## 14. A New Synthesis of Benzylidene Acetals<sup>1</sup>)

by Chunbao Li and Andrea Vasella\*

Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich

(13. X. 92)

Aryl-halo-diazirines react under basic conditions with 1,3-cis-, 1,2-cis-, and 1,2-trans-diols to give acetals. Yields are high. Diastereoselectivities depend upon the diol and upon the reaction conditions. Thus, reaction of the 1,3-cis-diol 1 (Scheme 1) with 2 gave 3 as a single diastereoisomer. The 1,2-cis-diols 4 and 7 led to the endo- and exo-acetals 5/6 (93:7) and 8/9 (ca. 10:1), respectively. The 1,2-trans-diol 10, 16, and 19 reacted with 2 to afford 11/12 (90:10), 17/18 (1:1), and 20/21 (6:1), respectively. Reaction of the (4-nitrophenyl)diazirine 13 with 10 at higher temperatures yielded 14/15 (6:4). The uracil moiety, the acetamido group, and the enol-ether moiety are compatible with the reaction conditions. The diastereoselectivity is rationalized on the basis of a reaction sequence involving alkoxy-halogen exchange, which is regioselective or not, thermolysis of the ensuing alkoxydiazirine(s), protonation of the alkoxycarbene to form an (E)-configurated oxycarbenium ion, and attack of the neighboring oxy or hydroxy group, which is only possible for a limited range of conformers.

Introduction. – The transformation into benzylidene acetals is one of the most reliable and useful ways to protect 1,3- and 1,2-diols [1] and is usually performed by acetalation, trans-acetalation, or reaction with (dihalomethyl)benzene [2]²). The first two methods require acid catalysis and are often high yielding. The third method requires base catalysis and proceeds in lower yields, especially for diols with labile groups such as the O-(triphenylmethyl) substituent or for 1,2-trans-diols. Under acidic conditions, 2-phenyl-1,3-dioxanes are formed with a very high diastereoselectivity, while 2-phenyl-1,3-dioxolanes, as a rule, are obtained as mixtures of endo- and exo-isomers. This is not only important with regard to obtain pure products, but also with hindsight to further transformations of benzylidene acetals [1c] [4].

A high-yielding method, using neutral or basic conditions for the diastereoselective preparation of 2-phenyl-1,3-dioxolanes and -dioxanes would be welcome, particularly for the selective transformation of acid-sensitive compounds, such as glycals. We have conceived of such a method, which consists in treating a diol with an aryl-halo-diazirine [5] under basic conditions, and which demonstrates the intramolecular insertion of alkoxyaryl carbenes into O-H bonds [6]. Halodiazirines are easily prepared by *Graham*'s method from amidinium salts [7] [8a] and undergo an alkoxide-halide exchange with alcohols to form alkoxy-aryl-diazirines [8a], which are thermally labile, loose N<sub>2</sub> in situ and form alkoxyaryl carbenes [8b]. For diols, insertion of these carbenes into the O-H bond of a neighboring OH group should yield benzylidene acetals.

Reported in part at the 'XVIth International Carbohydrate Symposium', Paris, July 5-10, 1992.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) For an oxidative 4-methoxybenzylidenation, see e.g. [3a], and for a reductive benzylidenation [3b].

Results and Discussion. – To study this approach to the synthesis of benzylidene acetals, we chose the 1,3-cis diol 1 [9]<sup>3</sup>), the 1,2-cis diols 4 [13] and 7 (uridine), and the 1,2-trans diols 10 [14], 16 [15], and 19 [16]. Benzylidenation of these alcohols allows to check the compatibility of the reaction conditions with the presence of a heterocyclic base, an acetamido group, a trityloxy group, and an enol ether function.

The reaction of the myo-inositol derivative 1 (Scheme 1) with the bromodiazirine 2 [7] in the presence of 1 equiv. of NaH in DMSO was incomplete and led to a complex mixture of products. Simultaneous addition of concentrated aqueous KOH and a solution of 2 in hexane to a solution of 1 in DMSO, however, gave the desired acetal 3 (93%) as a single diastereoisomer. Similarly, the 1,2-cis-diol 4 was treated with aqueous KOH/DMSO and 2, to yield the endo- and exo-configurated benzylidene acetals 5/6 (93:7), favoring the product of kinetic control. Slow addition of the solutions of KOH and 2 was important for a high yield (95%). When the solution of KOH was added in one portion, the yield decreased to 78%; it was also lower (70%), when dry DMF was used as solvent. The presence of a heterocyclic ring in uridine (7) did not disturb the benzylidenation. The reaction between uridine and 2 in the presence of aqueous KOH in DMSO gave 8/9 (10:1;

a) 3 equiv. of **2**, 6 equiv. of KOH, DMSO/H<sub>2</sub>O 20:3, r.t., 2 h; 93%. b) 1) 2.1 equiv. of **2**, 5 equiv. of KOH, DMF, r.t., 4 h; 70% (**5**/6 88:12); 2) 3.2 equiv. of **2**, 5 equiv. of KOH, DMSO/H<sub>2</sub>O 8:1, r.t., 2 h; **5** (73%); **6** (5%); 3) 2.8 equiv. of **2**, 5 equiv. of KOH, DMSO/H<sub>2</sub>O 20:3, r.t., 3 h; **5** (88%), **6** (7%). c) 5.2 equiv. of **2**, 5 equiv. of KOH, DMSO/H<sub>2</sub>O 40:3, r.t., 1.5 h; **8**/**9** (9:1, 74%).

A large number of fused benzylidene acetals of 1,3-diols are known, but only a few bridged ones, namely derivatives of ribopyranosides and of δ-ribonolactone [10], of glucopyranosides [11], and of azadirachtin [12].

74%). The minor isomer was detected by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy of this mixture. Repeated crystallizations gave pure 8 (22%). The acetals 8/9 had been prepared before by the reaction of 7 with benzaldehyde in the presence of  $ZnCl_2[17-19]$ . Whereas mixtures of 8/9 were obtained at room temperature [17] [18], the *endo*-isomer 8 was selectively formed at 5° [18]. Pure 8 and a 1:1 mixture 8/9 have a similar melting point (191–193°), but different  $[\alpha]_0^{25}$  values (-57.9 and -92.7, respectively) [18].

In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of 1 and 3, H-C(2) (1: 5.22, 3: 4.88 ppm) exhibits the characteristic br. quartettoid signal ( $J \approx 1.8$  Hz) including a long-range coupling (1.1 Hz) with the methylidyne H-atom [20] [21], H-C(5) of 1 appears at 3.91 ppm as a relatively br.  $m(w_{20} = 11.2 \text{ Hz})$ . In 3, however, it resonates at 4.23 ppm as a characteristic tt with vicinal couplings of 4.7 and long-range couplings to H-C(1) and H-C(3) of 1.4 Hz. The endo-orientation of the Ph substituent in 3 was deduced from a NOE (16%) between PhCH and H-C(5) (Table), which also evidences the boat conformation of the Ph-substituted 1,3-dioxane ring. This conformation is also indicated by the strong upfield shift ( $\gamma$  effect) of PhCH (91.90 ppm) and C(5) (1: 69.05 ppm, 3: 63.30 ppm). That 5 and 6 are isomers is evidenced by the combustion analysis and the mass spectrum  $([M+1]^+$  at m/z 399, [M- acctone + NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> at m/z358,  $[M - acetone + 1]^+$  at m/z 341). The loss of acetone is initialized by the heterolytic cleavage of the glycosidic C-O bond. The IR spectra show no bands for OH groups. In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra, s's at 5.94 (5) and 6.12 ppm (6) prove the presence of a benzylidene moiety. Again, the assignment of the configuration is based upon NOE's between PhCH, and H-C(4) and H-C(5) in 5 and between PhCH and H-C(3) in 6 (Table). The relatively small J(3,4) values of 5 and 6 (7.0 and 7.5 Hz, respectively) and the relatively large J(4,5) values (6.2 and 5.4 Hz, respectively) suggest a flattened <sup>2</sup>C<sub>5</sub> conformation of the tetrahydropyran ring due to the cis-fused dioxolane ring. As expected, the flattening is more distinct in 5 than in 6. In agreement with these findings, calculations with the Gandour program [22] suggest a dihedral angle for H-C(3)-C(4)-H of 145-150° in 5 and 6. The C=O region of the IR spectrum (KBr) of 8 is similar to the one of 7 in nujol [23]. The melting point (202-203°) of 8 is 10° higher as the reported one [18], but the  $[\alpha]_D$  value in DMF and the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum in  $(D_6)DMF$  (see Exper. Part) correspond well to the published data [18] [19b]. In (D<sub>6</sub>)acetone, PhCH of 8 resonates at 6.00 ppm, and PhCH of 9 at 6.16 ppm. The assignment is proven by a NOE between PhCH, and both H-C(2) and H-C(3) of 8 (Table). This is in keeping with the rule that the exo-H-atom of such bicyclic benzylidene acetals is more shielded than the endo-H-atom (see [18] [19b] [24] and ref. cited therein). In the 13C-NMR spectrum, 8 exhibits the typical signals for the uracil moiety [25]. PhCH appears at 106.48 ppm.

