

Silaborative Carbocyclizations of 1,7-Enynes. Diastereoselective Preparation of Chromane Derivatives

You-Cai Xiao and Christina Moberg*

KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Chemistry, Organic Chemistry, SE 10044 Stockholm, Sweden

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Palladium(0)-catalyzed carbocyclization of 1,7-enynes mediated by (chlorodimethylsilyl)pinacolborane proceeds with 1,8addition of the silicon and boron functions to give functionalized cyclohexane derivatives with boron attached to the exocyclic olefin. A variety of chromane dervatives are accessible by this method. In contrast to the analogous reactions with 1,6-enynes, the configuration of the newly formed stereogenic center is controlled by a stereogenic center present in the substrate.



C yclizations of 1,6-enynes catalyzed by a variety of metal complexes have been extensively studied, and numerous synthetically versatile methods for the construction of substituted five-membered carbocycles and heterocycles have emerged from these studies.¹ In contrast, examples of cycloisomerizations or other types of alkene—alkyne couplings of 1,7-enynes to provide six-membered rings are more rare, and the cyclized products are often obtained in moderate yields.²

Bismetallative cyclizations employing interelement compounds,³ which contain a bond between two main group elements, lead to cyclic products containing two reactive functional groups.⁴ Further functional group transformations of the primarily obtained products generate large structural diversity, thereby making bisfunctionalization—cyclizations synthetically powerful processes. Whereas such methods have been explored for 1,6-enynes, using Si–Sn, B–Sn, and B–Si interelement compounds,⁵ borastannylative carbocyclization of 1-ethenyl-2-(2-propyn-1-yloxy)benzene is the single example of a bisfunctionalization—cyclization generating a six-membered carbocycle.⁶ Instead of the desired cyclic compounds, products from 1,2-addition of the interelement compound to the triple bond⁷ are commonly obtained.⁶

Cárdenas and co-workers have also reported cyclizations of 1,7-enynes⁸ and dienynes⁹ with concomitant introduction of a single reactive group. Boron–boron compounds were used in these reactions, but as a result of the mode of activation, the reactions led to incorporation of only one boron function; with most substrates the product with boron at the terminal alkene carbon atom of the starting enyne was obtained as the sole isomer. A monofunctionalized cyclohexane derivative was also obtained by rhodium-catalyzed silylative cyclization of 4,4-bis(carbomethoxy)oct-7-en-1-yne, using PhMe₂SiH, which gave a low yield (34%) of a product from initial addition of Pd–Si to the alkyne and, thus, with the silyl function at the olefinic bond of the product.¹⁰

Silaborative cyclizations¹¹ are particularly attractive since the resulting products are nontoxic and possess functions with orthogonal reactivities, which can be transformed in a variety of

ways.¹² Our previous work included cyclization of 1,6-enyne **1** using (dimethylphenylsilyl)pinacolborane $(2a)^{13}$ to give **3** (Scheme 1).¹⁴ Dichloro-*N*,*N*-bis[2,6-(diisopropyl)phenyl]-

Scheme 1. Silaborative Cyclizations



imidazolium (3-chloropyridine) palladium, Pd-PEPPSI-IPr,¹⁵ served as an efficient catalyst for the process, which provided the products in excellent yields with control of regiochemistry as well as olefinic bond configuration, the latter being a consequence of the mechanism of the reaction.

The present work describes the extension of this method to 1,7-enynes. For these studies, (chlorodimethylsilyl)pinacolborane 2b,¹⁶ which exhibits higher reactivity than $2a^{17}$ and which results in products disposed for further transformations,¹⁸ was used (Scheme 2). Reaction of 4 with compound 2b in the presence of Pd-PEPPSI-IPr did not, however, yield the desired cyclic compound but resulted in 1,2-

Received: December 7, 2015



addition to the triple bond, evidently as a result of reductive elimination being more rapid than insertion of the alkene into the Pd–C bond. In contrast, use of $Pd_2(dba)_3 \cdot CHCl_3$ gave compound 5, the product from 1,8-silaboration, as a single diastereomer in a moderate yield of 51%.

