Efficient Preparation of New Rhodium- and Iridium-[Bis(oxazolinyl)-3,5-dimethylphenyl] Complexes by C–H Bond Activation: Applications in Asymmetric Synthesis

Jun-ichi Ito,^a Takushi Shiomi,^a and Hisao Nishiyama^{a,*}

^a Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan Fax: (+81)-52-789-3209; e-mail: hnishi@apchem.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Received: February 10, 2006; Accepted: May 8, 2006

Abstract: The bis(oxazolinyl)-3,5-dimethylphenylrhodium and -iridium complexes were synthesized in high yields by an efficient C–H bond activation method with 4,6-dimethyl-1,3-bis(oxazolinyl)benzene derivatives. The catalytic activity of the complexes was examined for the asymmetric conjugate reduction of (E)-ethyl 3-phenylbut-2-enoate and the asymmetric reductive aldol reaction of *tert*-butyl acrylate

Introduction

We have reported that bis(oxazolinylphenyl)rhodium complexes, (Phebox)Rh, show highly efficient catalytic activity in the asymmetric conjugate reduction of α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds and the asymmetric reductive aldol reaction of acrylates and aldehvdes.^[1,2] As a catalyst precursor (R-Phebox)RhCl₂ (H₂O) was conveniently prepared by a C-H bond activation method with 1,3-bis(oxazolinyl)benzene, (R-Phebox)H, and rhodium trichloride in methanol solution (Scheme 1). However, the yields of the desired complexes turned out to be relatively low or around middle range up to ca. 50%.^[1a] In spite of efforts to improve the efficiency by employing several modifications, such as addition of appropriate bases, choice of solvents, and reaction temperature, etc., we could not find any better solution. Although we also attempted several transmetallation routes with (R-Phebox)SnMe₃, the yields have not been improved.^[3] Hypothetically, we thought that C-H bond activation at the undesired 4- or 6-position of (R-Phebox)H might decrease the yields. In this context, Richards et al. observed a similar phenomenon of C-H bond activation at position 4 in the reaction of (Phebox)H and Pd-(OAc)₂.^[4] Finally, we decided that two methyl groups should be introduced into the benzene skeleton of Phebox, starting from the corresponding isophthalic acid. This modification has been shown in some cases in preparation of pincer complexes.^[5] In addition, we disclose here two asymmetric catalyses in order to il(R-Phebox)H (R-Phebox)H $(R-Phebox)RhCl_{2}(H_{2}O)$ $(R-Phebox)RhCl_{2}(H_{2}O)$ $(R-Phebox)RhCl_{2}(H_{2}O)$ $(R-Phebox)RhCl_{2}(H_{2}O)$

and benzaldehvde. It was found that the rhodium

complex of 3,5-dmPhebox showed the higher catalyt-

ic activity, whereas the corresponding iridium com-

Keywords: asymmetric catalysis; bisoxazoline; C–H

plexes proved to be less active.

bond activation; iridium; rhodium

Scheme 1.

lustrate the catalytic activity of the obtained 3,5-dimethyl-Phebox complexes.

Results and Discussion

Preparation of Ligands

3,5-Dimethyl-*R*-Phebox ligands **1a** and **1b** were readily synthesized in three steps by chlorination of 4,6-dimethylisophthalic acid in thionyl chloride, condensa-







tion with β -amino alcohol, and oxazoline formation with methanesulfonyl chloride and triethylamine (Scheme 2).

