

# Inhibition of C(2)-H Activity on Alkylated Imidazolium Monocations and Dications upon Inclusion by Cucurbit[7]uril


Shengke Li,<sup>†</sup> Ian W. Wyman,<sup>‡</sup> Chunming Wang,<sup>†</sup> Yitao Wang,<sup>†</sup> Donal H. Macartney,<sup>\*,‡</sup> and Ruibing Wang<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>State Key Laboratory of Quality Research in Chinese Medicine, Institute of Chinese Medical Sciences, University of Macau, Taipa, Macau SAR, China

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Chemistry, Queen's University, 90 Bader Lane, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada

## Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** The inclusions of 1-methyl-3-alkylimidazolium cations ( $\text{ICn}^+$ ,  $n = 4, 6, \text{ and } 8$ ) and 3,3'-bis(3-(1-methylimidazolium))-1, $n$ -alkane ( $\text{DICn}^{2+}$ ,  $n = 4, 6, \text{ and } 8$ ) in the macrocyclic cucurbit[7]uril result in a decrease (up to 25-fold) of the C(2)-H/D exchange rate constants and an increase in the C(2)-H  $\text{pK}_a$  values ( $\Delta\text{pK}_a = 0.34$  to 1.45). The alkyl chain lengths were found to play an important role in the extent of C(2)-H activity inhibition, upon complexation with cucurbit[7]uril.



The cucurbit[ $n$ ]urils ( $\text{CB}[n]$ , where  $n = 5-8, 10, 14$ ) are a family of macrocyclic host molecules consisting of paired-methylene bridged glycoluril units, whose remarkable binding behaviors toward a variety of guests have attracted significant interest.<sup>1</sup> Among the  $\text{CB}[n]$  congeners, the  $\text{CB}[7]$  (Figure 1)




Figure 1. Structures of  $\text{CB}[7]$ ,  $\text{BMIX}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{ICn}^+$ , and  $\text{DICn}^{2+}$ .

has received considerable attention because of both its superior water solubility and its capacity to encapsulate organic molecules of various sizes. Previous examples of the employment of  $\text{CB}[7]$  include inhibitors or catalysts in chemical and photochemical reactivity,<sup>1,2</sup> molecular drug carriers,<sup>3</sup> hosts for polyaromatic cations<sup>4</sup> and ferrocenes,<sup>5</sup> protectors for organic dyes,<sup>6</sup> macrocyclic receptors for enzymatic activity assays,<sup>7</sup> and hosts for fabricating multifunctional biointerfaces.<sup>8</sup> Moreover, we have previously observed that  $\text{CB}[7]$  can facilitate a novel switching in the fluorescence behavior of protonated 2-aminoanthracene,<sup>9</sup> as a result of ground-state and excited-state  $\text{pK}_a$  shifts upon inclusion in the cavity. Similarly, Nau and co-workers also reported a ground-state  $\text{pK}_a$  shift of another

aromatic amine, protonated neutral red, upon inclusion in  $\text{CB}[7]$ .<sup>10</sup> The occurrence of complexation induced  $\text{pK}_a$  shifts due to encapsulation of various guests by  $\text{CB}[7]$  has received significant attention during the past decade.<sup>1,11</sup> We have demonstrated that carbon acids also exhibit  $\text{pK}_a$  shifts upon encapsulation by  $\text{CB}[7]$ .<sup>12</sup> The inclusion of an  $\alpha, \alpha'$ -bis(3-(1-methylimidazolium))- $p$ -xylene dication ( $\text{BMIX}^{2+}$ , Figure 1) in  $\text{CB}[7]$  significantly inhibited the C(2)-H activity (3.1  $\text{pK}_a$  shift) of the imidazolium salt in water through optimized C(2)-H...O=C hydrogen bonding interactions between the guest and the host.<sup>12a</sup> Similarly, we have recently observed that the  $\text{CB}[7]$  complexation of thiamine and its mono- and diphosphate derivatives also resulted in increases in the  $\text{pK}_a$  of the C(2)-proton, in a more modest manner.<sup>12b</sup>


The C(2)-H activity of imidazolium cations<sup>13</sup> is of importance in the development of precursors for carbenes,<sup>14</sup> ionic liquids,<sup>15</sup> and antimalarial drugs.<sup>16</sup> Consequently, it is anticipated that the inclusion of a series of imidazolium cations by  $\text{CB}[7]$  may have applications in altering and/or controlling the stability of these compounds in aqueous solution, which may thus have implications in the modification of their physical, chemical, and biological properties.