Silaborative cyclization of 1-ethynyl-2-(2-propen-1-yloxy)benzene (6a) provided chromane derivative 7a, also as a single diastereomer. The effect of variation of the reaction conditions was studied using this substrate (Table 1). Best results were

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions^a

	← 2b - 6a		B(pin) SiMe ₂ O <i>i</i> -Pr 7a		
entry	catalyst	Si-B	solvent	temp (°C)	yield (%)
1	Pd-PEPPSI-IPr ^b	2b	THF	20	73
2	Pd-PEPPSI-IPr ^b	2b	THF	50	76
3	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃ ·CHCl ₃	2b	toluene	20	65
4	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃ ·CHCl ₃ /Cy ₃ P	2b	toluene	50	58
5	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃ ·CHCl ₃ /Ph ₃ P	2b	toluene	50	56
6	$(\eta^3$ -C ₃ H ₅)Pd-(Ph ₃ P)Cl	2b	toluene	20	60
7	Pd-PEPPSI-IPr ^b	2a	THF	50	_
8	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃ ·CHCl ₃	2a	toluene	50	_

^{*a*}Reaction time 48 h, 5 mol % catalyst, ligand/metal 1.2:1, **6a/2b** 1.2:1. 2-Propanol and pyridine were added prior to workup. ^{*b*}MeMgCl used for reduction of Pd(II).

obtained from reactions catalyzed by Pd-PEPPSI-IPr in THF (entries 1–2); at 50 °C, 76% of cyclized product was isolated. With this substrate, reaction in the presence of $Pd_2(dba)_3$ · CHCl₃ resulted in a lower yield (entry 3). Catalysts prepared from $Pd_2(dba)_3$ ·CHCl₃ and a phosphine ligand or (η^3 - C_3H_5)Pd(PPh₃)Cl also gave inferior results (entries 4–6). Even at 50 °C, no cyclized product was obtained from attempted addition of the less reactive silylborane **2a** (entries 7 and 8).

Since chromanes and chromenes¹⁹ display useful biological properties, it was of interest to examine whether substrates functionalized in the aromatic ring underwent the cyclization and at the same time study the influence of substituents on the reactivity. The reactions proceeded smoothly using substrates with both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents to give products 7b-g; no major impact of the electronic properties on the reactivity was observed (Figure 1).

By use of the same procedure, the *N*-tosyl analogue of **6a**, **8**, afforded hydroquinoline derivative **9** in good yield, and cyclization of enyne **10** produced an isomeric chromane, **11**, 20 employing catalytic Pd₂(dba)₃·CHCl₃ (Scheme 3).

Attempted cyclizations of 1,7-enynes 12-14 under the conditions specified in Table 1, entry 2, did not result in the desired product; from 13 and 14 the compounds from 1,2-addition to the triple bond were instead obtained as the single



Figure 1. Synthesis of chromane derivatives.

Scheme 3. Preparation of Tetrahydroquinoline and Chromene



products, and from attempted reaction of **12** only starting material was recovered. In analogy to **13**, 1,6-enynes with terminal olefinic substituents were unreactive, affording low yields of products.¹⁴ In contrast, 1,6-enyne **15** gave a cyclopentane derivative with an all-carbon quaternary center **(16)** in the presence of Pd-PEPPSI-IPr (Scheme 4).



Scheme 4. Cyclization of Substituted 1,6-Enyne



Only a few diastereoselective^{2a,c,k,p} or enantioselective^{1d,2a,j} cyclizations of 1,7-enynes to cyclohexane derivatives have been reported. We were pleased to observe that the relative configuration of the newly formed center could be controlled by substituents at sp³-centers of the 1,7-enyne. Thus, cyclization of *rac*-17 gave a 5:1 diastereomeric mixture of 18 (Scheme 5). Chiral 1,6-enynes reacted with lower selectivity.

Thus, under the same conditions, *rac*-19 gave cyclopentane derivative 20 as a 1.7:1 mixture of diastereomers.