Benzylidenation of 10 (Scheme 2) under thermodynamic conditions is at best difficult. To the best of our knowledge, no such attempt has been published. Treatment of 10 with dichlorotoluene in pyridine, however, gave the benzylidene acetals 11/12 in 10–20% yield [2e]. Crystallization led to an enriched sample of one diastereoisomer (m.p. 157–161°,  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +55$ ). The procedure was improved, leading to a purer sample (33%, 168–174°,  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +71.6$ ) of the crystalline diastereoisomer [26]. The configuration at the acetal

a) 1) 3 equiv. of 2, 5 equiv. of KOH, DMF, r.t., 3 h; 11 (78%), 12 (17%); 2) 3.4 equiv. of 2, 5 equiv. of KOH, DMSO/H<sub>2</sub>O 20:3, r.t., 0.5 h; 11 (85%), 12 (10%). b) 5 equiv. of 13, pyridine, 97–103°, 6.5 h; 14 (55%), 15 (35%).

centre was not determined. Upon treatment of 10 with 2 in the presence of KOH in DMSO/ $H_2O$ , a crystalline precipitate of 11/12 (9:1, 84%) was formed. Chromatographic purification of the precipitate and the supernatant gave 11 (85%, m.p. 192–193°,  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +87$ ) and 12 (10%, amorphous solid,  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +5$ ). The percentage of the minor isomer is somewhat higher (78% of 11, 17% of 12) with dry DMF as solvent. The use of dry DMSO led to a very sluggish reaction. The acetals 14/15 were obtained in low yields only, when 10 was treated with the (4-nitrophenyl)diazirine 13 at room temperature in DMSO/ $H_2O$ , DMF, DMF/ $H_2O$ , or MeCN. In pyridine at 100°, however, 10 was transformed into 14/15 (6:4) which were separated by flash chromatography to yield 14 (55%) and 15 (35%). This change of the conditions may indicate a change of the reaction mechanism, presumably from a  $S_N2'$  to a radical chain process [8] [27], or, less likely, to a sequence involving generation of the bromo-(4-nitrophenyl)-carbene [28].

In the CI-MS, both 11 and 12 show  $[M+1]^+$  at m/z 371 as the base peak. The melting point and the  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  value of 11 (see above) clearly indicate that the crystalline products which were obtained in the reaction of 10 with dibromotoluene [2e] [26] correspond to impure samples of 11. The more strongly laevorotatory  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  value of 12 is in agreement with these results. PhCH of 11 resonates at 5.61 ppm, PhCH of 12 at 5.64 ppm. These relative chemical shifts and the small  $\Delta\delta$  value of 0.03 ppm are in agreement with the findings of *Garegg* and *Swahn* [2e]. The unambiguous assignment of diastereoisomers is based upon NOE's between PhCH, and H-C(2) (11) and H-C(3) (12), respectively (*Table*). As expected, PhCH of the pyranose moiety of 11 resonates at higher field ( $\Delta\delta = 4$  ppm) than PhCH of the furanose moiety. Both 14 and 15 show similar <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra as 11 and 12. PhCH of the furanose moiety is shifted upfield by 2 ppm. The IR spectra of 14 and 15 are characterized by the band at 1525 cm<sup>-1</sup>, the CI-MS by peaks for  $[M+NH_4]^+$  at m/z 433,  $[M+1]^+$  at m/z 416, and  $[M-NO_2+NH_3]^+$  (base peak) at m/z 386.

Benzylidenation of the *N*-acetylglucosamine derivative 16 [15] by 2 gave 17/18 (94%; *Scheme 3*). HPLC separation gave 17 and 18 in almost equal amount. Finally, the tritylated glucal 19 [16] was transformed into a mixture 20/21 which was separated by HPLC into 20 (51%) and 21 (8%). The yield could not be improved by variation of the conditions (solvent, ratio of 2, and KOH). The partial decomposition of 20 and 21 on SiO<sub>2</sub> was prevented by treating SiO<sub>2</sub> with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.

a) 3 equiv. of **2**, 5 equiv. of KOH, DMSO/H<sub>2</sub>O 10:1, r.t., 1.5 h; **17** (43%), **18** (39%). b) 2.8 equiv. of **2**, 3 equiv. of KOH, DMSO/H<sub>2</sub>O 5:1, r.t., 2.5 h; **20** (51%), **21** (8%).

In the IR spectra, 17 and 18 show absorptions for AcNH at 1680 and 3450 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The MS shows signals for  $[M+1]^+$ ,  $[M-Ph_3C+1+NH_4]^+$ , and  $[M-Ph_3C+2]^+$ . In the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra, NH resonates as a d at 5.82 ppm (17) and 5.88 ppm (18). The configurational assignment is again based upon NOE's (*Table*). In the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra, PhCH appears at 104.68 ppm (17) and 104.99 ppm (18). The IR spectra of 20 and 21 are

Table. <sup>13</sup> C- (50 MHz, CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) and <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) Chemical Shifts [ppm] of the Benzylidene
C- and H-Atoms and the NOE's Observed upon Irradiation of the Benzylic H-Atoms

Compound 3	PhCH 91.90	PhC <i>H</i> 5.47	NOE Observed at H-atoms on the pyranose ring upon irradiation of PhCH (intensity)			
			H-C(4)/H-C(6)	(3%)	H-C(5)	(16%)
5	103.89	5.94	H-C(4)	(8%)	H-C(5)	(8%)
6	a)	6.12	H-C(3)	(8%)		
<b>8</b> <sup>b</sup> )	106.48	6.00	H-C(2')	(3%)	H-C(3')	(3%)
11	105.64°)	6.14°)	H-C(2)	(4%)		
	101.55 <sup>d</sup> )	5.61 <sup>d</sup> )				
12	a)	6.17°)	H-C(3)	(12%)		
		5.62 <sup>d</sup> )				
14	103.80°)	6.21°)	H-C(2)	(8%)		
	101.64 <sup>d</sup> )	5.61 <sup>d</sup> )				
15	103.89°)	6.21°)	H-C(3)	(12%)		
	101.68 <sup>d</sup> )	5.63 <sup>d</sup> )				
17	104.68	6.08	H-C(4)	(6%)		
18	104.99	6.01	H-C(3)	(7%)		
20	106.75	6.28	e)	. ,		
21	107.04	6.21	H-C(3)	(7%)		

a) Not measured.

characterized by the enol-group absorption at 1610 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra show signals for olefinic H-atoms at 6.30 and 6.31 ppm (H-C(1)) and at 5.26 and 5.29 ppm (H-C(2)) for **20** and **21**, respectively. A NOE of 12% is observed for PhCH of **20** upon irradiation of H-C(4), whereas irradiation of PhCH of **21** led to a NOE of 7% for H-C(3) (*Table*). C(1) of **20** and **21** resonates at *ca*. 144, C(2) at 99, and PhCH at 107 ppm.