We expanded this research to encompass the complexation between  $\text{CB}[7]$  and a series of imidazolium based model compounds, including alkylated imidazolium monocations (1-methyl-3-butyl-imidazolium ( $\text{IC4}^+$ ), 1-methyl-3-hexyl-imidazolium ( $\text{IC6}^+$ ), 1-methyl-3-octyl-imidazolium ( $\text{IC8}^+$ )) and dications (3'-bis(1-methyl-imidazolium)-1,4-butane ( $\text{DIC4}^{2+}$ ), 3,3'-bis(1-methyl-imidazolium)-1,6-hexane ( $\text{DIC6}^{2+}$ ), 3,3'-bis(1-methyl-imidazolium)-1,8-octane ( $\text{DIC8}^{2+}$ )) (Figure 1). In addition, the effects of encapsulation by  $\text{CB}[7]$  on the C(2)-H

Received: August 6, 2016

activity of these imidazolium cations with different alkyl chain lengths were investigated.

The host–guest interactions of the imidazolium cations  $\text{ICn}^+$  and  $\text{DICn}^{2+}$  ( $n = 4, 6, \text{ and } 8$ ) with  $\text{CB}[7]$  were investigated using  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy in  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  (containing  $0.10 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$   $\text{NaCl}$ ) solution. All six imidazolium guests formed 1:1 host–guest complexes with their hydrophobic alkyl groups included in the cavity of  $\text{CB}[7]$ . In the cases of  $\text{DIC4}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{DIC6}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{DIC8}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{IC8}^+$ , the exchange rates between the free and  $\text{CB}[7]$ -bound guests were all slow on the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR time scale. In contrast, the exchange rates between the free and  $\text{CB}[7]$ -bound  $\text{IC4}^+$  and  $\text{IC6}^+$  were both fast on the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR time scale. The slow-exchange  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum (Figure 2 for




**Figure 2.**  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra of  $\text{DIC6}^{2+}$  guest in the absence (bottom) and presence of 0.3 equiv (middle) and 1.2 equiv of  $\text{CB}[7]$  (top). The  $\text{CB}[7]$  and  $\text{HOD}$  peaks are labeled as (●) and (○), respectively.


$\text{DIC6}^{2+}$ ; Figures S1, S3, S4 for  $\text{DIC4}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{DIC8}^{2+}$ , and  $\text{IC8}^+$ ) displays separate proton resonances corresponding to both the free and bound  $\text{DIC6}^{2+}$  molecules in the presence of 0.3 equiv of  $\text{CB}[7]$ . In the presence of 1.2 equiv of  $\text{CB}[7]$ , the three resonances of the hexyl protons ( $\text{H}\alpha$ ,  $\text{H}\beta$ ,  $\text{H}\gamma$ ) in the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum have migrated significantly upfield, indicating that the hexyl group is included in the hydrophobic cavity. Meanwhile, the two imidazolium protons ( $\text{H4}$  and  $\text{H5}$ ) as well as the  $\text{C}(2)$ -proton of its inclusion complex have only moved upfield in a modest fashion from those of the free guest, indicative of their positions in the shallow area of the cavity of  $\text{CB}[7]$ . The resonance of the methyl protons ( $\text{H1}$ ) have moved downfield, indicating that the methyl group is likely situated outside of the cavity of  $\text{CB}[7]$ , where it is deshielded by the carbonyl groups that line the portals.

Instead, the representative fast-exchange  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum (Figure 3 for  $\text{IC6}^+$ , Figure S2 for  $\text{IC4}^+$ ) shows only one set of  $^1\text{H}$  NMR resonances for  $\text{IC6}^+$  in the presence of 0.3 equiv of  $\text{CB}[7]$ . Similar to those of  $\text{DIC6}^{2+}$ , in the presence of 1.2 equiv of  $\text{CB}[7]$ , the hexyl group and the  $\text{C}(2)$ -proton were included within the cavity of  $\text{CB}[7]$ , whereas the methyl group ( $\text{H1}$ ) is also likely situated outside of the cavity of  $\text{CB}[7]$ .

In addition to  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy, Job plots (continuous variation UV–visible absorption), and electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) measurements were employed to further confirm the 1:1 binding stoichiometry of these supramolecular complexes. The Job plots, with a maximum absorbance change at a mole ratio of 0.5, are consistent with the conclusions from  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra, as the representative Job plots (Figure 4 for  $\text{DIC6}^{2+}$  and  $\text{IC6}^+$ , others Figures S11–S12)



**Figure 3.**  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra of  $\text{IC6}^+$  guest in the absence (bottom) and presence of 0.3 equiv (middle) and 1.2 equiv of  $\text{CB}[7]$  (top). The  $\text{CB}[7]$  and  $\text{HOD}$  peaks are labeled as (●) and (○), respectively.



