

# Controllable, Sequential, and Stereoselective C–H Allylic Alkylation of Alkenes

Ling Qin, Mohammed Sharique, and Uttam K. Tambar\*<sup>©</sup>

Department of Biochemistry, The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, Texas 75390-9038, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The direct conversion of C-H bonds into new C-C bonds represents a powerful approach to generate complex molecules from simple starting materials. However, a general and controllable method for the sequential conversion of a methyl group into a fully substituted carbon center remains a challenge. We report a new method for the selective and sequential replacement of three C-H bonds at the allylic position of propylene and other simple terminal alkenes with different carbon groups derived from Grignard reagents. A copper catalyst and electron-rich biaryl phosphine ligand facilitate the formation of allylic alkylation products in high branch selectivity. We also present conditions for the generation of enantioenriched allylic alkylation products in



the presence of catalytic copper and a chiral phosphine ligand. With this approach, diverse and complex products with substituted carbon centers can be generated from simple and abundant feedstock chemicals.

# ■ INTRODUCTION

The functionalization of C-H bonds has emerged as a powerful and versatile strategy for the conversion of inexpensive and abundant starting materials into products of greater value.<sup>1</sup> In particular, the conversion of C-H bonds into new C-C bonds enables the rapid generation of complex molecules from simple substrates.<sup>2</sup> Despite major advances in the field of selective C-H alkylation,<sup>3</sup> there are no general methods for the sequential conversion of a methyl group with three C–H bonds into a fully substituted carbon center with three new C-C bonds from three distinct carbon-based reagents (Figure 1A). The selective conversion of methyl groups into substituted carbon centers via controllable and sequential C-H functionalization would enable the efficient synthesis of a broad range of products from simple starting materials.

Based on our group's research in selective C-H allylic functionalization,<sup>4</sup> we became interested in addressing the unsolved problem of sequential C-H functionalization in the context of converting an allylic methyl group into a fully substituted allylic carbon center through three consecutive C-H allylic alkylations with three different carbon-based reagents (Figure 1B). The major challenges in achieving this goal are threefold. First, a mode of activation must be identified that is reactive enough to undergo multiple C-H functionalizations to generate a fully substituted carbon center. Second, each C-H allylic alkylation must be selective for the formation of the branched product over the linear product, which becomes more sterically challenging over subsequent steps. Third, to generate C-C bonds with three distinct carbon groups, the mode of



Figure 1. Controllable and sequential C-H alkylation.

activation must be controllable to avoid undesired overfunctionalization of C-H bonds in any step of the process. Elegant methods have been reported for the generation of fully substituted carbon centers via C-H functionalization<sup>5</sup> and for branch-selective C-H allylic alkylation.<sup>6</sup> However, these strategies are not amenable to the conversion of simple alkenes

Received: August 14, 2019

such as propylene into products with substituted allylic carbon centers via sequential C–H allylic alkylation. While these reported strategies have overcome the challenges of reactivity and selectivity for the formation of fully substituted carbons, traditional C–H functionalization and allylic alkylation approaches have not addressed the challenge of controllably forming distinct C–C bonds.

Herein, we report the first general method to create a fully substituted carbon center at the allylic position of simple alkenes such as propylene. Our approach uniquely enables the selective and sequential replacement of three C-H bonds with different carbon groups in a controllable fashion. The highly substituted terminal alkenes generated by this method are synthetically versatile precursors for many functional groups, including amines, alcohols, acids, epoxides, aldehydes, and internal alkenes. Therefore, we anticipate our method will provide a new strategy for converting simple alkenes into complex molecules with fully substituted carbons.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Catalyst-Controlled Regioselectivity of Allylic Alkylation.** A core feature of our controllable and sequential allylic alkylation strategy is the use of sulfur diimide reagent  $PhSO_2N=S=NSO_2Ph$  (Table 1),<sup>7</sup> which we hypothesized could serve the dual role of an electrophilic oxidant for the activation of alkenes (1 to 2) and a controllable leaving group in the subsequent copper-catalyzed alkylation with Grignard reagents.

We first examined the selective conversion of alkene 1 to branched allylic alkylation product 3 with a tertiary allylic carbon. To develop a branch-selective, controllable, and sequential allylic alkylation of alkene 1, we had to overcome the inherent preference of allylic sulfinamides such as 2 to undergo alkylation with Grignard reagents to selectively form linear products such as 4.<sup>4b</sup> Initially, we observed predominantly linear selectivity with various copper catalysts (entries 1-3). To our delight, CuCN reversed the regioselectivity of the reaction, and the desired branched product 3 was obtained as the major product with moderate 2.5:1 selectivity (entry 4). We observed an important solvent effect on the branch selectivity (entries 4-6). The use of  $CH_2Cl_2$  as the primary solvent for allylic alkylations was beneficial for the branch selectivity of the reaction (entry 6). Based on detailed NMR studies by Gschwind and co-workers,9 we surmise that CH2Cl2 facilitates the formation of soluble monoalkyl cyanocuprates (RCuCNMg) that favor the formation of branched allylic alkylation products, rather than insoluble copper-rich complexes or higher-order cuprates that would favor the formation of linear allylic alkylation products. As a practical advantage, solutions of Grignard reagents in Et<sub>2</sub>O were tolerated by the reaction without negatively impacting the yield or branch selectivity of the process, as long as CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> remained the predominant solvent.