To explain the diastereoselectivity of the benzylidenation, we assume the reaction sequence which is described in the Introduction and a preferred trans-configuration of the intermediary alkoxycarbene and of the oxycarbenium ion, which is obtained either by intra- or by intermolecular protonation of this carbene [29]. Two (E)-configurated alkoxycarbene intermediates can be formed from each diol, depending upon the regioselectivity of the alkoxy-halogen exchange. The hydroxy or alkoxy group must attack the oxycarbenium ion in the  $\pi$  plane according to a 5-endo-trig mode [30]. Rotation around the C-O bond connecting the oxycarbenium moiety to the pyranose or furanose ring determines both the angle of attack onto the  $\pi$  system of the cationic center and the distance between the center and the attacking O-atom, independently of whether protonation of the carbene is an intra- or intermolecular process. Inspection of Dreiding models shows that a conformer of the oxycarbenium ion derived from a halogen-alkoxy exchange of HO-C(5) of the 1,2-cis-diol 4, which has a  $H-C(5)-O^+=C$  dihedral angle of ca. 0° is much more easily attacked by the HO-C(4) group than a conformer with a corresponding angle of ca. 180°. This leads to the endo-isomer 5. The same observation is valid for the regioisomeric oxycarbenium ion derived from 4; again 5 is expected to be the major product. For the trans-diol 10, the same conformation of the oxycarbenium ion is required, independently of which OH-group has reacted with the diazirine, but the

b) <sup>1</sup>H-NMR in (D<sub>6</sub>)acetone, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR in (D<sub>6</sub>)DMSO.

c) Of 1,3-dioxolane moiety.

d) Of 1,3-dioxane moiety.

NOE (12%) at PhCH upon irradiation of H-C(4), no NOE upon irradiation of H-C(3).

situation is different from the one in the 1,2-cis-diols, in that the oxycarbenium ion derived from the reaction of HO-C(2) with 2 leads to 11, while the regioisomeric ion is expected to mostly yield 12. Regioselective reaction with 2 is also required for uridine; in the 2'-endo-conformer, HO-C(2'), and in the 3'-endo-conformer HO-C(3') must react preferentially to explain the preferred formation of the endo-product 8. The higher reactivity of HO-C(2) in  $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosides is amply documented [31]. The 1:1 ratio of products derived from 16 and from 19 indicates that both OH groups react to a similar extent with 2, while the 6:4 ratio of 14 and 15 has been obtained at a much higher temperature and may reflect partial loss of kinetic control. Regioselective halogen-alkoxy exchange must also operate in the benzylidenation of the glucal 19, where HO-C(3) has to react preferentially.

We thank Dr. B. Bernet for his help in preparing the manuscript, the Swiss National Science Foundation and F. Hoffmann-La Roche, AG, Basel, for generous support.

## **Experimental Part**

General. All reactions, except the one for preparation of 1, were run under Ar. Powdered KOH (Fluka purum, > 85%) was used throughout. The (4-nitrophenyl)amidine salt was prepared from the corresponding nitrile [32]. Diazirines were prepared by the Graham reaction [7]. Aliquots of a stock soln. of 2 in hexane (stored for several weeks in the refrigerator) were measured by weighing the filled syringe.

1,3,5-O-Methylidyne-2-O-trityl-myo-inositol [9] (1). Ph<sub>3</sub>CCl (1.6 g, 5.8 mmol) and pyridine (5 ml) were added to a soln. of 1,3,5-O-methylidyne-myo-inositol [33] (1.00 g, 5.26 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 ml). The soln. was heated to reflux overnight, cooled, and co-evaporated with MeOH. FC (hexane/AcOEt 1:1) of the residue gave 1 (0.60 g, 26%).  $R_f$  (hexane/AcOEt 1:1) 0.38. M.p. 215–217° (AcOEt). IR (KBr): 3300s (br.), 3060w, 3020w, 2970w, 2950w, 2920w, 1600w, 1495s,1450s, 1395w, 1370w, 1355w, 1300w, 1275w, 1255m, 1225w, 1160s, 1095s, 1080s, 1055s, 1020s, 1000s, 960m, 930w, 910w, 900w, 890w, 830m, 785m, 765m, 755m, 705s, 650w, 630m, 620w. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, (D<sub>6</sub>)DMSO): 7.47–7.25 (m, 15 arom. H); 5.52 (d, J = 1.1, HCO<sub>3</sub>); 5.22 (d, J = 6.6, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, 2 OH); 4.09 (br. q,  $w_{40} = 5.0$ ; irrad. at 5.52 →br. t, J = 1.6, H−C(2)); 4.05 (br. q,  $w_{30} = 16.5$ ; irrad. at 3.91 →br. t,  $J \approx 5.0$ ; addn. D<sub>2</sub>O →br. t,  $J \approx 4.0$ , H−C(4), H−C(6)); 3.92–3.90 (m,  $w_{20} \approx 11.5$ , H−C(5)); 3.43 (br. dd,  $J \approx 1.5$ , 3.0, H−C(1), H−C(3)). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, (D<sub>6</sub>)DMSO): 144.14 (s, 3 arom. C); 128.47 (d, 6 arom. C); 127.92 (d, 6 arom. C); 127.17 (d, 3 arom. C); 101.40 (d, HCO<sub>3</sub>); 87.06 (s, Ph<sub>3</sub>C); 73.21 (d, 2 C); 69.05 (d, C(5)); 67.27 (d, 2 C); 61.93 (d, C(1)). CI-MS: 244 (20), 243 (100, [Ph<sub>3</sub>C]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (432.45): C 72.21, H 6.04; found: C 72.21, H 5.88.

endo-4,6-O-Benzylidene-1,3,5-O-methylidyne-2-O-trityl-myo-inositol (3). A soln. of KOH (76 mg, 1.4 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O/DMSO 3:10 (1.3 ml) and an aliquot of a stock soln. of **2** (20% in hexane, 579 mg, 0.69 mmol) were added dropwise to a stirred soln. of **1** (100 mg, 0.23 mmol) in DMSO (1 ml) within 2 h and 1 h, respectively. After completion of the addition, normal workup (AcOEt, brine) and FC (hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:1) of the residue (141 mg) gave 3 (112 mg, 93%). Colorless foam.  $R_I$  (hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:1) 0.16. IR: 3030w, 2940w, 1600w, 1490w, 1450w, 1380m, 1300w, 1170s, 1140m, 1110s, 1095m, 1030s, 1005s, 970s, 910w, 830w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): 7.70-7.67 (m, 6 arom. H); 7.13-6.93 (m, irrad. at 5.47 → NOE of 7%, 14 arom. H); 5.67 (d, J = 1.1; irrad. at 4.88 → s, HCO<sub>3</sub>); 5.47 (s, PhCH); 4.88 (br. q, w<sub>30</sub> = 6.5; irrad. at 5.67 → t, t = 2.0, H-C(2)); 4.45 (br. t, t = 5.0; irrad. at 4.23 → br. t, t = 4.9; irrad. at 5.47 → NOE of 3%, H-C(4), H-C(6)); 4.23 (tt, t = 1.4, 4.7; irrad. at 5.47 → NOE of 16%, H-C(5)); 3.85 (ttd, t = 1.7, 5.3; irrad. at 4.88 → tdd, t = 1.4, 5.3; irrad. at 4.23 → tdd, t = 1.9, 5.1, H-C(1), H-C(3)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 144.11 (s, 3 arom. C); 136.66 (s, arom. C); 129.22 (d, arom. C); 128.90 (d, 6 arom. C); 128.25 (d, 2 arom. C); 127.94 (d, 6 arom. C); 127.21 (d, 3 arom. C); 125.91 (d, 2 arom. C); 102.08 (d, HCO<sub>3</sub>); 91.90 (d, PhCH); 88.07 (s, Ph<sub>3</sub>C); 71.35 (d, 2 C); 66.95 (d, 2 C); 63.30 (d, C(5)); 61.76 (d, C(2)). CI-MS: 244 (20), 243 (100, Ph<sub>3</sub>Cl<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (520.59): C 76.13, H 5.42; found: C 76.22, H 5.22.

