

Pergamon

0957-4166(95)00240-5

Preparation and Enantiomer Recognition of Chiral Azophenolic Crown Ethers Having three Chiral Barriers on each of the Homotopic Faces

Koichiro Naemura,* Masaki Asada, Keiji Hirose, and Yoshito Tobe

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560, Japan

Abstract. Homochiral azophenolic crown ethers 1 and 2 having three chiral barriers, that is, the phenyl group, the methyl group, and the cyclohexane moiety on each of the homotopic faces have been prepared. The enantiomer recognition toward chiral 2-aminoethanol derivatives has been examined.

Various kinds of chiral crown ethers exhibiting characteristic enantiomer recognition for chiral guests have been prepared.¹ Although a crown ether having three chiral barriers which are arranged trigonally around the 18-crown-6 framework creating well-defined large, medium, and small cavities is generally expected to show high chiral recognition for a guest having the three ligands attached to the chiral center, as far as we know, almost all of stereogenic crown ethers previously prepared contain one, or at the most two, chiral subunits and only a few papers have described the preparation of chiral crown ethers constructed using three chiral subunits.² Our interest in the enantiomer recognition behaviour of crown ethers³ led us to prepare chiral crown ethers having three chiral barriers. Herein we report the preparation of homochiral azophenolic crown ethers 1 and 2 which possess three chiral barriers, that is, the phenyl and the methyl substituents and the cyclohexane moiety on each of the homotopic faces. Their chiral recognition for chiral 2-aminoethanol derivatives is also examined.



The synthesis of the chiral subunit (S,S)-7 was carried out as shown in Scheme 1 starting from (S)-ethyl lectate. After protecting the hydroxyl group of (S)-3, reduction of the tetrahydropyranyl ether with LiAlH₄ gave (S)-4 (92% yield), which was converted into (S)-5 (84% yield), $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +13.2 (c 1.0, CHCl₃) by treatment with benzylchloride followed by hydrolysis. Reaction of (S)-5 with (\pm) -ethyl 2-bromopropionate in the presence of NaH followed by reduction with LiAlH₄ gave the mixture of (S,S)-6 and (S,R)-6 which was hydrogenated to give the diastereoisomeric mixture of 7 (49% yield). After ditosylation of the mixture of 7, diastereomerically and enantiomerically pure (S,S)-8, $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +3.4 (c 0.99, CHCl₃) was isolated by recrystallization from methanol.⁴

Condensation of (S,S)-8 with 2.0 mol equiv. of (R,R)-9 (>99% e.e.)⁵ followed by removal of the protecting groups gave (R,R)(S,S)(R,R)-10 (25% yield), $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ +7.8 (c 1.01, CHCl₃), which was condensed with 1,3-bis(bromomethyl)-2,5-dimethoxybenzene in the presence of NaH and KBF₄ under high dilution conditions to afford (R,R)(S,S)(R,R)-11 (33% yield), $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ -172 (c 1.01, CHCl₃). Treatment of 11 with LiAlH₄ gave 12 (71% yield), which was converted into (R,R)(S,S)(R,R)-1⁶ by oxidation with cerium (IV) ammonium nitrate followed by treatment with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydradine (Scheme 2). By the same sequence of reactions, (S,S)(S,S)(S,S)-2 was prepared from (S,S)-8 and (S,S)-9 (>99% e.e.)⁵ via (S,S)(S,S)(S,S)-11, $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ +111 (c 1.19, CHCl₃).



The association constants for the complexation of (R,R)(S,S)(R,R)-1 and (S,S)(S,S)(S,S)-2 with chiral and achiral amines in CHCl₃ were determined by the Benesi-Hildebrand Method⁷ with the aid of the self-colour-indicating properties of the azophenolic crown ether. The Ka-values together with the λ max-values of complexes are given in Table 1.

