

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 12 (2002) 2035-2037

Structure–Activity Relationships of Non-imidazole H₃ Receptor Ligands. Part 2: Binding Preference for D-Amino Acids Motifs

Ramin Faghih,* Wesley Dwight, Larry Black, Huaqing Liu, Robert Gentles, Kathleen Phelan, Timothy A. Esbenshade, Lynne Ireland, Thomas R. Miller, Chae-Hee Kang, Kathy M. Krueger, Gerard B. Fox, Arthur A. Hancock and Youssef L. Bennani

Neuroscience Research, Global Pharmaceutical Research and Development, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL 60064-6123, USA

Received 2 November 2001; accepted 11 March 2002

Abstract—Structure–activity relationship studies on novel non-imidazole, D-amino acid containing ligands of histamine 3 receptors are presented. A-304121 is a D-alanine piperazine amide with high affinity at the rat H_3 receptor. © 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Histamine mediates its action both in the CNS and the periphery through four distinct receptors (H₁-H₄) known to date.¹ Both agonists and antagonists of the histamine-3 receptor (H_3R) offer therapeutic potential since the receptor is involved in the presynaptic regulation of the release of not only histamine itself, but acetylcholine, gamma-aminobutyric acid, dopamine, noradrenaline and serotonin² as well. Potential therapeutic indications for novel H₃ ligands include cognitive disorders such as ADHD and Alzheimer's disease, or obesity.³ In the preceding paper, we described efforts to delineate a pharmacophore for H₃ receptor blockade starting from a high throughput screening lead A-923, a non-imidazole lipophilic arylketone.⁴ Early studies revealed the following: (1) the hydrophobic ketone region can be expanded somewhat both in size and substitution, (2) 'small-size' N-acyl substitutions with a basic site are tolerated and preferred, and (3) the piperazine basic site in A-923 is mandatory for binding/ recognition of the ligand at the rat H₃R. In order to add the desired physicochemical features to improve drug-like characteristics, we explored this system further and introduced amino-acid attachments with the goal of increasing basicity towards a better balance of basicity/ lipophilicity. We wish to report herein the SAR leading to the discovery of A-304121, a D-alanine derivative, which is a highly selective, potent ligand for the rat H_3 receptor.



the preceding paper (Scheme 1): the N-Boc group was removed under TFA/CH₂Cl₂ conditions. The free piperazine underwent N-Boc-amino-acid coupling using EDCI/DIEA/DMAP conditions to give compounds of the general structure in Figure 1. Table 1 displays binding results on human H1, H2, and rat cortical H3R for a select number of N-Boc and free amino-acid derivatives. These data are consistent with a trend of enhanced receptor affinity for N-Boc-D-amino acid containing compounds versus their natural (L) counterparts by a ratio of \sim 4–5. This trend was less pronounced when an additional basic site on the molecule was present (i.e., 8/9 and 16/17; free amino amides). The enhanced affinity was indicative of the potential to find potent and selective compounds. From our studies, we found the corresponding cyclopropyl ketone to be well tolerated both in radioligand binding and in PK.

Ketone 1 (R = Boc; Fig. 1) was prepared as described in

Intermediate 22 was prepared by treating commercially available chloro-4'-hydroxybutyrophenone 18 with concentrated NaOH and heat to induce cyclopropanation





0960-894X/02/\$ - see front matter \bigcirc 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. P11: S0960-894X(02)00310-4



Scheme 1. (a) NaOH, heat, 19; (b) Cl–(CH₂)₃–Br, K_2CO_3 , 2-butanone, reflux 24 h; (c) *N*-Boc-piperazine, KI/K₂CO₃, 2-butanone-reflux 72 h; (d) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt, 12 h.

followed by *O*-alkylation with 1-bromo-3-chloropropane to give **20** (65% yield for two steps). Further displacement with *N*-Boc-piperazine and removal of the protecting group gave piperazine derivative **22**. Alternatively, piperazine **22** can be prepared directly from chloride **20** and 3 mol/equivalents of piperazine under KI/K₂CO₃ conditions.

Further acylations with various *N*-Boc-amino-acids, according to standard coupling procedures (see above) gave the corresponding desired products. TFA treatment produced the unprotected amino-acid derivatives, which were characterized and tested as either TFA or L-tartaric acid salts. A representative subset of com-

pounds is shown in Table 2, along with their affinities at H_1 , H_2 and H_3 receptors.