To further improve the regioselectivity of the allylic alkylation, we introduced various ligands for the copper catalyst (entries 7–13). Bipyridine L1 resulted in slightly higher regioselectivity of 10:1 (entry 7), while the more effective  $\sigma$ -donor triphenylphosphine L2 provided a 14:1 ratio (entry 8). Furthermore, the more electron-rich phosphine L3 improved regioselectivity (entry 9), whereas the more electron-poor phosphine L4 diminished regioselectivity (entry 10). Based on this trend in selectivity, we examined electron-rich biaryl phosphine ligands.<sup>10</sup> CyJohnPhos L5 provided a regioselectivity of 13:1 in favor of branched

 Table 1. Optimization of Ligand-Controlled Branch-Selective Allylic Alkylation of Terminal Alkenes<sup>g</sup>

P	h 1 (1 equi	(i solv v) CuC solver	PhSO <sub>2</sub> N) <sub>2</sub> S (1.2 equiv) rent (0.5 M), 0 °C, 40 min; EtMgBr (4 equiv) N (10 mol%), L (X mol%) nt (0.05M), 0 °C to 23 °C, 4 Ph S Ph SO <sub>2</sub>	- Ph ih iO <sub>2</sub> Ph Ph	Et + Ph. 3	4
	entry	ligand <sup>a</sup>	Cu source	solvent	3:4 <sup>b</sup>	yield <sup>c</sup>
	1	-	Cu(OTf) <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>2</sub> O	1 : 1.5	27 %
	2	-	CuTc	Et <sub>2</sub> O	1:2.2	25 %
	3	-	CuBr•SMe <sub>2</sub>	Et <sub>2</sub> O	1:2.4	23 %
	4	-	CuCN	Et <sub>2</sub> O	2.5 : 1	33 %
	5	-	CuCN	PhMe	5.7 : 1	44 %
	6	-	CuCN	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	8.7 : 1	64 %
	7	L1	CuCN	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	10:1	63 %
	8	L2	CuCN	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	14:1	58 %
	9	L3	CuCN	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	15:1	58 %
	10	L4	CuCN	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	10:1	70 %
	11	L5	CuCN	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	13:1	51 %
	12	L6	CuCN	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	18:1	60 %
	13	L7	CuCN	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	>20 : 1	66 %
	14 <sup>d</sup>	L7	CuCN	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	15:1	50 %
	15 <sup>e</sup>	L7	CuCN	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	>20:1	60 % (57 %) <sup>f</sup>
		$\langle \rangle$	PAr <sub>3</sub>	PR <sub>2</sub>		
		.1	L2: Ar = Ph L3: Ar = <i>p</i> -MeO-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> L4: Ar = C <sub>6</sub> E <sub>6</sub>	L5: R = Cy, R' = Ph L6: R = Cy, R' = 2,4,6- <i>i</i> -Pr <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>2</sub> L7: R = t-Ru, R' = 2,4,6- <i>i</i> -Pr <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>2</sub>		

<sup>*a*</sup>24 mol % of monodentate ligand, 12 mol % of bidentate ligand. <sup>*b*</sup>Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. <sup>*c*</sup>NMR yield with 1,3dimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. <sup>*d*</sup>5 mol % CuCN and 6 mol % ligand. <sup>*e*</sup>10 mol % CuCN and 12 mol % ligand. <sup>*f*</sup>Isolated yield in parentheses. <sup>*g*</sup>Reaction conditions: 1 (0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), (PhSO<sub>2</sub>N)<sub>2</sub>S (0.30 mmol, 1.2 equiv), solvent (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), 0 °C, 40 min; then solvent (0.05 M by dilution), Cu source (10 mol %), ligand (12 or 24 mol %), EtMgBr (3 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, 4 equiv), 0 to 23 °C, 4 h.

product 3 (entry 11), which was similar to triphenylphosphine L2. Sterically hindered ligand L6 enhanced regioselectivity to 18:1 (entry 12). Ultimately, the bulkier t-BuXPhos L7 was identified as the optimal ligand, furnishing branched allylic product 3 in >20:1 regioselectivity (entry 13). This class of ligands has found broad utility in controlling product selectivity of palladium-catalyzed reactions. Guided by these studies, we hypothesize that the electron-rich and bulky phosphine ligand L7 enhances the selectivity for the branched allylic alkylation by altering the relative rates of oxidative addition and reductive elimination en route to the desired product 3 (vide infra). Lowering the copper catalyst and ligand loading had a deleterious effect on the yield and regioselectivity (entry 14). Finally, we obtained the desired product 3 in 57% isolated yield and >20:1 regioselectivity with 10 mol % CuCN and 12 mol % ligand L7 (entry 15).