**Figure 4.** Job plots of the complexations of  $\text{IC6}^+$  (▽) and  $\text{DIC6}^{2+}$  (▲) by  $\text{CB}[7]$ .

are indicative of a 1:1 binding stoichiometry between the host and the guests. The 1:1 binding stoichiometry was also supported by the mass spectra, all of which showed singly and/or doubly charged peaks with  $m/z$  values corresponding to the inclusion complexes (Figures S5–S10).

As was the case with the  $\text{BMIX}^{2+}$  guest, it was not possible to calculate the stability constants directly by using either  $^1\text{H}$  NMR or UV–visible titrations of the imidazolium cations with  $\text{CB}[7]$ , because of their high binding affinities. Instead,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR competition experiments were carried out by using a reasonably strong binding guest with a known stability constant with  $\text{CB}[7]$  as a competitive binding agent (3-(trimethylsilyl)-propionic-2,2,3,3- $d_4$  acid).<sup>17</sup> Using a limiting amount of  $\text{CB}[7]$ , with excess amounts of the competitor and the imidazolium cation, the stability constants for the six complexes were calculated (Figures S13–S18) and are presented in Table 1.

The  $\text{IC4}^+$  has been studied previously with  $\text{CB}[n]$  molecules, with host–guest complexes observed with  $\text{CB}[6]$ ,<sup>18</sup>  $\text{CB}[7]$ , and  $\text{CB}[8]$ .<sup>19</sup> With  $\text{CB}[7]$ , Biczók and co-workers have reported stability constants of  $6.9 \times 10^6$ ,  $2.0 \times 10^7$ , and  $1.1 \times 10^7 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$ , for  $\text{IC4}^+$ ,  $\text{IC6}^+$ , and  $\text{IC8}^+$ , respectively, determined by ITC measurements, and slightly lower values using competitive fluorescence methods. Our values, using competitive NMR measurements, with host and  $\text{ICn}^+$  guest concentrations much higher than those used for fluorescence and ITC measurements, are larger. The  $K_{\text{CB}[7]}$  values for the present series of cations and dications with  $\text{CB}[7]$  demonstrated an increase

**Table 1.** C(2)-H/D Exchange Rate Constants,  $pK_a$  Values, and CB[7] Host–Guest Stability Constants for the Monocationic and Dicationic Imidazolium Guests

imidazolium cation	$10^2 k_{DO}$ ( $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	$pK_a$	$\Delta pK_a$	$10^9 K_{CB}$ ( $\text{dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$ )
IC4 <sup>+</sup>	$2.188 \pm 0.150$	$23.04 \pm 0.03$	$0.34 \pm 0.09$	$1.04 \pm 0.13$
{IC4-CB[7]} <sup>+</sup>	$1.007 \pm 0.138$	$23.38 \pm 0.06$		
DIC4 <sup>2+</sup>	$5.876 \pm 0.135$	$22.61 \pm 0.01$	$0.70 \pm 0.08$	$1.37 \pm 0.17$
{DIC4-CB[7]} <sup>2+</sup>	$1.187 \pm 0.190$	$23.31 \pm 0.07$		
IC6 <sup>+</sup>	$2.669 \pm 0.486$	$22.96 \pm 0.08$	$1.38 \pm 0.09$	$4.54 \pm 0.55$
{IC6-CB[7]} <sup>+</sup>	$0.109 \pm 0.003$	$24.34 \pm 0.01$		
DIC6 <sup>2+</sup>	$3.723 \pm 0.342$	$22.81 \pm 0.04$	$1.45 \pm 0.12$	$11.6 \pm 1.4$
{DIC6-CB[7]} <sup>2+</sup>	$0.134 \pm 0.024$	$24.26 \pm 0.08$		
IC8 <sup>+</sup>	$2.142 \pm 0.197$	$23.05 \pm 0.04$	$0.66 \pm 0.10$	$2.11 \pm 0.25$
{IC8-CB[7]} <sup>+</sup>	$0.471 \pm 0.065$	$23.71 \pm 0.06$		
DIC8 <sup>2+</sup>	$3.998 \pm 0.458$	$22.78 \pm 0.05$	$0.86 \pm 0.17$	$18.6 \pm 2.3$
{DIC8-CB[7]} <sup>2+</sup>	$0.569 \pm 0.153$	$23.64 \pm 0.12$		
BMIX <sup>2+α</sup>	$12.00 \pm 1.000$	$22.30 \pm 0.50$	$3.10 \pm 1.00$	$4.30 \pm 0.80$
{BMIX-CB[7]} <sup>2+α</sup>	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$25.4 \pm 0.50$		