Preparation of Complexes

Heating of a mixture of chiral ligand 1a or non-chiral 1b, RhCl₃(H₂O)₃, and NaHCO₃ in methanol gave the corresponding chloride complexes (3,5-dm $ipPhebox)RhCl_2(H_2O)$ 2a (81%) and (3,5-dmdmPhebox)RhCl₂(H₂O) **2b** (84%), respectively (Scheme 3). The yields were greatly improved, comparing to that (56%) for $(ipPhebox)RhCl_2(H_2O)$ pre-viously reported by us.^[1] In addition, although we could not synthesize so far the corresponding iridium complex by the C-H bond activation reaction with (ipPhebox)H and $H_2IrCl_6(H_2O)_6$, the iridium complexes 3a and 3b were fortunately obtained albeit in a middle range of 61% and 65%, respectively. Thus, the C-H bond activation at the desired position was realized efficiently by introduction of the two methyl



Scheme 3.

1236 www.asc.wiley-vch.de

© 2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

groups at the neighboring positions of the oxazoline substitutents. The chloride complexes could, in turn, be converted to the acetate complexes 4 and 5 by treatment with an excess of silver acetate in high yields (77–96%).

Structure Analysis

The molecular structures of **2b** and **3b** could be analyzed by X-ray crystallography to show their $C_{2\nu}$ symmetrical forms (Figure 1). Phebox skeletons meridianally bind to the rhodium atom and the iridium atom with an Rh–C bond length of 1.91 Å and an Ir–C bond length of 1.93 Å, respectively. The bond angles of N–Rh–N and N–Ir–N are 159.79° and 158.52, respectively. The rhodium complex has thus slightly shorter metal–C bond and wider N,N bite angle compared to the iridium complex.

Application to Asymmetric Catalysis

Asymmetric Conjugate Reduction of α , β -Unsaturated Ester

(E)-Ethyl 3-phenylbut-2-enoate (**6**) was selected as a probe substrate (Scheme 4). The catalytic reduction of the ester 6 was carried out with 1 mol% of the chiral acetate complexes 4a and 5a in combination with diethoxymethylsilane (1.5 equivs.) in toluene solution at 50°C, respectively. The reaction with the rhodium complex 4a was complete within half an hour. After hydrolysis, the reduction product 7 was obtained in 90% yield with 96% ee(R) (Table 1, run 1). Thus, the rhodium catalyst 4a proved to be an active catalyst and kept the enantioselectivity, compared to that previously reported for the non-3,5-dimethyl-substituted one; 96%, 96% ee(R).^[1a] On the other hand, the iridium complex 5a was first examined but proved to show a slightly lower catalytic activity compared to the rhodium one. The reaction took a longer time at 50°C to give the product 7 in 63-64% yield with 56-72% ee (runs 2 and 4).

Asymmetric Reductive Aldol Reaction

The asymmetric coupling reaction of benzaldehyde and *tert*-butyl acrylate was then examined with **4a** and **5a** (Scheme 5). The catalytic reaction was carried out with 1 mol% of the chiral acetate complexes **4a** and **5a** in combination with diethoxymethylsilane (1.6 equivs.) in toluene solution at 50 °C, respectively. The rhodium complex **4a** exhibited a high activity to give the reductive aldol product **8** in 98% yield, 95:5 of *anti:syn*, and 92% *ee* for **8anti** (Table 2, run 1). Ethyl



Figure 1. X-ray analysis of the complex **2b** and **3b**. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: **2b**, Rh–C 1.913, Rh–O 2.234, N–Rh–N 159.79; **3b**, Ir–C 1.930, Ir–O 2.243, N–Ir–N 158.52.

Table 1. Asymmetric conjugate reduction of (E)-ethyl 3-phenylbut-2-enoate with Rh- and Ir-(Phebox) catalysts.^[a]

Run	Catalyst	Temperature/Time [°C/ h]	Yield of 7 [%]	% ee
1	4 a	50/0.5	90	96
2	5a	50/6.0	64	56
3	5a	reflux/6.0	33	$14^{[b]}$
4 ^[c]	5a	50/10	63	72

^[a] Ester **6** (1.0 mmol), cat. (0.01 mmol), silane (1.5 mmol), toluene (2.0 mL).

^[b] (*S*).