Other organometallic reagents were assayed in the allylic alkylation of 4-phenyl-1-butene (Figure 2). Under unoptimized conditions, we were pleased to observe that organolithium, organozinc, and organoaluminum reagents were compatible coupling partners for the C–H allylic alkylation of terminal alkenes, with high selectivity for the branched product. Allylic sulfinamide intermediate 2 is presumably initially activated by nucleophilic Grignard reagent prior to oxidative addition with

## Journal of the American Chemical Society



**Figure 2.** Branch-selective C–H allylic alkylation of 4-phenyl-1-butene with other organometallic reagents.

the copper catalyst (*vide infra*). Therefore, these alternative organometallic reagents may require further optimization to generate the desired product in synthetically useful yields.

Allylic Alkylation of Propylene. We implemented our strategy for controllable and sequential construction of substituted carbon centers from simple alkenes by performing C–H allylic alkylation of propylene, the simplest terminal alkene with available allylic C–H bonds (Table 2). Since regioselec-





<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: Terminal alkene (R = H: 1 atm balloon; R = Ph, *t*-Bu: 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv),  $(PhSO_2N)_2S$  (0.30 mmol, 1.2 equiv),  $CH_2Cl_2$  (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), 0 °C, 40 min; then  $CH_2Cl_2$  (0.05 M by dilution), CuCN (10 mol %), R<sup>1</sup> MgBr (4 equiv), 0 to 23 °C, 4 h.

tivity was not an issue in this allylic alkylation step, ligand L7 was not required. Diverse Grignard reagents, including aromatic (5a-e), benzylic (5f), alkyl (5g), and heteroatom-containing (5h, 5l) reagents, provided high yields of allylic alkylation products. A methyl group (5c), electron-donating dimethylamino group (5d), and electron-withdrawing fluorine group (5e)were also well tolerated. Alkenes with hindered internal substituents, such as phenyl (5i-j) and *tert*-butyl groups (5kl), furnished the desired product in high yield. Bromide Grignard reagents provided the product in higher yields than chloride Grignard reagents, presumably due to an undesirable Schlenk equilibrium with the latter reagents.<sup>11</sup>

Allylic Alkylation of Terminal Alkenes to Products with Allylic Tertiary Carbons. Once we established the efficient conversion of propylene to a variety of alkenes, we explored the conversion of these products to terminal alkenes with allylic tertiary carbons. Commercially available 4-phenyl-1butene was coupled with several Grignard reagents (Table 3). In

Table 3. Scope of Grignard Reagents for the Branch-Selective Allylic Alkylation  $^d$ 



<sup>a</sup>Two-pot procedure. <sup>b</sup>24 mol % L7. <sup>c</sup>No L7. <sup>d</sup>Reaction conditions: **5f** (0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), (PhSO<sub>2</sub>N)<sub>2</sub>S (0.30 mmol, 1.2 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), 0 °C, 40 min; then CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.05 M by dilution), CuCN (10 mol %), L7 (12 mol %), R<sup>2</sup> MgBr (4 equiv), 0 to 23 °C, 4 h. B:L is branched:linear allylic alkylation products.

the presence of L7, primary (6a-h, 6p), secondary cyclic (6i-k), acyclic (6l, 6n, 6o), and tertiary (6m) Grignard reagents provided excellent yields and high regioselectivities for the desired branched products 6. Grignard reagents with functional groups were also compatible with the reaction conditions, which allowed for the incorporation of an unsaturated chain (6q), silyl group (6r), and trifluoromethyl group (6s) into the products. Reaction with phenylmagnesium bromide resulted in the linear constitutional isomer 6t as the major product in poor regioselectivity (1:2 B:L), suggesting a modified reactivity of aryl-substituted allylcopper intermediates (*vide infra*). Organomagnesium chlorides, bromides, and iodides were tolerated. The branch-selective allylic alkylation could be performed on a gram scale without affecting the efficiency and regioselectivity of the reaction (6l).

We also explored branch-selective allylic alkylation starting from a diverse range of terminal alkene substrates (Table 4). Benzylic (6u), aromatic (6v-x), cyclic (6y), and acyclic (6z) alkyl substitution in the starting material were tolerated,

Table 4. Scope of Functionalized Alkenes for the Branch-Selective Allylic Alkylation $^{b}$ 



<sup>a</sup>Reaction conducted at -78 to -20 °C for 48 h. <sup>b</sup>Reaction conditions: **5** (0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), (PhSO<sub>2</sub>N)<sub>2</sub>S (0.30 mmol, 1.2 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), 0 °C, 40 min; then CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.05 M by dilution), CuCN (10 mol %), L7 (12 mol %), R<sup>2</sup>MgBr (4 equiv), 0 to 23 °C, 4 h. B:L is branched:linear allylic alkylation products.

providing good yields and satisfying regioselectivities of products 6. Electronically diverse phenyl rings (6v-x) did not impact the efficiency of the reaction. A variety of functional groups were tolerated, including halides (6bb, 6cc, 6dd), protected alcohol (6ee), protected amine (6ff), thiophene (6aa), and Grignard-sensitive functional groups such as nitrile (6gg), ester (6hh), and epoxide (6ii). Interestingly, 1,1disubstitued alkenes formed the desired branched product in moderate yields and excellent regioselectivities (6jj, 6kk, 6ll). Under the reaction conditions, internal alkenes such as trans-5decene did not yield any C-H allylic alkylation product. Since we observed significant amounts of the ene-adduct of this internal alkene at 0 °C, we conclude that the initial ene reaction with the sulfur diimide oxidant proceeded, but the subsequent reaction between the branched allylic sulfinamide and the Grignard reagent did not occur.