Scheme 5. Cyclization of Chiral 1,7- and 1,6-Enynes



The trans-disubstituted enynes (R^*,S^*) -21 and (R^*,S^*) -22, with both substituents in an equatorial position, reacted with high diastereoselectivity to give bicyclic compounds 23 and 24, respectively, whereas cis isomer (R^*,R^*) -25, in which the alkyne substituent according to ¹H NMR occupies an axial position, gave a low yield of product when subjected to the same reaction, most likely since addition to the axial alkyne bond is sterically unfavored (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6. Diastereoselective Preparation of Bicyclic Compounds



The relative configurations of 18, 23, and 24 were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. In 18, NOE interactions between H_{6a} and H_2 as well as between H_{6a} and the methylene protons adjacent to silicon showed that the substituents are trans oriented with the silicon substituent occupying an axial position as also verified by the coupling constants (Figure 2). In contrast, the corresponding substituents in 23 and 24 were found to be cis, as shown by a NOE interaction between H_a and H_b .

The reaction is assumed to follow a mechanism analogous to that of similar processes, consisting of oxidative addition of the silylborane to Pd(0) followed by addition of PdSi and B to the alkyne and stereochemistry-determining insertion of the alkene



Figure 2. NOE interactions in 18 (left) and 24 (right).

into the carbon-palladium bond and final reductive elimination (Scheme 7).

Scheme 7. Mechanism for Silaborative Cyclization



The stereochemistry of products 23 and 24 can be rationalized by assuming a six-membered chair formed transition state (A, Figure 3). Assuming that the phenyl



Figure 3. Proposed transition states for stereochemistry-determining migratory insertion.

group in 17 is forced into an axial position (B, Figure 3), as a result of steric interaction with the olefinic bond when placed in equatorial position (C, Figure 3), the observed stereochemistry of the product can be explained.

In conclusion, we have developed an atom economic cyclization of 1,7-enynes, which provides cyclohexane derivatives containing reactive boron and silicon functional groups. With proper reaction conditions, products from 1,2-addition to the alkyne can usually be avoided. Cyclization of chiral substrates proceeds with high diastereoselectivity.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.5b03479.

Experimental procedures, characterization data, and copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: kimo@kth.se.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Carl Trygger Foundation for Scientific Research. We are grateful to Sigma-Aldrich for a generous gift of Pd-PEPPSI-IPr.

REFERENCES

(1) Reviews on metal-catalyzed cyclizations of 1,*n*-enynes: (a) Michelet, V.; Toullec, P. Y.; Genet, J.-P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, 47, 4268–4315. (b) Lee, S. I.; Chatani, N. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 371–384. (c) Watson, I. D. G.; Toste, F. D. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, 3,

С

2899–2919. (d) Marinetti, A.; Jullien, H.; Voituriez, A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012, *41*, 4884–4908.

(2) (a) Trost, B. M.; Czeskis, B. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 211-214. (b) Chatani, N.; Morimoto, T.; Muto, T.; Murai, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 6049-6050. (c) Trost, B. M.; Li, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 6625-6633. (d) Trost, B. M.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 714-715. (e) Fernández-Rivas, C.; Méndez, M.; Echavarren, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1221-1222. (f) Fernández-Rivas, C.; Méndez, M.; Nieto-Oberhuber, C.; Echavarren, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 5197-5201. (g) Krafft, M. E.; Boñaga, L. V. R.; Wright, J. A.; Hirosawa, C. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1233-1246. (h) Chatani, N.; Inoue, H.; Kotsuma, T.; Murai, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10294-10295. (i) Harada, K.; Tonoi, Y.; Kato, H.; Fukuyama, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 3829-3832. (j) Hatano, M.; Mikami, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4704-4705. (k) Trost, B. M.; Dong, L.; Schroeder, G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10259-10268. (1) Bajracharya, G. B.; Nakamura, I.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 892-897. (m) Miyanohana, Y.; Chatani, N. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2155-2158. (n) Ota, K.; Lee, S. I.; Tang, J.-M.; Takachi, M.; Nakai, H.; Morimoto, T.; Sakurai, H.; Kataoka, K.; Chatani, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15203-15211. (o) Huang, C.; Kothandaraman, P.; Koh, B. Q.; Chan, P. W. H. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 9067-9078. (p) Rao, W.; Sally; Koh, M. J.; Chan, P. W. H. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3183-3195. (q) Mailyan, A. K.; Krylov, I. M.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H.; Osipov, S. N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 5353-5363. (r) Kaminsky, L.; Clark, D. A. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5450-5453. (s) Yamashita, K.; Fujii, Y.; Yoshioka, S.; Aoyama, H.; Tsujino, H.; Uno, T.; Fujioka, H.; Arisawa, M. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 17491-17494.