(R)- and (S)-3-O-Benzyl-4,5-O-benzylidene-1,2-O-isopropylidene- $\beta$ -D-fructopyranose (5 and 6). a) A mixture of 4[13] (310 mg, 1 mmol) and powdered KOH (329 mg, 5.06 mmol) in dry DMF (10 ml) was stirred for 10 min at r.t. and then treated dropwise (within 2 h) with an aliquot of a stock soln. of 2 (26% in hexane, 1.564 g, 2.1 mmol). Stirring was continued for another 2 h, when TLC showed completion of the reaction. The soln. was poured onto ice and filtered through SiO<sub>2</sub> (AcOEt) to destroy the emulsion. Normal workup (AcOEt, H<sub>2</sub>O), drying

(high vacuum/50°), and FC (hexane/AcOEt 10:1) of the red, oily residue (664 mg) gave 5/6 (88:12 according to <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, 280 mg, 70%).

b) A vigorously stirred soln. of 4 (155 mg, 0.5 mmol) in DMSO (4 ml) was treated with a soln. of KOH (165 mg, 2.54 mmol) in  $H_2O$  (0.5 ml) and dropwise with an aliquot of a stock soln. of 2 (26% in hexane, 782 mg, 1.0 mmol) within 20 min. After stirring for 45 min, TLC showed still the presence of 4. After the dropwise addition of an additional aliquot of the stock soln. of 2 (391 mg, 0.52 mmol), stirring was continued for 1 h. The mixture was filtered through SiO<sub>2</sub> (AcOEt) to destroy the emulsion. Normal workup (AcOEt,  $H_2O$ ) and  $H_2O$  and  $H_2O$ 0 mg) gave 5 (151 mg, 73%) and 6 (12 mg, 5%).

c) A soln. of 4 (155 mg, 0.5 mmol) in DMSO (1 ml) was treated simultaneously and dropwise with a soln. of KOH (165 mg, 2.54 mmol) in  $H_2O/DMSO$  10:3 (1.3 ml) within 2 h, and with an aliquot of a stock soln. of 2 (26% in hexane, 1.016 g, 1.34 mmol) within 1 h. After completion of the addition, stirring was continued for 1 h. The mixture was treated with ice and AcOEt and filtered through SiO<sub>2</sub> (AcOEt). Normal workup (AcOEt,  $H_2O$ ) and 2 × FC (hexane/AcOEt 25:1) of the yellow, oily residue (420 mg) gave 5 (176 mg, 88%) and 6 (13 mg, 7%).

Data of 5:  $R_{\rm f}$  (hexane/AcOEt 25:1) 0.08. M.p. 205-206° (AcOEt). [α]<sub>D</sub><sup>25</sup> = −77.7 (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3030w, 2940s, 2880s, 1450m, 1370m, 1090s, 980s, 900s, 880s, 840m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.55-7.19 (m, irrad. at 5.94→NOE of 6%, 10 arom. H); 5.94 (s, PhCH); 4.89 (d, J = 12.0), 4.57 (d, J = 12.0, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 4.53 (t, J ≈ 6.6; irrad. at 3.53→d, J = 6.3; irrad. at 5.94→NOE of 8%, H−C(4)); 4.32 (ddd, J = 0.8, 2.6, 6.2; irrad. at 4.53→dd, J = 1.0. 2.7; irrad. at 5.94→NOE of 8%, H−C(5)); 4.23 (dd, J = 2.7, 13.5, H−C(6)); 4.17 (br. d, J ≈ 13.5, H'−C(6)); 4.04 (d, J = 8.6, H−C(1)); 3.85 (d, J = 8.6, H'−C(1)); 3.53 (d, J = 7.0; irrad. at 4.53→s, H−C(3)); 1.52 (s, Me); 1.44 (s, Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 137.98 (s, arom. C); 127.55 (d, arom. C); 129.32 (d, arom. C); 128.23 (d, 2 arom. C); 127.88 (d, 2 arom. C); 127.55 (d, arom. C); 126.67 (d, 2 arom. C); 122.25 (s, Me<sub>2</sub>C); 104.30 (s, C(2)); 103.89 (d, PhCH); 77.49, 76.32, 76.23 (3d, C(3), C(4), C(5)); 72.73, 71.91 (t, C(1), PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 60.09 (t, C(6)); 26.89, 26.05 (t, 2 Me). CI-MS: 399 (20, [t + 1]<sup>+</sup>), 359 (20), 358 (100, [t - acetone + NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), 342 (11), 341 (54, [t - acetone + 1]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (398.46): C 69.33, H 6.58; found: C 69.33, H 6.58; found: C 69.33, H 6.58.

Data of 6: amorphous precipitate (Et<sub>2</sub>O/pentane at  $-20^{\circ}$ , melting range 92–96°).  $R_{\rm f}$  (hexane/AcOEt 25:1) 0.16.  $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{125} = -43.4$  (c=1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3030w, 3000m, 2920s, 2900m, 1370m, 1090s, 970m, 900w, 880w.  $^{\rm 1}$ H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.48–7.30 (m, irrad. at 6.12  $\rightarrow$  NOE of 4%, 10 arom. H); 6.12 (s, PhCH); 5.03 (d, J=12.0), 4.78 (d, J=12.0, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 4.71 (dd, J=5.4, 7.4, H–C(4)); 4.23–4.21 (m, H–C(5)); 4.16 (d, J=8.5, H–C(1)); 4.14 (dd, J=2.4, 13.4, H–C(6)); 4.06 (d, J=13.4, H'–C(6)); 3.93 (d, J=8.5, H'–C(1)); 3.64 (d, J=7.5; irrad. at 5.94  $\rightarrow$  NOE of 8%, H–C(3)); 1.52 (s, Me); 1.46 (s, Me). CI-MS: 399 (30,  $[M+1]^+$ ), 359 (16), 358 (100,  $[M-acetone+NH_4]^+$ ), 341 (17,  $[M-acetone+1]^+$ ). Anal. calc. for  $C_{23}H_{26}O_{6}$  (398.46): C 69.33, H 6.58; found: C 69.59, H 6.84.

(R)- and (S)-2',3'-O-Benzylideneuridine (8 and 9) [17–19]. At r.t., a soln. of 7 (100 mg, 0.41 mmol) in DMSO (2 ml) was treated with a soln. of KOH (133 mg, 2 mmol) in  $H_2O$  (0.3 ml) at r.t. and then dropwise (within 0.5 h) with an aliquot of a stock soln. of 2 (30% in hexane, 807 mg, 1.2 mmol). Crystallized uridine was redissolved by evaporation of hexane and addition of DMSO (2 ml). TLC showed still the presence of 7. After the dropwise addition of an additional aliquot of the stock soln. of 2 (600 mg, 0.91 mmol), stirring was continued for 1 h. Normal workup (AcOEt, brine and aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl) and FC (Et<sub>2</sub>O and AcOEt) of the residue (240 mg) gave 8/9 (10:1 according to  $^{1}$ H-NMR, 101 mg, 74%). Several recrystallizations (2 × in AcOEt, 2 × in AcOEt/hexane/acetone, 2 × in EtOH/toluene/hexane, 2 × in EtOH/toluene) gave pure 8 (32 mg, 22%).