<sup>a</sup>From ref 12a.

with the charge on the guest, as it would be anticipated that higher stability constants between CB[7] and the bis-(imidazolium) cations than the monimidazolium cations. We have observed a similar range of  $K_{CB[7]}$  values ( $10^8$ – $10^{10} \text{ dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$ ) for dications  $R(\text{CH}_2)_n\text{R}^{2+}$  ( $n = 4, 6$ , and/or  $8$ ) with  $R =$  isoquinolinium,<sup>20</sup> 4-pyridinium,<sup>21</sup> and trimethylammonium.<sup>22</sup> Interestingly, the stability constants maximize at IC6<sup>+</sup> and DIC8<sup>2+</sup>, for the monocations and dications respectively, likely due to the favorability of having six to eight methylene groups within the cavity.

Complexation with CB[7] can influence the behavior of the guest in various ways. In the case of the BMIX<sup>2+</sup> dication, we have previously reported the inhibition of its C(2)-H activity, demonstrated by slowing-down of C(2)-H/D exchange, as well as a  $pK_a$  shift, upon complexation with CB[7].<sup>12a</sup> By employing the same methodologies, the first-order rate constants, the second-order rate constants, and the  $pK_a$  values of these imidazolium cations in the absence and presence of CB[7] were all evaluated.

The H/D exchange in the absence and presence of CB[7] was monitored via <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy (400 MHz). The first-order rate constants for H/D exchange of these imidazolium cations in the absence and presence of CB[7] at different pD environments were determined from the semi-logarithmic plots of the reaction progress  $R$  against time (Figures S19–S24).<sup>13</sup> Under these conditions, the second-order rate constants  $k_{DO}$  for the DO<sup>−</sup> catalyzed deuterium exchange of the imidazolium cations C(2)–proton, in the absence and presence of CB[7], can be obtained from a linear fit of  $\log k_{ex}$  against pD (eq 1) for the deuterium exchanges of eq 1 by using the data of first-order rate constants  $k_{ex}$  and pD values (Figure S25).

$$\log k_{ex} = \log(k_{DO}K_W/\gamma_{OL}) + pD \quad (1)$$


The second-order rate constants for C(2)-H/D exchange were determined in the absence and presence of CB[7] from the  $y$ -axis intercepts, and these values are listed in Table 1. The values of  $k_{DO}$  are very similar for the monocations ( $2 \times 10^2 \text{ dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ ), with the dications exhibiting rate constants ( $(4\text{--}6) \times 10^2 \text{ dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) approximately double those of the corresponding monocations. The values observed for the monocations are very similar to the value of  $2.47 \times 10^2 \text{ dm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$  for the 1,3-dimethylimidazolium ( $I = 1.0 \text{ M}$  (KCl)), and the different

aliphatic chain lengths should have no effect on the exchange rate constants. The higher values for the DIC<sup>n2+</sup> dications, as well as BMIX<sup>2+</sup>, reflects the presence of two sites for exchange.

The second-order rate constants for the C(2)-H/D exchange of all imidazolium cations decreased upon complexation with CB[7]. The decreases were more significant for the IC6<sup>+</sup> and DIC6<sup>2+</sup> guests than either the IC4<sup>+</sup>/DIC4<sup>2+</sup> or IC8<sup>+</sup>/DIC8<sup>2+</sup> sets of guests. Accordingly, the C(2)-H  $pK_a$  values for these imidazolium cations in the absence and presence of CB[7] were estimated based on the second-order rate constants  $k_{DO}$ .<sup>13</sup> Similarly, the values of  $pK_a$  for the imidazolium guests increase upon CB[7] complexation, with the largest changes observed for DIC6<sup>2+</sup> and IC6<sup>+</sup>, reflective of the changes in the H/D exchange rate constants.

