

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker

Angewandte Chemie

GDCh

International Edition

www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: Site-Specific Alkene Hydromethylation via Protonolysis of Titanacyclobutanes

Authors: James A. Law, Noah M. Bartfield, and James Frederick

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 10.1002/anie.202103278

Link to VoR: <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202103278>

COMMUNICATION

Site-Specific Alkene Hydromethylation via Protonolysis of Titanacyclobutanes

James A. Law, Noah M. Bartfield and James H. Frederick*

[a] Prof. Dr. J. H. Frederick
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry
Florida State University
95 Cheftan Way, Tallahassee, FL 32306, United States
E-mail: jfrederich@fsu.edu

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: Methyl groups are ubiquitous in biologically active molecules. Thus, new tactics to introduce this alkyl fragment into polyfunctional structures are of significant interest. With this goal in mind, a direct method for the Markovnikov hydromethylation of alkenes is reported. This method exploits the degenerate metathesis reaction between the titanium methylidene unveiled from $\text{Cp}_2\text{Ti}(\mu\text{-Cl})(\mu\text{-CH}_2)\text{AlMe}_2$ (Tebbe's reagent) and unactivated alkenes. Protonolysis of the resulting titanacyclobutanes *in situ* effects hydromethylation in a chemo-, regio-, and site-selective manner. The broad utility of this method is demonstrated across a series of mono- and di-substituted alkenes containing pendant alcohols, ethers, amides, carbamates and basic amines.

Site-specific methylation is a valuable strategy to optimize the pharmacology of bioactive small molecules.^[1] This 'magic methyl' effect has inspired new strategies for selective C–H bond methylation that facilitate the late-stage diversification of complex structures.^[2,3] The addition of methane across a C–C π -system provides an appealing and complementary approach to small-molecule methylation. Nevertheless, despite advances in catalytic alkene hydrofunctionalization,^[4] there are few direct methods for regioselective hydromethylation.^[5–7] A procedure involving cyclopropanation and reductive C–C bond cleavage provides an indirect approach to this problem (Figure 1).^[8] In contrast, the Baran Group developed a more direct, branch-selective hydromethylation protocol using Fe-mediated hydrogen-atom transfer.^[9,10] Herein, we describe the utility of $\text{Cp}_2\text{Ti}(\mu\text{-Cl})(\mu\text{-CH}_2)\text{AlMe}_2$ (**1**) as a hydromethylation reagent.^[11] This method facilitates site-specific incorporation of methyl substituents into polyfunctional structures and circumvents several of the intrinsic limitations of existing hydromethylation tactics.


Our interest in hydromethylation strategies emerged from a program to synthesize fusicoccane diterpenes.^[12] An early route intercepted structure **2**, from which the regioselective addition of methane to the C7–C8 alkene became an attractive option to install the C7 methyl group of this terpene family. In practice, the poor reactivity profile of **2** made this task challenging. For example, exposure of **2**, or protected variants, to combinations of electrophilic metals (e.g. Pd,^[13] Fe,^[14] Cu^[15]) and nucleophilic methyl surrogates (e.g. ZnMe_2) returned only starting material. Cyclopropanation was also intractable, requiring 10 equiv of $(\text{TFA})\text{ZnCH}_2\text{I}$ to achieve modest conversion.^[16] Conversely, the Mukaiyama-type hydromethylation reported by Baran yielded only traces of target structure **3** alongside significant quantities of the corresponding net hydrogenation product arising from competitive reduction of radical species **A**.^[17]

In search of a solution, we revisited the pioneering work of Tebbe^[18] and Grubbs^[19] concerning reagent **1**, which serves as a progenitor to titanium methylidene **B**. While best known as an intermediate for carbonyl methylenation, **B** also participates in a

• *indirect approach: reductive C–C bond cleavage*



• *state-of-the-art: metal-catalyzed hydrogen atom transfer (MHAT)*



• *this work: protonolysis of titanacyclobutanes*





Figure 1. Regioselective hydromethylation of unactivated alkenes explored in the context of structure **2**.

degenerate metathesis reaction with unactivated alkenes.^[20] In select cases, the resultant titanacyclobutanes (i.e. **C**) have been isolated and reacted with acid to give formal hydromethylation products.^[20] However, these examples are largely constrained to simple hydrocarbons lacking other functional groups.^[21,22] Thus, with some experimentation, we were pleased to find that the reaction of 1.5 equiv of **1** with **2** afforded **3**^[23] in 68% yield after addition of SiO_2 to the reaction.^[24] The enhanced reactivity and regioselectivity (C7:C8 = 21:1) achieved with reagent **1** in this complex setting compelled us to explore further. Our efforts to transform this chemistry into a general method for alkene hydromethylation are summarized below.