Data of 8: fine needles.  $R_f$  (AcOEt) 0.40. M.p. 202–203° (AcOEt; [18]: 191–191.5°). [ $\alpha$ ] $_D^{25} = -91.4$  (c = 1, acetone), -94.3 (c = 0.6, DMF; [18]: -93.3 ( $c \approx 8$ , DMF)). IR (KBr): 3470m, 3140m, 3030m, 2890m, 1775m, 1700s, 1680s, 1620m, 1465m, 1410m, 1370m, 1340m, 1280m, 1265m, 1225m, 1115s, 1095s, 1070s, 1050m, 1030m, 980m, 940m, 920m, 850m, 810m, 755m, 700m, 640m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, (D<sub>6</sub>)acetone): 10.05 (br. s, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, NH); 7.85 (d, J = 8.1, H−C(6)); 7.58–7.55 (m, irrad. at 6.00 → NOE of 6%, 2 arom. H); 7.49–7.40 (m, 3 arom. H); 6.04 (d, J = 2.9, H−C(1')); 6.00 (s, PhCH); 5.60 (d, J = 8.1, H−C(5)); 5.09 (dd, J = 2.9, 6.7; irrad. at 6.00 → NOE of 3%, H−C(3')); 4.36 (br. g,  $J \approx 3.6$ , H−C(4')); 4.26 (t, J = 5.2, exchangeable with D<sub>2</sub>O, OH); 3.86–3.78 (m, addn. D<sub>2</sub>O →3.80, dd, J = 4.0, 11.9, 3.76, dd, J = 4.0, 11.9; irrad. at 4.36 → dB, J = 11.9, 2 H−C(5')). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, (D<sub>6</sub>)DMF): 11.04 (br. s, NH); 8.00 (d, J = 8.0, H−C(6)); 7.61–7.59 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.48–7.46 (m, 3 arom. H); 6.12 (d, J = 2.7, H−C(1')); 6.05 (s, PhCH); 5.69 (d, J = 8.0, H−C(5)); 5.33 (t, J = 5.2, OH); 5.15 (dd, J = 2.7, 6.6, H−C(2')); 5.01 (dd, J = 2.9, 6.7, H−C(3')); 4.39 (br. g,  $J \approx 4.0$ , H−C(4')); 3.79–3.73 (m, 2 H−C(5')). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, (D<sub>6</sub>)DMSO): 163.20 (s, C(4)); 150.35 (s, C(2)); 142.09 (d, C(6)); 136.21 (s, arom. C); 129.72 (d, arom. C); 128.38 (d, 2 arom. C); 126.87 (d, 2 arom. C); 106.48 (d, PhCH); 101.72 (d, C(5)); 91.33 (d, C(1')); 86.35, 84.44, 81.87 (3d, C(2'), C(3'), C(4')); 61.33 (t, C(5')). CI-MS: 351 (18), 350 (100, [M + NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), 334

(14), 333 (69,  $[M+1]^+$ ), 332 (18), 238 (26), 221 (17), 130 (9), 113 (6). Anal. caic. for  $C_{16}H_{16}N_2O_6$  (332.32): C 57.82, H 4.85, N 8.43; found: C 57.87, H 4.93, N 8.43.

Data of 9:  $^{1}$ H-NMR (from 8/9 10:1, 400 MHz, (D<sub>6</sub>)acetone): 7.76 (d, J = 8.1, H-C(6)); 6.16 (s, PhCH); 5.99 (d, J = 2.7, H-C(1')); 5.61 (d, J = 8.1, H-C(5)); 4.27 (dd, J = 4.0, 8.1, H-C(4')).

Methyl (2R,4R)- and (2S,4R)-2,3:4,6-Di-O-benzylidene-α-D-glucopyranoside (11 and 12). a) A mixture of 10 [14] (200 mg, 0.71 mmol) and powered KOH (230 mg, 3.54 mmol) in DMF (10 ml) was stirred for 7 min at r.t., leading to a viscous soln. After the dropwise addition (within 0.5 h) of an aliquot of a stock soln. of 2 (26% in hexane, 782 mg, 1.0 mmol), stirring was continued for 1 h. TLC showed still the presence of 10. After the dropwise addition (within 0.5 h) of an additional aliquot of the stock soln. of 2 (1.0 ml, 1.1 mmol) and stirring for 1 h, TLC showed completion of the reaction. Normal workup (brine, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) and FC (hexane/AcOEt 10:0.8) gave 11 (205 mg, 78%) and 12 (45 mg, 17%).

b) At r.t., a vigorously stirred soln. of 10 (100 mg, 0.35 mmol) in DMSO (2 ml) was treated with a soln. of KOH (115 mg, 1.77 mmol) in  $H_2O$  (0.3 ml) and dropwise (within 15 min) with an aliquot of a stock soln. of 2 (26% in hexane, 782 mg, 1.0 mmol). After stirring for further 15 min, the crystalline precipitate was separated by filtration, washed with  $H_2O$  and hexane, and dried *in vacuo* to yield 11/12 (9:1, 110 mg, 84%). FC (hexane/AcOEt 10:0.8) gave 11 (95 mg) and 12 (12 mg). Normal workup of the filtrate (Et<sub>2</sub>O,  $H_2O$ ) and FC (hexane/AcOEt 10:0.8) of the residue (40 mg) gave 11 (17 mg, combined yield 85%) and 12 (1 mg, combined yield 10%).

Data of 11:  $R_f$  (hexane/AcOEt 10:0.8) 0.1. M.p. 192–193° (hexane/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). [ $\alpha$ ] $_{25}^{25}$  = +87.0 (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3020w, 2920m, 1450m, 1370m, 1310w, 1110s, 1050s, 1020s, 1020s, 960m, 910w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.52–7.33 (m, irrad. at 6.14  $\rightarrow$  NOE of 4%, 10 arom. H); 6.14 (s, PhCH of dioxolane moiety); 5.61 (s, PhCH of dioxane moiety); 5.23 (d, J = 3.0, H-C(1)); 4.35 (dd, J = 4.6, 10.2, H<sub>eq</sub>-C(6)); 4.29 (t, J = 9.5, H-C(3)); 3.95 (t, J = 9.3, H-C(4)); 3.89 (t, J = 10.3, H<sub>ax</sub>-C(6)); 3.78 (m, H-C(5)); 3.75 (dd, J = 3.1, 9.3; irrad. at 6.14  $\rightarrow$  NOE of 4%, H-C(2)); 3.54 (s, MeO). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 137.98 (s, arom. C); 136.87 (s, arom. C); 129.31, 129.09, 128.35, 128.30, 128.18, 126,64 (several d, 10 arom. C); 105.64 (d, PhCH of dioxolane moiety); 98.85 (d, C(1)); 81.49 (d, C(4)); 78.59 (d, C(2)); 72.81 (d, C(3)); 68.84 (t, C(6)); 64.25 (d, C(5)); 55.75 (g, MeO). CI-MS: 372 (22), 371 (100, [M + 1] $^+$ ). Anal. calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (370.41): C 68.09, H 5.98; found: C 67.93, H 5.78.