Allylic Alkylation of Terminal Alkenes to Products with Allylic Quaternary Carbons. Next, we examined the conversion of already generated branched terminal alkenes 6 into products with fully substituted allylic carbon centers (7, Table 5). With 3,7-dimethyl-1-octene as the standard substrate, reactions of primary (7a-c, 7g,h) and secondary (7d-f) Grignard reagents furnished the desired products. Despite the



Table 5. Synthesis of Fully Substituted Allylic Carbon

"Reaction conditions: 6 (0.25 mmol, 1 equiv),  $(PhSO_2N)_2S$  (0.30 mmol, 1.2 equiv),  $CH_2Cl_2$  (0.5 mL, 0.5 M), 0 °C, 40 min; then  $CH_2Cl_2$  (0.05 M by dilution), CuCN (10 mol %), L7 (12 mol %), R<sup>3</sup> MgBr (4 equiv), 0 to 23 °C, 4 h. B:L is branched:linear allylic alkylation products.

inherent difficulty of constructing congested fully substituted carbon centers via an allylic C–H alkylation reaction, we obtained branched allylic alkylation products 7 in synthetically useful yields and regioselectivities with both primary and secondary Grignard reagents. Moreover, other 3,3-disubstituted alkenes, including a cyclic structure (7k) and a substrate with a primary chloride (7j), provided the desired product with high regioselectivity. The use of phenylmagnesium bromide resulted in diminished selectivity for the branched allylic arylation product 7m. The inability to generate a product with two vicinal fully substituted carbon centers represents a current limitation to this chemistry (7n).

Controllable and Sequential Allylic Alkylation of Propylene. To demonstrate the utility of this controllable and sequential strategy in assembling fully substituted carbon centers, we prepared several products by iterative introduction of three different carbon-based substituents at the allylic position of propylene (Table 6). Generally, excellent regiose-lectivity was maintained for the three-step sequence. Different functional groups could be introduced in each step, including an acetal (7p), thiophene (7q), and alkenyl group (7s). We anticipate this chemistry will provide a new approach to a broad

.....

D

Table 6. Controllable and Sequential Allylic Alkylation ofPropylene $^{a}$ 



<sup>*a*</sup>Condition A: propylene (balloon, 1 atm),  $(PhSO_2N)_2S$  (1 equiv), CuCN (10 mol %), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, 40 min; RMgBr (4 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 to 23 °C, 4 h. Condition B: alkene (1 equiv),  $(PhSO_2N)_2S$  (1.2 equiv), CuCN (10 mol %), L7 (12 mol %), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, 40 min; RMgBr (4 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 to 23 °C, 4 h. B:L ratios refer to the overall branched:linear allylic alkylation product ratios after the third alkylation step.

diversity and complexity of products with fully substituted carbon centers that can be generated from simple, abundant feedstock chemicals such as propylene.

**Proposed Mechanism.** We propose a mechanism that accounts for the high regioselectivity in the formation of the branched products in the allylic alkylation step (Figure 3). Initial oxidation of the alkene substrate yields ene adduct 9, which is activated by the Grignard reagent to furnish allylic sulfimine 10. For heterocuprates such as  $[R^3Cu^1CNL_7]MgBr$ , oxidative addition of the Cu<sup>I</sup> complex to the allylic substrate dictates the regioselectivity of the allylic substitution.<sup>12,13</sup> Therefore, either allylic sulfimine 10 forms Cu<sup>III</sup>-allyl complex 12 via

transition state 11, with ligand L7 on the C1 side of the allyl system, to yield product 7, or allylic sulfimine 10 forms Cu<sup>III</sup>-allyl complex 14 via transition state 13, with ligand L7 on the C3 side, to give product 8. We propose a preference for transition state 11 with improved FMO interactions between the HOMO of the organocuprate and the LUMO of allylic sulfimine 10. Transition state 11 leads to conformationally stable envl[ $\sigma + \pi$ ]-allylcopper-(III) complex 12, in which the copper atom is  $\sigma$ -bonded to C3. Subsequent reductive elimination furnishes branched product 7. To account for the enhanced regioselectivity and preference for transition state 11 in the presence of ligand L7, we propose that the electron-rich phosphine stabilizes transition state 11 for oxidative addition. In addition, the bulky tert-butyl groups on phosphorus and isopropyl substituents on the aromatic ring of ligand L7 promote reductive elimination of  $envl[\sigma+\pi]$ allylcopper(III) complex 12 before isomerization can occur to the envl $[\sigma + \pi]$ -allylcopper(III) complex 14, which would lead to the undesired linear product 8. We surmise that excess Grignard reagent is required to obtain synthetically useful yields of product because of multiple roles for the Grignard reagent in the transformation. One equivalent of Grignard is consumed in the conversion of allylic sulfinamide 9 to allylic sulfimine 10, and another equivalent is ultimately transferred to the product in the allylic alkylation step. Additional Grignard reagent may be required in the decomposition of the sulfur-containing leaving group into a thioether.<sup>4</sup>b,14</sup>

