COMMUNICATIONS

DOI: 10.1002/adsc.200900327

An Efficient Copper-Catalyzed Amination of Aryl Halides by Aqueous Ammonia

Deping Wang,^{a,b} Qian Cai,^a and Ke Ding^{a,*}

^a Key Laboratory of Regenerative Biology and Institute of Chemical Biology, Guangzhou Institute of Biomedicine and Health, Chinese Academy of Sciences, International Incubator A-3, Guangzhou Science Park, Guangzhou 510663, People's Republic of China

Fax: (+86)-20-3229-0485; e-mail: ding_ke@gibh.ac.cn

^b Graduate School of Chinese Academy of Sciences, # 19 Yuquan Road, Beijing 100049, People's Republic of China

Received: May 11, 2009; Published online: August 5, 2009

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.200900327.

Abstract: The copper(I) bromide/1-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinolin-8-yl)-2-methylpropan-1-one (CuBr-L3) combination catalyzed the cross-coupling reactions between aryl or heteroaryl halides and aqueous ammonia with high yields to produce primary aromatic or heteroaromatic amines at room temperature or under mild conditions.

Keywords: aminations; aqueous ammonia; aryl halides; copper catalysis; primary anilines

Due to its great abundance and extremely low cost, ammonia has been considered as one of the most important nitrogen sources for the production of organic or inorganic fertilizers and nitrogen-containing intermediates for pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals and polymers.^[1] Primary aromatic amines represent one class of such important intermediates. The traditional preparation of primary aromatic amines by coupling of aryl halides with ammonia needs high temperature and high pressure.^[2] In recent years, transition metalmediated reactions such as palladium- or copper-catalyzed couplings of aryl halides with ammonia or ammonia surrogates to afford the desired anilines under mild conditions have been reported.^[3,4] More recently, Fu et al.^[5] reported a ligand-free copper-catalyzed arvlation of aqueous ammonia with aromatic boronic acids at room temperature. However, the Pd-catalyzed synthesis of anilines from ammonia or ammonia surrogates usually requires the use of strong bases, toxic phosphorus ligands and rare metallic catalysts. There are only few successful examples of the coppercatalyzed coupling of aryl halides with aqueous ammonia under mild conditions.^[4a,c] It is highly desirable to develop new efficient methods to further improve the efficiency and generality of the copper-catalyzed preparation of primary aromatic amines.

We have identified 2-pyridinyl- β -ketones (Figure 1) as new supporting ligands for the Cu-catalyzed Ullmann coupling reaction at room temperature.^[6,7] In this paper, we report an efficient room-temperature arylation of aqueous ammonia to afford anilines under the catalysis of 5% CuBr and 10% 2-pyridinyl- β -ketone ligands.

The study was initiated by an investigation of the amination of 4-iodochlorobenzene under the previously optimized conditions (Table 1, entry 1).^[6] It was found that the CuI-L2 combination successfully promoted the coupling reaction in a yield of 62% at room temperature whereas only a 21% yield of 4-chlorobenzenamine was obtained without the ligand under similar conditions (Table 1, entry 2). The catalytic efficiency of the other ligands was also evaluated in the same reaction system. 1-(5,6,7,8-Tetrahydroquinolin-8-yl)-2-methylpropan-1-one (L3), a close analogue of L2, displayed the best catalytic activity (Table 1, entry 4). Thus, L3 was chosen as the ligand for the following optimization of the reaction conditions.



Figure 1. Structures of 2-pyridinyl-β-ketones L1–L4.

1722

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Table 1. Amination of 4-iodochlorobenzene: optimization of the catalytic conditions.^[a]



