

# Additive-Free Copper(I)-Mediated Synthesis of 5- or 6-Brominated 2-Aryl-1*H*-Indole-3-Carboxylates from $\alpha, \alpha$ -Dibromo $\beta$ -Iminoesters

Zhenfa Li,<sup>+</sup> Lan Zhao,<sup>+</sup> Liuyi Liang, Lixin Zhao, Fangyi Li,<sup>\*</sup> Chunhua Wang,<sup>\*</sup> and Zheng Li<sup>\*</sup>



I mines and their derivatives are versatile intermediates and have been transformed to a variety of *N*-heterocycles with significant biological activity.<sup>1</sup> However, as synthetic precursors for constructing an indole skeleton, they are rarely reported, even though indoles are privileged motifs found extensively in natural products, pharmaceuticals, and materials.<sup>2</sup> In 2012, Yoshikai et al. developed a palladium-catalyzed aerobic oxidative cyclization of *N*-aryl imines derived from common anilines and ketones to afford corresponding indoles (Scheme 1a).<sup>3</sup> This approach not only represents a break-



through for indole synthesis from simple starting materials but also opens a new reaction manifold for exploitation. Subsequent to this work, Nolan et al. reported palladium *N*heterocycle carbene-catalyzed  $\alpha$ -arylation of imines leading to highly substituted indoles and azaindoles (Scheme 1b).<sup>4a</sup> Very recently, Tian et al. developed the same elegant transformation by using a Ni  $(cod)_2$ /DPEphos system in place of a palladium *N*-heterocycle carbene (Scheme 1b).<sup>4b</sup> Exciting as these achievements are, they are limited in that they all require relatively expensive metal catalysts and additives. Thus, an alternative method to the synthesis of indoles from imines under a relatively simple reaction system would be desirable.

Recently, we reported an efficient method for the synthesis of functionalized  $\alpha, \alpha$ -dihaloimines.<sup>5</sup> During the course of our research on the potential utility of these imines, we observed that our dibromoimines could be transformed into an indole skeleton by treatment of a stoichiometric amount of Cu(acac)<sub>2</sub> in refluxing CH<sub>3</sub>CN.

This interesting result spurred us to do further investigation on the formation of the indole structure based on this biased substrate by using copper metal. In the past few decades, it has been extensively used as a promoter in cross-coupling reactions of organic halides with diverse partners for the construction of carbon-carbon and carbon-heteroatom bonds. Among them, for the synthesis of complex halogenated cyclic systems, copper-catalyzed/mediated halogen atom transfer radical cyclization (ATRC) is regarded as a powerful strategy, wherein activated mono-, di-, and trihalo derived compounds are commonly used substrates and a Cu(I)-halogen derived complex has proven to be more effective.<sup>6</sup> To our knowledge, this strategy for the synthesis of indole derivatives is rare, and Steves et al. reported an elegant Cu(I)Cl-catalyzed chlorine atom transfer radical cyclization of N-(indolylmethyl)trichloroacetamides to synthesize 3,3-spiro-3H-indoles. In-

Received: October 20, 2020 Published: January 4, 2021



ACS Publications

spired by previous works, we envisioned that exposure of our dibromoimines to the conditions in concert with known ATRC reactions may provide a new access to brominated indoles.

Herein, we report an additive-free copper(I)-mediated cyclization of  $\alpha, \alpha$ -dibromo  $\beta$ -iminoesters for the synthesis of multisubstituted bromoindoles. In particular, the C6–Br indole scaffold is found in the core of Arbidol and several drug candidates,<sup>8</sup> while the direct synthetic methods to this framework are rather limited.<sup>9</sup> After extensive studies, our dibromoimine substrates with an ester group, such as **1a**, were found to be particularly suitable for this process (Table 1).

#### Table 1. Selected Optimization Studies<sup>a</sup>

| MeO   | Br<br>OCH <sub>3</sub> CN, 100 °C<br>Standard conditions" | MeO    | OEt    |
|-------|---|--------|--------|
| h     | 1a 2a   | • (a)  | 3a     |
| entry | variation from standard conditions                        | 2a (%) | 3a (%) |
| 1     | none  | 78     | -      |
| 2     | w/o CuBr  | <5     | -      |
| 3     | CuBr·SMe <sub>2</sub> instead of CuBr                     | 75     | -      |
| 4     | CuCl instead of CuBr                                      | 53     | -      |
| 5     | CuI instead of CuBr                                       | 28     | 23     |
| 6     | Cu <sub>2</sub> O instead of CuBr                         | 68     | 10     |
| 7     | CuCN instead of CuBr                                      | 61     | -      |
| 8     | CuBr (50%)  | 42     | -      |
| 9     | DMAP (1.0 equiv) was used                                 | 41     | 32     |
| 10    | TMEDA (1.0 equiv) was used                                | 11     | 53     |
| 11    | 2,2'-bipyridine (1.0 equiv) was used                      | 45     | 39     |
| 12    | 1,10-phenanthroline (1.0 equiv) was used                  | 43     | 42     |
| 13    | 1,4-dioxane instead of CH <sub>3</sub> CN                 | 70     | -      |
| 14    | DCE instead of CH <sub>3</sub> CN                         | 59     | 22     |
| 15    | 80 °C   | 57     | -      |
| 16    | 120 °C  | 66     | -      |

<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: run on a 0.3 mmol scale for 3 h in a 15 mL sealed tube. <sup>*b*</sup>Yield of isolated products. DMAP = 4-dimethylaminopyridine. TMEDA = N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine. DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane.