**Enantioselective Allylic Alkylation.** Given the importance of achiral phosphine L7 for branch selectivity in the C–H allylic alkylation process, we hypothesized that the proper choice of a chiral phosphine ligand could facilitate the formation of enantioenriched terminal alkenes with allylic stereogenic centers (Table 7). Allylic sulfinamide 2 was generated from 4-phenylbutene, isolated, and then treated with catalytic CuCN and chiral ligands in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at -78 °C. Upon addition of *i*-BuMgBr, the reaction mixture was warmed to 0 °C and stirred. We initially examined a broad range of chiral ligands, including bidentate phosphines L8–L12 (entries 1–5). Although



Figure 3. Proposed mechanism of ligand-controlled branch-selective allylic alkylation of terminal alkenes.

 Table 7. Optimization of Catalytic Enantioselective Branch-Selective Allylic Alkylation<sup>f</sup>





<sup>a</sup>24 mol % of monodentate ligand, 12 mol % of bidentate ligand. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup>Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. <sup>d</sup>Determined by chiral HPLC analysis of derivative. <sup>e</sup>Reaction performed at -78 to -30 °C. <sup>f</sup>Reaction conditions for 1st step: (PhSO<sub>2</sub>N)<sub>2</sub>S (2 mmol, 1 equiv), **1** (2 equiv), Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.5 M), 4 °C, 12 h. Reaction conditions for 2nd step: **2** (0.1 mmol, 1 equiv), solvent (0.05 M by dilution), CuCN (10 mol %), chiral ligand (12 or 24 mol %), *i*-BuMgBr (2 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, 4 equiv), -78 to 0 °C.

selectivity for the branched product 3 was maintained across this diverse set of ligands, the allylic alkylation product was consistently generated with low levels of enantioselectivity.

P,N ligand L13, phosphite L14, and phosphinite L15 all furnished product 3 as essentially a racemate (entries 6-8). Finally, we examined a series of chiral monodentate phosphines. Whereas ligands L16 and L17 provided the allylic alkylation product in negligible levels of enantioselectivity (entries 9, 10), phosphine L18 yielded product 3 in 82% yield, >20:1 regioselectivity, and 78:22 er (entry 11). Given the high selectivity for the branched product with ligand L18, we surmised that a broad range of reaction media would retain the high regioselectivity with the potential to improve the enantioselectivity. In ethereal solvent, product 3 was formed in reasonable regioselectivity but with diminished yield and enantioselectivity (entry 12). Aromatic solvents, such as toluene, furnished the desired product in high yield and regioselectivity with an improved enantiomeric ratio of 84:16 (entry 13). Gratifyingly, by initiating the reaction at -78 °C and warming it up to -30 °C, we obtained the branched allylic alkylation product 3 in 86% isolated yield, >20:1 regioselectivity, and 94:6 er (entry 14).

With the identification of optimal conditions for the catalytic enantioselective branch-selective allylic alkylation, we examined the scope of this transformation with other terminal alkenes and Grignard reagents (Table 8). 4-Phenylbutene coupled with primary Grignard reagents (**6b**, **6d**, **6g**, **6f**, **6v**) as well as

Table 8. Scope of Catalytic Enantioselective Branch-Selective Allylic Alkylation  $^b$ 



<sup>a</sup>PhCF<sub>3</sub> as a solvent, reaction performed at -78 to 0 °C. <sup>b</sup>Reaction conditions for 1st step: (PhSO<sub>2</sub>N)<sub>2</sub>S (2 mmol, 1 equiv), **5** (2 equiv), Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.5 M), 4 °C, 12 h. Reaction conditions for 2nd step: *ene adduct* (0.1 mmol, 1 equiv), PhMe (0.05 M by dilution), CuCN (10 mol %), L18 (24 mol %), R'MgBr (4 equiv), -78 to -30 °C. B:L is branched:linear allylic alkylation products.

secondary cyclic and acyclic Grignard reagents (**6i**, **6k**, **6l**). Other functionalized terminal alkenes were also compatible with the reaction, including a substrate with a remote heteroaromatic ring (**6aa**) and an unsaturated sulfonamide (**6ff**).

Preliminary studies with chiral ligands for the coppercatalyzed branch-selective allylic alkylation suggest that our method affords us the opportunity to transform simple terminal alkenes into enantioenriched alkenes (Figure 4). Conversion of



**Figure 4.** Synthesis of enantioenriched alkenes with either tertiary or quaternary allylic stereogenic centers. B:L is branched:linear allylic alkylation products.

