

Article

Subscriber access provided by the Henry Madden Library | California State University, Fresno

PdPb catalyzed decarboxylation of proline to pyrrolidine: highly selective formation of a bio-based amine in water

Jasper Verduyckt, Maarten Van Hoof, Free De Schouwer, Marike Wolberg, Mert Kurttepeli, Pierre Eloy, Eric M. Gaigneaux, Sara Bals, Christine E. A. Kirschhock, and Dirk E. De Vos ACS Catal., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.6b02561 • Publication Date (Web): 16 Sep 2016 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on September 18, 2016

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



ACS Catalysis is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

PdPb catalyzed decarboxylation of proline to pyrrolidine: highly selective formation of a biobased amine in water

Jasper Verduyckt,^a Maarten Van Hoof,^a Free De Schouwer,^a Marike Wolberg,^a Mert Kurttepeli,^b Pierre Eloy,^{c1} Eric M. Gaigneaux,^{c2} Sara Bals,^b Christine E. A. Kirschhock,^a Dirk E. De Vos^{*a}

^a Centre for Surface Chemistry and Catalysis, Department of Microbial and Molecular Systems,
KU Leuven - University of Leuven, Leuven Chem&Tech, Celestijnenlaan 200F, Post Box 2461,
3001 Heverlee, Belgium

^b Electron Microscopy for Materials Science, UA - University of Antwerp, Groenenborgerlaan
 171, 2020 Antwerp, Belgium

^c Institute of Condensed Matter and Nanosciences, ^{c1} SUrface Characterization platform and
 ^{c2} MOlecules Solids & reactiviTy, UCL – Université catholique de Louvain, Place L. Pasteur 1,
 Post Box L4.01.09, 1348 Louvain-la Neuve, Belgium

ABSTRACT. Amino acids have huge potential as platform chemicals in the bio-based industry. Pd catalyzed decarboxylation is a very promising route for the valorization of these natural compounds derived from protein waste or fermentation. We report that the highly abundant and non-essential amino acid L-proline is very reactive in the Pd catalyzed decarboxylation. Full conversions are obtained with Pd/C and different Pd/MeO_x catalysts; this allowed to identify the

different side reactions and hence to map the reaction network. Due to the high reactivity of pyrrolidine, the selectivity for pyrrolidine was initially low. By carefully modifying Pd/ZrO₂ with Pb in a controlled manner – *i.e.* via two incipient wetness impregnation steps – the selectivity increased remarkably. Finally, a thorough investigation of the reaction parameters resulted in an increased activity of this modified catalyst and an even further enhanced selectivity under a low H₂ pressure of 4 bar at 235°C in water. This results in a very selective and sustainable production route for the highly interesting pyrrolidine.

KEYWORDS. PdPb, decarboxylation, L-proline, pyrrolidine, bio-based amine, water

Introduction

Amines are versatile building blocks for the synthesis of organic compounds, such as dyes, agrochemicals and pharmaceuticals.¹ Pyrrolidine is for example used in the synthesis of nicotine and rifamycin antibiotics.^{2,3} Currently, this secondary amine is produced via the high temperature dehydration of 1,4-butanediol in the presence of ammonia using *e.g.* a ThO₂-Al₂O₃ catalyst at 300°C.¹ The diol on its turn is synthesized via oxidation of the fossil-based butane to maleic anhydride, which is subsequently hydrogenated to 1,4-butanediol in the DAVY process.⁴ The different redox transformations render this route to pyrrolidine very inefficient. In this work we propose an alternative sustainable pathway for the synthesis of this important amine, starting from the amino acid L-proline as a renewable resource.

Amino acids can be produced in a green way via fermentation.^{5,6} More importantly however, they will become increasingly available from protein rich waste streams.⁷ Common agricultural waste fractions such as poultry feathers, dried distillers grains with solubles and press cakes from rapeseed, soybeans, algae, *etc.* contain up to 90% of proteins.⁸ Sanders *et al.* predict that in this

ACS Catalysis

way more than 100 million tons of protein will be available if 10% of the fossil transportation fuel is replaced by biomass-derived fuels.⁹ Work-up of these proteins includes extraction, hydrolysis and subsequent separation of the amino acids. This final step still remains a big challenge, though new technologies based on electrodialysis and adsorption are emerging.^{10–14}

Amino acids have huge potential as platform chemicals in the bio-based industry.⁷ They can be catalytically converted to valuable nitriles via oxidative decarboxylation,^{15–18} and to amino alcohols via hydrogenation.^{19,20} Another very interesting class of valorization reactions is the direct decarboxylation to form amines. This reaction is e.g. catalyzed by enzymes or by α_{β} unsaturated ketones that mimic the pyridoxal 5'-phosphate cofactor.^{10,11,21} The use of enzymes suffers however from the need for an expensive cofactor in combination with a strict *pH* control and from a low volumetric productivity.^{10,11,22} The use of homogeneous organocatalysts on the other hand suffers from the mandatory use of an organic solvent in combination with high catalyst loading and difficult catalyst recycling.²¹ A viable alternative is the direct Pd catalyzed decarboxylation, which was first applied to the decarboxylation of fatty acids for the production of diesel-like compounds at 300°C.^{23,24} Typically, the reaction is conducted with zerovalent Pd nanoparticles on a support. Recently, this technology was successfully adapted for the decarboxylation of itaconic acid to methacrylic acid and of (pyro)glutamic acid to 2-pyrrolidone in water at 250°C.^{25,26} The extension of this technology to the production of amines from other amino acids has so far not been reported, obviously due to the instability of amines under these reaction conditions.

In this work the Pd catalyzed decarboxylation is successfully extended to the first highly selective formation of a bio-based amine in water, using L-proline as the substrate. An essential

step to obtain high selectivities was the careful modification of the Pd catalyst with Pb via a new, controlled two-step incipient wetness approach.