Our study began with a detailed investigation of reaction conditions (Table 1). Reaction parameters were explored using piperidine **4**, which reacted with a solution of **1** (0.3–0.4 M in PhMe)^[25] and DMAP at 0 °C.^[19c] After 6 h, addition of HCl furnished an inseparable mixture of net Markovnikov hydromethylation product **5** (16% conversion) and **4** (entry 1). We observed no reaction in the absence of a Lewis base (entry 2). In contrast, the reaction was improved using THF as the Lewis base

COMMUNICATION


Table 1. Summary of Reaction Optimization^[a–c]

Entry	Deviation from Standard Protocol	Time (h)	% Yield 5
1	PhMe, 1 equiv DMAP	6	16 ^[d]
2	PhMe	6	0
3	PhMe/THF (2:1)	2	80
4	none	1	94
5	TFA as proton source ^[e]	1	92
6	none, gram-scale	1	89
7	commercial solution of 1 ^[f]	6	70 ^[d]

[a] Yields are based on isolated **5**. [b] Reactions were carried out on 0.2 mmol scale. [c] Reagent **1** was prepared directly before use.^[25] [d] Reflects conversion of **4** to **5** as judged by ¹H NMR spectra of the unpurified reaction mixture. [e] The reaction was treated with TFA at –78 °C and warm to rt. [f] Commercial **1** was 0.52 M (in PhMe) as received.

(entry 3) and the best results (94% yield) were obtained using THF as the solvent (entry 4). We found that HCl could be replaced by TFA without impacting reaction efficiency (entry 5). Moreover, the reaction was executed on gram-scale to give **5** in 89% yield (entry 6). Importantly, commercial solutions of **1** (0.5 M in PhMe) did not give comparable results (entry 7). The concentration of **1** was accurate; however, commercial **1** was darker than solutions of **1** freshly prepared from Cp₂TiCl₂ and AlMe₃.^[26] The same problem was encountered with prepared solutions of **1** after ~120 h, suggesting formation of impurities upon storage.^[27] With this practical issue noted, we found that ketone **6** was converted to **5** in 90% yield with 3 equiv of **1** using otherwise identical conditions. As such, this method also allows for the direct geminal dimethylation of ketones.^[28]



A series of control reactions shed light on the properties of titanacyclobutane **7** formed by the reaction of **1** and **4** (Scheme 1).

**Scheme 1.** Reactivity of Titanacyclobutane **7**.

It was unnecessary to isolate this transient species, which we observed in ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified reaction mixtures before protonolysis.^[25] Instead, the thermal stability of **7** was established by forming the metallacycle *in situ* at 0 °C, then

warming the reaction for 1 h before addition of HCl. This analysis revealed significant cycloreversion to **4** after 1 h at 35 °C (50% by ¹H NMR spectroscopy).^[29] Conversely, **7** persisted for 10 h at 0 °C when precautions were taken to exclude air. The introduction of oxygen (1 atm) resulted in the rapid formation of byproducts, the most significant being aldehyde **8**. However, when handled as described, **7** functions as a useful 1,3-dianion equivalent. This feature was showcased by reaction of DCl with **7** at 0 °C to furnish isotopically labeled **5-d₂** in 90% yield and ≥90% deuterium incorporation.