4-phenylbutene to allylic sulfinamide 2 followed by subjection to the CuCN/L7 conditions for branch-selective allylic alkylation furnished racemic products **6b** and **6l**. These two unsaturated hydrocarbons were then treated with the sulfur diimide oxidant to yield allylic sulfinamides **15** and **16**. Treatment with the CuCN/L18 reaction conditions furnished enantioenriched alkenes **71** and **17** with fully substituted allylic stereogenic centers. The lower enantioselectivity in forming the products with quaternary stereogenic centers compared to tertiary stereogenic centers may be due to the formation of trisubstituted allylic sulfinamides **15** and **16** as mixtures of E/Z isomers. We are currently examining catalyst-controlled methods for generating trisubstituted allylic sulfinamides in high selectivity for the *E*alkene isomer.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have developed a general method for converting multiple C–H bonds to C–C bonds via a ligandcontrolled copper-catalyzed controllable and sequential allylic alkylation of alkenes. We demonstrated the formation of substituted carbon centers at the allylic position of simple terminal alkenes, including propylene, which provides efficient and flexible access to a diverse range of products. We proposed a mechanism that accounts for the catalyst and ligand control of branch selectivity in product formation. We also discovered conditions to generate enantioenriched allylic alkylation products in the presence of catalytic copper and chiral phosphine L18.

Our method represents a new chemical strategy for converting simple terminal alkene substrates into complex unsaturated products in synthetically useful yields, regioselectivities, and enantioselectivities. Importantly, the products generated by this method may also be accessed in theory with high regioselectivity and enantioselectivity through the catalytic allylic substitution of prefunctionalized allyl electrophiles with organometallic reagents.<sup>15</sup> Our approach and the traditional allylic substitution of allyl electrophiles have complementary strengths and weaknesses.<sup>15</sup> In some cases, we anticipate that the allylic substitution of prefunctionalized allyl halides, acetates, carbonates, or phosphates will be preferential. For example, while both strategies are compatible with organomagnesium, organolithium, organozinc, and organoaluminum reagents, in some cases the allylic substitution of preformed allylic electrophiles can be performed with organoborane reagents, including alkynyl boron reagents. In addition, currently the branched allylic arylation product with aryl nucleophiles cannot be accessed with our method. In other instances, we anticipate that our controllable and sequential strategy for allylic alkylation will be preferential. For some classes of substrates the selective synthesis of the prefunctionalized allyl electrophile may be challenging, whereas the unfunctionalized terminal alkene substrate will be readily accessible. Moreover, a sequential allylic alkylation with the traditional approach with two or three carbon-based nucleophiles would require multiple intermediary steps to generate the desired allylic electrophile for each round of alkylation, whereas our approach enables the sequential addition of carbon substituents in one pot for each step. Ultimately, the existence of two complementary strategies for regioselective and enantioselective allylic alkylation will be beneficial to the synthetic community.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.9b08801.

Experimental procedures and characterization data (PDF)

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*Uttam.Tambar@utsouthwestern.edu

## ORCID 🔍

Uttam K. Tambar: 0000-0001-5659-5355

#### **Author Contributions**

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support was provided by the W. W. Caruth, Jr. Endowed Scholarship, Welch Foundation (I-1748), National

## Journal of the American Chemical Society

Institutes of Health (R01GM102604), American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund (59177-ND1), Teva Pharmaceuticals Marc A. Goshko Memorial Grant (60011-TEV), and Sloan Research Fellowship.

### REFERENCES

(1) (a) Labinger, J. A.; Bercaw, J. E. Understanding and exploiting C-H bond activation. Nature 2002, 417, 507-514. (b) Bergman, R. G. Organometallic chemistry: C-H activation. Nature 2007, 446, 391-393. (c) Grennberg, H.; Bäckvall, J.-E., Allylic Oxidations. In Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, 2008; pp 243-265. (d) Liu, G.; Wu, Y. Palladium-Catalyzed Allylic C-H Bond Functionalization of Olefins. In C-H Activation; Yu, J.-Q., Shi, Z., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010; pp 195-209. (e) Andrus, M. B. Allylic and Benzylic Oxidation. In Stereoselective Synthesis 3; 1st ed.; Evans, P. A., Ed.; Georg Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, 2011; Vol. 3. (f) Engle, K. M.; Mei, T.-S.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. Weak Coordination as a Powerful Means for Developing Broadly Useful C-H Functionalization Reactions. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 788-802. (g) Newhouse, T.; Baran, P. S. If C-H Bonds Could Talk: Selective C-H Bond Oxidation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3362-3374. (h) White, M. C. Adding Aliphatic C-H Bond Oxidations to Synthesis. Science 2012, 335, 807-809. (i) Gensch, T.; Hopkinson, M. N.; Glorius, F.; Wencel-Delord, J. Mild metal-catalyzed C-H activation: examples and concepts. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 2900-2936. (j) He, J.; Wasa, M.; Chan, K. S. L.; Shao, Q.; Yu, J.-Q. Palladium-Catalyzed Transformations of Alkyl C-H Bonds. Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 8754-8786. (k) Chu, J. C. K.; Rovis, T. Complementary Strategies for Directed C(sp3)-H Functionalization: A Comparison of Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Activation, Hydrogen Atom Transfer, and Carbene/Nitrene Transfer. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 62-101.

