

Letter pubs.acs.org/OrgLett

Design of Planar Chiral Phosphoric Acids with a [2.2]Paracyclophanyl Backbone as Organocatalysts for the Highly Enantioselective Aza-Friedel–Crafts Reaction

En Xie, Shaoying Huang, and Xufeng Lin*®

Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A new type of robust planar chiral phosphoric acid bearing a [2.2]paracyclophane scaffold was synthesized and shown to be an optimal catalyst in asymmetric aza-Friedel-Crafts reactions for the first synthesis of enantioenriched styryl indolylmethanamine derivatives in good yields with excellent enantioselectivities (93->99% ee) under 0.5-1 mol % catalyst loading.



symmetric phosphoric acid catalysis represents a sub-A stantial scientific contribution and has enabled numerous organic transformations in a highly enantioselective fashion since Akiyama, Terada, and co-workers reported BINOL-based chiral phosphoric acids in 2004.¹ The backbone of the chiral catalysts had a remarkable influence on their catalytic performance in many cases. Alongside new catalytic reactions, a variety of structurally diverse chiral phosphoric acids, mainly derived from centrally chiral TADDOL and axially chiral diols like H₈-BINOL, VAPOL, SPINOL, and others, have been developed (Figure 1, 1-4).² Despite a growing focus toward efforts on new asymmetric reactions and new catalytic strategies, the development of chiral phosphoric acids bearing novel frameworks with excellent catalytic activities is still highly valuable and very challenging in a diverse range of asymmetric transformations.



Figure 1. Chiral phosphoric acids.

Planar chirality plays an important role in asymmetric catalysis, especially disubstituted ferrocene or substituted [2.2]paracyclophanes [PCPs].³ Recently, remarkable contributions have been achieved in the development of planar chiral phosphoric acids. Enders reported the first synthesis planar chiral phosphoric acid derived from [2.2]paracyclophane (Figure 1, 5).⁴ However, the new catalyst was evaluated in enantioselective aza-Friedel-Crafts reactions and Mannichtype reactions to give only ee values of up to 38%. Betzer and Marinetti disclosed the first series of planar chiral phosphoric acids with a paracyclophane backbone tethered by either a 1,1'-ferrocenediyl or a 1,8-biphenylenediyl unit (Figure 1, 6 and 7) giving promising levels of enantioselectivity in organocatalytic asymmetric aza-Friedel-Crafts reaction and H-transfer reduction.⁵ However, the synthetic approach to access these enantiomerically enriched catalysts 6 and 7 must utilize chiral HPLC preparative chromatography or diastereomers separation. In addition, acid 6 displayed only moderate thermal stability, giving partial decomposition at 60 °C. Despite these notable advances, developing new planar chiral phosphoric acids with excellent catalytic activities and readily accessible architectures is still highly valuable and desirable.

Our group reported the first synthesis and application of SPINOL-derived phosphoric acids (Figure 1, 4), denoted as SPAs, which proved to be highly efficient in asymmetric catalysis and received increasing attention. $^{11,2,{\rm d},6}$ As a continuation of our work on the design and application of novel chiral catalysts,^{2d,7} herein we describe a new type of robust planar chiral phosphoric acids bearing a [2.2]-

Received: March 31, 2019

Organic Letters

paracyclophane scaffold (Figure 1, 8, denoted as PPAs), starting from commercially available starting materials (R_p) -4,12-dibromo[2.2]paracyclophane, and demonstrate that planar chiral PPAs can serve as highly efficient enantioselective strong Brønsted acid organocatalysts.