Careful optimization of the reaction conditions eventually afforded  $\dot{C}6-Br$  indole  $2a^{10}$  exclusively in 78% yield using CH<sub>3</sub>CN as the solvent in a sealed tube at 100 °C (entry 1). Interestingly, in the absence of CuBr, a trace amount of 2a was still observed along with some unidentified products (entry 2). Variation of the Cu(I) salts led to a decreased yield (entries 3-7). A smaller amount of CuBr gave a lower yield (entry 8). A collection of amines were employed as potential ligands in view of their demonstrated versatility in radical cyclization. However, they also led to a decreased yield of 2a and increased amount of undesired 3a, indicating that basicity of the ligand plays a negative role in this reaction (entries 9-12). A survey of the solvent effect revealed CH<sub>3</sub>CN to be optimal (entries 13 and 14). Finally, variation of reaction temperatures gave unsatisfied results, as a lower temperature led to lower conversion, while a higher temperature caused more decomposition of 1a (entries 15 and 16).

With the optimal conditions in hand, we realized that this reaction may not have proceeded via atom transfer radical cyclization as we initially anticipated, with one bromine atom present in the final product **2a**. In order to gain a better

understanding of the reaction mechanism, some control experiments were carried out (Scheme 2). In the radical

#### Scheme 2. Control Experiments



trapping experiments, the formation of either 2a or 3a was completely suppressed when 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinooxy (TEMPO) was added under the optimal conditions (Scheme 2, eq 1). The addition of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) also inhibited the reaction, and meanwhile, an adduct of the debromo-1a radical with BHT (4) was detected by HRMS but was unable to be isolated. Compound 5 could be separated from the reaction mixture, likely derived from the decomposition of 4 (Scheme 2, eq 2). These results indicated that this reaction may initiate via a radical intermediate generated by clipping one of the C-Br bonds in the substrate. Wondering about the role of each bromine atom in 1a, compound  $\mathbf{6}$ , in which one of the bromine atoms was replaced with amethyl group, was prepared and thus subjected to the optimal conditions (Scheme 2, eq 3). This reaction afforded 3H-indole 7 exclusively, without any brominated products 8. When using  $\alpha$ -bromo- $\alpha$ -chloro  $\beta$ -iminoester 1a' instead of 1a (Scheme 2, eq 4), a small amount of 2a was still obtained probably due to the fact that this reaction was initiated with partial cleavage of the C-Cl bond in 1a'. These results revealed that the formation of 2a was not via a classical ATRC reaction and the second bromine atom in la is key to final product 2a formation and efficiency. In addition, treatment of 3a with 1 equiv of CuBr<sub>2</sub> could afford 2a with recovery of more than half of the unreacted **3a** (Scheme 2, eq 5), suggesting that this reaction pathway may be involved but unlikely dominant. Furthermore, when subjecting 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene into this reaction, the brominated products 9 and 3a were obtained with equal yields (Scheme 2, eq 6), implying that the bromination step of 2a may proceed via a electrophilic bromine transfer. Recently, Yeung's group developed a series

of indole-catalyzed bromination reactions in lipophilic solvent, in which the unstable methyl 3-bromo-3*H*-indole-3-carboxylate is believed to be the key intermediate, providing a highly active electrophilic bromine atom.<sup>11</sup>

Based on the above experimental results and Yeung's works, a plausible mechanism involving intermediate A is proposed as shown in Scheme 3. Homolytic cleavage of one of the C–Br





bonds in 1a by CuBr form the alkyl radical A and CuBr<sub>2</sub>, followed by intramolecular addition to the *N*-aryl ring in the intermediate A affording the aryl radical intermediate B, which sequentially undergoes single-electron oxidation by CuBr<sub>2</sub>. Finally, deprotonation of the intermediate C offers unstable intermediate D. There are two potential ways for intermediate D to form C6–Br indole 2a: (i) directly delivering 2a by intramolecular electrophilic bromine transfer to the electronically favored site and (ii) being decomposed into indole 3a with the aid of Cu(I)Br, along with its oxidization to Cu(II)Br<sub>2</sub>, which subsequently reacts with 3a, yielding 2a in the end.

Following the optimal conditions, we next examined the scope of this process (Table 2). First, the substituents  $(\mathbf{R}^1)$  on the N-aryl group were varied to evaluate the effect on the reaction efficiency. Compared with the electron-withdrawing group in *para*-position of the *N*-aryl group (2d), the electrondonating group enhanced the reaction efficiency significantly (2a-2c). These results could be attributed to the fact that electron-donating groups increase the electron density on the phenyl ring and thus facilitate intramolecular electrophilic bromine transfer. In the absence of a para-substituent or the substituents on the ortho- or meta-positions of an N-aryl group, the reaction efficiency vanished, and no desired products were obtained. Furthermore, steric hindrance on the N-aryl group influenced the reaction efficiency. The ethyl substituent proceeded smoothly under optimal conditions, affording 2e in comparable yield; nevertheless, the more bulky groups such as isopropyl and tert-butyl substituents produced decreased yields (2f, 2g), even with higher reaction temperatures and longer reaction times. It should be pointed out that, in the cases of 2d, 2f, and 2g, a considerable amount of corresponding nonbrominated indoles were generated, presumably due to the decomposition of the 3-bromo-3H-indole intermediates. As expected, isopropyl (2h) and benzyl (2i) groups in the ester moiety  $(\mathbf{R}^2)$  had no adverse effect on the reaction efficiency. Further scope examination showed that substituents on the aryl ring  $(\mathbf{R}^3 = Ar)$  with electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups all worked well; albeit, the presence of MeO (2j) or Me (2k) brought about slightly

Table 2. Substrate Scope<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: run on a 0.3 mmol scale for 3 h in a 15 mL sealed tube. <sup>*b*</sup>Yield of isolated products. <sup>*c*</sup>120  $^{\circ}$ C, 6 h. <sup>*d*</sup>5 h.