Results & Discussion

Pd catalyst screening and unraveling the complex reaction network

In an initial screening different Pd catalysts were tested for the decarboxylation of L-proline (1) to pyrrolidine (2) with water as the solvent, using 4 mol% Pd at 6 bar N_2 and 225°C for 6 h (Table 1, entries 1-6). These reaction conditions were inspired by those recently reported by our group for the decarboxylation of pyroglutamic acid.²⁶ In general, L-proline (1) is very reactive for the decarboxylation, since almost all catalysts attain full conversion already at 225°C. The high conversions obtained with different catalysts allowed to identify the side products and hence to map the reaction network (Scheme 1). Due to its high reactivity, the selectivity to pyrrolidine (2) was initially low (2-33%). The first side route is the dehydrogenation of pyrrolidine (2) to form pyrrole (3 - pathway A), which was observed especially for Pd/Al_2O_3 and Pd/ZrO₂. This reaction is known to be Pd catalyzed; group-IV metal oxide supports, like ZrO₂ and TiO₂, are known to favor the pyrrolidine dehydrogenation.²⁷ Pathway **B** leads to a plethora of side products, starting with a single dehydrogenation to form 1-pyrroline (4), which was identified using ¹H-NMR and GC-MS. This cyclic imine is hydrated to pyrrolidin-2-ol and its linear counterpart y-aminobutyraldehyde. The cyclic amino alcohol is then again dehydrogenated to form 2-pyrrolidone (5). The latter is rather stable, but can be hydrolyzed to a minor extent, which consecutively opens the route to propionic acid (9).²⁶ The linear amino aldehyde is less stable and is most probably the origin of more side products, such as butyric acid (10), γ -hydroxybutyric acid (11) and γ -butyrolactone (12). The most important pathway C is initiated

by the ring opening hydrogenolysis of pyrrolidine (2).²⁸ H₂ can be generated *in situ* in the watergas shift reaction of CO formed in decarbonylation reactions (cfr. infra).²⁶ In this way *n*-butylamine (6) is formed, which is subsequently fully defunctionalized to propane (7) and other volatile compounds. The presence of propane (7) was proven by performing gas phase Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) on the headspace of the reaction in entry 1 (Table 1). *n*-Butylamine (6) was merely observed in trace amounts, since it is highly reactive and readily defunctionalized to propane (7) and other volatiles. This was demonstrated with a reaction under the same conditions, starting from the linear amine: *n*-butylamine (6) was converted for 92% and only traces of products could be found in solution, while CO, CO₂, NH₃ and propane (7) were detected in the gas phase. The equilibrium between CO and CO_2 was clearly in favor of CO₂ (CO/CO₂ = 0.04); this confirms the occurrence of the water-gas shift reaction under these conditions. Finally, if L-proline (1) is not converted quickly enough, it gets the opportunity to react through a double intermolecular condensation; this gives rise to the diketopiperazine cyclodi-L-prolyl (8). This reaction represents most of the conversion in the blank reaction (Table 1, entry 13). The detailed identification of the observed compounds is given in the supporting information.

Table 1. Pd catalyst screening for the decarboxylation of L-proline to pyrrolidine.^a

Conversion				Selectivity [%]					
	Catalyst	[%]	Pyrrolidine	DKP ^b	Pathway A ^c	Pathway B ^{d}	Pathway C ^e		
1	Pd/C	>99	2	<1	7	14	77		
2	Pd/Al_2O_3	98	16	<1	25	16	43		
3	Pd/BaSO ₄	64	33	19	11	3	34		
4	Pd/CaCO ₃	>99	5	9	12	1	73		
5	Pd/MgAl ₂ O ₄	>99	6	<1	5	35	54		
6	Pd/ZrO ₂	>99	10	<1	27	17	. 46		

7	PdPb/CaCO ₃	64	41	23	2	5	29
8	PdPb/MgAl ₂ O ₄	72	40	14	3	6	37
9	$PdPb/ZrO_2(A)$	44	50	21	9	9	11
10	Pd/Al ₂ O ₃ ^f	56	25	<1	29	5	41
11	Pd/ZrO ₂ ^f	40	25	<1	32	10	33
12	PdPb/ZrO ₂ (B)	45	67	11	2	20	<1
13	-	16	37	63	<1	<1	<1

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: L-proline (0.2 mmol), 4 mol% Pd, water (2 mL), 225°C, 6 bar N₂, 6 h. ^{*b*} Cyclodi-L-prolyl, a diketopiperazine. ^{*c*} Side product from Pathway A is pyrrole. ^{*d*} 'Pathway B' represents 1-pyrroline, 2-pyrrolidone, propionic acid, butyric acid, γ -hydroxybutyric acid and γ -butyrolactone. ^{*e*} 'Pathway C' represents *n*-butylamine and mass loss from solution, resulting in the formation of propane and other volatiles. ^{*f*} 215°C.



Scheme 1. Reaction network for the decarboxylation of L-proline. The detailed identification of the observed (numbered) compounds is given in the supporting information.