Data from Table 2 highlights several pharmacophore features: (1) Preference for D-amino acid (AA's) substituents is clear for most examples (e.g., 24 vs 25; 53 vs 54); (2) small AA's enhance receptor affinity (e.g., 24, 25, 43, 47, 55, and 57), whereas large aromatic groups (e.g., 31, 34, 35, and 38) are poorly tolerated; exception can be made for groups with increased chain flexibility (e.g., 29) as well as groups containing a basic site (33), (3) β -alanine (e.g., 55) provides the highest affinity ligand at rat H₃ receptors in this series; (4) chain substitutions (e.g., 57–60) do not enhance binding affinity

Table 1. Binding affinities^a (pK_i) at rat cortical H₃ receptors and human H₁ and H₂ receptors⁵

Compd ^b : R	H_3	H_1	H_2	Compd: R	H_3	H_1	H_2
2: N-Boc-D-Ala	8.91	5.17	4.97	10 : D-Ala	8.72	4.45	4.39
3: N-Boc-D-Ser	8.62	4.77	4.64	11: D-Ser	8.15	4.33	4.46
4: N-Boc-D-Val	7.83	5.48	4.87	12 : D-Val	7.77	4.78	4.50
5: N-Boc-L-Val	7.01	4.97	4.90	13 : L-Val	7.10	4.72	4.42
6: N-Boc-D-Leu	7.84	5.33	5.35	14: D-Leu	7.21	4.84	4.85
7: N-Boc-L-Leu	6.95	5.09	5.46	15: L-Leu	6.77	5.01	4.78
8: N-Boc-D-4-Pyridyl-Ala	7.63	4.77	4.92	16: D-4-Pyridyl-Ala	8.04	5.48	4.43
9: N-Boc-L-4-Pyridyl-Ala	7.27	5.03	4.83	17: L-4-Pyridyl-Ala	7.99	5.64	4.46

^aValues were estimated from at least three separated competition experiments (SEM < 0.2).

^bSatisfactory ¹H NMR, MS spectra and elemental analyses were obtain for all new compounds.

Table 2. Binding affinities^a (pK_i) at rat cortical H_3 receptors and human H_1 and H_2 receptors

Compd: R	H_3	H_1	H_2	Compd: R	H_3	H_1	H_2
23: N-Boc-D-Ala	8.68	5.09	4.08	42 : D-β- <i>tert</i> -Butyl-Ala	7.28	4.66	4.72
24 : D-Ala	8.90	4.16	4.15	43 : D-2-Abu	8.80	4.15	4.00
25: L-Ala	7.69	4.27	4.38	44: D-tert-Leu	7.92	4.99	4.24
26 : <i>N</i> -Вос-D-Рго	6.92	4.33	4.30	45 : D-Nva	8.40	4.33	4.22
27: D-Pro	7.52	4.43	4.27	46 : D-β-Cyclohexyl-Ala	6.79	4.84	4.69
28 : <i>N</i> -Boc-D-Phe	8.40	5.26	5.00	47: Sarcosine	8.64	4.00	4.10
29 : D-HPhe	8.34	5.00	5.00	48: N-Isopropyl-D-Ala	8.06	4.33	4.30
30 : D-Thi	7.64	5.01	4.33	49: N-Methyl-D-Phe	7.36	4.87	4.75
31 : D-4-F-Phe	7.53	5.03	4.40	50: N-Methyl-D-Val	7.12	4.46	4.31
32: D-Thiazole-Ala	8.24	4.00	4.01	51 : (<i>R</i>)-4-Oxo-2-Azetidine	8.36	5.00	5.00
33 : D-His	8.34	4.02	4.05	52: (S)-4-Oxo-2-Azetidine	7.37	4.66	4.57
34: D-3-(1-Naphthyl)-Ala	6.67	5.70	4.68	53: D-Azetidine-2-CO	8.88	4.90	4.85
35 : D-3-Benzothienyl-Ala	6.54	5.36	4.82	54: L-Azetidine-2-CO	7.89	5.00	4.91
36 : D-Try	7.52	5.01	4.48	55 : β-Ala	9.30	4.55	4.00
37: D-Phg	7.56	5.34	4.61	56 : D-β-Hpro	8.33	4.02	4.05
38 : D-Biphenyl-Ala	6.88	4.92	4.69	57 : D-Abu	8.71	5.68	4.96
39 : D-(2-INDA)-Gly	7.58	4.97	4.72	58 : L-Abu	8.60	5.33	4.97
40: D-Cyano-Ala	7.54	4.34	4.14	59 : D-α-Methyl-β-Ala	8.19	5.82	4.99
41: D-O-tert-Butyl-Ser	8.07	4.79	4.34	60 : L-α-Methyl-β-Ala	8.05	5.76	4.97

^aSee corresponding footnotes in Table 1.

