12 h.

Procedure for the Preparation of Resin S3m. 3-Bromopropyl-functionalized silica resin (6.0 g, 14.5 mmol Br, 1 equiv) and methanol (90 mL) were introduced in a 200 mL three-necked reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a condenser. 1,4,8,11-Tetraazacyclotetradecane (14.52 g, 72.5 mmol, 5 equiv) was slowly added, and the reaction mixture was vigorously stirred and heated at 40 °C for 20 h. The functionalized silica resin was isolated by filtration, washed with H₂O (3 × 20 mL), 1 M aqueous H₂SO₄ solution (20 mL), H₂O (3 × 20 mL), 1 M aqueous ammonium hydroxide solution (20 mL), H₂O (3 × 20 mL), MeOH (3 × 20 mL), and dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 12 h. General Procedure for the Preparation of Resins S4b, S4d, and S4e (Table 4). (3-Glycidoxypropyl)trimethoxysilane (4.73 g, 20.0 mmol, 1 equiv) and anhydrous toluene (30 mL) were introduced in a 100 mL three-necked reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a condenser. A solution of the desired mono- or polythiol (1 equiv) in toluene (25 mL) and a sodium methylate solution in MeOH (5.4 M, 3.7 mL, 20.0 mmol, 1 equiv) were slowly added to the colorless solution under an atmosphere of N₂. The yellow mixture was vigorously stirred and heated at 75 °C for 24 h. Silica gel (10.0 g) was added to the yellow intermediate, and the mixture was heated at 75 °C for 24 h. The functionalized silica resin was isolated by filtration, washed with toluene (2 × 50 mL), EtOH (2 × 50 mL), and dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 12 h.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Resins S4g₁ and S4g₂. (3-Glycidoxypropyl)trimethoxysilane (4.73 g, 20.0 mmol, 1 equiv) and anhydrous toluene (30 mL) were introduced in a 100 mL three-necked reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a condenser. A solution of the desired polyamine (20.0 mmol, 1 equiv) in MeOH (20 mL) was slowly added to the colorless solution under an atmosphere of N₂. The yellow mixture was vigorously stirred and heated at 75 °C for 24 h. Silica gel (10.0 g) was added to the yellow intermediate, and the mixture was heated at 75 °C for 24 h. The functionalized silica resin was isolated by filtration, washed with toluene (2 × 50 mL), EtOH (2 × 50 mL), and dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 12 h.

Procedure for the Preparation of Resin P2e (Table 5). Merrifield resin (7.0 g, 1.0 mmol/g, 1 equiv) and MeOH (35 mL) were introduced in a 100 mL three-necked reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a condenser. TMT (2.50 g, 14.0 mmol, 2 equiv), potassium iodide (1.20 g, 7.0 mmol, 1 equiv), and Et₃N (35 mL, 42.0 mmol, 6 equiv) were successively added to the solution. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred and heated at reflux for 24 h. The polystyrene-bound TMT resin was isolated by filtration, washed with 2 m aqueous HCl solution (50 mL), DMSO (2 × 50 mL), 2 M aqueous HCl solution (50 mL), THF (100 mL), and dried under vacuum at 100 °C for 12 h.

Procedure for the Preparation of Resin P2n. 1,4,7,10-Tetraazacyclododecan-N,N',N'',N'''-tetraacetic acid (DOTA) (2.51 g, 6.2 mmol, 1 equiv), 1*H*-benzotriazol-1-yloxytripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyBOP) (3.22 g, 6.2 mmol, 1 equiv), *N*-methylmorpholine (4.1 mL, 37.2 mmol, 6 equiv), and anhydrous DMF (30 mL) were introduced in a 200 mL three-necked reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a condenser. The white mixture was vigorously stirred at r.t.

Table 13. Ni content of the aqueous phase (work-up of T1) before and after treatment with resin at room temperature for 20 h

aqueous solution			resin			
Ni [ppm]	amount [mL]	no.	[g]	g resin/g aq soln [%]	Ni level after treatment [ppm]	Ni removed [%]
240	10	IRC748	8	80	<1	>99
240	10	IRC748	0.8	8	<1	>99
12	100	IRC748	0.05	0.05	10	17
12	100	IRC748	0.5	0.5	<1	>92
7	10	IRC748	0.03	0.3	<1	>85
7	10	IRC748	0.01	0.1	4	43
270	10	IRC748	0.1	1	<43	>85
121	13	5	0.808	6.5	<10	>92
121	12	5	0.11	0.9	<10	>92
127	101	5	0.13	0.13	18	86
127	200	5	0.087	0.04	83	35

for 30 min. Amino-methyl-polystyrene (PS-CH₂-NH₂) (5.60 g, 1.1 mmol/g, 1 equiv) was added to the solution, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 8 h at r.t. The yellow reaction mixture was quenched by the careful addition of ice (10.0 g). The polystyrene-bound DOTA was isolated by filtration, washed with H₂O (3 × 50 mL), DMF (3 × 50 mL), TBME (3 × 50 mL), and dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 12 h.