Six planar chiral phosphoric acids bearing a [2.2]-paracyclophane scaffold 8a-f were prepared, and their syntheses are outlined in Scheme 1. The synthetic procedure



^{*a*}Yields are given for $G = CH_3$.

starts with the Suzuki coupling reaction between (R_p) -4,12dibromo[2.2]paracyclophane (9) and the corresponding arylboron reagents, which feature a Me-protected hydroxyl function in their meta position and a G substituent in their para position. The desired compound (R_p) -10b (R = Me) was obtained in 75% yield and subsequently demethylated with BBr₃ in DCM to give the key [2.2]paracyclophane-based bisphenol (R_p) -11b (R = Me) in quantitative yield. The conversion of the bisphenol to the corresponding phosphoric acid was first tested with the typical POCl₃-based method.^{2d} However, no desired product of cyclization was obtained when bisphenol (R_p) -11b was treated with POCl₃ in the presence of pyridine. The cyclization reaction of bisphenol (R_p) -11b with phosphorodiamidite $(i-Pr_2N)_2PO(CH_2)_2CN$ in the presence of 1H-tetrazole,^{5a,8} followed by oxidation of the resulting phosphite with tert-butyl hydroperoxide, afforded the corresponding cyclic phosphate (R_p) -12b (R = Me) in 60% yield over two steps. Removal of the cyanoethyl substituent of phosphates (R_n) -12 under basic conditions (DBU) afforded the desired planar chiral phosphoric acids 8a-f in good yields. The X-ray crystal structure of (R_p) -12b is shown in Figure 2. The dihedral angle between the two phenyl planes of bisphenol in (R_p) -12b is 23.46°, and the distance between the two oxygen atoms of bisphenol is 2.504 Å, indicating that the corresponding phosphoric acid may have a unique chiral cavity, which could be beneficial for certain asymmetric transformations. The sample of (R_p) -8b was heated in toluene



Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of (R_p) -12b.

under reflux for 12 h and did not show any decomposition and epimerization, displaying good thermal stability.

The catalytic enantioselective aza-Friedel-Crafts reaction of indoles with imines is the most versatile method to achieve the synthesis of enantiopure 3-indolylmethanamine derivatives, which are distributed in natural products having significant biological activities.⁹ Therefore, extensive effort has been devoted to this research field, and some excellent chiral organocatalysts¹⁰ and chiral metal salt catalysts¹¹ have been developed over the past decade. Despite these notable advances, to the best of our knowledge, cinnamaldehydederived N-tosylimines have not been used in these catalytic asymmeteric aza-Friedel-Crafts reactions. To evaluate the effectiveness of planar chiral PPAs as enantioselective Brønsted acid catalysts, we examined their performance in the first asymmetric aza-Friedel-Crafts reaction for the efficient synthesis of various enantioenriched styryl indolylmethanamine derivatives.

As shown in Table 1, we began with identification of the best catalyst using cinnamaldehyde-derived *N*-tosylimine **13a** as a model substrate and indole **14a** as a nucleophile in the presence of a catalytic planar chiral phosphoric acid. We observed that 1 mol % of (R_p) -**8a** catalyzed smoothly the reaction in toluene in the presence of 4 Å MS at -40 °C in 2 h

Table 1. Reaction Optimization^a

				^{Ts} ∖NH	\square
		+ Ca	talyst (1 mol %)		
	1110	ľ 🔨 N H	solvent		^M −NH
13a		14a		15aa	
entry	catalyst	solvent	temp ($^{\circ}C$)	yield ^b (%)	ee ^c (%)
1	(R_p) -8a	toluene	-40	80	4
2	(R_p) -8b	toluene	-40	79	31
3	(R_p) -8c	toluene	-40	85	26
4	(R_p) -8d	toluene	-40	90	99
5	(R_p) -8e	toluene	-40	95	97
6	(R_p) -8f	toluene	-40	80	50
7^d	(R_p) -8d	toluene	-40	91	98
8 ^e	(R_p) -8d	toluene	-40	85	98
9	(R_p) -8d	m-xylene	-40	90	97
10	(R_p) -8d	CH_2Cl_2	-40	89	95
11	(R_p) -8d	THF	-40	nr	
12	(R_p) -8d	toluene	-20	92	99
13	(R_p) -8d	toluene	0	55	97
14	(R)-1a	toluene	-20	89	81
15	(R)-1b	toluene	-20	86	69
16	(R)-1c	toluene	-20	trace	
17	(R)-1d	toluene	-20	87	71
18	(S)-4a	toluene	-20	90	71
19	(S)- 4b	toluene	-20	80	66

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **13a** (0.25 mmol), **14a** (0.25 mmol), catalyst (1 mol %), and 4 Å MS (75 mg) in 2.5 mL of solvent under N_2 for 2 h. ^{*b*}Isolated yields. ^{*c*}Determined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^{*d*}Without the use of 4 Å MS. ^{*c*}With catalyst (0.5 mol %).