Modification with Pb

In search for a more selective catalyst to transform L-proline (1) into pyrrolidine (2), the modification of Pd with Pb was explored (**Table 1**, entries 7-9). Besides the commercially available PdPb/CaCO₃, also PdPb supported on a more acidic (ZrO₂) and a more basic (MgAl₂O₄) support were synthesized. The catalysts were prepared via a one-step impregnation with (NH₃)₄PdCl₂ together with Pb(NO₃)₂ according to Furukawa *et al.*,²⁹ followed by calcination and reduction. This clearly has a beneficial effect; the selectivity towards pyrrolidine

ACS Catalysis

(2) typically increases with more than 30%, irrespective of the support. $PdPb/ZrO_2$ (A), the most selective catalyst so far, already displays a pyrrolidine selectivity of 50% at 44% conversion. One might think that this increase is just due to a decrease in conversion. However, when samples were analyzed at lower conversions using the conventional Pd catalysts, the selectivity never exceeded 25% (Table 1, entries 10-11). The selectivity increase is mainly due to the suppression of pathway C; ring opening hydrogenolysis of pyrrolidine (2), which opens the route towards propane (7), seems to be inhibited by the addition of Pb to Pd. Nevertheless, the latter reaction route is not completely blocked yet. This most likely results from the incomplete modification of Pd. Therefore the most selective catalyst was synthesized in a new, controlled manner to carefully modify all Pd sites. In this new method first Pd was distributed onto the ZrO_2 support by incipient wetness impregnation; subsequently the material was dried and calcined to remove the ligands. Afterwards, Pb was evenly deposited onto Pd by a second incipient wetness impregnation step. After a final drying, calcination and reduction step, $PdPb/ZrO_2$ (B) was obtained. For this newly synthesized catalyst the selectivity towards pyrrolidine (2) further increased up to 67% (Table 1, entry 12). Now the ring opening hydrogenolysis of pyrrolidine (2) is completely blocked, owing to the efficient modification of all Pd sites with Pb. In addition, Pb also significantly suppresses the dehydrogenation path towards pyrrole (3).

In order to prove that the higher selectivity of PdPb/ZrO₂ (B) compared to PdPb/ZrO₂ (A) is due to the better coverage of Pd with Pb, both catalysts were extensively characterized by high angle annular dark field – scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) in combination with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), by high resolution powder X-ray diffractometry (XRD) and by CO chemisorption. The particle size distribution of both samples was rather narrow with most of the nanoparticles situated between 4 and 8 nm (**Figure S1**).

However, the high resolution X-ray diffractogram of the PdPb/ZrO₂ (A) sample shows unambiguous signals of a pure Pd phase (Figure 1). This clearly indicates that not all Pd is intimately mixed with Pb. Such signals are totally absent in the diffractogram of $PdPb/ZrO_2$ (B). Pd and Pb were used in the synthesis in a 3-to-1 molar ratio to produce the Pd₃Pb alloy that was proposed by Furukawa et al.²⁹ No evidence for this alloy was found in the XRD data, though this might be due to the much smaller nanoparticles formed in our synthesis, viz. 4-8 nm vs. 14-20 nm. HAADF-STEM imaging accompanied with EDX analysis was performed in order to determine the nature of the elements at the catalyst surface. Figure 2 presents HAADF-STEM images (Figure 2-(a) and -(g)) and EDX elemental maps (Figure 2-(b-e) and -(h-k)) of both the PdPb/ZrO₂ (A) and PdPb/ZrO₂ (B) sample. The observed signals from Pd and Pb are visualized in red and green, respectively. By overlaying the EDX elemental maps of the Pd and Pb it is clear that for PdPb/ZrO₂ (A) the presence of Pd does not always correspond to the presence of Pb (Figure 2-(f)). However, for PdPb/ZrO₂ (B) the presence of Pd predominantly coincides with the presence of Pb (Figure 2-(1)). These measurements therefore confirm the hypothesis that Pd is much better covered by Pb in PdPb/ZrO₂ (B) than in PdPb/ZrO₂ (A). In addition, CO chemisorption experiments showed a lower apparent Pd dispersion for PdPb/ZrO₂ (B) compared to PdPb/ZrO₂ (A): 2.9% vs. 4.7% (Table 2). Since HAADF-STEM revealed very similar Pd particle size distributions for both catalysts, this difference is attributed to the better coverage of Pd by Pb in PdPb/ZrO₂ (B): when Pb is better covering the Pd surface, less CO is able to adsorb onto that surface. The same effect was observed in literature for CO chemisorption on Pd nanoparticles decorated or alloved with Ga₂O₃, Sn, K, Mn and Cu.³⁰⁻³² Finally, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy was performed to determine the electronic state of Pd and Pb in Pd/ZrO₂ and PdPb/ZrO₂ catalysts (Table S1, Figure S2-3). Pd was always in the metallic state,

characterized by $3d_{5/2}$ emission peaks at 335.5 eV. This value corresponds very well with the 335.4 eV that was previously reported for Pd⁰/ZrO₂.³³ Pb was in all catalysts present in both metallic and cationic states, as determined from $4f_{7/2}$ emission peaks at 136.6 and 138.8 eV, respectively. These values are in accordance with those reported for Pb⁰ (137.1 eV) and PbO₂ (139.1 eV).³⁴ The presence of cationic Pb species might originate from exposure of the catalysts to air prior to the analysis; or it could mean that Pb was not fully reduced during the catalyst synthesis. XPS did not give evidence for an influence of Pb on the electronic structure of Pd.



Figure 1. High resolution diffractograms of PdPb/ZrO₂ catalysts. The full pattern of Pd can be found in the supporting information (**Figure S4**).



Figure 2. (a) & (g) HAADF-STEM images and (b-e) & (h-k) EDX elemental maps of $PdPb/ZrO_2$ (A) (top two rows) and $PdPb/ZrO_2$ (B) (bottom two rows). The overlap of the Pd and Pb signals can be studied from the mixed EDX elemental maps presented in (f) & (1).

Table 2. Pd dispersion of Pd/ZrO₂ and PdPb/ZrO₂ catalysts determined via CO chemisorption.