As shown in Scheme 2, the regioselectivity of this method was highlighted using α-olefins (**9**). In principle, both branched (b) and

**Scheme 2.** Regioselective Hydromethylation of α-olefins.

linear (l) hydromethylation isomers of **10** are accessible via protonolysis of titanacycles **I** and **II**, respectively. However, using the standard protocol, 4-phenyl-1-butene (**9a**) gave branched alkane **10a** (72% yield, >25:1 b/l), indicating selective generation of the primary alkyl titanacycle **I**. By comparison, pyridine congener **9b** afforded **10b** in 71% yield, but with reduced regioselectivity (3:1 b/l). In this case, the secondary alkyl titanacycle **II** is stabilized by coordination to the pendant nitrogen atom via a six-membered chelate. Increasing the reaction time to 3 h before the addition of acid improved the branched selectivity (**10b**, 3:1 → 6:1 b/l), suggesting that intermediates **I** and **II** equilibrate under the reaction conditions. A directing effect was not observed using homoallylic ether **9c**, as shown by the regioselective formation of **10c** after 1 h (52% yield, >25:1 b/l). In contrast, modest branched selectivity was observed after 1 h with allyl arene derivatives **9d** and **9e**. As expected, the regioselectivity in both cases was enhanced to >25:1 by extending the reaction time. This modification allowed **10d** and **10e** to be isolated in 78% and 85% yield, respectively.

To interrogate the role of temperature on the formation and equilibration of titanacyclobutanes **I** and **II**, we studied the

COMMUNICATION

**Scheme 3.** Scope and Limitations of Titanium-Mediated Alkene Hydromethylation.^[a]

[a] Yields are based on isolated **12**. [b] Conditions: 1.2 equiv of **1**, 1 h; 3 M aq. HCl, 0 °C, 6 h. [c] Conversion of **11** to **12** as judged by ¹H NMR spectra of the unpurified reaction mixture. [d] Unreacted **11** was removed by treating the mixture of **11** and **12** with *m*-CPBA.^[25] [e] Conditions: 1.2 equiv of **1**, 3 h; TFA, -78 °C → rt, 6 h. [f] SiO₂ in EtOAc used in place of TFA. [g] Conditions: 2 equiv of **1**, THF (0.1 M), -10 °C, 3 h; TFA, -78 °C → rt, 6 h.

conversion of methyl eugenol (**9e**) to products **10e** using ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Thus, **9e** was reacted with 1.2 equiv of **1** in THF (0.1 M) for 3 h at various temperatures, then treated with TFA at -78 °C. These experiments revealed a temperature window of -10 °C to 10 °C to achieve a high conversion to **10e** (≥95%). Using these conditions, the regioselectivity (b/l ratio) improved from 8:1 at -10 °C to >25:1 at 0 °C. Taken together, these data demonstrate the importance of time and temperature as variables for reaction optimization.

With these considerations in mind, the hydromethylation of substituted alkenes was explored. As highlighted in Scheme 3, alkenes **11** were divided into four groups (I–IV) based the structure of the titanacyclobutane formed during the reaction. Group I included exocyclic 1,1-disubstituted alkenes derived from nitrogen heterocycles (**11a–g**). Substrates of this type reacted at 0 °C to afford branched products exclusively. Pendent carbamates (**12a**) and amides (**12b**) were tolerated, as were small- (**12d–f**) and medium-sized (**12g**) ring systems. In contrast, hydroquinoline **11c** reacted slowly under the standard conditions, presumably because the resultant titanacyclobutane is more sterically hindered.



Group II consisted of endocyclic 1,2-disubstituted alkenes (**11h–k**), which reacted at 0 °C in identical fashion to Group I. Similarly, heterocyclic carbamates (**12h**), ethers, and alcohols (**12i–k**) were tolerated.^[30] We observed that the methyl group was selectively delivered to the more congested position (α) within **12i**

and **12j**. This outcome is consistent with a requirement to place the titanium atom in the least sterically encumbered position. In comparison, branched acyclic alkenes in Group III (**11l–s**) were less reactive, requiring an excess of **1** (2 equiv) and longer reaction times (3 h, -10 °C) to obtain useful results. Nevertheless, 1,1-disubstituted alkyl (**11l–n**) and (hetero)aryl (**11o–s**) alkenes were transformed to branched alkanes **12l–s** in reasonable yield. Alkanes **12q–s** derived from α-methyl styrenes are noteworthy, as this alkene class is a limitation of the Baran hydromethylation.^[9] On the other hand, trisubstituted alkenes (e.g. **11t**) were unreactive. In addition, substrates bearing pendent aldehydes, ketones, or esters were complicated by competitive carbonyl methylation.