The proposed mechanism for the inhibition of the C(2)-H activity involves the C–H...O=C hydrogen bond formation between the imidazolium cations and the carbonyl portals of CB[7]. The binding mode and geometry play crucial roles in defining optimal hydrogen bonding interactions, which may ultimately influence the reactivity of the C(2)–proton. For both the monocation and dication series, the  $pK_a$  shifts vary moderately with the polymethylene chain length. The different lengths of the alkyl chain lead to noticeable differences in binding geometries between the hydrophilic imidazolium C(2)-H and the carbonyl oxygens of CB[7] portals. Generally, the most significant  $pK_a$  shifts exhibited by both DIC6<sup>2+</sup> and IC6<sup>+</sup> may be attributed to the hexyl chain providing better binding geometry for optimal C(2)-H...O=C bonding between the imidazolium and CB[7] than is available for the imidazolium cations bearing shorter butyl and longer octyl chains. To provide further evidence, the energy-minimized structures of these complexes were determined from *ab initio* calculations (via the HF method using the 3-21G\*\* basis set; see Supporting Information).<sup>23</sup> As illustrated in Figure 5, only in the case of DIC6<sup>2+</sup> and IC6<sup>+</sup> is the imidazolium moiety located directly in front of the carbonyl portals to facilitate the formation of the optimal hydrogen bonding. Meanwhile, in the cases of both DIC4<sup>2+</sup> and IC4<sup>+</sup> (Figure S26) the C(2)-H is somewhat pulled inside the carbonyl portals and therefore is restricted for optimal hydrogen bonding. Finally, in the cases of DIC8<sup>2+</sup> and IC8<sup>+</sup> (Figure S26), the C(2)-H interaction with the portal oxygens is too flexible. The hydrogen bond lengths and angles predicted by these energy minimized structures may





**Figure 5.** Energy-minimized structures (HF/3-21G\*\* basis set) of {DIC6·CB[7]}<sup>2+</sup> (left), {IC6·CB[7]}<sup>+</sup> (right).

also support this assumption. The distances between the C(2)–hydrogen of DIC6<sup>2+</sup> (or IC6<sup>+</sup>) and the carbonyl oxygens are all in the range of 2.26 to 2.35 Å, while the C–H···O bond angles vary from 142.3° to 148.8°. The hydrogen bonds between the other alkylated imidazolium cations and CB[7] (Supporting Information) have either larger distances between C(2)–H and the carbonyl oxygens or smaller C–H···O angles.

The increase in pK<sub>a</sub> of BMIX<sup>2+</sup> is larger than those of the alkylated imidazolium cations examined in this article. This might be attributed to the optimal length and the rigidity of the hydrophobic xylene linker. Once the xylene group is encapsulated, the two imidazolium rings are anchored to the portals to form hydrogen bonding interactions between the C(2)-proton and the CB[7] portal oxygens. Meanwhile, the rigid xylene linker prevents the imidazolium rings from swinging around to break the hydrogen bonding, in contrast to the flexible alkyl chains of the guests reported herein.

In conclusion, the antimetastable drugs and ionic liquid/carbene precursor imidazolium salts form very stable complexes with CB[7] in aqueous solution. Upon complexation, the C(2)-H/D exchange rates of these guests are greatly slowed down and the acidity of these carbon acids decreases accordingly. The lengths of the alkyl chain play an important role in the binding geometry, thus influencing the strength of hydrogen bonding between C(2)-H and CB[7] carbonyl oxygens, and the associated C(2)-H activity. Our findings might be employed in the design and fabrication of activity-controllable imidazolium based compounds of both biomedical and material interest.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

The 1D <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded using a 400 M NMR spectrometer. The UV–visible spectra were all acquired on a diode array UV–visible spectrometer using quartz cells with a 1.0 cm path length. The ESI-MS spectra were acquired using a Single Quadrupole MS spectrometer equipped with an ESI/APCI multiprobe. The modeled structures of the host–guest complexes were calculated via energy minimizations using the Gaussian 03 (Revision C.02) program at the High Performance Virtual Computing Laboratory (HPVCL) at Queen's University.<sup>23</sup> The structures of the complexes were originally constructed using ChemDraw and Chem3D (ChemOffice 7.0, CambridgeSoft programs) and subsequently imported into Gaussian 03. The basis set used for the calculations was HF/3-21G\*\*.

The C(2)-H/D exchange reactions were followed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, and the reaction progress, *R*, was calculated from the integration of the C(2)–proton with an internal reference of the methylene protons (4H) at zero time and time *t*, according to the equation  $R = (I_{2H})_t / (I_{2H})_0 = (I_{2H})_t / 2$ .<sup>24</sup> The first-order rate constants were determined from the slopes of the linear semilogarithmic plots of the reaction progress against the reaction time ( $\ln R = -k_{ex}t$ ).

**Materials.** The acetate and phosphate buffer solutions (total buffer concentration of 0.050 mol dm<sup>−3</sup> for each kinetic experiment) were prepared by the requisite addition of DCl (Aldrich, 35 wt % in D<sub>2</sub>O)

to D<sub>2</sub>O solutions of sodium acetate and sodium phosphate (Aldrich), respectively. The ionic strength was adjusted to 0.20 mol dm<sup>−3</sup> using NaCl. Each imidazolium cation was mixed with CB[7] in a 1:1.2 (imidazolium salt: CB[7]) molar ratio in buffered D<sub>2</sub>O and sonicated for 20 s after mixing.