^[c] Ester (1.0 mmol), cat. (0.02 mmol), silane (3.0 mmol), toluene (2.0 mL).

acetate can also be used as a solvent rather than THF (runs 2 and 3). On the other hand, the iridium complex **5a** can also catalyze the reaction but gives a low yield of 19% with a slightly lower stereoselectivity (run 5). An increase of the catalyst loading (2.5 mol%) and a higher temperature did not improved the yield and selectivity (runs 6 and 7). It is worthy of





Table 2. Asymmetric reductive aldol reaction of ter	-butyl acrylate and ber	nzaldehyde with (Phebox	x)-Rh and Ir catalysts. ^[a]
---	-------------------------	-------------------------	--

Run	Catalyst	Temperature/Time [°C/h]	Yield of 8 [%]	ratio of anti:syn	% ee	
					anti	syn
1	4 a	50/0.5	98	95:5	92	7
2 ^[b]	4 a	50/0.5	74	89:11	88	9
3 ^[c]	4 a	50/0.5	99	95:5	91	8
4	4b	50/0.5	94	96:4	-	-
5	5a	50/6.0	19	93:7	89	16
6 ^[d]	5a	50/2.0	23	93:7	90	8
7	5a	90/1.0	48	91:9	89	4
8	5b	50/2.0	56	96:4	-	-

^[a] Benzaldehyde (1.0 mmol), cat. (0.01 mmol), *tert*-butyl acrylate (1.5 mmol), silane (1.6 mmol), toluene (3.0 mL).

^[b] THF (3.0 mL) as a solvent.

^[c] EtOAc (3.0 mL) as a solvent.

^[d] Benzaldehyde (0.2 mmol), cat. (0.01 mmol), *tert*-butyl acrylate (0.6 mmol), silane (0.62 mmol), toluene (2.0 mL).

Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 1235-1240

© 2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.asc.wiley-vch.de

Conclusions

We have synthesized 3,5-dimethyl-substituted Phebox derivatives and their rhodium and iridium complexes. The structures were clarified by X-ray analysis. The rhodium complexes were examined as catalysts for the asymmetric conjugate reduction and the asymmetric reductive aldol reaction and revealed high efficiency and selectivity. In addition, the corresponding iridium complexes were obtained, but the reactions resulted in a lower efficiency than the rhodium ones.

Experimental Section

General Remarks

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained at 25 °C on a Varian Mercury 300 spectrometer. ¹H NMR chemical shifts are reported in δ units, in ppm relative to the singlet at 7.26 ppm for chloroform. ¹³C NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shift (δ , ppm) relative to the triplet at δ = 77.0 ppm for CDCl₃ as an internal standard. Infrared spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-230 spectrometer. Absolute toluene and hydrosilane were purchased from TCI. Column chromatography was performed with a silica gel column (Merck silica gel 60). 4,6-Dimethylisophthalic acid was prepared by the reported method.^[6]

Synthesis of Ligands

(4,6-dm-*ip*Phebox)H (1a): To a suspension of 4,6-dimethylisophthalic acid (971 mg, 5.0 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) was slowly added thionyl chloride (3.0 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 5 h and then excess thionyl chloride was removed under reduced pressure to give 4,6-dimethylisophthaloyl chloride, which was used in next step without further purification.