higher yields than those of F, Cl, and Br (2l-2o). For starting material 1p ( $\mathbf{R}^3 = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}$ ), because of its temperature-sensitive nature, the desired C6–Br indole 2p was obtained in relatively low yield. Additionally, aiming to substantially expand the generality of this reaction, the substrate scope with respect to disubstituents on the N-aryl ring was also investigated. To our delight, all the tested substrates (1q-1t) were competent in this transformation, giving the brominated indoles in good yield. Surprisingly, a small amount of dibrominated indole 2S was observed in the case of 1s, probably arising from the further bromination of 2s by CuBr<sub>2</sub> in the reaction mixture.<sup>12</sup> The location of bromine substituent in 2q-2t was determined by <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H NOESY experiments. Some  $\alpha, \alpha$ -dichloro  $\beta$ iminoesters were also employed to investigate this reaction. Contrary to expectation, the corresponding chlorinated indoles were not observed in our experiments. Instead, nonchlorinated indoles were obtained as major products accompanied by some unidentified side-products. The unsuccessful cases may be attributed to the lesser electrophilic property of chlorine than that of bromine, and thus, the unstable 3-chloro-3H-indole intermediate is prone to be decomposed into the corresponding nonchlorinated indole in this process.<sup>13</sup>

To test the practicality of this method, a gram-scale reaction was performed, and a similar yield of **2a** was obtained (Scheme 4). Some representative transformations were employed to

# Scheme 4. Multigram Synthesis and Further Transformations



<sup>a</sup>Reagents and conditions: (a) phenylboric acid,  $Pd(PPh_3)_4$  (5 mol %),  $K_2CO_3$  (2.0 equiv),  $N_2$ , toluene, 100 °C, 2 h; (b) phenylacetylene, CuI (5 mol %),  $Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$  (10 mol %),  $Et_3N/THF$ ,  $N_2$ , 80 °C, 5 h; (c) BBr<sub>3</sub> (1.3 equiv), DCM, 0 °C, 6 h; (d) 1N NaOH (4 equiv), THF, 90 °C, 20 h.

extend the utility of the product **2a**. Palladium-catalyzed crosscoupling reactions of **2a** with phenylboronic acid and phenylacetylene proceed smoothly to afford **10** and **11**, respectively. The methyl group in **2a** could be easily removed in the presence of BBr<sub>3</sub>/DCM to give **12**. Hydrolysis of **2a** in refluxing a 1N NaOH solution delivered the decarboxylated **13** as the only product.

In conclusion, we have introduced a new synthetic route to 5- or 6-brominated 2-aryl-1*H*-indole-3-carboxylates from  $\alpha,\alpha$ dibromo  $\beta$ -iminoesters via copper(I)-mediated radical cyclization/electrophilic bromination cascade in one pot. The protocol enables the facile synthesis of various C6–Br and other brominated indoles from readily available starting materials. Further derivatization of the product highlights the utility of this method. Hopefully, our approach has augmented the numerous methods that have been reported for the indole synthesis.

# EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. All the substrates and solvents for the synthesis of compounds were purchased from a commercial source and used as received without any further purification. All the  $\alpha_{,\alpha}$ dibromo  $\beta$ -iminoesters were synthesized using earlier reported methods.<sup>5b</sup> Thin layer chromatography was performed on plates (GF254) supplied by Yantai Chemicals (China), and visualization was accomplished using UV light, iodine stains, or potassium permanganate solution. Silica gel (200-300 mesh) supplied by Tsingdao Haiyang Chemicals (China) was used for column chromatography purification with a hexane/ethyl acetate mixture as the eluent. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker (400 or 500 MHz) spectrometer. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker (100 or 126 MHz) spectrometer with complete proton decoupling. <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker (376 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts were reported in parts per million (ppm), and the residual solvent peak was used as an internal reference: proton (chloroform:  $\delta$  7.26, acetone:  $\delta$  2.05, dimethyl sulfoxide:  $\delta$  2.50), carbon (chloroform  $\delta$  77.16, acetone:  $\delta$  206.26, 29.84, dimethyl sulfoxide:  $\delta$  39.52), or tetramethylsilane (TMS  $\delta$  0.00) was used as a reference. Multiplicity was indicated as follows: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet), dd (doublet of doublet), bs (broad singlet). Coupling constants were reported in Hertz (Hz). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on

Q-TOF mass spectrometer, Waters. Melting points were measured on an MP90, Mettler Toledo.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Brominated Indoles. To a 15 mL sealed tube was added 1 (0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv), CuBr (0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and dry  $CH_3CN$  (3 mL, 0.1 M). The resulting mixture was heated at 100 °C in an oil bath until the complete consumption of starting material as monitored by TLC or GC–MS analysis (During the reaction, the sealed tube was let to cool down before the samples could be taken out for TLC or GC–MS analysis.). After cooling, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with ethyl acetate. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (ethyl acetate (EA)/hexane) to afford the desired product. Structural assignments (2q-2s) were made with additional information from <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H NOESY experiments.

*Ethyl* 6-Bromo-5-methoxy-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2a**). 87 mg, 78% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.24$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 212–214 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 12.09 (s, 1H), 7.70–7.68 (m, 3H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.51–7.46 (m, 3H), 4.19 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 164.3, 150.8, 145.1, 131.6, 130.7, 129.9, 129.0, 127.8, 127.7, 115.8, 106.8, 103.3, 102.8, 59.1, 56.1, 14.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>BrNNaO<sub>3</sub> 396.0206, found 396.0205.

*Ethyl* 6-Bromo-5-(methylthio)-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2b**). 85 mg, 73% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.33$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, *V:V*); mp 179–181 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 8.58 (s, 1H), 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.62 (brs, 2H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.43 (brs, 3H), 4.28 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.56 (s, 3H), 1.30 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 165.1, 145.3, 133.8, 132.4, 131.6, 129.7, 129.6, 128.3, 128.1, 120.0, 117.6, 115.3, 104.3, 60.0, 17.1, 14.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*:  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>17</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub>S 390.0158, found 390.0149.