With these limitations established, we set out to exploit the noted differences in alkene reactivity to achieve site-specific hydromethylation (Scheme 4). Thus, we found that the acyclic alkene in **13** reacted selectively to give **14** exclusively. We also observed complete selectivity for the α-olefin in **15** to afford **16** in 84% yield. Likewise, the cyclic alkene of **17** was functionalized, leaving the branched acyclic alkene untouched en route to amide **18**. In contrast, the α-olefin of **19** reacted preferentially to give pyrrole **20** in 72% yield following oxidation of the pyrroline during purification.^[31] Taken together, these competition experiments established the following order of alkene reactivity: α-olefins > cyclic alkenes > acyclic branched alkenes >> tri-substituted alkenes.

COMMUNICATION

a. trisubstituted vs. branched acyclic

b. acyclic – branched vs. unbranched (α -ofelin)

c. acyclic vs. cyclic

d. cyclic vs. α -ofelin

Scheme 4. Site-specific Hydromethylation.

In summary, a method for the direct hydromethylation of alkenes has been developed. This chemistry harnesses Tebbe's reagent (**1**) to generate titanacyclobutanes from alkenes. These transient 1,3-dianion equivalents react *in situ* with exogenous acid to furnish net hydromethylation products with excellent regioselectivity. In defining the scope and limitations of this method, we established a clear hierarchy for alkene reactivity that allows for site-specific hydromethylation within complex, polyfunctional molecules. This feature is especially useful for natural product synthesis and the late-stage diversification of bioactive small molecules.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the NIGMS branch of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under award number R01GM125926. We thank Dr. Xinsong Lin (FSU) for assistance with X-ray crystallography and mass spectrometry. We are also grateful to Professor Joel Smith (FSU) for valuable discussions.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest


Keywords: titanacyclobutane • hydromethylation • site-specific • complex molecule synthesis • organometallics

- [1] H. Schönher, T. Cernak, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, 12256–12267.
[2] K. Feng, R. E. Quevedo, J. T. Kohrt, M. S. Oderinde, U. Reilly, M. C. White, *Nature* **2020**, 580, 621–627.