A solution of 4,6-dimethylisophthaloyl chloride in THF (10 mL) was slowly added to a solution of L-valinol (1.03 g, 10.0 mmol) and triethylamine (20 mL) in THF (30 mL) at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Methanesulfonyl chloride (2.0 mL, 26 mmol) was added at 0°C, and then the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 14 h. Formation of the product **1a** was monitored by TLC examination; $R_f = 0.7$ (ethyl acetate/hexane = 3:1). At 0°C, aqueous potassium carbonate (1 N, ca. 50 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with saturated brine, was dried over magnesium sulfate, and was concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:3) to give 1a as a colorless solid; yield: 1.29 g (3.91 mmol, 78%); mp 38–39°C; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$: -155.1 (c 1.00, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.93$ (d, $\begin{array}{l} J_{\rm H,H}\!=\!6.9\,{\rm Hz},\ 6\,{\rm H}),\ 1.02\ (\rm d,\ J_{\rm H,H}\!=\!6.6\,{\rm Hz},\ 6\,{\rm H}),\ 1.83\ (\rm m,\ 2\,{\rm H}),\ 2.59\ (\rm s,\ 6\,{\rm H}),\ 4.03\!-\!4.15\ (\rm m,\ 4\,{\rm H}),\ 4.26\!-\!4.38\ (\rm m,\ 2\,{\rm H}),\ 7.11\ (\rm s,\ 1\,{\rm H}),\ 8.20\ (\rm s,\ 1\,{\rm H});\ ^{13}{\rm C}\{^1{\rm H}\}\ {\rm NMR}\ (75\ {\rm MHz},\ {\rm CDCl}_3):\ \delta\!=\!18.3,\ 18.9,\ 21.7,\ 32.9,\ 69.2,\ 73.2,\ 124.7,\ 131.0,\ 134.0,\ 140.9,\ 162.8;\ {\rm IR}\ ({\rm KBr}):\ \nu\!=\!2961,\ 892,\ 1647,\ 1558,\ 1468,\ 1366,\ 1067\ {\rm cm}^{-1};\ {\rm anal.\ calcd.\ for\ C_{20}{\rm H}_{28}{\rm N}_2{\rm O}_2:\ {\rm C}\ 73.14,\ {\rm H}\ 8.59,\ {\rm N}\ 8.51;\ {\rm found}:\ {\rm C}\ 73.11,\ {\rm H}\ 8.75,\ {\rm N}\ 8.53. \end{array}$

(4,6-dm-dmPhebox)H (1b): The preparation procedure of 1b is similar to that of 1a. Yield: 1.23 g (4.11 mmol, 82%); colorless solid; mp: 143–144 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.35$ (s, 12H), 2.54 (s, 6H), 4.03 (s, 6H), 7.03 (s, 1H), 8.13 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 21.36, 28.55, 67.94, 78.41, 125.0, 131.1, 133.8, 140.6, 161.8; IR (KBr): <math>\nu = 2967, 2890, 1640, 1441, 1351, 1310, 1188, 1051, 1011 cm⁻¹; anal. calcd. for C₁₈H₂₄N₂O₂: C 71.97, H 8.05, N 9.33; found: C 71.93, H 8.14, N 9.34.$

Synthesis of Rh and Ir Complexes

(3,5-dm-ipPhebox)RhCl₂(H₂O) (2a): RhCl₃·3H₂O (289 mg, 1.10 mmol), 1a (328 mg, 1.00 mmol) and sodium bicarbonate (84 mg, 1.1 mmol) were placed in a 100 mL flask. After addition of methanol (20 mL) and H₂O (2 mL), the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 1 h. The concentrated residue was passed through a silica gel column with ethyl acetate/hexane (2:1) as eluent to give **2a** as a brown solid; yield: 422 mg (0.81 mmol, 81%); mp 320°C (dec). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.93$ (d, $J_{H,H} = 6.9$ Hz, 6H), 0.95 (d, $J_{H,H} =$ 6.9 Hz, 6H), 2.42 (m, 2H), 2.58 (s, 6H), 2.83 (br, 2H), 4.23 (m, 2H), 4.63–4.73 (m, 4H), 6.78 (s, 1H); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3): \delta = 15.26, 19.20, 19.58, 29.27, 66.50, 70.83,$ 127.5, 128.4, 141.2, 127.9, 128.1, 140.8, 171.4 (d, $J_{\text{Rh,C}} =$ 3.5 Hz), 181.4 (d, J_{Rh,C}=24.5 Hz); IR (KBr): v=3455, 2954, 944 cm^{-1} ; 1385, 1614, 1485, anal. calcd. for C₂₀H₂₉Cl₂N₂O₃Rh: C 46.26, H 5.63, N 5.39; found: C 46.08, H 5.63, N 5.14.