	Pd/ZrO ₂	PdPb/ZrO ₂ (A)	PdPb/ZrO ₂ (B)
Pd dispersion [%]	8.0	4.7	2.9

Fine-tuning the reaction conditions

The modified PdPb/ZrO₂ (B) material is so far the best catalyst: it produces pyrrolidine (2) starting from L-proline (1) with a 67% selectivity, albeit at a rather low conversion of 45%. Nonetheless, side products from pathway **B**, as well as the diketopiperazine (8) are still formed. Further efforts are therefore devoted to increasing the rate of the catalytic reaction and to blocking the dehydrogenation that initiates pathway **B**.

Therefore first a temperature increase was investigated (**Table 3**). An increasing temperature leads to an increased conversion; at the optimum of 235° C the selectivity was not affected. However, at a temperature of 245° C ring opening hydrogenolysis of pyrrolidine (2) became prominent again, with a re-appearance of pathway C side products.

Table 3. Temperature	optimization for	the most selective	catalyst.a
----------------------	------------------	--------------------	------------

	Temperature	Selectivity [%]						
	[°C]	[%]	Pyrrolidine	DKP ^b	Pathway A ^c	Pathway B ^d	Pathway C ^e	
1	225	45	67	11	2	20	<1	
2	235	52	69	6	4	21	<1	
3	245	63	56	6	3	21	14	

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: L-proline (0.2 mmol), 4 mol% PdPb/ZrO₂ (B), water (2 mL), 6 bar N₂, 6 h. ^{*b*} Cyclodi-L-prolyl, a diketopiperazine. ^{*c*} Side product from Pathway A is pyrrole. ^{*d*} 'Pathway B' represents 1-pyrroline, 2-pyrrolidone, propionic acid and butyric acid. ^{*e*} 'Pathway C' represents mass loss from solution, resulting in the formation of propane and other volatiles.

Next, the addition of H_2 to the reaction atmosphere was explored (**Table 4**). A low H_2 pressure of 4 bar has a remarkably favorable influence on the activity of the PdPb/ZrO₂ (B) catalyst: a conversion of 88% is now reached within 6 h, with the decarboxylation as the dominant initial reaction (entries 1-2). In this reducing atmosphere Pd more readily remains in its active

zerovalent state.^{35,36} In addition, the selectivity increases to 76%, largely owing to the inhibition of the secondary dehydrogenation towards pyrrole (**3** - pathway **A**). When the H₂ pressure was increased to 10 bar, again ring opening hydrogenolysis became difficult to impede (entry 3). Further increase to 15 bar H₂ is even detrimental to the conversion level, either because H₂ occupies the active sites or because sintering of the metallic nanoparticles occurs (entry 4). For the reaction at 4 bar H₂ the stirring rate was varied (**Table S3**). This did not result in higher conversions, indicating that there are no significant mass transfer limitations.

Table 4. Effect of varying partial pressures of H₂ in the reaction atmosphere.^{*a*}

	P _{H2}	Conversion	Selectivity [%]				
	[bar]	[%]	Pyrrolidine	DKP ^b	Pathway A ^c	Pathway B ^d	Pathway C ^e
1	0^f	52	69	6	4	21	<1
2	4	88	76	4	1	19	<1
3	10	88	64	2	1	9	24
4	15	27	41	44	<1	15	<1

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: L-proline (0.2 mmol), 4 mol% PdPb/ZrO₂ (B), water (2 mL), 235°C, 2 bar N₂, 6 h. ^{*b*} Cyclodi-L-prolyl, a diketopiperazine. ^{*c*} Side product from Pathway A is pyrrole. ^{*d*} 'Pathway B' represents 1-pyrroline, 2-pyrrolidone, propionic acid and butyric acid. ^{*e*} 'Pathway C' represents mass loss from solution, resulting in the formation of propane and other volatiles. ^{*f*} 6 bar N₂.

Finally, **Table 5** studies the influence of the pH on the reaction, and especially its effect on pathway **B**, which is the only significant side route that subsists for the optimized reaction (**Table 4**, entry 2). The pH was adjusted using H₃PO₄ or NaOH; the natural pH of the starting solution at room temperature is 7. Both a more acidic and a more alkaline pH decrease the conversion. The alkaline environment induces a number of unidentified side reactions, decreasing the selectivity to pyrrolidine (**2**). On the other hand, the acidic environment results in a very high selectivity of 91% at 59% conversion, with a nearly total suppression of route **B**. The

latter can be interpreted in two ways: i) in acidic conditions the dehydrogenation of pyrrolidine (2) to 1-pyrroline (4) occurs to a much lesser extent or ii) the decrease in conversion lowers the probability of consecutive side reactions. To verify which of those is the actual reason, a few experiments were conducted as a function of time (**Table 5**, entries 4-5). When the reaction in the acidic environment was run at longer reaction times to increase the conversion, the selectivity drastically decreased again due to the formation of pathway **B** side products. Therefore the first explanation can be excluded; so the decrease in conversion is responsible for the very high selectivity. This was confirmed by decreasing the conversion of the reaction at neutral pH by decreasing the reaction time to 1 h: also in this way a selectivity of 95% was realized at a conversion of 58% (**Table 5**, entry 5).

Table 5. Effect of *pH* and reaction time.^{*a*}

_								
		Reaction	Conversion			Selectivity [[%]	
	рН	time [h]	[%]	Pyrrolidine	DKP ^b	Pathway A ^c	Pathway B ^d	Pathway C ^e
1	2^{f}	6	59	91	5	2	2	<1
2	7	6	88	76	4	1	19	<1
3	12 ^g	6	67	34	<1	3	13	h
4	2^{f}	24	97	55	5	<1	40	<1
5	7	1	58	95	<1	2	3	<1

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: L-proline (0.2 mmol), 4 mol% PdPb/ZrO₂ (B), water (2 mL), 235°C, 2 bar N₂ and 4 bar H₂. ^{*b*} Cyclodi-L-prolyl, a diketopiperazine. ^{*c*} Side product from Pathway A is pyrrole. ^{*d*} 'Pathway B' represents 1-pyrroline, 2-pyrrolidone, propionic acid, butyric acid, γ -hydroxybutyric acid and γ -butyrolactone. ^{*e*} 'Pathway C' represents mass loss from solution, resulting in the formation of propane and other volatiles. ^{*f*} *pH* was decreased by adding 0.4 eq. H₃PO₄. ^{*g*} *pH* was increased by adding 1 eq. NaOH. ^{*h*} Due to the complex product mixture the mass balance could not be determined accurately.