(*R*)-1a: G = Ph (*R*)-1b: G = 3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃

 $\begin{array}{ll} (R)\mbox{-1c:} \ G = \mbox{Ph} & (S)\mbox{-4a:} \ G = \mbox{Ph} \\ (R)\mbox{-1d:} \ G = 3,5\mbox{-}(\mbox{CF}_3)_2\mbox{C}_6\mbox{H}_3 & (S)\mbox{-4b:} \ G = 3,5 \end{array}$

`∩⊦

(S)-**4b**: $G = 3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3$

DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.9b01127 Org. Lett. XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX to give the desired product 15aa in good yield but poor enantioselecitvity (entry 1). In terms of further catalyst screening, PPAs (R_p) -8d and (R_p) -8e were found to induce excellent enantioselectivity in this reaction (entries 2-6). The adduct 15aa could be obtained in 90% yield and 99% enantiomeric excess (ee) in the case of using of 1 mol % of (R_p) -8d as a catalyst at -40 °C (entry 4). Removal of 4 Å MS or reducing the loading of catalyst (R_p) -8d to 0.5 mol % hardly compromised enantioselectivity (98% ee, entries 7 and 8). Furthermore, the reaction solvents and the temperature were screened, and the optimal results (15aa in 92% yield and 99% ee) could be delivered with 1 mol % of (R_n) -8d at -20 °C in toluene (entry 12). As a comparison, we examined the known privileged chiral phosphoric acid catalysts 1a-d and 4a,b in the model reaction to show a dramatic decrease of the reaction enantioselectivity (entries 14-19).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we then evaluated the substrate scope of the asymmetric aza-Friedel– Crafts reaction between cinnamaldehyde-derived *N*-tosylimines and indoles. The results are summarized in Scheme 2.





^aReaction conditions: **13** (0.25 mmol), **14** (0.25 mmol), (R_p)-**8d** (1 mol %), and 4 Å MS (75 mg) in 2.5 mL of toluene under N₂ at -20 °C for 2 h. ^bAt -40 °C for 12 h. ^cOne mol % of (R_p)-**8e** was used at -40 °C for 12 h.

We first investigated the substituent effects of cinnamaldehydederived *N*-tosylimines 13. As a result, the substituent and its position of 13 had almost no effect on the enantioselectivity, and the corresponding products were obtained in good yield and with excellent enantioselectivity (15aa-ga, 60-95% yield, 93 to >99% ee). In addition, a substrate with a heteroaromatic structure was also compatible under the same conditions, and the corresponding products 15ia was obtained with high enantioselectivity (97% ee). We next examined the scope of indoles 14. In all cases, both electron-rich and electrondeficient indoles gave high yields and excellent enantioselectivities (15ab, 15ae-ag, and 15ai). Moreover, the absolute configuration (S) of the stereogenic center in product 15 was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis of a single crystal of 15ba.

To test the practicality of the current catalytic system, a gram-scale reaction was achieved under the optimized reaction conditions. As shown in Scheme 3, the reaction of 13f with

Scheme 3. Gram-Scale Experiment



indole 14a in the presence of 1 mol % of PPA (R_p) -8d was carried out on a 2.5 mmol scale of 13f. The desired product 15fa was obtained in 98% yield (1.181 g) with 96% ee.