(3,5-dm-dmPhebox)RhCl₂(H₂O) (2b): The preparation procedure of 2b was similar to that of 2a but a different eluent (ethyl acetate/chloroform = 2:1) was used for column chromatography. Yield: 413 mg, (0.84 mmol, 84%); brown solid; mp 339°C (dec). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.54 (s, 12H), 2.58 (s, 6H), 3.59 (br, 2H, H₂O), 4.48 (s, 4H), 6.74 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 19.17, 27.64, 65.17, 82.05, 127.9, 128.1, 140.8, 169.9 (d, $J_{Rh,C}$ = 3.4 Hz), 181.8 (d, $J_{Rh,C}$ =23.9 Hz); IR (KBr): ν =3408, 2977, 1602, 1487, 1455, 1381, 954 cm⁻¹; anal. calcd. for C₁₈H₂₅Cl₂N₂O₃Rh·(H₂O): C 42.45, H 5.34, N 5.50; found: C 42.76, H 5.04, N 5.21.

(3,5-dm-*ip*Phebox)IrCl₂(H₂O) (3a): IrCl₆H₂·6 H₂O (570 mg, 1.11 mmol), **1a** (328 mg, 1.0 mmol) and sodium bicarbonate (277 mg, 3.30 mmol) were placed in a 100 mL flask. After addition of 2-propanol (40 mL), the mixture was refluxed for 10 h. The concentrated residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate/ hexane (2:1) as eluent to give **3a** as a yellow solid; in yield: 371 mg (0.61 mmol, 61%); mp 204°C (dec). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =0.95 (d, $J_{H,H}$ =6.6 Hz, 6H), 0.98 (d, $J_{H,H}$ =6.9 Hz, 6H), 2.43 (m, 2H), 2.62 (s, 6H), 4.19 (m, 2H), 4.73–4.86 (m, 4H), 6.63 (s, 1H); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =15.34, 18.85, 19.58, 29.02, 67.10, 70.85, 125.8,

126.6, 140.9, 162.8, 176.9; IR (KBr): $\nu = 3361$, 2921, 1603, 1485, 1384, 1332, 1218 cm⁻¹; anal. calcd. for $C_{20}H_{29}Cl_2IrN_2O_3 \cdot 0.5(C_4H_8O_2)$: C 40.49, H 5.10, N 4.29; found: C 40.64, H 5.07, N 4.24.

(3,5-dm-dmPhebox)IrCl₂(H₂O) (3b): The preparation procedure of 3b was similar to that of 3a; Yield: 375 mg (0.65 mmol, 65%). Complex 3b was also obtained by the reaction of IrCl₃·3 H₂O (389 mg, 1.10 mmol) with 1b (300 mg, 1.00 mmol) in the presence of NaHCO₃ (92 mg, 1.1 mmol); yield: 63%; yellow solid; mp 356°C (dec). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =1.58 (s, 12 H), 2.63 (s, 6H), 3.22 (br, 2H, H₂O), 4.59 (s, 4H), 6.59 (s, 1H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =18.84, 27.87, 65.90, 82.02, 126.31, 126.33, 140.7, 161.4, 175.6; IR (KBr): ν =3567, 2976, 2918, 1605, 1544, 1487, 1455, 1400, 1382, 1336, 1217, 1057, 1022, 955, 845 cm⁻¹; anal. calcd. for C₁₈H₂₅Cl₂IrN₂O₃ (580.53): C 37.24, H 4.34, N 4.83; found: C 36.92, H 4.38, N 4.57.