Data of 12:  $R_f$  (hexane/AcOEt 10:0.8) 0.16. [ $\alpha$ ] $_D^{25}$  = +5.0 (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3020w, 2930w, 1450w, 1380m, 1310w, 1110s, 1090s, 1050s, 1020m, 995w, 970w, 910w, 900w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.55–7.33 (m, irrad. at 6.17  $\rightarrow$  NOE of 4%, 10 arom. H); 6.17 (s, PhCH of dioxolane moiety); 5.62 (s, PhCH of dioxane moiety); 5.18 (d, d = 3.1, H-C(1)); 4.38 (t, d = 9.3; irrad. at 6.17  $\rightarrow$  NOE of 12%, H-C(3)); 4.36 (dd, d = 4.4, 10.0, H<sub>eq</sub>-C(6)); 4.03 (t, d = 9.3, H-C(4)); 3.90 (t, d = 10.3, H<sub>ax</sub>-C(6)); 3.81 (ddd, d = 4.4, 8.7, 10.3, H-C(5)); 3.68 (dd, d = 3.1, 9.3, H-C(2)); 3.55 (s, MeO). CI-MS: 372 (20), 371 (100, [d + 1]d Anal. calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (370.41): C 68.09, H 5.98; found: C 68.16, H 5.75.

Methyl (2R,4R)- and (2S,4R)-4,6-O-Benzylidene-2,3-O-(4-nitrobenzylidene)-α-D-glucopyranoside (14 and 15). A soln. 13 (858 mg, 3.6 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (1.2 ml) was added dropwise (within 3 h) to a hot (oil bath 97–103°) soln. of 10 (203 mg, 0.72 mmol) in dry pyridine (10 ml). Stirring was continued for 3.5 h, when TLC showed complete disappearance of 10, and the color of the soln. had changed from red to purple. The viscous soln. was filtered through alumina (Woelm BIII, acetone/hexane 2:1). FC (hexane/AcOEt 10:1.5) of the purple residue (505 mg) gave 14 (163 mg, 55%) and 15 (103 mg, 35%).

Data of 14:  $R_f$  (hexane/AcOEt 10:1.5) 0.16. [ $\alpha$ ] $_D^{25} = +150.4$  (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3030w, 2940w, 1610w, 1525w, 1380w, 1350s, 1110s, 1090s, 1060s, 1025m, 965m, 920w, 865m.  $^1$ H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.25-8.23 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.71-7.68 (m, irrad. at 6.21  $\rightarrow$  NOE of 8%, 2 arom. H); 7.50-7.34 (m, 5 arom. H); 6.21 (s, PhCH of dioxolane moiety); 5.61 (s, PhCH of dioxane moiety); 5.24 (d, J = 3.0, H-C(1)); 4.35 (dd, J = 4.6, 10.2,  $H_{eq}$ -C(6)); 4.19 (t,  $J \approx 9.5$ , H-C(3)); 3.96 (t,  $J \approx 9.8$ ; irrad. at 4.19  $\rightarrow$  br. d, J = 9.5, H-C(4)); 3.89 (t, J = 10.4; irrad. at 4.35  $\rightarrow$  d, J = 10.3,  $H_{ax}$ -C(6)); 3.77 (dd, J = 3.0, 9.2; irrad. at 5.24  $\rightarrow$  d, J = 9.2; irrad. at 4.19  $\rightarrow$  d, J = 3.0; irrad. at 6.21  $\rightarrow$  NOE Of 8%, H-C(2)); 3.75 (m, irrad. at 4.35  $\rightarrow$  less complex signals, H-C(5)); 3.54 (s, MeO).  $H_{ax}$ -C(5) ( $H_{ax}$ -C(6)); 144.73 ( $H_{ax}$ -C(6)); 3.55 ( $H_{ax}$ -C(6)); 3.59 ( $H_{ax}$ -C(6)); 72.97 ( $H_{ax}$ -C(6)); 68.77 ( $H_{ax}$ -C(6)); 55.80 ( $H_{ax}$ -C(6)); 98.68 ( $H_{ax}$ -C(7)); 81.20 ( $H_{ax}$ -C(7), 78.62 ( $H_{ax}$ -C(7)); 78.62 ( $H_{ax}$ -C(7)); 78.67 ( $H_{ax}$ -C(7));

Data of 15:  $R_1$  (hexane/AcOEt 10:1.5) 0.26. [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>25</sup> = -40.0 (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3030w, 2940m, 1610w, 1525s, 1450w, 1380w, 1350s, 1110s, 1090s, 1060s, 1020s, 1000s, 970m, 920w, 855m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.27-8.24 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.71-7.68 (m, irrad. at 6.21  $\rightarrow$  NOE of 6%, 2 arom. H); 7.53-7.51 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.39-7.36 (m, 3 arom. H); 6.21 (s, PhCH of dioxolane moiety); 5.63 (s, PhCH of dioxane moiety); 5.20 (d, J = 3.0;

irrad. at  $3.61 \rightarrow s$ , H–C(1)); 4.41 (t, J = 9.2; irrad. at  $3.61 \rightarrow d$ , J = 9.8; irrad. at  $6.21 \rightarrow$  NOE of 12%, H–C(3)); 4.36 (dd, J = 4.5, 10.2, H<sub>eq</sub>–C(6)); 4.00 (t, J = 8.8, H–C(4)); 3.90 (t, J = 10.3, H<sub>ax</sub>–C(6)); 3.81 (ddd, J = 4.3, 8.6, 10.3, H–C(5)); 3.61 (dd, J = 3.0, 9.2, H–C(2)); 3.57 (s, MeO). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 148.49 (s, arom. C); 144.49 (s, arom. C); 136.71, 128.28, 127.23, 126.26, 123.63 (several d, 9 arom. C); 103.89 (d, PhCH of dioxolane moiety); 101.64 (d, PhCH of dioxane moiety); 98.77 (d, C(1)); 80.69 (d, C(4)); 76.36, 76.06 (d, C(2), C(3)); 68.78 (d, C(6)); 64.14 (d, C(5)); 55.88 (d, MeO). CI-MS: 433 (d, [d + NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), 417 (11), 416 (55, [d + 1]<sup>+</sup>), 387 (20), 386 (100, [d - NO<sub>2</sub> + NH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>8</sub> (415.40): C 60.72, H 5.10, N 3.37; found: C 60.51, H 5.31, N 3.59.

Benzyl (S)- and (R)-2-Acetamido-3,4-O-benzylidene-2-deoxy-6-O-trityl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside (17 and 18). At r.t., a soln. of 16 [15] (120 mg, 0.22 mmol) in DMSO (1 ml) was treated first with a soln. of KOH (58 mg, 1.04 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 ml) and then dropwise (within 45 min) with an aliquot of a stock soln. of 2 (38% in hexane, 390 mg, 0.73 mmol). Stirring was continued for 45 min. Filtration through SiO<sub>2</sub> (AcOEt), drying (high vacuum/50°), and FC (hexane/AcOEt 2:3) of the residue (170 mg) gave 17/18 (130 mg, 94%). HPLC (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeCN 10:1.5) of an aliquot of this mixture (85 mg) gave 17 (43 mg, 31%) and 18 (35 mg, 25%).