Mechanistic & kinetic insights

The new PdPb catalytic system for the decarboxylation of L-proline (1) to pyrrolidine (2) can now be run at very high selectivities, giving in only a little on the conversion level. The question remains why this modification with Pb results in a much higher selectivity than observed for the conventional Pd catalysts. Somoriai and co-workers investigated the formation of pyrrolidine (2) by the hydrogenation of pyrrole (3) at room temperature on Pt.²⁸ In this case, the ring opening hydrogenolysis of pyrrolidine (2) to n-butylamine (6) could be avoided by lowering the desorption energy of pyrrolidine (2). This amine was shown to be surface-bound by the nitrogen atom. In addition, Furukawa et al. demonstrated that the modification of Pd with Pb renders Pd more electron rich.²⁹ Consequently, for the present reaction, Pd is expected to interact less strongly with the nitrogen atom of pyrrolidine (2), facilitating the desorption of the secondary amine. In this way consecutive side reactions on the Pd surface, such as ring opening hydrogenolysis can be avoided. In the same way pyrroline can desorb more easily from the Pd surface, avoiding the further dehydrogenation to pyrrole (3), but also potentially favoring the hydration to the amino alcohol and the amino aldehyde in pathway **B**. This switch is possible, since 1-pyrroline (4) and 2-pyrroline are in tautomeric equilibrium (Scheme 1).

To substantiate the reaction network that is proposed in **Scheme 1**, the kinetic profiles of two reactions were recorded for the decarboxylation of L-proline (1) under the optimized reaction conditions. **Figure 3** depicts the kinetic profile obtained for the highly selective PdPb/ZrO₂ (B) catalyst. At the start of the reaction pyrrolidine (2) is produced very selectively. Pyrrole (3) and 1-pyrroline (4) quickly reach and maintain a low equilibrium concentration. After 1 h pyrrolidine (2) starts to convert into 2-pyrrolidone (5), which is clearly formed in a consecutive reaction. This leads to an optimal yield of pyrrolidine (2) of 69% at 86% conversion after 2 h. When the catalyst was recycled at this point, the conversion dropped due to an initial deactivation;

ACS Catalysis

however, the conversion in the subsequent runs stabilizes at 50-60% and the selectivity invariably remains very high, *i.e.* more than 90% (**Table S4**). **Figure 4** presents the kinetic profile of the reaction with Pd/ZrO₂. L-Proline (**1**) is decarboxylated very fast, therefore the first consecutive side reactions are already prominent in the early stages of the reaction. The formation of pyrrole (**3**) is initially favored by Pd/ZrO₂; this product is however not stable under the applied reaction conditions. Pyrrole (**3**) is gradually hydrogenated back to pyrrolidine (**2**), which then reacts via one of the other two prominent pathways. The formation of propane (**7**, mass loss) therefore steadily increases from the start of the reaction. The concentration of 2pyrrolidone (**5**) increases from the beginning as well, but reaches a plateau due to the subsequent formation of propionic acid (**9**).²⁶ Unlike propionic acid (**9**), butyric acid (**10**) is formed from the start of the reaction and is therefore unlikely to originate from 2-pyrrolidone (**5**). This product is believed to rather stem from γ -aminobutyraldehyde, which is in equilibrium with pyrrolidin-2-ol (**Scheme 1**).



Figure 3. Time course of the decarboxylation of L-proline using PdPb/ZrO₂ (B). Reaction conditions: L-proline (0.2 mmol), 4 mol% PdPb/ZrO₂ (B), water (2 mL), 235°C, 2 bar N₂ and 4 bar H₂.



Figure 4. Time course of the decarboxylation of L-proline using Pd/ZrO₂. Reaction conditions: L-proline (0.2 mmol), 4 mol% Pd/ZrO₂, water (2 mL), 235°C, 2 bar N₂ and 4 bar H₂.

Finally, in order to confirm some of the hypotheses on consecutive transformation of the pyrrolidine product, pyrrolidine itself was reacted for a short time (**Table 6**). Ring opening hydrogenolysis, which initiates pathway **C**, as well as the dehydrogenation to pyrrole (**3** - pathway **A**) are clearly impaired by the addition of Pb (entries 1, 3, 5). Moreover, the addition of H₂ further decreases the formation of pyrrole (**3** - pathway **A**) (entries 1-2, 5-6).

Table 6. Fate of pyrrolidine under the optimized reaction conditions.^a

						Selectivity [%]	
	Catalyst	Pb	H_2	Conversion [%]	Pathway A ^b	Pathway B ^c	Pathway C ^d
1	Pd/BaSO ₄	-	-	63	36	13	51
2	Pd/BaSO ₄	-	х	35	6	34	60
3	Pd/ZrO ₂	-	-	53	36	24	40
4	Pd/ZrO_2	-	х	56	38	21	41
5	PdPb/ZrO ₂ (B)	х	-	50	8	64	28
6	PdPb/ZrO ₂ (B)	х	х	58	5	53	42

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: pyrrolidine (0.2 mmol), 4 mol% Pd, water (2 mL), 6 bar N₂ or 2 bar N₂ and 4 bar H₂, 235°C, 1 h or 20 min (for Pd/ZrO₂). ^{*b*} Side product from Pathway A is pyrrole.