**Synthesis of CB[7] and the Imidazolium Salts.** Cucurbit[7]uril was synthesized via the method reported by Day and co-workers.<sup>25</sup> The imidazolium salts were synthesized according to a literature method.<sup>26</sup>

**3,3'-Bis(1-methyl-imidazolium)-1,4-butane Dibromide ([DIC4]-Br<sub>2</sub>).** 1-Methylimidazole (1.0 g, 12 mmol) was reacted with 1,4-dibromobutane (0.71 mL, 6.0 mol) in 25 mL of anhydrous THF under reflux for about 18 h. The oily product was layered out of solvent by adding ether, and an orange oil was collected by decanting the supernatant out of the reaction vessel and then washed with ether three times. Yield: 1.7 g (yield 75%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, 400 MHz) δ 8.63 (s, 2H), 7.36 (d, 2H, *J* = 1.5 Hz), 7.33 (d, 2H, *J* = 1.5 Hz), 4.14 (br, 4H), 3.78 (s, 6H), 1.79 (br, 4H) ppm. Lit.<sup>27</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, 400 MHz) δ 7.39 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 4H), 4.18 (s, 4H), 3.82 (s, 6H), 1.83 (s, 4H) ppm. HRMS (ESI/TOF-Q) *m/z*: [M+Br]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>4</sub>Br 299.0871; found 299.0885.

**3,3'-Bis(1-methyl-imidazolium)-1,6-hexane Dibromide ([DIC6]-Br<sub>2</sub>).** 1-Methylimidazole (0.50 g, 6.0 mmol) was reacted with 1,6-dibromohexane (0.46 mL, 3.0 mmol) in 15 mL of anhydrous THF under reflux for about 18 h, after cooling in an ice–water bath, and the white precipitate was filtered and washed with THF and ether three times, respectively, and dried in a vacuum oven. Yield: 0.70 g (58%). Mp 26–27 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, 400 MHz) δ 8.62 (s, 2H), 7.38 (s, 2H), 7.34 (s, 2H), 4.10 (t, 4H, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 3.80 (s, 6H), 1.77 (br, 4H); 1.25 (br, 4H) ppm. Lit.<sup>27</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, 400 MHz) δ 7.36 (d, *J* = 14.8 Hz, 4H), 4.10 (t, 4H, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 4H), 3.80 (s, 6H), 1.78 (s, 4H); 1.26 (s, 4H) ppm. HRMS (ESI/TOF-Q) *m/z*: [M + Br]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>Br 327.1184; found 327.1177.

**3,3'-Bis(1-methyl-imidazolium)-1,8-octane Diiodide ([DIC8]I<sub>2</sub>).** 1-Methylimidazole (1.0 g, 12 mmol) was reacted with 1,8-diiodooctane (1.2 mL, 6.0 mol) in 25 mL of anhydrous THF under reflux for about 18 h. Cooling the reaction mixture in an ice–water bath yielded an off-white precipitate, which was filtered, washed three times with THF and ether, respectively, and dried in a vacuum oven. Yield: 2.8 g (yield 88%). Mp 92–93 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, 400 MHz) δ 8.61 (s, 2H), 7.38 (s, 2H), 7.34 (s, 2H), 4.09 (t, 4H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 3.80 (s, 6H), 1.77 (m, 4H); 1.22 (m, 4H) ppm. (Lit.<sup>28</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (90% H<sub>2</sub>O/10% D<sub>2</sub>O, 600 MHz) δ 8.59 (2H), 7.35 (2H), 7.31 (2H), 4.06 (4H), 3.77 (6H), 1.73 (4H), 1.18 (4H) ppm. HRMS (ESI/TOF-Q) *m/z*: [M + I]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>4</sub>I 403.1359; found 403.1365.

**1-Methyl-3-butyl-imidazolium Iodide ([IC4]I).** A solvent-free sonochemical preparation method was used to synthesize the [IC<sub>*n*</sub>]X imidazolium salts.<sup>26b</sup> 1-Methylimidazole (0.82 mL, 10 mmol) was mixed with 1-iodobutane (1.26 mL, 11 mmol) and sonicated for 2 h, to produce a light yellow oil. This product was washed with ether three times and dried in an oven. The final product was obtained as a light yellow oil in a yield of 1.5 g (56%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, 400 MHz) δ 8.61 (s, 1H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.32 (s, 1H), 4.09 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 1.72 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 1.21 (q, 2H, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 0.82 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.2 Hz) ppm. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 9.15 (s, 1H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.71 (s, 1H), 4.17 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 3.85 (s, 3H), 1.76 (qn, 2H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 1.23 (qn, 2H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 0.88 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.4 Hz) ppm. (Lit.<sup>29</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, 250 MHz) δ 9.20 (s, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1H), 7.70 (s, 1H), 4.20 (t, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 1.87 (m, 2H), 1.25 (m, 2H), 0.85 (t, 3H) ppm). HRMS (ESI/TOF-Q) *m/z*: [M]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>2</sub> 139.1235; found 139.1241.