(note that these latter enantiomeric derivatives have minimal affinity advantage over one another).

From the above studies, we selected A-304121 and A-308830 (e.g., 24 and 55) based on their high affinity and selectivity for the rat H₃ receptor. D-Alanine derivative **24** and β -alanine **55** were tested at a number of biogenic amine receptors and transporters for the neurotransmitters norepinephrine, dopamine, and serotonin including different subtypes. Compounds 24 and 55 demonstrated low affinity at all of these sites generally with $pK_i < 5.00$. In order to test the hypothesis behind these studies, we evaluated the bioavailability of compounds 24 and 55 in rat after a dose of 5 mg/kg po. A-304121 showed an excellent oral bioavailability of 83% compared with 46 and 0%, respectively, for A-308830 (55) and A-923. Based on its bioavailability, potency and selectivity compound 24 (A-304121) was selected for further evaluation in models of in vitro functional activity. In the guinea pig isolated ileum assay⁶ in which histamine H_3 receptors mediate inhibition of neurogenic contractions, A-304121 demonstrated a pA_2 value of 6.98. Compound 24 was also tested for H₃ receptor antagonist activity in an assay with K⁺-evoked depolarization- induced release of [³H]-histamine from rat synaptosomes⁷ with pK_b of 8.75.

In summary, we have discovered highly potent H_3 receptor antagonists. Additionally A-304121 (24) and compound 55 were shown to be more than 1000-fold selective for the H_3 receptor subtype over the H_1 and H_2 receptor subtypes. Thus, A-304121 and several analogues represent highly potent antagonists of the H_3 receptor, with potential clinical utility for treating CNS-related diseases.

References and Notes

1. Hough, B. L. Mol. Pharmacol. 2001, 59, 415.

2. (a) Hill, S. J.; Gannelin, C. R.; Timmerman, H.; Schwartz, J. C.; Shankley, N. P.; Young, J. M.; Schunack, W.; Levi, R.; Haas, H. L. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **1997**, *49*, 253. (b) Arrang, J. M.; Garbarg, M.; Schwartz, J. C. *Nature* **1983**, *302*, 832. (c) Leurs, R.; Blandina, P.; Tedford, C.; Timmerman, H. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **1998**, *19*, 177. (d) Lovenberg, T. W.; Roland, B. L.; Wilson, S. J.; Jiang, X.; Pyati, J.; Huvar, A.; Jackson, M. R.; Erlander, M. G. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **1999**, *55*, 1101.

 (a) The Histamine H₃-Receptor: A Target for New Drugs. Leurs, R., Timmerman, H., Eds. Elsevier: Amersterdam, 1998.
(b) Yates, S. L.; Pawlowski, G. P.; Antal, J. M.; Ali, S. M.; Jiang, J.; Brunden, K. R. Soc. Neurosci Abstr. 2000, 26, 102. 10.
(c) Ligneau, X.; Lin, J.-S.; Vanni-Mercier, G.; Jouvet, M.; Muir, J. L.; Ganellin, C. R.; Stark, H.; Elz, S.; Schunack, W.; Schwartz, J.-C. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1998, 287, 658. (d) Passini, M. B.; Bacciottini, L.; Mannaioni, P. F.; Blandina, P. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 2000, 24, 107. (e) Ali, S. M.; Tedford, C. E.; Gregory, R.; Handley, M. K.; Yates, S. L.; Hirth, W. W.; Phillips, J. G. J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 903. (f) Stark, H.; Sadek, B.; Krausse, M.; Huls, A.; Ligneau, X.; Ganellin, C. R.; Arrang, J.-M.; Schwartz, J. C.; Schunack, W. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 3987.

4. Faghih, R.; Dwight, W.; Gentles, R.; Phelan, K.; Esbenshade, T.; Ireland, L.; Miller, T.; Kang, C.-H.; Krueger, K.; Hancock, A. A.; Bennani; Y. L. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* (see preceding paper).

5. Hancock, A. A.; Esbenshade, T. E. In *Current Protocols In Pharmacology*; Enna, S., Williams, M., Ferkany, J., Kenakin, T., Porsolt, R., Sullivan, J., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2000; Vol. 1.19, p. 1.

6. Ireland-Denny, L.; Parihar, A. S.; Miller, T. R.; Kang, C.-H.; Krueger, K. M.; Esbenshade, T. A.; Hancock, A. A. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2001**, *433*, 141.

7. Garbarg, M.; Arrang, J.-M.; Rouleau, A.; Ligneau, X.; Trung Tuong, M. D.; Schwartz, J.-C.; Ganellin, C. R. J. *Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1992**, *263*, 304.