Ethyl 6-Bromo-5-methyl-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (2c). 86 mg, 80% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.42$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 187–189 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.13 (s, 1H), 8.00 (s, 1H), 7.68 (brs, 2H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.49 (brs, 3H), 4.19 (q, J = 7.2Hz, 2H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  164.1, 145.2, 134.9, 131.5, 129.9, 129.3, 129.0, 127.8, 127.1, 122.5, 118.1, 114.8, 102.5, 59.1, 23.0, 14.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>17</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub> 358.0437, found 358.0431.

*Ethyl* 6-Bromo-5-fluoro-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (2d). 42 mg, 39% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.38$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 163–165 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 12.37 (s, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.69–7.67 (m, 2H), 7.52–7.48 (m, 3H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 163.9, 154.0 (d, J = 233.9 Hz), 146.7, 132.6, 131.1, 129.9, 129.4, 127.9, 127.3 (d, J = 9.9 Hz), 115.8, 107.3 (d, J = 26 Hz), 103.3 (d, J = 4.4 Hz), 102.7 (d, J = 24.5 Hz), 59.4, 14.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ –117.09; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>13</sub>BrFNNaO<sub>2</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 384.0006, found 384.0007.

*Ethyl* 6-Bromo-5-ethyl-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (2e). 85 mg, 76% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.48$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 148–150 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 8.56 (s, 1H), 8.09 (s, 1H), 7.59 (brs, 2H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.41 (brs, 3H), 4.27 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.89 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.30 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 165.3, 145.1, 136.9, 134.5, 131.9, 129.7, 129.4, 128.3, 127.6, 122.1, 119.3, 114.9, 104.5, 59.9, 29.8, 15.1, 14.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z:  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>19</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub> 372.0594, found 372.0590.

*Ethyl* 6-Bromo-5-isopropyl-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2f**). 73 mg, 63% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.57$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, *V:V*); mp 156–158 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 8.42 (s, 1H), 8.18 (s, 1H), 7.65–7.61 (m, 2H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.46–7.43 (m, 3H), 4.30 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.50–3.45 (m, 1H), 1.34 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 6H), 1.31 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 165.2, 145.2, 141.1, 134.3, 131.9, 129.7, 129.5, 128.3, 127.7, 119.6, 119.5, 114.9, 104.7, 59.9, 33.0, 23.6, 14.4; HRMS (ESI-

pubs.acs.org/joc

TOF) m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>20</sub>BrNNaO<sub>2</sub> 408.0570, found 408.0560.

Ethyl 6-Bromo-5-(tert-butyl)-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2g**). 36 mg, 30% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.42$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 156–158 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.50 (s, 1H), 8.23 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.66 (m, 2H), 7.49 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.47 (m, 3H), 4.31 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.42 (s, 9H), 1.31 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  165.2, 147.2, 145.0, 132.3, 131.9, 129.8, 129.6, 128.7, 128.3, 124.0, 117.7, 106.0, 104.1, 59.9, 35.2, 31.9, 14.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub> 400.0907, found 400.0908.

Isopropyl 6-Bromo-5-methoxy-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2h**). 92 mg, 79% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.27$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 146–148 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.47 (s, 1H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.62–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.44– 7.42 (m, 3H), 5.20–5.14 (m, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 1.25 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  164.8, 151.9, 145.0, 132.1, 130.4, 129.7, 129.4, 128.2, 128.2, 115.5, 108.4, 105.1, 104.1, 67.3, 56.7, 22.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub>BrNNaO<sub>3</sub> 4100362, found 410.0358.

Benzyl 6-Bromo-5-methoxy-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (2i). 94 mg, 72% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.27$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 168–171 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-d)  $\delta$  8.46 (s, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.59 (brs, 2H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.41 (brs, 3H), 7.31 (brs, 5H), 5.27 (s, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-d)  $\delta$  164.9, 152.0, 145.4, 136.3, 131.8, 130.3, 129.7, 129.5, 128.6, 128.4, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 115.6, 108.4, 104.5, 104.1, 66.0, 56.6; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z:  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>BrNO<sub>3</sub> 436.0543, found 436.0539.

*Ethyl* 6-Bromo-5-methoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-indole-3carboxylate (**2***j*). 91 mg, 75% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.22$  (EA/ hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 172–174 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 8.54 (s, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (s, 1H), 6.90 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.28 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 1.31 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 165.5, 160.6, 151.8, 145.3, 131.0, 130.3, 128.2, 124.1, 115.5, 113.7, 108.0, 104.1, 104.0, 59.9, 56.7, 55.5, 14.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*:  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub>BrNO<sub>4</sub> 404.0492, found 404.0475.

*Ethyl* 6-Bromo-5-methoxy-2-(*p*-tolyl)-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2k**). 86 mg, 74% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.24$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, *V*:*V*); mp 170–172 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 8.60 (s, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.26 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 1.29 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 165.5, 151.8, 145.5, 139.5, 130.4, 129.4, 128.9, 128.8, 128.1, 115.6, 108.1, 104.2, 104.0, 59.9, 56.7, 21.5, 14.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub>BrNNaO<sub>3</sub> 410.0362, found 410.0355.

Ethyl 6-Bromo-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-methoxy-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2**). 64 mg, 54% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.26$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 161–163 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 12.13 (s, 1H), 7.74–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.36–7.32 (m, 2H), 4.19 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 164.2, 161.5, 150.8, 144.1, 132.2 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 130.6, 127.9 (d, J = 3.3 Hz), 127.5, 115.8, 114.8 (d, J = 21.6), 106.8, 103.2, 102.9, 59.1, 56.2, 14.0; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ –112.29; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrFNNaO<sub>3</sub> 414.0112, found 414.0099.