(3) (a) Beletskaya, I.; Moberg, C. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 3435–3461.
(b) Suginome, M.; Ito, Y. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3221–3256.

(c) Beletskaya, I.; Moberg, C. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2320–2354.

(4) Cho, H. Y.; Morken, J. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 4368-4380.
(5) (a) Onozawa, S.-y.; Hatanaka, Y.; Tanaka, M. Chem. Commun.
1997, 1229-1230. (b) Onozawa, S.-y.; Hatanaka, Y.; Choi, N.; Tanaka, M. Organometallics 1997, 16, 5389-5391. (c) Mori, M.; Hirose, T.; Wakamatsu, H.; Imakuni, N.; Sato, Y. Organometallics
2001, 20, 1907-1909. (d) Sato, Y.; Imakuni, N.; Mori, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 488-491. (e) Sato, Y.; Imakuni, N.; Hirose, T.; Wakamatsu, H.; Mori, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 687, 392-402.
(6) Singidi, R. R.; Kutney, A. M.; Gallucci, J. C.; RajanBabu, T. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13078-13087.

(7) Apte, S.; Radetich, B.; Shin, S.; RajanBabu, T. V. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4053–4056.

(8) Pardo-Rodríguez, V.; Buñuel, E.; Collado-Sanz, D.; Cárdenas, D. J. Chem. Commun. **2012**, 48, 10517–10519.

(9) López-Durán, R.; Martos-Redruejo, A.; Buñuel, E.; Pardo-Rodríguez, V.; Cárdenas, D. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 10691–10693.

(10) Ojima, I.; Vu, A. T.; Lee, S.-Y.; McCullagh, J. V.; Moralee, A. C.;
Fujiwara, M.; Hoang, T. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9164–9174.
(11) (a) Ohmura, T.; Suginome, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2009, 82, 29–49.
(b) Oestreich, M.; Hartmann, E.; Mewald, M. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 402–441.

(12) (a) Si: Denmark, S. E.; Regens, C. S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1486–1499. (b) Chang, W.-T. T.; Smith, R. C.; Regens, C. S.; Bailey, A. D.; Werner, N. S.; Denmark, S. E. Org. React. 2011, 75, 213–745.
(c) B: Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457–2483.

(13) Tanaka and co-workers published one previous example using a different silylborane and obtained the product with inferior yield.

(14) (a) Gerdin, M.; Nadakudity, S. K.; Worch, C.; Moberg, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2010**, 352, 2559–2570. See also: (b) Ohmura, T.; Oshima, K.; Taniguchi, H.; Suginome, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 12194–12196.

(15) Organ, M. G.; Abdel-Hadi, M.; Avola, S.; Hadei, N.; Nasielski, J.; O'Brien, C. J.; Valente, C. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 150–157.

(16) Ohmura, T.; Masuda, K.; Furukawa, H.; Suginome, M. Organometallics 2007, 26, 1291–1294.

(17) Ohmura, T.; Masuda, K.; Suginome, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1526–1527.

(18) Zhou, H.; Moberg, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15992–15999.

(19) Majumdar, N.; Paul, N. D.; Mandal, S.; de Bruin, B.; Wulff, W. D. ACS Catal. **2015**, *5*, 2329–2366.

(20) This compound gave an analogous product by borastannylative cyclization; see ref 6.