| Entry | [Cu] | Ligand | Base | Solvent | Yield [%] ^[b] |
|-------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|
| 1 | CuI | L2 | Cs ₂ CO ₃ | DMF | 62 |
| 2 | CuI | - | Cs_2CO_3 | DMF | 21 |
| 3 | CuI | L1 | Cs_2CO_3 | DMF | 41 |
| 4 | CuI | L3 | Cs_2CO_3 | DMF | 71 |
| 5 | CuI | L4 | Cs_2CO_3 | DMF | 45 |
| 6 | CuBr | L3 | Cs_2CO_3 | DMF | 79 |
| 7 | CuCl | L3 | Cs_2CO_3 | DMF | 69 |
| 8 | $Cu(acac)_2$ | L3 | Cs_2CO_3 | DMF | 34 |
| 9 | Cu ₂ O | L3 | Cs_2CO_3 | DMF | 49 |
| 10 | CuO | L3 | Cs_2CO_3 | DMF | 6 |
| 11 | _ | L3 | Cs_2CO_3 | DMF | 0 |
| 12 | CuBr | L3 | Cs_2CO_3 | THF | trace |
| 13 | CuBr | L3 | Cs_2CO_3 | H_2O | 0 |
| 14 | CuBr | L3 | Cs_2CO_3 | EtOH | 32 |
| 15 | CuBr | L3 | Cs_2CO_3 | DMSO | 97 |
| 16 | CuBr | L3 | K ₃ PO ₄ | DMSO | 97 |
| 17 | CuBr | L3 | K_2CO_3 | DMSO | 93 |
| 18 | CuBr | L3 | Na_2CO_3 | DMSO | 81 |
| 19 | CuBr | L3 | _ | DMSO | 79 |
| 20 | CuBr | L3 | K_3PO_4 | DMSO | 70 ^[c] |
| 21 | CuBr | L3 | K ₃ PO ₄ | DMSO | 90 ^[d] |
| 22 | CuBr | L3 | K ₃ PO ₄ | DMSO | 75 ^[e] |

- [a] Reaction conditions: 4-iodochlorobenzene (1.0 mmol), commercial 28% aqueous NH₃ (5.0 equiv., 0.3 mL), [Cu] 10 mol%, ligand 20 mol%, base (2.5 mmol), solvent (2.0 mL) stirred at room temperature (25 °C) for 12 h under argon.
- ^[b] Isolated yield.
- ^[c] CuBr (5 mol%), ligand (10 mol%).
- ^[d] CuBr (5 mol%), ligand (10 mol%), 24 h.
- ^[e] CuBr (2 mol%), ligand (5 mol%), 24 h, 2.0 mmol scale.

Among various copper sources examined, CuBr was more effective when combined with L3 (Table 1, entry 6). Further investigation revealed that DMSO was the optimal solvent for the room-temperature CuBr-L3 catalyzed arylation of aqueous ammonia (Table 1, entry 15). The following conditions screening suggested that both Cs_2CO_3 and K_3PO_4 were highly efficient for the reaction (Table 1, entries 15 and 16). Although a decrease of additives had a highly negative influence on the reaction (Table 1, comparing entry 16 with 20), it could be compensated for by a longer reaction time. For example, the coupling of 4-iodochlorobenzene and aqueous ammonia only gave a 70% isolated yield under the catalysis of 5% CuBr and 10% L3 in 12 h, but the yield was significantly

improved to 90% when the reaction was continued for 24 h (Table 1, entry 21). It is noteworthy that a good yield (75%) was obtained when the reaction was carried out using a combination of 2% CuBr and 5%

L3 (Table 1, entry 22). The optimal conditions of 5% CuBr, 10% **L3** and 250% inexpensive K₃PO₄ in DMSO at room temperature were used for further investigations.

Under these optimized conditions, the scope of the amination of aryl iodides with aqueous ammonia was explored (Table 2). In general, all the aryl iodides yielded the corresponding N-unprotected anilines with good to excellent yields. The results indicated that electron-withdrawing groups (Table 2, entries 1–5 and 10) favoured the CuBr-catalyzed amination. Although the amination of electron-rich aryl iodides was more difficult, and led to lower yields, the yields were significantly improved by increasing the amounts of additives (Table 2, entries 8 and 9). Table 2 also clearly shows that steric hindrance has a huge influence on the coupling reaction (Table 2, entries 13-15). For example, when 2-methyliodobenzene was aminated at room temperature, only a 27% isolated yield was obtained. Fortunately, the reaction was significantly accelerated at an elevated temperature (Table 2, entry 14).

In addition, the CuBr-L3 catalytic system displayed a great tolerance to multiple functional groups such as ketone, cyano, nitro, carboxylic ester, acetamino and hydroxy (Table 2, entries 2, 3, 5, 10, 11 and 12). Furthermore, the room-temperature amination of heteroaromatic iodides was examined with great success. All the pyridinyl or thienyl iodides tested coupled with aqueous ammonia to afford the corresponding anilines with satisfactory yields (Table 2, entries 16– 19).

Although no *p*-chloroaniline was detected when *p*chlorobromobenzene was reacted with aqueous ammonia at room temperature, a 79% yield was obtained when the reaction was carried out at 110°C (Table 3, entry 1). Furthermore, *p*-chloroaniline was produced with 95% yield when 10% CuBr and 20% **L3** were applied (Table 3, entry 1). Thus, the CuBrcatalyzed amination of aryl bromides was investigated under the new conditions (10% CuBr, 20% **L3**, 110°C). As shown in Table 3, all the aryl and heteroaryl bromides examined coupled with aqueous ammonia to afford the corresponding anilines with good to excellent yields.