Ethyl 6-Bromo-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-methoxy-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2m**). 82 mg, 67% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.52$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 152–154 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 8.73 (s, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.53–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.38– 7.35 (m, 2H), 4.27 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 1.30 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 165.2, 152.1, 143.7, 135.6, 131.0, 130.4, 130.3, 128.5, 128.0, 115.6, 108.8, 105.0, 104.1, 60.1, 56.7, 14.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>BrClNO<sub>3</sub> 407.9997, found 407.9978.

Ethyl 6-Bromo-2-(4-bromophenyl)-5-methoxy-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2n**). 90 mg, 66% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.41$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 163–165 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 8.57 (s, 1H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.55–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.49– 7.46 (m, 2H), 4.28 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 1.30 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H);  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-d)  $\delta$  165.2, 152.1, 143.6, 131.5, 131.2, 130.8, 130.4, 128.0, 123.9, 115.7, 108.8, 105.0, 104.0, 60.1, 56.7, 14.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> 451.9491, found 451.9472.

*Ethyl* 6-Bromo-2-(2-bromophenyl)-5-methoxy-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**20**). 82 mg, 60% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.26$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 183–186 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.04 (s, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.74–7.72 (m, 2H), 7.55–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.51–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.44–7.40 (m, 1H), 4.11 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 1.08 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  164.8, 152.5, 144.2, 135.3, 133.2, 132.8, 131.7, 131.4, 128.2, 127.9, 124.6, 116.9, 108.6, 106.7, 104.3, 59.8, 56.8, 14.3; HRMS (ESI) m/z:  $[M + H]^+$  calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> 451.9491, found 451.9476.

*Ethyl* 6-Bromo-5-methoxy-2-methyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2p**). 37 mg, 40% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.16$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, *V:V*); mp 158–160 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.22 (s, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 4.39 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 2.71 (s, 3H), 1.44 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  165.9, 151.7, 144.4, 129.6, 127.7, 115.0, 107.2, 104.9, 103.7, 59.7, 56.7, 14.7, 14.6; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>BrNNaO<sub>3</sub> 334.0049, found 334.0044.

Ethyl 6-Bromo-5,7-dimethyl-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2q**). 87 mg, 78% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.48$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 145–147 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 11.91 (s, 1H), 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.65–7.63 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.48 (m, 3H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.60 (s, 3H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 164.2, 145.4, 134.1, 131.7, 130.3, 130.0, 128.9, 127.6, 126.1, 121.3, 121.2, 119.8, 103.1, 59.0, 24.3, 17.7, 14.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>19</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub> 372.0594, found 372.0586.

*Ethyl 5-Bromo-4,6-dimethoxy-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate* (**2r**). 92 mg, 76% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.46$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, *V:V*); mp 151–153 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.74 (s, 1H), 7.56–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.38 (m, 3H), 6.80 (s, 1H), 4.28 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  166.0, 144.4, 142.7, 140.6, 131.6, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 126.6, 121.9, 108.4, 107.6, 106.6, 61.6, 60.9, 56.1, 14.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub>BrNNaO<sub>4</sub> 426.0311, found 426.0309.

Ethyl 5-Bromo-4,6-dimethyl-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2s**). 59 mg, 53% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.36$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, *V:V*); mp 147–149 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 8.40 (s, 1H), 7.51–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.41–7.38 (m, 3H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 4.23 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.71 (s, 3H), 2.50 (s, 3H), 1.16 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 167.3, 140.5, 134.5, 133.4, 132.0, 130.7, 129.0, 128.6, 125.2, 122.0, 110.2, 107.7, 61.0, 25.1, 21.2, 14.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub>BrNNaO<sub>2</sub> 394.0413, found 394.0416.

Ethyl 5,7-Dibromo-4,6-dimethyl-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2S**). 26 mg, 19% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.26$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 149–151 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 8.35 (s, 1H), 7.56–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.44–7.40 (m, 3H), 4.23 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 1.17 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 167.1, 140.6, 134.1, 132.0, 129.1, 128.7, 128.6, 127.9, 127.9, 126.5, 119.4, 118.3, 108.6, 61.0, 20.1, 16.1, 14.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>17</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>NNaO<sub>2</sub> 471.9518, found 471.9514.

*Ethyl* 5-Bromo-6,7-dimethyl-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (**2t**). 83 mg, 75% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.52$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, *V:V*); mp 146–148 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 11.86 (s, 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.66–7.63 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.48 (m, 3H), 4.16 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.52 (s, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 1.17 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 164.1, 145.6, 135.0, 131.6, 130.3, 128.9, 128.4, 127.6, 126.4, 121.6, 121.4, 118.5, 102.7, 59.0, 19.1, 14.7, 14.1; HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>19</sub>BrNO<sub>2</sub> 372.0594, found 372.0590.

Procedure for the Synthesis of 5. To a 15 mL sealed tube was added 1a (137 mg, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv), CuBr (43 mg, 0.30 mmol,

1.0 equiv), BHT (132 mg, 0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv), and dry  $CH_3CN$  (3 mL, 0.1 M). The resulting mixture was heated at 100 °C in an oil bath for 3 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with ethyl acetate. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EA/hexane = 1:15) to afford compound 5.

Ethyl 2-Bromo-2-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxybenzyl)-3-((4methoxyphenyl)amino)-3-phenylpro panoate (5). 47 mg, 31% yield; yellow solid;  $R_f = 0.55$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 171– 173 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 7.30–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.20 (m, 3H), 6.75 (s, 2H), 6.63 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.50 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.04 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.18 (s, 2H), 1.14 (s, 18H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.18 (s, 2H), 1.14 (s, 18H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-d) δ 185.9, 166.0, 158.9, 157.7, 146.7, 140.6, 132.5, 131.7, 129.9, 129.4, 128.9, 127.6, 113.3, 99.7, 66.5, 59.2, 55.4, 41.0, 34.8, 29.4, 14.4; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>42</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> 516.3108, found 516.3104.