**1-Methyl-3-hexyl-imidazolium Bromide ([IC6]Br).** 1-Methylimidazole (0.82 mL, 10 mmol) was mixed with 1-bromohexane (1.54 mL, 11 mmol) and sonicated for 4 h. The colorless oil product was washed three times with ether and dried in an oven. 1.7 g (69%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, 400 MHz) δ 8.60 (s, 1H), 7.37 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.5 Hz), 7.32 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.5 Hz), 4.09 (t, 2H, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 7.1 Hz), 3.79 (s, 3H), 1.76 (qn, 2H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 1.19 (br, 6H), 0.75 (t, 3H, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 6.9 Hz) ppm. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 10.34, 7.60, 7.44, 4.30, 4.11, 1.89, 1.29, 0.85 ppm. Lit.<sup>30</sup> (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 60 MHz) δ 8.41 (s, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.33 (s,

1H), 4.03 (t, 2H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 1.93–1.11 (m, 8H), 0.81 (t, 3H). HRMS (ESI/TOF-Q)  $m/z$ :  $[M]^+$  calcd for  $C_{10}H_{19}N_2$  167.1548; found 167.1551.

**1-Methyl-3-octyl-imidazolium Bromide ([IC8]Br).** 1-Methylimidazole (0.82 mL, 10 mmol) was mixed with 1-bromooctane (1.9 mL, 11 mmol) and sonicated for 5 h. A yellow oily product was washed with ether three times and dried in an oven. 1.5 g (yield: 55%).  $^1H$  NMR ( $D_2O$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.59 (s, 1H), 7.36 (d, 1H,  $J = 1.6$  Hz), 7.32 (d, 1H,  $J = 1.6$  Hz), 4.08 (t, 2H,  $J = 7.1$  Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 1.75 (qn, 2H,  $J = 7.2$  Hz), 1.17 (m, 10H), 0.75 (t, 3H,  $J = 6.6$  Hz);  $^1H$  NMR ( $CDCl_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  10.42 (s, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 4.31 (t, 2H,  $J = 7.4$  Hz), 4.13 (s, 3H), 1.91 (qn, 2H,  $J = 7.1$  Hz), 1.32–1.24 (m, 10H), 0.86 (t, 3H,  $J = 6.8$  Hz) ppm. (Lit.<sup>30</sup>  $^1H$  NMR ( $[C_8mim]Cl$ ,  $CDCl_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  10.33 (s, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 4.16 (t, 2H,  $J = 7.4$  Hz), 3.97 (s, 3H), 1.75 (m, 2H), 1.10 (m, 10H), 0.70 (t, 3H,  $J = 7$  Hz) ppm.) HRMS (ESI/TOF-Q)  $m/z$ :  $[M]^+$  calcd for  $C_{12}H_{23}N_2$  195.1861; found 195.1853.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### ● Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: [10.1021/acs.joc.6b01853](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.6b01853).

$^1H$  NMR spectra and titrations (including competitive binding), ESI mass spectra, Job plots, H/D exchange kinetic plots, energy-minimized structures (including atomic coordinates and absolute energies), H-bonds length and angles, and the full citation of ref 24 (PDF)

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Authors

\*E-mail: [rwang@umac.mo](mailto:rwang@umac.mo).

\*E-mail: [donal@chem.queensu.ca](mailto:donal@chem.queensu.ca).

### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank NSERC Canada, University of Macau Research Fund (SRG2014-00025-ICMS-QRCM), SKL-QRCM Operating Fund 2014-2016 (Open Fund 003), and Macau Science and Technology Development Fund (FDCT/020/2015/A1) for financially supporting this research. We thank Dr. Shihao Wang from Google Inc. for assistance with the energy-minimization calculations.