In summary, a general and highly efficient coppercatalyzed synthesis of primary aromatic amines was developed. The CuBr-L3 [1-(5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinolin-8-yl)-2-methylpropan-1-one] combination successfully promotes the cross-coupling reaction between aryl or heteroaryl halides and aqueous ammonia at room temperature or under mild conditions. Furthermore, the reaction exhibits excellent functional group **Table 2.** Copper-catalyzed amination of aryl iodides at room temperature.^[a]

| | NH ₃ -H Cu Ar—I <u>L</u> K ₃ P r. | ₂ O 5.0 equiv. JBr 5 mol% 3 10 mol% O ₄ , DMSO t., 24 h | H ₂ |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Entry | Ar–I | Ar–NH ₂ | Yield [%] ^{[b} |
| 1 | CI- | | 90 |
| 2 | Me I | Me NH ₂ | 95 |
| 3 | NC | | 93 |
| 4 | | NH ₂ | 90 |
| 5 | O ₂ N- | | 92 |
| 6 | | | 75, 85 ^[c] |
| 7 | Me | Me NH ₂ | 68, 83 ^[c] |
| 8 | Me | Me NH ₂ | 75. 87 ^[c] |
| 9 | MeO | | 70, 81 ^[c] |
| 10 | EtO ₂ C | EtO ₂ CNH ₂ | 89 |

compatibility. Our report provides an attractive strategy for the synthesis of aniline derivatives.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for the Coupling of Aryl and Heteroaryl Iodides with Aqueous Ammonia

A 10-mL flask equipped with a Teflon valve was charged with a magnetic stir bar, CuBr (8 mg, 5 mol%), K_3PO_4 (530 mg, 2.5 mmol) and solid aryl iodide (1.0 mmol). The tube was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this procedure was repeated three times). Under a counter flow of argon, DMSO (2.0 mL), 1.0 mmol aryl iodide (if liquid), 0.3 mL (5.0 mmol) aqueous ammonia (28%) and L3 (21 mg, 10 mol%) were added by syringe. The tube was sealed. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir under argon at ambient temperature (25 °C) for 24 h. Then the mixture was diluted with dichloromethane and passed through a fritted glass filter, the filter cake being further washed with dichloromethane. The filtrate was washed twice with water. The com-



[a] Reaction conditions: Ar-I (1.0 mmol), commercial 28% aqueous NH₃ (5.0 equiv., 0.3 mL), CuBr 5 mol%, L3 10 mol%, K₃PO₄ (2.5 mmol), DMSO (2.0 mL) stirred at room temperature (25°C) for 24 h under argon.

^[b] Isolated yield.

^[c] CuBr (10 mol%), ligand **3** (20 mol%).

^[d] At 80 °C.

bined aqueous phases were extracted with dichloromethane five times. The organic layers were collected, dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum ether and ethyl acetate as eluent.

General Procedure for the Coupling of Aryl and Heteroaryl Bromides with Aqueous Ammonia

A 10-mL sealed tube equipped with a Teflon valve was charged with a magnetic stir bar, CuBr (15 mg, 10 mol%), K_3PO_4 (530 mg, 2.5 mmol) and solid aryl bromide (1.0 mmol). The tube was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this procedure was repeated three times). Under a counter flow of argon, DMSO (2.0 mL), 1.0 mmol aryl bromide (if liquid), 0.3 mL (5.0 mmol) aqueous ammonia (28%) and L3 (41 mg, 20 mol%) were added by syringe. The tube was sealed. The reaction mixture was heated to the indicated temperature (110°C) and stirred for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with dichloromethane and passed through a fritted glass filter, the filter cake was further washed with dichlorome-

Table 2. (Continued)

Table 3. Copper-catalyzed amination of aryl bromides.^[a]

| | NH ₃ -H ₂ O 5.0 equiv. CuBr 10 mol% L3 20 mol% | 6 b U I |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Ar-Br | K₃PO₄, DMSO 110 °C, 24 h | AI \neg N \square_2 |

| Entry | Ar–Br | Ar–NH ₂ | Yield [%] ^[b] |
|-------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | CI | | 79, ^[c] 95 |
| 2 | Br | | 93 |
| 3 | Me Br | Me NH ₂ | 96 |
| 4 | Br | | 70, ^[c] 85 |
| 5 | Me | Me NH ₂ | 86 |
| 6 | Br | NH ₂ | 89 |
| 7 | Br | | 87 |
| 8 | MeO Br | | 82 |
| 9 | Br | Me NH ₂ | 52 |
| 10 | Br | | 60 |
| 11 | Me Br | | 85 |
| 12 | MeO- | | 86 |
| 13 | N S Br | | 81 |