Procedure for the Synthesis of 7. To a 15 mL sealed tube was added 6 (117 mg, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv), CuBr (43 mg, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and dry  $CH_3CN$  (3 mL, 0.1 M). The resulting mixture was heated at 100 °C in an oil bath for 3 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with ethyl acetate. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EA/hexane = 1:10) to afford compound 7.

Ethyl 5-Methoxy-3-methyl-2-phenyl-3H-indole-3-carboxylate (7). 58 mg, 62% yield; yellow oil;  $R_f = 0.28$  (EA/hexane = 1:10, V:V); mp 148–150 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.96–7.88 (m, 2H), 7.64–7.59 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.40 (m, 3 H), 6.98–6.90 (m, 2H), 4.19–4.10 (m, 1H), 4.03–3.94 (m, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 0.99 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  176.1, 171.8, 158.9, 148.7, 143.6, 132.3, 130.7, 128.8, 128.1, 121.8, 114.2, 107.7, 62.3, 61.9, 55.9, 21.3, 13.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> 310.1438, found 310.1434.

Procedure for the Synthesis of 3a and 9 from 1a. To a 15 mL sealed tube was added 1a (137 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv), CuBr (43 mg, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (101 mg, 0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv), and dry CH<sub>3</sub>CN (3 mL, 0.1 M). The resulting mixture was heated at 100 °C in an oil bath for 3 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with ethyl acetate. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EA/hexane = 1:20–1:10) to afford 3a and 9 with equal yields.

*Ethyl 5-Methoxy-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate* (**3***a*). 70 mg, 79% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.24$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 188–190 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 9.06 (s, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.58–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.33 (m, 3H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 9 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 165.9, 155.7, 145.1, 132.2, 130.4, 129.6, 129.0, 128.6, 128.0, 113.3, 112.2, 104.1, 103.6, 59.7, 55.7, 14.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NNaO<sub>3</sub> 318.1101, found 318.1088.

2-Bromo-1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (9). 59 mg, 79% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.55$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 98–100 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  6.16 (s, 2H), 3.86 (s, 6H), 3.80 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  160.6, 157.6, 92.1, 91.7, 56.5, 55.6; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z*: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>11</sub>BrNaO<sub>3</sub> 268.9784, found 268.9783.

**Procedure for the Synthesis of 10.** Under  $N_2$  atmosphere, to a solution of **2a** (93 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (15 mg, 0.0125 mmol, 5 mol %) in dry toluene (5 mL, 0.05 M) was added  $K_2CO_3$  (70 mg, 0.5 mmol, 2 equiv) and PhB(OH)<sub>2</sub> (61 mg, 0.5 mmol, 2 equiv). The vigorously stirred mixture was heated to 100 °C in an oil bath for 2 h (monitored by TLC). After cooling, the mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filter cake was washed with EA. The organic mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (EA/hexane = 1:10) to afford **10**.

Ethyl 5-Methoxy-2,6-diphenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (10). 81 mg, 87% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.24$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp

pubs.acs.org/joc

Note

238–240 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 8.75 (s, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.62–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.43–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.39 (brs, 3H), 7.34–7.31 (m, 1H), 7.23 (brs, 1H), 4.29 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 1.30 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, chloroform-*d*) δ 165.7, 153.1, 145.0, 139.2, 132.2, 130.3, 129.9, 129.6, 129.2, 128.2, 128.1, 128.1, 128.0, 126.9, 113.1, 104.3, 103.2, 59.8, 56.0, 14.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> 372.1594, found 372.1592.

**Procedure for the Synthesis of 11.** Compound **2a** (373 mg, 1 mmol, 1.0 equiv),  $Pd(PPh_3)Cl_2$  (21 mg, 0.05 mmol, 5 mol %), and CuI (19 mg, 0.1 mmol, 10 mol %) were added into a 50 mL two-neck round-bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser, which was evacuated and backfilled with N<sub>2</sub> three times. Then, phenylacetylene (153 mg, 1.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv), Et<sub>3</sub>N (5 mL, 0.2 M), and THF (5 mL, 0.2 M) were added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 80 °C in an oil bath for 5 h (monitored by TLC). After cooling, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and washed with EA. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, and the obtained residue was then purified by silica gel column chromatography (EA/hexane = 1:25–1:10) to afford **11**.

*Ethyl* 5-*Methoxy*-2-*phenyl*-6-(*phenylethynyl*)-1*H*-*indole*-3-*carboxylate* (11). 320 mg, 81% yield; yellow solid;  $R_f = 0.21$  (EA/ hexane = 1:5, *V*:*V*); mp 173–175 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.16 (s, 1H), 7.70–7.69 (m, 2H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.55 (brs, 2H), 7.51–7.49 (m, 3H), 7.44–7.40 (m, 3H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  164.3, 155.2, 146.1, 131.6, 131.2, 129.9, 129.1, 128.9, 128.7, 128.3, 127.8, 123.1, 116.2, 107.4, 103.1, 101.9, 92.0, 87.4, 59.8, 59.1, 55.7, 14.1; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>22</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> 396.1594, found 396.1597.

Procedure for the Synthesis of 12. To a solution of 2a (373 mg, 1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry DCM (10 mL, 0.1 M) was added BBr<sub>3</sub> (0.125 mL, 25.6 mg, 1.3 mmol, 1.3 equiv) at -20 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C. TLC indicated completion of the reaction (6 h), and water was added to quench the reaction. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (10 mL × 3). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (EA/ hexane = 1:10) to afford compound 12.