Data of 17:  $R_{\Gamma}$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeCN 10:1.5) 0.50.  $t_R$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeCN 10:1.5) 7.1 min. M.p. 181° (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O). [ $\alpha$ ] $_D^{25}$  = +54.8 (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3450m, 3070w, 3010m, 2930w, 2890w, 1680s, 1600w, 1510m, 1450m, 1370w, 1315w, 1290w, 1110m, 1040s, 940w, 900w, 845w, 695m, 630w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.54–7.23 (m, irrad. at 6.08  $\rightarrow$  NOE of 6%, 25 arom. H); 6.08 (s, PhCH); 5.82 (d, J = 9.2, NH); 5.12 (d, J = 3.8, H-C(1)); 4.88 (d, J = 11.7, 4.59 (d, J = 11.7, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 4.54 (ddd, J = 3.8, 9.2, 11.2, H-C(2)); 4.18 (ddd, J = 3.1, 5.7, 9.3, H-C(5)); 3.85 (dd, J = 8.9, 11.2, H-C(3)); 3.63 (t, J = 9.1; irrad. at 4.18  $\rightarrow d$ , J = 8.8; irrad. at 6.08  $\rightarrow$  NOE of 6%, H-C(4)); 3.47 (dd, J = 5.8, 10.0; irrad. at 4.18  $\rightarrow d$ , J = 10.0, H-C(6)); 3.43 (dd, J = 3.0, 10.0; irrad. at 4.18  $\rightarrow d$ , J = 10.0, H-C(6)); 1.98 (s, Ac). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 169.78 (s, C=O); 143.83 (s, 3 arom. C); 138.22 (s, arom. C); 136.90 (s, arom. C); 129.16-126.39 (m, arom. C); 104.68 (d, PhCH); 96.84 (d, C(1)); 86.67 (s, Ph<sub>3</sub>C); 76.46, 76.37, 71.85 (3d, C(3), C(4), C(5)); 69.75 (t, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 63.67 (t, C(6)); 52.79 (d, C(2)); 23.24 (g, Me). CI-MS: 659 (35), 643 (28), 642 (70, [M + 1] $^+$ ), 417 (31, [M — Ph<sub>3</sub>C + 1 + NH<sub>4</sub>] $^+$ ), 401 (21), 400 (100, [M — Ph<sub>3</sub>C + 2] $^+$ ), 354 (12). Anal. calc. for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>39</sub>NO<sub>6</sub> (641.77): C 76.73, H 6.13, N 2.18; found: C 76.70, H 6.28, N 2.38.

Data of 18:  $R_f$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeCN 10:1.5) 0.64.  $t_R$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeCN 10:1.5) 6.2 min. [ $\alpha$ ] $_D^{25}$  = +87.5 (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3450m, 3070w, 3010m, 2930w, 2890w, 1680s, 1600w, 1505m, 1450m, 1370w, 1315w, 1110m, 1040s, 940w, 900w, 845w, 695m, 630w.  $^1$ H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.48−7.20 (m, irrad. at 6.01 → NOE of 7%, 25 arom. H); 6.01 (s, PhCH); 5.88 (d, J = 9.1; irrad. at 4.62 → s, NH); 5.14 (d, J = 3.7; irrad. at 4.62 → s, H−C(1)); 4.88 (d, J = 11.7), 4.59 (d, J = 11.7, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 4.62 (ddd, J = 3.8, 9.2, 11.3, H−C(2)); 4.13 (td, J = 4.2, 9.2, H−C(5)); 3.94 (dd, J = 8.9, 11.2; irrad. at 4.62 → d, J = 8.8; irrad. at 6.01 → NOE of 7%, H−C(3)); 3.58 (t, t ≈ 9.4; irrad. at 4.13 → d, t = 8.8, H−C(4)); 3.34 (t, t = 4.6; irrad. at 4.13 → t, 2 H−C(6)); 2.00 (t, Ac).  $^{13}$ C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 169.79 (t, C=O); 143.80 (t, 3 arom. C); 137.84 (t, arom. C); 137.00 (t, arom. C); 129.37–126.58 (t, arom. C); 104.99 (t, PhCH); 96.71 (t, C(1)); 86.52 (t, Ph<sub>3</sub>C); 78.77, 75.10, 72.24 (3t, C(3), C(4), C(5)); 69.75 (t, PhCH<sub>2</sub>); 63.62 (t, C(6)); 52.50 (t, C(2)); 23.31 (t, Me). CI-MS: 659 (23), 642 (27, [t + 1]t), 417 (51, [t - CPh<sub>3</sub> + 1 + NH<sub>4</sub>]t), 401 (22), 400 (100, [t - CPh<sub>3</sub> + 2]t), 384 (13). Anal. calc. for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>39</sub>NO<sub>6</sub> (641.77): C 76.73, H 6.13, N 2.18; found: C 76.64, H 5.90, N 2.38.

(S)- and (R)-1,5-Anhydro-3,4-O-benzylidene-2-deoxy-6-O-trityl-D-arabino-hex-1-enitol (20 and 21). At r.t., a soln. of 19 [16] (500 mg, 1.3 mmol) in DMSO (3.5 ml) was treated simultaneously and dropwise with a soln. of KOH (250 mg, 3.85 mmol) in H<sub>2</sub>O (0.7 ml) within 42 min and with an aliquot of a stock soln. of 2 (50% in hexane, 1.44 g, 3.65 mmol) within 1.5 h. After stirring for further 15 min, normal workup (Et<sub>2</sub>O, H<sub>2</sub>O) and FC (SiO<sub>2</sub> treated with a soln. of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (5%) in H<sub>2</sub>O and dried for 24 h at 120°, hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O/Et<sub>3</sub>N 200:10:1) of the residue (798 mg) gave 20/21 (236 mg). HPLC (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O/Et<sub>3</sub>N 100:10:1) yielded 20 (213 mg) and a fraction of 20/21 (196 mg) which, upon a second HPLC, gave 20 (102 mg, combined yield 51%) and 21 (53 mg, 8%).

Data of 20:  $R_{\Gamma}$  (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O/Et<sub>3</sub>N 100:10:1) 0.19.  $t_R$  (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O/Et<sub>3</sub>N 100:10:1) 9.4 min.  $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +67.5$  (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 1R: 3030w, 2920w, 1610m, 1490m, 1450m, 1150w, 1110s, 1030s, 900m, 630w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.52–7.22 (m, 20 arom. H); 6.30 (dd, J = 2.5, 6.0; irrad. at 5.26 → d, J = 2.4, H−C(1)); 6.28 (s; irrad. at 4.38 → NOE of 1%; irrad. at 4.02 → NOE of 12%, PhCH); 5.26 (dd, J = 1.3, 6.0; irrad. at 4.38 → NOE of 5%, H−C(2)); 4.55 (ddd, J = 2.9, 5.4, 10.8; irrad. at 4.38 → NOE of 10%, H−C(5)); 4.38 (ddd, J = 1.5, 2.3, 8.4; irrad. at 5.26 → dd, J = 2.4, 8.4, H−C(3)); 4.02 (dd, J = 8.4, 10.8, H−C(4)); 3.52 (dd, J = 2.8, 10.5, H−C(6)); 3.43 (dd, J = 5.4, 10.5, H′−C(6)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 144.04 (d, C(1)); 143.79 (s, 3 arom. C); 138.65 (s, arom. C); 129.12 (d, arom. C); 128.79 (d, 6 arom. C); 128.38 (d, 2 arom. C); 127.81 (d, 6 arom. C); 127.04 (d, 3 arom. C); 126.14 (d, 2 arom. C); 106.75 (d, PhCH); 99.48 (d, C(2)); 86.71 (s, Ph<sub>3</sub>C); 78.17, 78.13, 73.34 (3d, C(3), C(4), C(5)); 64.10 (t, C(6)). C1-MS: 244 (18), 243 (100, [Ph<sub>3</sub>C]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (476.58): C 80.64, H 5.92; found: C 80.78, H 5.95.