- [a] Reaction conditions: Ar-Br (1.0 mmol), commercial 28% aqueous NH₃ (5.0 equiv., 0.3 mL), CuBr 10 mol%, L3 20 mol%, K₃PO₄ (2.5 mmol), DMSO (2.0 mL) stirred at 110°C for 24 h under argon.
- ^[b] Isolated yield.
- ^[c] CuBr (5 mol%), ligand **3** (10 mol%).

thane. The filtrate was washed twice with water. The combined aqueous phases were extracted with dichloromethane five times. The organic layers were collected, dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with an eluent of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate.

Acknowledgements

We thank the 100-talent program of CAS, National Natural Science Foundation (Grant # 20802077, 90813033) and National High Technology Research and Development Program (863 program, Grant # 2007AA02Z490) for financial support.

References

- a) S. A. Lawrence, Amines: Synthesis properties and Application, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004;
 b) M. Negwer, Organic Drugs and Their Synonyms, Akademie Verlag Gmbh, Berlin, 7th edn., 1994; c) B. Schlummer, U. Scholz, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 4052–4054; d) K. Weissermel, H. L. Arpe, Industry Organic Chemistry, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1997; e) D. M. Roundhill, Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 1.
- [2] R. C. Larock, Comprehensive Organic Transformations: a guide to functional group preparation, 2nd edn., Wiley-VCH, New York, 1999.
- [3] Palladium-catalyzed formation of aromatic amines: a) Q. Shen, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 10028; b) D. S. Surry, S. L. Buchwaid, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 10354; c) M. Willis, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 3470; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3402; d) X. H. Huang, S. L. Buchwald, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3417; e) S. Lee, M. Jogensen, J. F. Hartwig, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2729; f) D. Y. Lee, J. F. Hartwig, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1169; g) M. Prashad, B. Hu, Y. Lu, R. Draper, D. Har, O. Repic and T. J. Blacklock, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 2612; h) X. H. Huang, K. W. Anderson, D. Zim, L. Jiang, A. Klapars, S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6653; i) J. Barluenga, F. Aznar, C. Valdes, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 347; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 343; j) G. A. Grasa, M. S. Viciu, J. K. Huang, S. P. Nolan, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7729; k) J. P. Wolfe, J. Ahman, J. P. Sadighi, R. A. Singer, S. L. Buchwald, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 6367; 1) J. F. Hartwig, M. Kawatsura, S. I. Hauck, K. H. Shaughnessy, L. M. Alcazar-Roman, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 5575; m) J. Yin, S. L. Buchwald, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1101; n) S. Jaime-Figueroa, Y. Liu, J. M. Muchowski, D. G. Putman, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 1313.
- [4] Copper-catalyzed formation of aromatic amines: a) N. Xia, M. Taillefer, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 343; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 337; b) R. Ntaganda, B. Dhudshia, C. L. B. Macdonald, A. Thadani, Chem. Commun. 2008, 6200; c) J. Kim, S. Chang, Chem. Commun. 2008, 3052; d) F. Lang, D. Zewge, I. N. Houpis, R. P. Volante, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 3251; e) S. Gaillard, M. K. Elmkaddem, C. Fischmeister, C. M. Thomas, J.-L. Renaud, Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 3471; f) X. Gao, H. Fu, R. Qiao, Y. Jiang, Y. Zhao, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 6864; g) H. Xu, C. Wolf, Chem. Commun. 2009, 3035.
- [5] H. Rao, H. Fu, Y. Jiang, Y. Zhao, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 1134; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1114.
- [6] D. Wang, K. Ding, Chem. Commun. 2009, 1891.
- [7] Recent reviews on copper-catalyzed C–N bond formation, see: a) J. Lidley, *Tetrahedron* 1984, 40, 1433; b) J. Hassan, M, Sevignon, C. Gozzi, E. Schulz, M. Lemaire,

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 1722-1726

Chem. Rev. **2002**, *102*, 1359; c) S. V. Ley, A. W. Thomas, *Angew. Chem.* **2003**, *115*, 5558; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 5400; d) K. Kunz, U. Scholz, D. Ganzer, *Synlett* **2003**, 2428; e) I. P. Beletskaya, A. V. Cheprakov, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *248*, 2337; f) F. Monnier and M. Taillefer, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 3140; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3096; g) G. Evano, N. Blanchard, M. and Toumi, Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3054; h) D. Ma, Q. Cai, Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1450.