(2) (a) Jensen, T.; Fristrup, P. Toward Efficient Palladium-Catalyzed Allylic C-H Alkylation. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 9632–9636. (b) Dong, Z.; Ren, Z.; Thompson, S. J.; Xu, Y.; Dong, G. Transition-Metal-Catalyzed C-H Alkylation Using Alkenes. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 9333– 9403.

(3) (a) He, J.; Li, S.; Deng, Y.; Fu, H.; Laforteza, B. N.; Spangler, J. E.; Homs, A.; Yu, J.-Q. Ligand-Controlled C(sp3)-H Arylation and Olefination in Synthesis of Unnatural Chiral  $\alpha$ -Amino Acids. *Science* **2014**, 343, 1216–1220. (b) Chen, G.; Shigenari, T.; Jain, P.; Zhang, Z.; Jin, Z.; He, J.; Li, S.; Mapelli, C.; Miller, M. M.; Poss, M. A.; Scola, P. M.; Yeung, K.-S.; Yu, J.-Q. Ligand-Enabled  $\beta$ -C-H Arylation of  $\alpha$ -Amino Acids Using a Simple and Practical Auxiliary. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 3338–3351.

(4) (a) Bao, H.; Tambar, U. K. Catalytic Enantioselective Allylic Amination of Unactivated Terminal Olefins via an Ene Reaction/[2,3]-Rearrangement. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 18495–18498. (b) Bao, H.; Bayeh, L.; Tambar, U. K. Allylic Functionalization of Unactivated Olefins with Grignard Reagents. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 1664–1668. (c) Bayeh, L.; Le, P. Q.; Tambar, U. K. Catalytic allylic oxidation of internal alkenes to a multifunctional chiral building block. Nature **2017**, 547, 196–200.

(5) (a) Fuji, K. Asymmetric Creation of Quaternary Carbon Centers. *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 2037–2066. (b) Christoffers, J.; Mann, A. Enantioselective Construction of Quaternary Stereocenters. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 4591–4597. (c) Quasdorf, K. W.; Overman, L. E. Catalytic Enantioselective Synthesis ofQuaternary Carbon Stereocenters. *Nature* **2014**, *516*, 181. (d) Zeng, X.-P.; Cao, Z.-Y.; Wang, Y.-H.; Zhou, F.; Zhou, J. Catalytic Enantioselective Desymmetrization Reactions to All-Carbon Quaternary Stereocenters. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 7330–7396.

(6) (a) Fujita, K.; Yorimitsu, H.; Shinokubo, H.; Oshima, K. Transformation of Zirconocene-Olefin Complexes into Zirconocene Allyl Hydride and Their Use as Dual NucleophilicReagents: Reactions with Acid Chloride and 1,4-Diketone. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6776–6783. (b) Tao, Z.-L.; Li, X.-H.; Han, Z.-Y.; Gong, L.-Z. Diastereoselective Carbonyl Allylation with Simple Olefins Enabled by

Palladium Complex-Catalyzed C-H Oxidative Borylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4054–4057.

(7) (a) Sharpless, K. B.; Hori, T. Allylic Amination of Olefins and Acetylenes by Imido Sulfur Compounds. *J. Org. Chem.* **1976**, *41*, 176–177. (b) Sharpless, K. B.; Hori, T.; Truesdale, L. K.; Dietrich, C. O. Allylic Amination of Olefins and Acetylenes by Imido Selenium Compounds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 269–271. (c) Kresze, G.; Muensterer, H. Bis(methoxycarbonyl)sulfur Diimide, AConvenient Reagent for the Allylic Amination of Alkenes. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 3561–3564. (d) Katz, T. J.; Shi, S. A Simple Allylic Amination Procedure and the Metathesis of N-Sulfinylcarbamates. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 8297–8298.

(8) See the Supporting Information for a more comprehensive discussion of optimization studies.

(9) Neumeier, M.; Gschwind, R. M. Elongated Gilman Cuprates: The Key to Different Reactivities of Cyano- and Iodocuprates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 5765–5772.

(10) (a) Surry, D. S.; Buchwald, S. L. BiarylPhosphane Ligands in Palladium-CatalyzedAmination. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 6338–6361. (b) Martin, R.; Buchwald, S. L. Palladium-Catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling Reactions Employing Dialkylbiaryl-Phosphine Ligands. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 1461–1473.

(11) (a) Schlenk, W.; Wilh, S. Über die Konstitution der Grignardschen Magnesiumverbindungen. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. B **1929**, 62, 920–924. (b) Neufeld, R.; Teuteberg, T. L.; Herbst-Irmer, R.; Mata, R. A.; Stalke, D. Solution Structuresof Hauser Base iPr2NMgCl and Turbo-Hauser Base iPr2NMgCl·LiCl in THF andtheInfluenceofLiCl on theSchlenk-Equilibrium. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2016**, 138, 4796–4806.

(12) Yoshikai, N.; Zhang, S.-L.; Nakamura, E. Origin of the Regio- and Stereoselectivity of Allylic Substitution of Organocopper Reagents. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 12862–12863.