Finally, we expanded the investigation of our new catalytic system to the asymmetric aza-Friedel-Crafts reaction between aromatic aldehyde-derived N-tosylimines and indoles. The reaction of N-tosyl phenyl aldimine with indole was initially examined, and a concise screening of PPAs and reaction conditions was carried out again. Gratifyingly, the reaction proceeded smoothly to give the desired product 17aa in 99% yield with 96% ee using 2 mol % of (R_p) -8e as a catalyst in toluene in the presence of 4 Å MS at -20 °C in 2 h, as shown in Scheme 4. It is interesting to note that this result compares quite favorably with BINOL-phosphoric acid catalyst, which at 10 mol % loading was reported to catalyze the same reaction in toluene at -60 °C for 30 min to furnish 17aa in 83% yield and 98% ee,^{10c} while SPINOL-phosphoric acid catalyst was reported to catalyze the same reaction in toluene at -60 °C for 36 h with 10 mol % loading to afford 17aa in 80% yield and 96% ee.^{2d} Subsequently, diverse aromatic aldehyde-derived Ntosylimines 16 and indoles 14 were evaluated to define the reaction scope. We observed that the PPA (R_n) -8e catalyzed aza-Friedel-Crafts reaction of N-tosyl aryl aldimines with indole was found to be general with N-tosyl aryl aldimines bearing different either electron-donating groups or electronwithdrawing groups as substituents. In all cases, almost quantitative yields and excellent enantioselectivities could be achieved (17aa-ka, all 99% yields, 92-99% ee). We then examined several substituted indoles and found that 2methylindole provided slightly lower enantioselectivity (17db, 87% ee) compared to those bearing 4,7-substituted indoles (17dc-de and 17ee-ek, 91-99% ee), probably because of steric hindrance. In addition, we were pleased to find that N-tosylaldimine-derived 2-naphthaldehyde and heteroaryl 2-thiophenyl aldehyde could also be successfully employed to afford the corresponding products in good yields with excellent enantioselectivities (17la, 97% ee; 17ma, 94% ee) under similar reaction conditions. In the case of an aliphatic aldehyde derived imine, high yield but only moderate enantioselectivity were obtained (17na, 95% yield, 77% ee).

In summary, we have developed a novel class of robust planar chiral phosphoric acids bearing a [2.2]paracyclophane scaffold which combines the features of easy accessibility, conformational rigidity, good thermal stability, and fascinating catalyst efficiency. Their advantageous catalytic performance Scheme 4. Substrate Scope for Reaction of Indoles with N-Tosylarylaldimines a^{a}



^aReaction conditions: **16** (0.125 mmol), **14** (0.125 mmol), (R_p) -**8e** (2 mol %), and 4 Å MS (38 mg) in 1.25 mL of toluene under N₂ at -20 °C for 12 h. ^bAt -40 °C

has been demonstrated to make them the optimal catalysts in asymmetric aza-Friedel–Crafts reactions with the first synthesis of enantioenriched styryl indolylmethanamine derivatives in good yields with excellent enantioselectivities (93– >99% ee) under 0.5-1 mol % catalyst loading. The new catalytic system was also successfully expanded to aromatic aldehyde-derived *N*-tosylimines, affording the corresponding aryl indolylmethanamines with excellent enantioselectivities (up to 99% ee). Further investigations for more effective planar chiral phosphoric acids bearing a [2.2]paracyclophane scaffold as well as expansion of their other challenging applications in asymmetric catalysis are underway in our laboratory.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.9b01127.

Experimental procedures, spectral data for all new compounds (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1904580–1904581 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION Corresponding Author

corresponding Author

*E-mail: lxfok@zju.edu.cn. ORCID [®]

Xufeng Lin: 0000-0003-4611-0507

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21572200) for financial support.

REFERENCES

(1) For pioneering works on chiral phosphoric acid catalysis, see: (a) Akiyama, T.; Itoh, J.; Yokota, K.; Fuchibe, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1566–1568. (b) Uraguchi, D.; Terada, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5356–5357 For reviews, see: . (c) Akiyama, T.; Itoh, J.; Fuchibe, K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 999–1010. (d) Akiyama, T. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5744–5758. (e) Terada, M. Chem. Commun. 2008, 4097–4112. (f) Adair, G.; Mukherjee, S.; List, B. Aldrichimica Acta 2008, 41, 31–39. (g) You, S.-L.; Cai, Q.; Zeng, M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2190–2201. (h) Terada, M. Synthesis 2010, 2010, 1929–1982. (i) Rueping, M.; Kuenkel, A.; Atodiresei, I. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4539–4549. (j) Yu, J.; Shi, F.; Gong, L.-Z. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 1156–1171. (k) Parmar, D.; Sugiono, E.; Raja, S.; Rueping, M. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 9047–9153. (l) Rahman, A.; Lin, X. F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 4753–4777.