ACS Catalysis

^{*c*} 'Pathway B' represents 1-pyrroline, 2-pyrrolidone, propionic acid and butyric acid. ^{*d*} 'Pathway C' represents *n*-butylamine and mass loss from solution, resulting in the formation of propane and other volatiles.

Conclusion

The Pd catalyzed decarboxylation has been successfully extended to the highly selective formation of a bio-based amine in water. During the initial screening of conventional Pd catalysts for the decarboxylation of the abundant and non-essential L-proline, pyrrolidine turned out to be very labile. The most important side reactions are initiated by either a dehydrogenation, leading to pyrrole, 1-pyrroline, 2-pyrrolidone, etc., or by the ring opening hydrogenolysis of pyrrolidine to *n*-butylamine, which is further defunctionalized to propane and other volatiles. This led to very poor selectivities as low as 16% for Pd/Al_2O_3 , the best catalyst reported for the decarboxylation of (pyro)glutamic acid to 2-pyrrolidone. Modifying the Pd catalysts with Pb was very favorable for the selectivity to pyrrolidine, owing to the blockage of the ring opening hydrogenolysis, as well as the partial inhibition of the dehydrogenation to pyrrole. The one-step impregnation of Pd together with Pb however resulted in an incomplete modification of Pd. Therefore a new, more controlled synthesis procedure based on a two-step incipient wetness approach was introduced. This procedure generated a PdPb/ZrO₂ catalyst in which Pd is always intimately mixed with Pb, as evidenced by HAADF-STEM in combination with EDX, by high resolution XRD and by CO chemisorption. Careful exploration of the reaction parameters finally resulted in a very active and selective catalyst under a low H₂ pressure at 235°C in water. This new sustainable manufacture of pyrrolidine can be run either for 2 h with a high selectivity (80%) at high conversion (86%) or for only 1 h with a very high selectivity (95%) at somewhat lower conversion (58%).

Experimental section

Materials

All chemicals were used as received: L-proline (Sigma-Aldrich, >99%), pyrrolidine (Sigma-Aldrich, 99%), *n*-butylamine (Fischer Scientific, laboratory reagent grade), NaOH (Fischer Scientific, 99.1%), H₃PO₄ (Chem-Lab, 85% aq. solution), N₂ (Air Liquide, α 1), H₂ (Air Liquide, N40), O₂ (Air Liquide, N25), He (Air Liquide, 99.9997%), CO (Air Liquide, 99.97%), Pd/C (5 wt%, Engelhard), Pd/BaSO₄ (5 wt%, Johnson Matthey), Pd/CaCO₃ (5 wt%, Johnson Matthey), PdPb/CaCO₃ (5 wt% Pd, 1 wt% Pb, Johnson Matthey), Al₂O₃ (Condea Chemie, Puralox NGa-150), MgAl₂O₄ (Sigma-Aldrich), ZrO₂ (Alfa Aesar), (NH₃)₄PdCl₂.H₂O (Sigma-Aldrich, ≥99.99%), Pb(NO₃)₂ (Fluka, >98%), benzyl alcohol (Sigma-Aldrich, 99+%) and D₂O (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.9% D).

Catalyst synthesis

Conventional Pd catalysts (5 wt%) supported on Al₂O₃, MgAl₂O₄ and ZrO₂ were synthesized by incipient wetness impregnation according to De Schouwer *et al*.²⁶ In a typical procedure 1 g of support was impregnated with a solution of (NH₃)₄PdCl₂ in deionized water. Impregnated supports were then dried overnight at 60°C, granulated to 250-500 µm particles, followed by calcination (35 min, 400°C, 2°C/min, 50 mL/min O₂) and reduction (1 h, 400°C, 2°C/min, 150 mL/min H₂). The synthesized catalysts were stored under N₂ until use.

PdPb/ZrO₂ (A) and PdPb/MgAl₂O₄ (5 wt% Pd, 3.27 wt% Pb) were synthesized by impregnation according to Furukawa *et al.*²⁹ First (NH₃)₄PdCl₂ and Pb(NO₃)₂ (Pd:Pb = 3:1 molar ratio) were dissolved in an aqueous solution (20 mL) by stirring the solution for 2 h at 75°C. 1 g of support material was then added; afterwards the stirred slurry was completely dried on the

stirring plate at 75°C. Next, the dried material was granulated to 250-500 μ m particles, calcined (35 min, 400°C, 2°C/min, 50 mL/min O₂) and subsequently reduced (1 h, 600°C, 2°C/min, 150 mL/min H₂). Finally, the catalysts were stored under N₂ until use.

PdPb/ZrO₂ (B) (5 wt% Pd, 3.27 wt% Pb) was synthesized by adapting the incipient wetness impregnation procedure from De Schouwer *et al.*²⁶ First, 1 g of ZrO₂ was impregnated with an aqueous solution of (NH₃)₄PdCl₂. After drying and calcining (35 min, 400°C, 2°C/min, air) the obtained material, it was impregnated with an aqueous solution of Pb(NO₃)₂ (Pd:Pb = 3:1 molar ratio). The doubly impregnated support was then dried overnight at 60°C, granulated to 250-500 µm particles, followed by calcination (35 min, 400°C, 2°C/min, 50 mL/min O₂) and reduction (1 h, 600°C, 2°C/min, 150 mL/min H₂). Finally, the catalyst was stored under N₂ until use.