(3,5-dm-ipPhebox)Rh(OAc)₂(H₂O) (4a): The complex 3a (260 mg, 0.50 mmol) and silver acetate (334 mg, 2.00 mmol) were placed in a 50 mL flask. After addition of CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL), the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate/methanol (5:1) to give 4a as a yellow solid; yield: 224 mg (0.40 mmol, 80%); mp 225°C (dec). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.66$ (d, $J_{H,H} =$ 6.9 Hz, 6H), 0.92 (d, $J_{\rm H,H}$ =7.2 Hz, 6H), 1.65 (s, 6H), 2.48 (m, 2H), 2.58 (s, 6H), 4.31 (m, 2H), 4.58–4.67 (m, 4H), 5,99 (br, 2H), 6.78 (s, 1H); $^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 14.15, 19.02, 19.22, 23.97, 28.97, 66.88, 70.48, 127.7, 128.3, 140.4, 172.3 (d, $J_{Rh,H}$ =4.0 Hz), 182.0 (d, $J_{Rh,H}$ =1.7 Hz), 190.2 (d, $J_{\text{Rh,H}} = 24.5 \text{ Hz}$); IR (KBr): $\nu = 3411$, 2956, 2874, 1605, 1483, 1383, 1324, 1245, 1223, 1073, 1020, 936, 692 cm^{-1} ; anal. calcd. for $C_{24}H_{35}N_2O_7Rh$: C 50.89, H 6.23, N 4.95; found: C 50.36, H 6.23, N 4.75.

(3,5-dm-dmPhebox)Rh(OAc)₂(H₂O) (4b): Yield: 221 mg (0.41 mmol, 82%); yellow solid; mp 290°C (dec). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =1.45 (s, 12H), 1.68 (s, 6H), 2.61 (s, 6H), 4.48 (s, 4H), 4.97 (br, 2H, H2O), 6.77 (s, 1H); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =19.12, 23.73, 27.07, 64.69, 82.13, 127.9, 128.2, 140.5, 171.1 ($J_{Rh,C}$ =4.0 Hz), 189.7 ($J_{Rh,C}$ = 25.1 Hz); IR (KBr): ν =3395, 2971, 2925, 1599, 1483, 1456, 1380, 1324, 1252, 1213, 1051, 1016, 950 cm⁻¹; anal. calcd. for C₂₂H₃₁N₂O₇Rh: C 49.08, H 5.80, N 5.20; found: C 49.13, H 5.72, N 5.23.

(3,5-dm-ipPhebox)Ir(OAc)₂(H₂O) (5a): The complex 3a (304 mg, 0.50 mmol) and silver acetate (501 mg, 3.00 mmol) were placed in a 50 mL flask. After addition of THF (10 mL), the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 12 h. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate/methanol (10:1) to give 5a as a yellow solid; yield: 315 mg (0.48 mmol, 96 %); mp: 216 °C (dec). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.71$ (d, $J_{H,H} = 6.6$ Hz, 6H), 0.95 (d, $J_{\rm H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 6H), 1.68 (s, 6H), 2.52 (m, 2H), 2.65 (s, 6H), 4.28 (m, 2H), 4.68–4.79 (m, 4H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 6.72 (br, 2H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 14.20$, 18.68, 19.30, 23.20, 28.89, 67.60, 70.54, 126.1, 126.5, 140.3, 171.3, 178.3, 183.1; IR (KBr): $\nu = 3435$, 2958, 2931, 2874, 1605, 1483, 1384, 1325, 1244, 1223, 1074, 1019, 934, 691 cm⁻¹; anal. calcd. for C₂₄H₃₅IrN₂O₇: C 43.96, H 5.38, N 4.27; found: C 43.85, H 5.32, N 4.10.