- [3] S. D. Friis, M. J. Johansson, L. Ackermann, *Nat. Chem.* **2020**, 12, 511–519.
[4] For select reviews, see: a) M. Beller, J. Seayd, A. Tillack, H. Jiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, 43, 3368–3398; b) R. A. Shenvi, J. L. M. Matos, S. A. Green, *Organic Reactions* **2019**, 383–470; c) I. P. Beletskaya, C. Najera, M. Yus, *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **2020**, 89, 250–274.
[5] For Markovnikov hydromethylation methods, see: a) Z. N. Parnes, G. I. Bolestova, I. S. Akhrem, I. S.; D. N. Kursanov, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1980**, 748; b) J. Terao, T. Watanabe, K. Saito, N. Kambe, N. Sonoda, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, 39, 9201–9204; c) F.-G. Fontaine, T. D. Tilley, *Organometallics* **2005**, 24, 4340–4342.
[6] For anti-Markovnikov hydromethylation methods, see: a) F. Clausen, M. Kischewitz, K. Bergander, A. Studer, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, 10, 6210–6214; b) Q. Zhu, D. G. Nocera, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, 142, 17913–17918.
[7] Zirconium-catalyzed carboalumination (ZACA) reactions also provide an entry point to formal alkene hydromethylation, see: a) E. Negishi, *Dalton Trans.* **2004**, 827–848; b) D. E. Van Horn, L. F. Valente, M. J. Idacavage, E. Negishi, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1978**, 156, C20–C24.
[8] For examples in natural product total synthesis, see: a) J. E. McMurry, M. D. Erion, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, 107, 2712–2720; b) G. Pattenden, L. Roberts, A. Blake, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1* **1998**, 863–868; c) J. Deng, Y. Ning, H. Tian, J. Gui, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, 142, 4690–4695.
[9] H. T. Dao, C. Li, Q. Michaudel, B. D. Maxwell, P. S. Baran, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, 137, 8046–8049.
[10] For a related catalytic MHAT hydroalkylation method, see: S. A. Green, T. R. Huffman, R. O. McCourt, V. van der Puy, R. A. Shenvi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, 141, 7709–7714.
[11] S. H. Pine, *Org. React.* **1993**, 43, 1–90.
[12] A. E. Salvati, J. A. Law, J. Liriano, J. H. Frederich, *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, 9, 5389–5393.
[13] M. Lautens, S. Hiebert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 1437–1447.
[14] M. Nakamura, K. Matsuo, T. Inoue, E. Nakamura, *Org. Lett.* **2003**, 5, 1373–1375.
[15] a) F. Bertozzi, M. Pineschi, F. Macchia, L. A. Arnold, A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa, *Org. Lett.* **2002**, 4, 2703–2705; b) W. Zhang, L. Wang, W. Shi, Q. Zhou, *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 70, 3734–3736.
[16] J. C. Lorenz, J. Long, Z. Yang, S. Xue, Y. Xie, Y. Shi, *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, 69, 327–334.
[17] Competitive reduction of nucleophilic radical **A** was reported as a problem using sterically hindered substrates. See reference 9.
[18] a) F. N. Tebbe, G. W. Parshall, G. S. Reddy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, 100, 3611–3613; b) F. N. Tebbe, R. L. Harlow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, 102, 6149–6151.
[19] a) S. H. Pine, R. Zahler, D. A. Evans, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, 102, 3270–3272; b) K. C. Ott, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, 103, 5922–5923; c) S. L. Buchwald, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, 105, 5490–5491; d) S. C. H. Ho, D. A. Straus, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, 106, 1533–1534.
[20] For seminal reports, see: a) T. R. Howard, J. B. Lee, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, 102, 6876–6878; b) P. J. Krusic, F. N. Tebbe, *Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, 21, 2900–2902; c) J. B. Lee, K. C. Ott, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, 104, 7491–7496.
[21] For examples, see: K. A. Brown-Wensley, S. L. Buchwald, L. Cannizzo, L. Clawson, S. Ho, D. Meinhardt, J. R. Stille, D. Straus, R. H. Grubbs, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1983**, 55, 1733–1744 and references therein.
[22] Hydromethylation was noted as a byproduct in carbonyl methylenation reactions employing excess **1**, see: D. C. Harrowven, M. C. Lucas, P. D. Howes, *Tetrahedron* **2001**, 57, 791–804.
[23] The utility of **1** in carbonyl–olefin metathesis using highly functionalized substrates has been demonstrated, see: a) J. R. Stille, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, 108, 855–856; b) K. C. Nicolaou, M. H. D. Postema, C. F. Claiborne, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, 118, 1565–1566.
[24] Deposition Number 2059774 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for compound **3**. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre: www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.
[25] See the Supporting Information for additional details.
[26] Reagent **1** was prepared from Cp₂TiCl₂ and AlMe₃ (2.0 M in PhMe). Based upon current prices for these reagents, the cost of **1** was ~\$1.1/mmol when prepared as described in the Supporting Information. In our hands, the titration of **1** with 2-*tert*-butylcyclohexanone was difficult to interpret. An alternative titration using *p*-anisaldehyde was developed. These procedures were adapted from an earlier report: L. F. Cannizzo, R. H. Grubbs, R. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, 50, 2386–2387.
[27] Tebbe studied the degradation of **1** in PhMe. Several titanium species are generated, including (Cp₂TiCl)₂. See reference 20b.
[28] For an overview of methods relating to ketone gem-dialkylation, see: D. Seebach, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2010**, 49, 2–8.
[29] The thermal cycloreversion of titanacyclobutanes to alkenes and Cp₂TiCH₂ has been described. See references 19 and 20.
[30] The relative stereochemistry of **12i–12k** was assigned by comparison to previously reported data for these structures.
[31] Structures **17** and **19** were intrinsically sensitive to oxidation and slowly oxidize to the corresponding acyl pyrroles under ambient conditions.

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents



It's all about Me. Reported herein is a method for the direct Markovnikov hydromethylation of unactivated alkenes. This chemistry enables site-specific incorporation of a methyl group into complex, poly-functional molecules.

Institute and/or researcher Twitter usernames: @FSURsearch