On the assumption that the phenolate oxygen atom necessarily parcipitates in binding amines and the hydroxyl group of 2-aminoethanols occupies the site near the phenolate oxygen atom to make additional

hydrogen bonding between the phenolate oxygen atom and the hydroxyl group of the amine stabilizing the complexes, the predicted geometries **13-18** of complexes are visualized by an examination of CPK molecular models of the complexes.

Table 1. Association constants Ka (M¹), the ratio of Ka-values, and absorption maxima of the crown ethers 1 and 2-amine complexes

	$\frac{(R,R)(S,S)(R,R)-1}{Ka^{*} \text{ relative ratio}}$ (\lambda max/nm in CHCl ₃)		(S,S)(S,S)(S,S)-2		5)-2
Amine			Ka relative ratio $(\lambda max/nm in CHCl_3)$		
ethanolamine	73.6	<u></u>		34.8	
	(585)			(580)	
2-methoxyethyl amine	6.23			7.93	
	(600)			(595)	
(S)-2-amino-1-propanol	19.7	2.04		9.72	1.00
	(580)			(570)	
(R)-2-amino-1-propanol	9.65	1.00		10.1	1.03
	(582)			(565)	
(S)-1-amino-2-propanol	48 .0	1.00		17.5	1.25
	(588)			(574)	
(R)-1-amino-2-propanol	59.3	1.24		14.0	1.00
	(582)			(577)	

^aDetermined by the Benesi-Hildebrand method at 25 °C in CHCl₃.

Compared with the low enantiomer selectivity (relative ratio of Ka-values=1.13) of the azophenolic crown ether having two chiral barriers, that is the phenyl group and the cyclohexane moiety towards 2-amino-1propanol,⁸ the methyl barrier improved the enantiomer selectivity of the (R,R)(S,S)(R,R)-1. The geometries 13 and 14 are illustrated for the (R,R)(S,S)(R,R)-1-2-amino-1-propanol complexes. The enantiomer selectivity observed is rationalized in terms of serious steric repulsion between the ligand R' of the amine and the cyclohexane barrier making the (R,R)(S,S)(R,R)-1-(R)-guest complex with the geometry 14 clearly less stable than the (R,R)(S,S)(R,R)-1-(S)-guest complex with the geometry 13.



In the case of complexation with 1-amino-2-propanol, the CH(CH₃)OH group is too bulky to occupy the site near the phenyl barrier. Thus the geometries 15 and 16 are illustrated for the complexes of (R,R)(S,S)(R,R)-1 with (R)-amine and with (S)-amine, respectively, and the geometries 17 and 18 for the complexes of (S,S)(S,S)(S,S)-2 with (R)-amine and with (S)-amine, respectively. The results are understandable in terms of steric repulsion between the methyl group of the guest and the cyclohexane barrier making the complexes

with the geometry 16 and with the geometry 17 unfavorable.

As mentioned above, the methyl chiral barrier in (R,R)(S,S)(R,R)-1 improved enantiomer selectivity towards the 2-aminoethanol derivative of a type LMSC*NH₂, but the binding ability of the crown ethers 1 and 2 towards amines examined here were rather reduced by an increase in steric repulsions between the barriers and the guest.

References and Notes:

- G. W. Gokel, and S. H. Korzeniowski, *Macrocyclic Polyether Syntheses*; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1982; J. F. Stoddart, Chiral Crown Ethers. *In Topics in Stereochemistry*; E. L. Eliel and S. H. Wilen, Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1988; Vol. 17, pp 207; S. Misumi, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 1993, 165, 163.
- F. de Jong, M. G. Siegel, and D. J. Cram, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1975, 551; D. G. Andrews, P. R. Ashton, D. A. Laidler, J. F. Stoddart, and J. B. Wolstenholme, Tetrahedron Lett., 1979, 2629.
- K. Naemura, and R. Fukunaga, Chem. Lett., 1985, 1651; K. Naemura, R. Fukunaga, and M. Yamanaka, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 1560; K. Naemura, M. Komatsu, K. Adachi, and H. Chikamatsu, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1986, 1675; K. Naemura, T. Matsumura, M. Komatsu, Y. Hirose, and H. Chikamatsu, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 239; K. Naemura, R. Fukunaga, M. Komatsu, M. Yamanaka, and H. Chikamatsu, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1989, 62, 83; K. Naemura, S. Takeuchi, K. Hirose, Y. Tobe, T. Kanada, and Y. Sakata, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 1995, 213.
- The synthesis of (±)-8 has been reported in the literature; D. G. Parsons, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 1975, 245.
- 5. All new compounds were fully characterized by IR, ¹H-NMR and MS or elemental analysis.
 (S,S)- 8; mp 82.0-82.5 °C; [α]_D²⁴ +3.4 (*c* 0.99, CHCl₃); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) 1.04 (6H, d, *J* 6.2, Me), 2.45 (6H, s, ArMe), 3.6-3.8 (2H, m, CH), 3.85 (4H, d, *J* 5.2, CH₂), 7.34 (4H, d, *J* 8.4, ArH) and 7.77 (4H, d, *J* 8.4, ArH); *m/z* (FAB⁺) 443 (MH⁺); (Found: C, 54.00; H, 5.7; S, 14.44. C₂₀H₂₆O₇S₂ requires C, 54.28; H, 5.92; S, 14.49%); (**R,R)**(S,S)(**R,R**)-1; IR(KBr): 3200, 2930, 2860, 1590, 1530, 1345, 1130, 1110, 1080, 1060 and 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 0.95 (6H, d, *J* 6.4, Me), 1.21-2.17 (16H, m, CH₂), 2.85 (2H, dd, *J* 9.2 and 5.5, OCH₂), 3.32 (2H, dd, *J* 9.2 and 5.5, OCH₂), 3.93 (2H, d, *J* 5.0, CH), 4.06-4.13 (2H, m, OCHMe), 4.68 (2H, d, *J* 10.8, ArCH₂), 4.75 (2H, d, *J* 10.8, ArCH₂), 7.23-7.51 (10H, m, ArH), 7.74 (2H, s, HOArH), 7.82 (1H, d, *J* 8.9, O₂NArH), 8.47 (1H, dd, *J* 8.9, 2.5, O₂NArH), 8.74 (1H, d, *J* 2.5, O₂NArH), 9.57 (1H, s, OH); λmax (CHCl₃)/nm 415 (log ε 4.34); *m/z* (FAB⁺) 795 (MH⁺); (S,S)(S,S)(S,S)-2; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 1.02 (6H, d, *J* 6.4, Me), 1.40-2.11 (16H, m, CH₂), 2.87 (2H, dd, *J* 8.5 and 6.1, OCH₂), 3.25 (2H, dd, *J* 8.5 and 6.1, OCH₂), 7.23-7.51 (10H, m, ArH), 7.83 (1H, d, *J* 8.9, O₂NArH), 8.74 (1H, dd, *J* 8.9, O₂NArH), 8.74 (1H, d, *J* 8.5 and 6.1, OCH₂), 3.25 (2H, dd, *J* 8.5 and 6.1, OCH₂), 4.02+4.11 (4H, m, CH and OCHMe), 4.67 (2H, d, *J* 10.9, ArCH₂), 4.72 (2H, d, *J* 10.9, ArCH₂), 7.23-7.51 (10H, m, ArH), 7.70 (2H, s, HOArH), 7.83 (1H, d, *J* 8.9, O₂NArH), 8.47 (1H, dd, *J* 8.9, C₃NArH), 8.74 (1H, d, *J* 2.3, O₂NArH), 10.1 (1H, s, OH); λmax (CHCl₃)/nm 415 (log ε 4.38); *m/z* (FAB⁺) 795 (MH⁺).
- 6. K. Naemura, H. Miyabe, Y. Shingai, and Y. Tobe, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 1993, 1073.
- 7. H. A. Benesi, and J. H. Hildebrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1949, 71, 742.
- K. Naemura, K. Ueno, S. Takeuchi, Y. Tobe, T. Kaneda, and Y. Sakata, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 8475.

(Received in Japan 20 June 1995)