Catalyst characterization

XRD patterns were recorded with a Huber Guinier Camera G670 equipped with an image plate and utilizing Mo- $K\alpha_l$ -radiation. TEM specimens were prepared by crushing the samples in powder form in an agate mortar and by suspending the resulting powder in ethanol. A drop of this suspension was deposited on a carbon coated TEM grid. HAADF-STEM images and EDX elemental maps were collected using an aberration corrected cubed FEI Titan operated at 200 kV, equipped with a Super-X detector for EDX analysis. SEM micrographs were recorded using a JEOL-6010LV SEM after depositing a Pd/Au layer on the samples using a JEOL JFC-1300 autofine coater under Ar plasma for 20 s. For CO chemisorption experiments 50 mg of the catalyst sample was loaded into a tubular reactor, pretreated in a stream of 20 mL/min He at 130°C (heating rate: 10°C/min) for 1 h and subsequently cooled to RT. For the titration of the Pd

surface, the He flow was reduced to 10 mL/min and pulses of 5 μ L 100% CO were given with an interval of 2 min. The CO concentration in the outlet stream was followed with a Pfeiffer Omnistar quadrupole mass spectrometer. From the amount of CO adsorbed on the sample, a dispersion was calculated in the assumption that one CO molecule adsorbs per accessible Pd atom. N₂ physisorption measurements were performed using a Micromeritics 3Flex surface analyzer at -196°C. Before the measurements, the 100 mg samples were outgassed at 150°C for 6 h under vacuum. The XPS analyses were performed on a SSX 100/206 photoelectron spectrometer from Surface Science Instruments (USA) equipped with a monochromatized micro focused Al X-ray source (powered at 20 mA and 10 kV).

Catalytic reaction

In a typical reaction a solution of L-proline in deionized water (2 mL, 0.1 M) and a catalyst (4 mol% Pd) were loaded into a stainless steel reactor (11 mL). Next, the reactor was sealed and the atmosphere was flushed six times with N₂. Then, after pressurizing the reactor with 2 bar N₂ followed by 4 bar H₂, the mixture was magnetically stirred at 500 rpm and heated to 235°C. After 6 h the reaction was quenched by cooling with water and ice. Afterwards, the catalyst was separated from the solution by centrifugation. For recycling experiments the separated catalyst was reused in a reaction of 2 h using the optimized conditions that are described in this paragraph. In each run, 5-10% of fresh catalyst was added to make up for the catalyst that was lost during the recycling.

Product analysis and identification

ACS Catalysis

To determine the conversion of L-proline and the selectivities to the different products, the reaction mixtures were analyzed by ¹H-NMR. Samples were prepared by adding 300 μ L of the reaction mixture to 300 μ L of D₂O containing an external standard (benzyl alcohol, 0.1 M). ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Ascend 400 MHz spectrometer equipped with a BBO 5 mm atma probe and a sample case. The broad signal of water was suppressed by applying an adapted zgpr pulse program: p1 9.75 μ s; plw1 15W; plw9 5.7-05W; o1P on the resonance signal of water, determined and selected automatically. Besides ¹H-NMR, the products were also identified by gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS) with an Agilent 6890 GC, equipped with a HP-5ms column, coupled to a 5973 MSD mass spectrometer. The identification of gaseous products was done using a Gasmet DX4000 FTIR gas analyzer. The IR data were processed with Calcmet Standard software version 12.161.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Particle size distribution of PdPb/ZrO₂, XPS data, theoretical diffraction pattern of Pd, N₂ physisorption, mass transfer considerations, recycling experiments and product identification. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E-mail: dirk.devos@kuleuven.be

Author Contributions

All authors have contributed to the work presented in this manuscript. J.V. and D.E.D.V. designed the experiments and prepared the manuscript. J.V. and F.D.S. performed catalyst synthesis. J.V. and M.V.H. carried out the decarboxylation experiments and did the product analysis. M.W. and C.E.A.K. performed XRD analysis and interpreted the results. M.K. and S.B. performed HAADF-STEM and EDX analysis and processed the results. P.E. and E.G. performed XPS analysis and processed the results. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding Sources

J.V. and F.D.S. thank FWO and IWT for doctoral fellowships. D.D.V. acknowledges IWT and FWO for research project funding. D.D.V. and C.E.A.K. acknowledge the Flemish government for long-term structural funding through Methusalem. D.D.V. and S.B. acknowledge Belspo (IAP-PAI 7/05) for financial support. S.B. is grateful for funding by the European Research Council (ERC starting grant #335078-COLOURATOMS).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

J.V. and F.D.S. thank FWO and IWT for doctoral fellowships. D.D.V. acknowledges IWT and FWO for research project funding. D.D.V. and C.E.A.K. acknowledge the Flemish government for long-term structural funding through Methusalem. D.D.V. and S.B. acknowledge Belspo (IAP-PAI 7/05) for financial support. S.B. is grateful for funding by the European Research Council (ERC starting grant #335078-COLOURATOMS). The authors also thank the Department of Chemistry, University of Cologne, Germany for use of their XRD equipment.

ACS Catalysis

Finally, the assistance of Karel Duerinckx, Werner Wouters, Walter Vermandel, Ivo Stassen, Dries Jonckheere, Sabina Accardo and Bart Bueken with ¹H-NMR, pressure reactors, CO chemisorption, N₂ physisorption, SEM, gas phase FTIR and high throughput XRD, respectively, is very much appreciated.

ABBREVIATIONS

DKP, diketopiperazine.