(13) Yoshikai, N.; Nakamura, E. Mechanisms of Nucleophilic Organocopper(I) Reactions. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112* (4), 2339–2372.

(14) (a) Harpp, D. N.; Vines, S. M.; Montillier, J. P.; Chan, T. H. Organic sulfur chemistry. Part XXII. The reaction of sulfinate esters with Grignard and organocopper lithium reagents. A useful route to chiral sulfoxides. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3987–3992. (b) Gendreau, Y.; Normant, J. F.; Villieras, J. Reaction of Organomagnesium with Allylic Sulfides and Sulfonium Salts Catalyzed by Copper-Salts. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 142, 1–7. (c) Julia, M.; Righini, A.; Verpeaux, J.-N. Couplage des sulfones allyliques avec des reactifs de grignarden presence de cuivresynthesed'olefines. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 20, 2393–2396. (d) Masaki, Y.; Sakuma, K.; Kaji, K. Regio-Selective and Stereo-Selective Gamma-Substitution of Allylic Sulfoxides and Sulfones with Lithium Dialkylcuprates - A New Synthesis of Trisubstituted Olefins. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 434–435. (e) Deleris, G.; Dunogues, J.; Gadras, A. Alkylation de terpenes en deux etapes par enereaction. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 2135–2138.

(15) For reviews, see: (a) Trost, B. M.; Van Vranken, D. L. Asymmetric Transition Metal-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylations. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 395-422. (b) Moberg, C.; Bremberg, U.; Hallman, K.; Svensson, M.; Norrby, P.-O.; Hallberg, A.; Larhed, M.; Csoregh, I. Selectivity and reactivity in asymmetric allylic alkylation. Pure Appl. Chem. 1999, 71, 1477-1483. (c) Trost, B. M.; Lee, C. In Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation Reactions; Wiley-VCH, 2000; pp 593-649. (d) Kazmaier, U. Palladium catalyzed allylic alkylations of nonstabilized enolates. Curr. Org. Chem. 2003, 7, 317-328. (e) Trost, B. M.; Crawley, M. L. Asymmetric Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylations: Applications in Total Synthesis. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2921-2943. (f) Helmchen, G.; Ernst, M.; Paradies, G. Application of allylic substitutions in natural products synthesis. Pure Appl. Chem. 2004, 76, 495-506. (g) Miyabe, H.; Takemoto, Y. Regio- and stereocontrolled palladium- or iridium-catalyzed allylation. Synlett 2005, 1641-1655. (h) Nishibayashi, Y.; Uemura, S. In C-C Bond Formation (part 2) by Substitution Reactions: Allylic Alkylation; Elsevier Ltd., 2007; pp 75-122. (i) Falciola, C. A.; Alexakis, A. Copper-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 2008 (22), 3765-3780. (j) Harutyunyan, S. R.; den Hartog, T.; Geurts, K.; Minnaard, A. J.;

## Journal of the American Chemical Society

Feringa, B. L. Catalytic Asymmetric Conjugate Addition and Allylic Alkylation with Grignard reagents. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 2824-2852. (k) Mori, M. In C-C Bond Formation by Metal-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation; Elsevier B.V., 2012; pp 74-99. (1) Hong, A.Y.; Stoltz, B. M. The Construction of All-Carbon Quaternary Stereocenters by Use of Pd-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation Reactions in Total Synthesis. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 2745-2759. (m) Tissot, M.; Li, H.; Alexakis, A. In Copper-Catalyzed Asymmetric Conjugate Addition and Allylic Substitution of Organometallic Reagents to Extended Multiple-Bond Systems; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2014; pp 69-84. (n) Liu, Y.; Han, S.-J.; Liu, W.-B.; Stoltz, B. M. Catalytic Enantioselective Construction of Quaternary Stereocenters: Assembly of Key Building Blocks for the Synthesis of Biologically Active Molecules. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 740-751. (o) Trost, B. M. Metal catalyzed allylic alkylation: its development in the Trost laboratories. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 5708-5733. (p) Hethcox, J. C.; Shockley, S. E.; Stoltz, B. M. Iridium-Catalyzed Diastereo-, Enantio-, and Regioselective Allylic Alkylation with Prochiral Enolates. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 6207-6213. (q) Hornillos, V.; Gualtierotti, J.-B.; Feringa, B. L. Asymmetric allylic substitutions using organometallic reagents. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2016, 58, 1-39 Progress in Enantioselective Cu(I)-Catalyzed Formation of Stereogenic Centers . (r) Qu, J.; Helmchen, G. Applications of Iridium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Substitution Reactions in Target-Oriented Synthesis. Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50, 2539-2555. (s) Shockley, S. E.; Hethcox, J. C.; Stoltz, B. M. Intermolecular Stereoselective Iridium-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation: An Evolutionary Account. Synlett 2018, 29, 2481-2492. (t) Trost, B. M.; Schultz, J. E. Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation Strategies for the Synthesis of Acyclic Tetrasubstituted Stereocenters. Synthesis 2019, 51, 1-30.