Procedure for the Preparation of Resin $O3g_1$. (Scheme 1) Versabeads O 400-Br resin (3.80 g, 5.32 mmol Br, 1 equiv) and *n*-BuOH (10 mL) were introduced in a 50 mL three-necked reactor equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a condenser. Diethylenetriamine (11.4 mL, 106.4 mmol, 20 equiv) was



Figure 2. Picture of resin 5 before and after chelating Ni^{2+} ions from aqueous solutions.

Resin #	Structure	Loading [mmol/g]
S1g₂	$ \begin{array}{c} \hline -0\\ \hline -0\\ \hline -0\\ \hline -0\\ \end{array} \\ H \end{array} \\ H \\ $	0.90
S3g ₂	-o-Si -o'NH ₂	0.89
S4e	$ \begin{array}{c} -0\\ -0\\ -0\\ -0\\ -0\\ -0\\ -0\\ -0\\ -0\\ -0\\$	0.67
S4g₂		0.71
Amberlite [®] GT73	Thiol-functionalized styrene-divinylstyrene copolymer	≥1.20

Table 14. Most effective scavengers in our study

slowly added to the solution. The brown reaction mixture was vigorously stirred and heated at 120 °C for 48 h. The functionalized polyether resin was isolated by filtration, washed with *n*-BuOH (3×30 mL), EtOH (3×30 mL), and dried under vacuum at 40 °C for 12 h.

4-Naphthalen-1-yl-isoquinoline (T4). To a solution of 4-bromoisoquinoline (19.00 g, 91.3 mmol, 1 equiv) in anhydrous DME (150 mL) in a 500 mL three-necked round-bottom flask equipped with a condenser were successively added naphthalene-1-boronic acid (17.28 g, 100.5 mmol, 1.1 equiv) and an aqueous sodium carbonate solution (2 M, 100 mL, 182.6 mmol, 2 equiv). The reaction mixture was magnetically stirred and heated at 80 °C for 10 min under an atmosphere of N2. Tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (3.16 g, 2.74 mmol, 3 mol %) was added to the orange solution. The resulting brown reaction mixture was vigorously stirred and heated at 80 °C for 20 h. The black solution was allowed to cool to r.t., and then toluene (100 mL) and H₂O (100 mL) were added. The suspension was filtered through Celite. The organic layer was washed with an aqueous saturated NaCl solution (3×100 mL), H_2O (2 × 100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Recrystallization from *i*-PrOH gave 4-naphthalen-1-yl-isoquinoline T4 as brown powder (21.45 g, 84 mmol, 92%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO d_{6}) δ 7.22 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, H–C₉), 7.24 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, H–C₆), 7.36 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, H–C₈), 7.54 $(t, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, J = 8.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H}-\text{C}_{7'}), 7.56 \text{ (d}, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz},$ 1H, H $-C_{2'}$), 7.63 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, H $-C_{7}$), 7.68 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H–C_{3'}), 7.71 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, H-C₈), 8.06 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, H-C₆), 8.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H–C₄), 8.26 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, $H-C_9$), 8.49 (s, 1H, $H-C_3$), 9.46 (s, 1H, $H-C_1$). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 124.91 (C₆), 125.81 (C₉), 126.07 (C₃), 126.58 (C7'), 127.02 (C8'), 128.02 (C8), 128.26 (C10), 128.49 (C₉), 128.69 (C₂'), 128.86 (C₆'), 129.03 (C₄'), 131.39 (C₄), 131.43 (C_7) , 132.56 $(C_{10'})$, 133.62 $(C_{5'})$, 134.42 $(C_{1'})$, 135.01 (C_5) , 143.60 (C₃), 152.90 (C₁). Anal. Calc. for C₁₉H₁₃N (255.32): C 89.38, H 5.13, N 5.49; found: C 88.09, H 5.34, N 5.17. EI-MS calc. for C₁₉H₁₃N: [M]⁺ 255; found: 255 (100%, [M]⁺), 254 $(96\%, [M - H]^+), 127 (15\%, [M - C_{10}H_7 + H]^+).$

4-Naphthalen-1-yl-isoquinolinium Naphthalene-2-sulfonic Acid Salt (T4*NSA). 4-Naphthalen-1-yl-isoquinoline **T4** (1.00 g, 7.83 mmol, 1 equiv) and naphthalene-2-sulfonic dihydrate (1.91 g, 7.83 mmol, 1 equiv) were dissolved in toluene (10 mL)

Table 15. Lab-scale	prices of selected	reagents and	precursors of	resin-based	scavengers ^a
---------------------	--------------------	--------------	---------------	-------------	-------------------------



^{*a*} CHF = Swiss francs.