Ethyl 6-Bromo-5-hydroxy-2-phenyl-1H-indole-3-carboxylate (12). 263 mg, 73%; yellow solid;  $R_f = 0.41$  (EA/hexane = 1:3, V:V); mp 205–207 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 11.94 (s, 1H), 9.80 (s, 1H), 7.70 (s, 1H), 7.67–7.64 (m, 2H), 7.52 (s, 1H), 7.48–7.46 (m, 3H), 4.17 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.21 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 164.3, 149.0, 145.2, 131.7, 130.3, 129.8, 128.9, 127.9, 127.8, 115.2, 106.5, 106.1, 102.1, 59.0, 14.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z*: [M + H]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrNO<sub>3</sub> 360.0230, found 360.0226.

Procedure for the Synthesis of 13. To a solution of 2a (93 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (5 mL, 0.05 M) was added 1N NaOH (1 mL, 4.0 equiv), and the resulting mixture was stirred at 90 °C in an oil bath for 20 h. After cooling, 1 N HCl was introduced into the reaction mixture to adjust the pH value (2–3). The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL  $\times$  3), washed with saturated brine, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (EA/hexane = 1:10) to afford compound 13.

6-Bromo-5-methoxy-2-phenyl-1H-indole (13). 51 mg, 68% yield; white solid;  $R_f = 0.53$  (EA/hexane = 1:5, V:V); mp 160–162 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.49 (s, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.49–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.31 (m 1H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 1 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  149.4, 138.9, 132.5, 131.9, 128.9, 128.6, 127.6, 125.0, 115.1, 105.9, 102.3, 98.7, 56.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>BrNNaO 323.9994, found 323.9980.

#### pubs.acs.org/joc

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

# Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02497.

NMR spectra of synthesized compounds (2a-2t, 3a, 5, 7, 9-13), <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H NOESY experiments of 2q-2t, X-ray crystallography data (2a) (PDF)

FAIR data, including the primary NMR FID files, for compounds 2a-2o (ZIP)

FAIR data, including the primary NMR FID files, for compounds 2q-2t, 3a, 5, 7, and 9-13 (ZIP)

FAIR data, including the primary NMR FID files, for compounds 2q-2t (ZIP)

# Accession Codes

CCDC 2035288 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif, or by emailing data\_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

# AUTHOR INFORMATION

### **Corresponding Authors**

- Fangyi Li College of Pharmaceutical Engineering of Traditional Chinese Medicine and State Key Laboratory of Component-Based Chinese Medicine, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin 301617, P. R. China;
  orcid.org/0000-0001-7820-9507; Email: lifangyi@ tjutcm.edu.cn
- Chunhua Wang College of Pharmaceutical Engineering of Traditional Chinese Medicine and State Key Laboratory of Component-Based Chinese Medicine, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin 301617, P. R. China; Email: pharmwch@126.com

Zheng Li – College of Pharmaceutical Engineering of Traditional Chinese Medicine and State Key Laboratory of Component-Based Chinese Medicine, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin 301617, P. R. China; Email: lizheng@tjutcm.edu.cn

#### Authors

- Zhenfa Li College of Pharmaceutical Engineering of Traditional Chinese Medicine and State Key Laboratory of Component-Based Chinese Medicine, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin 301617, P. R. China
- Lan Zhao College of Pharmaceutical Engineering of Traditional Chinese Medicine and State Key Laboratory of Component-Based Chinese Medicine, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin 301617, P. R. China; orcid.org/0000-0003-0316-7001
- Liuyi Liang College of Pharmaceutical Engineering of Traditional Chinese Medicine and State Key Laboratory of Component-Based Chinese Medicine, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin 301617, P. R. China
- Lixin Zhao College of Pharmaceutical Engineering of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Tianjin 301617, P. R. China

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02497

### **Author Contributions**

<sup>+</sup>Zhenfa Li and Lan Zhao contributed equally to this work.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is financially supported by the National S&T Major Project of China (No. 2018ZX09201011) and the Science & Technology Development Fund of Tianjin Education Commission for Higher Education (2017KJ138).

# REFERENCES

 (1) For selected reviews: (a) Kobayashi, S.; Mori, Y.; Fossey, J. S.; Salter, M. M. Catalytic Enantioselective Formation of C-C Bonds by Addition to Imines and Hydrazones: A Ten-Year Update. *Chem. Rev.* 2011, 111, 2626–2704. (b) Zhu, C.; Saito, K.; Yamanaka, M.; Akiyama, T. Benzothiazoline: Versatile Hydrogen Donor for Organocatalytic Transfer Hydrogenation. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, 48, 388–398.
 (c) Iwanejko, J.; Wojaczyńska, E. Cyclic Imines-Preparation and Application in Synthesis. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2018, 16, 7296–7314.
 (d) Das, T. K.; Biju, A. T. Imines as Acceptors and Donors in *N*-Heterocyclic Carbene (NHC) Organocatalysis. *Chem. Commun.* 2020, 56, 8537–8552.

(2) Kochanowska-Karamyan, A. J.; Hamann, M. T. Marine Indole Alkaloids: Potential New Drug Leads for the Control of Depression and Anxiety. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4489-4497. (b) Inman, M.; Moody, C. J. Indole Synthesis- Something Old, Something New. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 29-41. (c) Lancianesi, S.; Palmieri, A.; Petrini, M. Synthetic Approaches to 3-(2-Nitroalkyl) Indoles and Their Use to Access Tryptamines and Related Bioactive Compounds. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 7108-7149. (d) Stempel, E.; Gaich, T. Cyclohepta [b] Indoles: A Privileged Structure Motif in Natural Products and Drug Design. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 2390-2402. (e) Festa, A. A.; Voskressensky, L. G.; Van der Eycken, E. V. Visible Light-Mediated Chemistry of Indoles and Related Heterocycles. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2019, 48, 4401-4423. (f) Sandtorv, A. H. Transition Metal-Catalyzed C-H Activation of Indoles. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 2403-2435. (g) Liu, S.; Zhao, F.; Chen, X.; Deng, G. J.; Huang, H. Aerobic Oxidative Functionalization of Indoles. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2020, 362, 3795-3823.