Data of 21:  $R_f$  (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O/Et<sub>3</sub>N 100:10:1) 0.15.  $t_R$  (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O/Et<sub>3</sub>N 100:10:1) 11.4 min.  $[\alpha]_D^{125} = -29.5$  (c = 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). IR: 3060w, 3010w, 2920w, 1610m, 1490w, 1450m, 1370w, 1300w, 1050m, 1110s, 1020s, 900m, 695w, 630w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.51–7.20 (m, irrad. at 6.21  $\rightarrow$  NOE of 12 %, 20 arom. H); 6.31 (dd, J = 2.4, 6.0, H–C(1)); 6.21 (s, PhCH); 5.29 (dd, J = 1.3, 6.0, H–C(2)); 4.57–4.51 (m; irrad. at 6.21  $\rightarrow$  NOE of 7%, H–C(3), H–C(5)); 4.08 (dd, J = 8.4, 10.7, H–C(4)); 3.46 (dd, J = 2.8, 10.5, H–C(6)); 3.36 (dd, J = 5.2, 10.4, H′–C(6)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 144.46 (d, C(1)); 143.71 (s, 3 arom. C); 138.16 (s, arom. C); 129.22 (d, arom. C); 128.70 (d, 6 arom. C); 128.38 (d, 2 arom. C); 127.74 (d, 6 arom. C); 126.95 (d, 3 arom. C); 126.38 (d, 2 arom. C); 107.04 (d, PhCH); 98.92 (d, C(2)); 86.53 (s, Ph<sub>3</sub>C); 78.58, 77.65, 76.17 (3d, C(3), C(4), C(5)); 63.95 (t, C(6)). CI-MS: 244 (17), 243 (100, [Ph<sub>3</sub>C]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (476.58): C 80.64, H 5.92; found: C 80.81, H 6.18.

## REFERENCES

- a) A. N. de Belder, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 1977, 34, 179; b) D. M. Clode, Chem. Rev. 1979, 79, 491;
  c) J. Gelas, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 1981, 39, 71; d) J. Florent, C. Monneret, Synthesis 1982, 29; e) K. Akerfeldt, P. A. Bartlett, Carbohydr. Res. 1986, 158, 137; f) G. J. F. Chittenden, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1988, 107, 607; g) V. Ferro, M. Mocdrino, R. V. Stick, D. M. G. Tillbrook, Aust. J. Chem. 1988, 41, 813;
  h) J. J. Patroni, R. V. Stick, B. W. Skelton, A. H. White, Aust. J. Chem. 1988, 41, 91.
- [2] a) N. Baggett, J. M. Duxbury, A. B. Foster, J. M. Webber, Chem. Ind. 1964, 1832; b) N. Baggett, K. W. Buck, A. B. Foster, M. H. Randall, J. M. Webber, Proc. Chem. Soc. 1964, 118; c) N. Baggett, J. M. Duxbury, A. B. Foster, J. M. Webber, Carbohydr. Res. 1965, 1, 22; d) N. Baggett, J. M. Mosihuzzaman, J. M. Webber, ibid. 1969, 11, 263; e) P. J. Garegg, C. G. Swahn, Acta Chem. Scand. 1972, 26, 3895; f) P. J. Garegg, C. G. Swahn, 'Methods in Carbohydrate Chemistry', Eds. R. L. Whistler and J. N. BeMiller, Academic Press, New York, 1980, Vol. 8, pp. 317-319.
- [3] a) Y. Oikawa, T. Nishi, O. Yonemitsu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 4037; b) V. I. Betanelli, M. V. Ovchinnikov, L. V. Backinovsky, N. K. Kochetkov, Carbohydr. Res. 1982, 107, 285.
- [4] a) S. Hanessian, Carbohydr. Res. 1966, 2, 86; b) A. Lipták, P. Fügedi, P. Nánási, ibid. 1978, 65, 209; c) P.P. Fügedi, A. Lipták, P. Nánási, A. Neszmélyi, ibid. 1980, 80, 233; d) P.J. Garegg, H. Hultberg, ibid. 1981, 93, C10; e) S. Takano, M. Akiyama, S. Sato, K. Ogasawara, Chem. Lett. 1983, 1593; f) A. Lipták, J. Kerekgyarto, L. Szabó, J. Harangi, Acta Chim. Hung. 1987, 124, 315.
- [5] M. T. H. Liu, Ed., 'Chemistry of Diazirines', CRC Press, Florida, 1987.
- [6] a) M. Pirrung, Angew. Chem. 1985, 97, 1073; b) H. G. Davies, S. M. Roberts, B. J. Wakefield, J. A. Winders, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1166; c) S. W. Jones, F. Scheinmann, B. J. Wakefield, D. Middlemiss, R. F. Newton, ibid. 1986, 1260.
- [7] a) W. H. Graham, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 4396; b) E. Schmitz, in [5], Vol. I, 57 ff.
- [8] a) R. A. Moss, in [5], Vol. I, 99 ff.; b) M. T. H. Liu, I. D. R. Stevens, l.c., 111 ff.
- [9] B. I. Glänzer, A. Vasella, unpublished result.
- [10] a) H. Zinner, H. Voigt, J. Voigt, Carbohydr. Res. 1968, 7, 38; b) H. Zinner, H. Schmandke, Chem. Ber. 1961, 94, 1304.
- [11] H. Zinner, R. Heinatz, J. Prakt. Chem. 1970, 312, 561.
- [12] a) V.S. Ley, J.C. Anderson, W.M. Blaney, P.S. Jones, Z. Lidert, E.D. Morgan, N.G. Robinson, D. Santafianos, M.S.J. Simmonds, P.L. Toogood, Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 5175; b) V.S. Ley, A.A. Somovilla, H.B. Broughton, D. Craig, A.M. Z. Slawin, P.L. Toogood, D.J. Williams, ibid. 1989, 45, 2143.
- [13] H. Steinlin, L. Camarda, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1979, 62, 378.
- [14] N. K. Richtmyer, 'Methods in Carbohydrate Chemistry', Eds. R. L. Whistler and J. N. BeMiller, Acedemic Press, New York, 1962, Vol. 1, pp. 107-113.
- [15] M. Shaban, W. Jeanloz, Carbohydr. Res. 1971, 17, 411.
- [16] A. Esswein, H. Rembold, R. R. Schmidt, Carbohydr. Res. 1990, 200, 287.
- [17] M. M. Ponpipom, S. Hanessian, Can. J. Chem. 1972, 50, 246.
- [18] a) D. Lipkin, B. Phillips, W. H. Hunter, Tetrahedron Lett. 1959, (21), 18; b) N. Baggett, A. B. Foster, J. M. Webber, D. Lipkin, B. E. Phillips, Chem. Ind. 1965, 136; c) A. Neszmélyi, A. Lipták, P. Nánási, Carbohydr. Res. 1977, 52, C7.
- [19] a) A. M. Belikova, N. I. Grineva, G. N. Kabasheva, Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 2277; b) A. M. Belikova, N. I. Grineva, G. N. Kabasheva, Izv. Sib. Otd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. Nauk 1972, 94 (CA: 1973, 78, 84724k); c) A. M. Belikova, N. I. Grineva, V. F. Zarytova, G. N. Kabasheva, D. G. Knorre, Proc. Acad. Sci. USSR 1970, 193, 937.

- [20] G. Baudin, B.I. Glänzer, K.S. Swaminathan, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1988, 71, 1367.
- [21] P. Uhlmann, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1992, 75, 1979.
- [22] W. J. Colucci, S. J. Jungk, R. D. Gandour, Magn. Reson. Chem. 1985, 23, 335.
- [23] R.I. Keller, 'The Sigma Library of FT-IR Spectra', Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, 1986, Vol. 1, p. 801.
- [24] N. Baggett, J. G. Buchanan, M. Y. Fatah, C. H. Lachut, K. J. McCullough, J. M. Webber, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1827.
- [25] K. Mahmood, A. Vasella, B. Bernet, Helv. Chim. Acta 1991, 74, 1555.
- [26] J. Thiem, J. Elvers, Carbohydr. Res. 1978, 60, 63.
- [27] X. Creary, Acc. Chem. Res. 1992, 25, 31.
- [28] E. Schmitz, H. Sonnenschein, Z. Chem. 1987, 27, 171.
- [29] K. Briner, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1992, 75, 621.
- [30] J. March, 'Advanced Organic Chemistry', John Wiley, New York, 1985, p. 187.
- [31] A. H. Haines, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 1976, 33, 11.
- [32] F. C. Schaefer, G. A. Peters, J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 412.
- [33] H. W. Lee, Y. Kishi, J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 4402.