## ■ REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Assaf, K. I.; Nau, W. M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 394. (b) Barrow, S. J.; Kasera, S.; Rowland, M. J.; Del Barrio, J.; Scherman, O. A. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 12320.
- (2) (a) Choi, S.; Park, S. H.; Ziganshina, A. Y.; Ko, Y. H.; Lee, J. W.; Kim, K. *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 2176. (b) Pattabiraman, M.; Natarajan, A.; Kaliappan, R.; Mague, J. T.; Ramamurthy, V. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4542. (c) Wang, R.; Yuan, L.; Macartney, D. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 1237.
- (3) (a) Macartney, D. H. *Isr. J. Chem.* **2011**, *51*, 600. (b) Walker, S.; Oun, R.; McInnes, F. J.; Wheate, N. J. *Isr. J. Chem.* **2011**, *51*, 616.
- (4) (a) Sindelar, V.; Cejas, M. A.; Raymo, F. i. M.; Kaifer, A. E. *New J. Chem.* **2005**, *29*, 280. (b) Wang, R.; Yuan, L.; Ihmels, H.; Macartney, D. H. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 6468.
- (5) Shetty, D.; Khedkar, J. K.; Park, K. M.; Kim, K. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 8747.
- (6) (a) Mohanty, J.; Nau, W. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 3750. (b) Nau, W. M.; Mohanty, J. *Int. J. Photoenergy* **2005**, *7*, 133.
- (7) Hennig, A.; Bakirci, H.; Nau, W. M. *Nat. Methods* **2007**, *4*, 629.
- (8) Yang, H.; Yuan, B.; Zhang, X.; Scherman, O. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 2106.
- (9) Wang, R.; Yuan, L.; Macartney, D. H. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 5867.
- (10) Mohanty, J.; Bhasikuttan, A. C.; Nau, W. M.; Pal, H. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 5132.
- (11) (a) Barooah, N.; Mohanty, J.; Pal, H.; Bhasikuttan, A. C. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2012**, *116*, 3683. (b) Ghosh, I.; Nau, W. M. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* **2012**, *64*, 764. (c) Gavvala, K.; Koninti, R. K.; Sengupta, A.; Hazra, P. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, 2823.
- (12) (a) Wang, R.; Yuan, L.; Macartney, D. H. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 2908. (b) Li, S.; Yin, H.; Wyman, I. W.; Zhang, Q.; Macartney, D. H.; Wang, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 1300.
- (13) Amyes, T. L.; Diver, S. T.; Richard, J. P.; Rivas, F. M.; Toth, K. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 4366.
- (14) (a) Crudden, C. M.; Allen, D. P. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *248*, 2247. (b) Canal, J. P.; Ramnial, T.; Dickie, D. A.; Clyburne, J. A. C. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 1809.
- (15) (a) Noujeim, N.; Leclercq, L. c.; Schmitzer, A. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 3784. (b) Leclercq, L.; Schmitzer, A. R. *Supramol. Chem.* **2009**, *21*, 245.
- (16) Vlahakis, J. Z.; Mitu, S.; Roman, G.; Patricia Rodriguez, E.; Crandall, I. E.; Szarek, W. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2011**, *19*, 6525.
- (17) Liu, S.; Ruspici, C.; Mukhopadhyay, P.; Chakrabarti, S.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Isaacs, L. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 15959.
- (18) Liu, L.; Zhao, N.; Scherman, O. A. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 1070.
- (19) (a) Wintgens, V.; Biczok, L.; Miskolczy, Z. *Supramol. Chem.* **2010**, *22*, 612. (b) Miskolczy, Z.; Biczok, L.; Megyesi, M.; Jablonkai, I. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 1645.
- (20) Kwok, J. C.; Macartney, D. H. *Supramol. Chem.* **2014**, *26*, 182.
- (21) Wyman, I. W.; Macartney, D. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 8031.
- (22) Wyman, I. W.; Macartney, D. H. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2010**, *8*, 253.
- (23) Frisch, M. J. et al. *Gaussian 03*, revision C.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2010. For the full reference, see the [Supporting Information](#).
- (24) Fahlbusch, T.; Frank, M.; Schatz, J.; Schuhle, D. T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 1688.
- (25) Day, A.; Arnold, A. P.; Blanch, R. J.; Snushall, B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 8094.
- (26) (a) Wang, J.-W.; Xu, F.-B.; Li, Q.-S.; Song, H.-B.; Zhang, Z.-Z. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep. Online* **2005**, *61*, m367. (b) Nambodiri, V. V.; Varma, R. S. *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 3161.
- (27) Liu, M.; Liang, L.; Liang, T.; Lin, X.; Shi, L.; Wang, F.; Sun, J. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2015**, *408*, 242.
- (28) Claros, M.; Graber, T. A.; Brito, I.; Albanes, J.; Gavin, J. A. *J. Chil. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *55*, 396.
- (29) Kumar, R.; Sharma, A. K.; Parmar, V. S.; Watterson, A. C.; Chittibabu, K. G.; Kumar, J.; Samuelson, L. A. *Chem. Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 4841.
- (30) Gómez, E.; González, B.; Domínguez, Á.; Tojo, E.; Tojo, J. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2006**, *51*, 696.