(3) Wei, Y.; Deb, I.; Yoshikai, N. Palladium-Catalyzed Aerobic Oxidative Cyclization of N-Aryl Imines: Indole Synthesis from Anilines and Ketones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9098–9101.

(4) (a) Marelli, E.; Corpet, M.; Minenkov, Y.; Neyyappadath, R. M.; Bismuto, A.; Buccolini, G.; Curcio, M.; Cavallo, L.; Nolan, S. P. Catalytic  $\alpha$ -Arylation of Imines Leading to N-Unprotected Indoles and Azaindoles. ACS Catal. **2016**, *6*, 2930–938. (b) Long, H.; Xu, K.; Chen, S.; Lin, J.; Wu, D.; Wu, B.; Tian, X.; Ackermann, L. Nickel-Catalyzed Intramolecular Direct Arylation of Imines toward Diverse Indoles. Org. Lett. **2019**, *21*, 3053–3056.

(5) (a) Li, F.; Qiu, C.; Yin, G.; Wang, C.; Li, Z. Metal-and Base-Free Synthesis of Functionalized  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$ -Difluoroimines via Electrophilic Fluorination of N-Substituted Enamines. Org. Biomol. Chem. **2018**, 16, 6895–6899. (b) Qiu, C.; Yu, H.; Qiu, C.; Li, F.; Suo, T.; Wang, C.; Bie, S.; Li, Z. Metal-Free Halogenation of N-Substituted Enaminoesters and Enaminones: A Facile Access to Functionalized  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ -Dihaloimines. Synthesis **2020**, 52, 1301–1314.

(6) (a) Clark, A. J. Atom Transfer Radical Cyclisation Reactions Mediated by Copper Complexes. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2002, 31, 1–11.
(b) Sebren, L. J.; Devery III, J. J.; Stephenson, C. R. Catalytic Radical Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis. *ACS Catal.* 2014, 4, 703– 716.

(7) Stevens, C. V.; Van Meenen, E.; Eeckhout, Y.; Vanderhoydonck, B.; Hooghe, W. Synthesis of Highly Functionalised Spiro-Indoles by a Halogen Atom Transfer Radical Cyclization. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 4827–4829.

(8) (a) Zhao, C.; Zhao, Y.; Chai, H.; Gong, P. Synthesis and in Vitro-Anti-Hepatitis B Virus Activities of Several Ethyl 5-Hydroxy-1H-Indole-3-Carboxylates. *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.* 2006, 22, 577–583.
(b) Scuotto, M.; Abdelnabi, R.; Collarile, S.; Schiraldi, C.; Delang, L.;

Massa, A.; Ferla, S.; Brancale, A.; Leyssen, P.; Neyts, J.; Filosa, R. Discovery of Novel Multi-Target Indole-Based Derivatives as Potent and Selective Inhibitors of Chikungunya Virus Replication. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2017, 25, 327–337. (c) Wright, Z. V. F.; Wu, N. C.; Kadam, R. U.; Wilson, I. A.; Wolan, D. W. Structure-Based Optimization and Synthesis of Antiviral Drug Arbidol Analogues with Significantly Improved Affinity to Influenza Hemagglutinin. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2017, 27, 3744–3748.

(9) For selected synthetic methods involving bromination, see: (a) Ji, X.; Huang, H.; Wu, W.; Jiang, H. Palladium-Catalyzed Intermolecular Dehydrogenative Aminohalogenation of Alkenes under Molecular Oxygen: An Approach to Brominated Enamines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5286–5289. (b) Ji, X.; Huang, H.; Xiong, W.; Huang, K.; Wu, W.; Jiang, H. Palladium-Catalyzed Oxidative C-N Bond Coupling Involving a Solvent-Controlled Regioselective Bromination Process. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 7005–7011. (c) Lu, L.; Li, Y.; Jiang, X. Visible-Light-Promoted Oxidative Halogenation of (Hetero) Arenes. Green Chem. 2020, 22, 5989–5994.

(10) CCDC 2035288 (2a).

(11) (a) Chen, T.; Foo, T. J. Y.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Indole-Catalyzed Bromolactonization in Lipophilic Solvent: A Solid-Liquid Phase Transfer Approach. ACS Catal. **2015**, *5*, 4751–4755. (b) Shi, Y.; Ke, Z.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Environmentally Benign Indole-Catalyzed Position-Selective Halogenation of Thioarenes and Other Aromatics. Green Chem. **2018**, *20*, 4448–4452. (c) Shi, Y.; Wong, J.; Ke, Z.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Lipophilic Indole-Catalyzed Intermolecular Bromoesterification of Olefins in Nonpolar Media. J. Org. Chem. **2019**, *84*, 4017–4027. (d) Wong, J.; Ke, Z.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Lipophilic Indole Mediated Chemoselective  $\alpha$ -Monobromination of 1, 3-Dicarbonyl Compounds. Tetrahedron Lett. **2020**, *61*, 151772.

(12) For pioneering examples of using  $CuBr_2$  for bromination reactions, see: (a) Castro, C. E.; Gaughan, E. J.; Owsley, D. C. Cupric Halide Halogenations. *J. Org. Chem.* **1965**, *30*, 587–592. (b) Baird, W. C.; Surridge, J. H.; Buza, M. Halogenation with Copper(II) Halides. Halogenation of Olefins with Complexed Copper(II) Halides. *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, *36*, 3324–3330.

(13) The unstable 3-chloroindole intermediate and its transformation have been reported. For reference, see: Gassman, P. G.; Campbell, G. A.; Mehta, G. The reactions of intermediates derived from the chlorination of indoles. *Tetrahedron* **1972**, *28*, 2749–2758.

Note