(3,5-dm-dmPhebox)Ir(OAc)₂(H₂O) (5b): Yield: 243 mg (0.39 mmol, 77%); yellow solid; mp 269°C (dec). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =1.47 (s, 12H), 1.67 (s, 6 h), 2.67 (s, 6H), 4.57 (s, 4H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 7.93 (br, 2H); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =18.70, 23.51, 26.92, 65.20, 81.95, 126.1, 126.8, 140.2, 170.3, 177.2, 182.9; IR (KBr): ν =3372, 2929, 1645, 1600, 1464, 1380, 1327, 1212, 1050, 954 cm⁻¹; anal. calcd. for C₂₂H₃₁IrN₂O₇: C 42.10, H 4.98, N 4.46; found: C 41.85, H 4.79, N 4.12.

X-ray Crystallographic Determination

Single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from dichloromethane/hexane at room temperature. A crystal was mounted on a quartz fiber, and diffraction data were collected in θ ranges at 173 K with a Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer with graphitemonochromated Mo_{Ka} radiation (λ =0.71073 Å). An empirical absorption correction was applied by using SADABS. The structure was solved by direct method and refined by full-matrix least-square on F² by using SHELXTL. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters.

empirical Refinement details: 2b: formula; $C_{18}H_{25}Cl_2N_2O_3Rh(H_2O); M_r = 509.23;$ temperature 173(2) K; crystal system: orthorhombic; space group: Ibca; a =8.5867(5), b = 16.7843(10), c = 29.3837(18) Å, V = 4234.8(4)Å³, Z = 8, $\rho_{\text{calcd.}} = 1.597 \text{ Mg/m}^3$, $\mu = 1.084 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, F(000) =2080, crystal size = $0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}^3$, θ range = 1.39-27.48°; index ranges: $-11 \le h \le 10$, $-13 \le k \le 21$, $-33 \le l \le 38$; reflections collected 14252, independent reflections 2434 [R(int) = 0.0478], completeness to $\theta = 27.48^{\circ}, 100.0\%$; max/ min transmission 1.000000/0.754755; data/restraints/parameters 2434/0/136; goodness-of-fit on F^2 0.983; final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$: R1 = 0.0284, wR2 = 0.0688; R indices (all data): R1 = 0.0379, wR2 = 0.0738; largest diff. peak/hole 0.953/-0.722 e·Å⁻³; **3b:** empirical formula; $C_{18}H_{25}Cl_2IrN_2O_3$ ·(H₂O); $M_r =$ 598.52; temperature 173(2) K; crystal system: monoclinic; space group: $P2_1/n$; a = 8.8453(5), b = 8.4164(4), c =27.7472(14) Å, $\beta = 90.8520(10)^\circ$, V = 2065.43(18) Å³, Z = 4, $\rho_{\text{calcd.}} = 1.925 \text{ Mg/m}^3, \mu = 6.749 \text{ mm}^{-1}, F(000) = 1168, \text{ crystal}$ size = $0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}^3$, θ range = $1.47-27.52^\circ$; Index ranges: $-9 \le h \le 11$, $-9 \le k \le 10$, $-36 \le l \le 36$; reflections collected 14172, independent reflections 4750 [R(int) = 0.0911], completeness to $\theta = 27.52^{\circ}$, 99.9%; max/min transmission 1.000000/0.613727; data/restraints/parameters 4750/1/258; goodness-of-fit on F^2 1.068; final R indices $[I>2\sigma(I)]$: R1 = 0.0286, wR2 = 0.0693; R indices (all data): R1 = 0.0308, wR2 = 0.0723; largest diff. peak/hole $1.805/-1.548 \text{ e}\cdot\text{\AA}^{-3}$.

Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC-297744 for **2b** and CCDC-297745 for **3b**. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK [fax.: (internat.) +44–1223/336–033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

```
Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 1235-1240
```

© 2006 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Conjugate Reduction of α , β -Unsaturated esters (Table 1, run 1)

To a mixture of the ester **6** (190 mg, 1.0 mmol) and the catalyst **4a** (5.4 mg, 0.01 mmol) in 2.0 mL of toluene was slowly added diethoxymethylsilane (201 mg, 1.5 mmol) at 50 °C. The mixture was stirred for 0.5 h and then treated with hydrochloric acid (1 N, 1 mL). After extraction with ethyl acetate and concentration, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography with ethyl acetate/hexane to give the product, ethyl (*R*)-3-phenylbutanoate (**7**) as a colorless oil; yield: 173 mg (0.90 mmol, 90%). The *ee* was determined by chiral HPLC analysis [DAICEL CHRALCEL OB column, 0.5 mLmin⁻¹, *i*-PrOH/hexane (1:99), R_t = 14.1 min (major), 16.8 min (minor)], 96% *ee* for *R*. The NMR spectra were consistent with previously reported data; see ref.^[1a]

Reductive Aldol Reaction (Table 2, run 1)

To a mixture of the rhodium complex 4a (5.7 mg, 0.01 mmol) and benzaldehyde (106 mg, 1.0 mmol) in toluene (3.0 mL), tert-butyl acrylate (192 mg, 1.5 mmol) was added at 50°C. Then, diethoxymethylsilane (215 mg, 1.6 mmol) was slowly added by a syringe. The mixture was stirred at 50°C for 0.5 h. At 0°C, the reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous HCl (4 N, 1 mL), MeOH (1 mL), and THF (1 mL). After stirring for 30 min, the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with aqueous NaHCO₃ (2×10 mL), and was dried over MgSO₄. After concentration, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography with hexane-ethyl acetate (20:1) as an eluent to give a mixture of the desired products **8anti** and **8syn**; yield: 231 mg (0.98 mmol, 98%). The *anti:syn* ratio was determined by ¹H NMR to be 95:5. The optical purity wad determined by HPLC analysis with DAICEL-CHIRALPAK AS-H (eluent: hexane/i-PrOH = 99:1, flow rate: 1.0 mLmin^{-1}) to be 92% ee (2R,3S) for anti; retention time: 8.9 min (syn, 2R,3R), 11.4 min (anti, 2S,3R), 13.4 min (*syn*, 2S,3S), 15.1 min (*anti*, 2R,3S). The NMR spectra were consistent with previously reported data; see Supporting Information of ref.^[2]

Acknowledgements

H.N. gratefully acknowledges financial supports from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (Reaction Control of Dynamic Complexes, No. 420) and DAIKO Foundation.

References

- a) Y. Kanazawa, Y. Tsuchiya, K. Kobayashi, T. Shiomi, J. Itoh, M. Kikuchi, Y. Yamamoto, H. Nishiyama, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 63; b) Y. Tsuchiya, Y. Kanazawa, T. Shiomi, K. Kobayashi, H. Nishiyama, *Synlett* **2004**, 2493.
- [2] H. Nishiyama, T. Shiomi, Y. Tsuchiya, I. Matsuda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 6972.
- [3] Y. Motoyama, M. Okano, H. Narusawa, N. Makihara, K. Aoki, H. Nishiyama, *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 1580.
- [4] a) J. S. Fossey, C. J. Richards, Organometallics 2004, 23, 367; b) J. S. Fossey, C. J. Richards, Organometallics 2002, 21, 5259; c) D. J. Cárdenas, A. M. Echavarren, M. C. R. de Arellano, Organometallics 1999, 18, 3337.
- [5] For NCN pincer, see: M. Gerisch, J. R. Krumper, R. G. Bergman, T. D. Tilley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5818; for PCP pincer, see: B. Rybtchinski, D. Milstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4528; for reviews, see: M. E. van der Boom, D. Milstein, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1759; M. Albrecht, G. van Koten, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3750.
- [6] a) A. Schröder, D. Karbach, R. Güther, F. Vögtle, *Chem. Ber.* **1992**, *125*, 1881; b) A. W. van der Made, R. H. van der Made, *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 1262; c) M. Gerisch, J. R. Krumper, R. G. Bergman, T. D. Tilley, Organometallics **2003**, *22*, 47.