Scheme 2. Reduction of Pd content by salt formation







in a 50 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a condenser. The reaction mixture was heated at 40 °C for 10 min. In order to facilitate the formation of the salt, *i*-PrOH (2×2 mL) was added, and the homogeneous beige mixture was heated at 40 °C for 20 min. The solution was allowed to cool to r.t. The precipitate was filtered, washed with ice-cold toluene (5×20 mL), and dried under reduced pressure at 40 °C overnight. 4-Naphthalen-1-yl-isoquinolinium naphthalene-2-sulfonic acid salt **T4*NSA** was isolated as white powder (3.01 g, 6.50 mmol,

83%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 7.18 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, H–C₉), 7.29 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, H–C₈), 7.30 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, H–C₆), 7.38 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, H–C_{7"}), 7.39 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, H–C_{6"}), 7.47 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, H-C_{7"}), 7.51 (d, J = 7.5Hz, 1H, H–C₂), 7.59 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, H–C_{2"}), 7.61 (t, J =7.5 Hz, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H–C₃), 7.71 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, H–C_{3"}), 7.77 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, H–C_{5"}), 7.84 (d, J = 8.1Hz, 1H, H–C_{8"}), 7.85 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, H–C₇), 7.86 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, H–C₈), 7.98 (d, J = 8.1Hz, 1H, H $-C_{6'}$), 8.01 (s, 1H, H $-C_{10''}$), 8.05 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H $-C_{4'}$), 8.48 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, J = 0.3 Hz, 1H, H $-C_9$), 8.63 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H, H–C₃), 9.81 (t, J = 0.3 Hz, J = 0.8Hz, 1H, H-C₁), 13.10 (br, s, 1H, NH⁺). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d₆) & 124.03 (C_{2"}), 124.13 (C_{10"}), 125.31 (C₉), 125.35 (C_6) , 125.69 $(C_{3'})$, 126.35 $(C_{7''})$, 126.49 $(C_{6'})$, 126.59 $(C_{7'})$, 127.12 (C_{8'}), 127.33 (C₁₀), 127.38 (C_{3"}), 127.50 (C_{5"}), 128.50 (C_{8"}), 128.75 (C_{2'}), 129.77 (C_{4'}), 130.46 (C₈), 130.98 (C₉), 131.15 (C_{1'}), 131.74 (C_{10'}), 132.20 (C_{9"}), 132.78 (C_{4"}), 132.99 (C₃), 133.21 (C₅'), 135.50 (C₄), 136.46 (C₇), 137.64 (C₅), 145.60 (C1"), 148.00 (C1). Anal. Calcd for C29H21NO3S (463.55): C 75.14, H 4.57, N 3.02, S 6.92; found: C 73.07, H 4.58, N 3.02, S 7.01. IT-MS calcd for $C_{19}H_{14}N^+$: [M⁺] 256; found: 256 $(100\%, [M]^+)$. IT-MS calcd for C₁₀H₈O₃S: $[M - H]^+$ 207; found: 207 (100%, $[M - H]^+$).

Screening Experiments for the Removal of Heavy Metals Using Functionalized Resins. The appropriate quantity (in mg) of a functionalized resin and 4 mL of a metal-contaminated solution were introduced in a 5 mL Supelco vial. The mixture was agitated at room temperature for 20 h on a shaker. The solution was filtered through a 1.20 μ m single-use Supelco filter, transferred in a 5 mL Supelco vial that was closed with a semipermeable septum, and finally concentrated under reduced pressure at r.t. Heavy metal contents were determined by elemental analysis.

Acknowledgment

We thank the analytical departments of Solvias AG and Novartis Pharma AG for analytical support. G. Petignat, D. Bixel and C. Riegert are gratefully acknowledged for helpful discussions and excellent technical assistance.

Supporting Information Available

Copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of new compounds. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Received for review April 23, 2009.

OP900102A