REFERENCES

- Eller, K.; Henkes, E.; Rossbacher, R.; Höke, H. Amines, Aliphatic. Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, sixth edition; Wiley-VCH Verlag: Weinheim, 2002; Vol. 2, pp 647– 698.
- (2) Malczewska-Jaskóła, K.; Jasiewicz, B.; Mrówczyńska, L. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **2016**, *243*, 62–71.
- (3) Bujnowski, K.; Synoradzki, L.; Zevaco, T.; Dinjus, E. Preparation of new rifamycin derivatives as antibacterial agents. Patent PL 220114 B1, Aug 31, 2015.
- (4) Johnson Matthey. Butanediol & Co-Products. http://davyprotech.com/what-we-do/licensedprocesses-and-core-technologies/licensed-processes/butanediol-thf-gbl/specification (accessed Mar 19, 2016).
- (5) Breuer, M.; Ditrich, K.; Habicher, T.; Hauer, B.; Keβeler, M.; Stürmer, R.; Zelinski, T. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 788–824.
- (6) Demain, A. L. Ind. Biotechnol. 2007, 3, 269–283.
- (7) Tuck, C. O.; Perez, E.; Horvath, I. T.; Sheldon, R. A.; Poliakoff, M. Science **2012**, *337*, 695–699.
- (8) Lammens, T. M.; Franssen, M. C. R.; Scott, E. L.; Sanders, J. P. M. *Biomass Bioenergy* **2012**, *44*, 168–181.
- (9) Sanders, J.; Scott, E.; Weusthuis, R.; Mooibroek, H. Macromol. Biosci. 2007, 7, 105–117.
- (10) Teng, Y.; Scott, E. L.; van Zeeland, A. N. T.; Sanders, J. P. M. *Green Chem.* **2011**, *13*, 624–630.

- (11) Teng, Y.; Scott, E. L.; Witte-van Dijk, S. C. M.; Sanders, J. P. M. *N. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *33*, 171–178.
- (12) Wang, B.; Li, O.; Zhang, Z.; Fan, Q.; Li, Z.; Wu, C.; Zhang, P.; Chen X. One kind of device system for joint production of amino acids and analogs thereof. Patent CN 204724028 U, Oct 28, 2015.
- (13) Yuan, F.; Wang, Q.; Yang, P.; Tian, Y.; Cong, W. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2015, 153, 51-59.
- (14) Lin, D.; Zhao, Q.; Yan, M. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2016, 133, 43580.

- (15)But, A.; Le Nôtre, J.; Scott, E. L.; Wever, R.; Sanders, J. P. M. *ChemSusChem* **2012**, *5*, 1199–1202.
- (16) Matthessen, R.; Claes, L.; Fransaer, J.; Binnemans, K.; De Vos, D. E. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, 6649–6652.
- (17) Claes, L.; Matthessen, R.; Rombouts, I.; Stassen, I.; De Baerdemaeker, T.; Depla, D.; Delcour, J. A.; Lagrain, B.; De Vos, D. E. *ChemSusChem* **2015**, *8*, 345–352.
- (18) Claes, L.; Verduyckt, J.; Stassen, I.; Lagrain, B.; De Vos, D. E. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 6528–6531.
- (19) Tamura, M.; Tamura, R.; Takeda, Y.; Nakagawa, Y.; Tomishige, K. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 6656–6659.
- (20) Tamura, M.; Tamura, R.; Takeda, Y.; Nakagawa, Y.; Tomishige, K. Chem.-Eur. J. 2015, 21, 3097-3107.
- (21) Lawson, A. Process for the production of amines. U.K. Patent 1008594-A, July 3, 1963.
- (22) Dawes, G. J. S.; Scott, E. L.; Le Nôtre, J.; Sanders, J. P. M.; Bitter, J. H. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 3231–3250.
- (23) Fu, J.; Lu, X.; Savage, P. E. Energy Environ. Sci. 2010, 3, 311–317.
- (24) Snare, M.; Kubickova, I.; Maki-Arvela, P.; Eranen, K.; Murzin, D. Y. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2006**, *45*, 5708–5715.
- (25) Le Nôtre, J.; Witte-vanDijk, S. C. M.; van Haveren, J.; Scott, E. L.; Sanders, J. P. M. *ChemSusChem* **2014**, *7*, 2712–2720.
- (26) De Schouwer, F.; Claes, L.; Claes, N.; Bals, S.; Degrève, J.; De Vos, D. E. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 2263–2270.

1
2
3
4
5
6
0
7
8
9
10
11
10
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
20
2U
21
22
23
24
25
26
20
21
28
29
30
31
32
33
00
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
-U 14
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
<u>⊿</u> 8
40
49 50
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
56
57
58
59

60

- (27) Schäfer, M.; Böttcher, A.; Kramer, A.; Höhn, A.; Kaczmarek, R.; Henkes, E. Preparation of pyrrole and pyridine. U.S. Patent US 6,538,139 B1, Mar 25, 2003.
- (28) Kliewer, C. J.; Bieri, M.; Somorjai, G. A. J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 11373-11378.
- (29) Furukawa, S.; Suga, A.; Komatsu, T. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 1214–1222.
- (30) Ding, L.; Yi, H.; Zhang, W.; You, R.; Cao, T.; Yang, J.; Lu, J.; Huang, W. *ACS Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 3700–3707.
- (31) Jbir, I.; Couble, J.; Khaddar-Zine, S.; Ksibi, Z.; Meunier, F.; Bianchi, D. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 2545–2558.
- (32) Shi, C.; Zhang, P. Appl. Catal., B 2012, 115-116, 190-200.
- (33) Faticanti, M.; Cioffi, N.; De Rossi, S.; Ditaranto, N.; Porta, P.; Sabbatini, L.; Bleve-Zacheo, T. *Appl. catal., B* **2005**, *60*, 73–82.
- (34) Hirano, T.; Kazahaya, Y.; Nakamura, A.; Miyao, T.; Naito, S. Catal. Lett. 2007, 117, 73-78.
- (35) Lu, J.; Behtash, S.; Heyden, A. J. Phys. Chem. C 2012, 116, 14328–14341.
- (36) Ahmadi, M.; Nambo, A.; Jasinski, J. B.; Ratnasamy, P.; Carreon, M. A. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2015,** *5*, 380–388.

For Table of Contents Only