(2) (a) Rowland, G. B.; Zhang, H.; Rowland, E. B.; Chennamadhavuni, S.; Wang, Y.; Antilla, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15696–15697. (b) Akiyama, T.; Saitoh, Y.; Morita, H.; Fuchibe, K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 1523–1526. (c) Chen, X.-H.; Xu, X.-Y.; Liu, H.; Cun, L.-F.; Gong, L.-Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14802–14803. (d) Xu, F.; Huang, D.; Han, C.; Shen, W.; Lin, X. F.; Wang, Y. G. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8677–8680. (e) Čorić, I.; Müller, S.; List, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 17370–17373. (f) Chen, X.-H.; Zhang, W.-Q.; Gong, L.-Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5652–5653. (g) Wang, Y.-Z.; Liu, W.; Ren, W.-L.; Shi, Y.-A. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4976–4979.

(3) (a) Dai, L.-X., Hou, X.-L., Eds. Chiral Ferrocenes in Asymmetric Catalysis; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2010. (b) Dai, L.-X.; Tu, T.; You, S.-L.; Deng, W.-P.; Hou, X.-L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 659–667. (c) Wu, X.-W.; Sun, W.; Hou, X.-L. Chin. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 23, 906–913. (d) Gibson, S. E.; Knight, J. D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 1256–1269. (e) Pye, P. J.; Rossen, K.; Reamer, R. A.; Tsou, N. N.; Volante, R. P.; Reider, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 6207–6208.

(4) Enders, D.; Ludwig, M.; Raabe, G. Chirality 2012, 24, 215–222.
(5) (a) Stemper, J.; Isaac, K.; Duret, V.; Retailleau, P.; Voituriez, A.; Betzer, J.-F.; Marinetti, A. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 6084–6086.
(b) Stemper, J.; Isaac, K.; Pastor, J.; Frison, G.; Retailleau, P.; Voituriez, A.; Betzer, J.-F.; Marinetti, A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 3613–3624.
(c) Isaac, K.; Stemper, J.; Servajean, V.; Retailleau, P.; Pastor, J.; Frison, G.; Kaupmees, K.; Leito, I.; Betzer, J.-F.; Marinetti, A. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 9639–9646.

(6) For selected examples by this group, see: (a) Huang, D.; Li, X.; Xu, F.; Li, L.; Lin, X. F. ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 2244–2247. (b) Shen, X. M.; Wang, Y. G.; Wu, T. D.; Mao, Z. J.; Lin, X. F. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 9039–9043. (c) Lou, H. Q.; Wang, Y. T.; Jin, E. Z.; Lin, X. F. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 2019–2026. (d) Xie, E.; Rahman, A.; Lin, X. F. Org. Chem. Front. 2017, 4, 1407–1410. (e) Luo, J.; Zhang, T.; Wang, L.; Liao, G.; Yao, Q. J.; Wu, Y. J.; Zhan, B. B.; Lan, Y.; Lin, X. F.; Shi, B. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201902126. For recent examples by other groups, see: (f) Wang, F.-L.; Dong, X.-Y.; Lin, J.-S.; Zeng, Y.; Jiao, G.-Y.; Gu, Q.-S.; Guo, X.-Q.; Ma, C.-L.; Liu, X.-Y. Chem. 2017, 3, 979–990. (g) Qian, D.; Chen, M.; Bissember, A.-C.; Sun, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 3763–3766. (h) Qi, L.-W.; Mao, J.-H.; Zhang, J.; Tan, B. Nat. Chem. 2018, 10,

Organic Letters

58–64. (i) Li, J.-T.; Kong, M.; Qiao, B.-K.; Lee, R.; Zhao, X.-W.; Jiang, Z.-Y. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 2445–2453. (j) Zhang, J.; Yu, P.-Y.; Li, S.-Y.; Sun, H.; Xiang, S.-H.; Wang, J.; Houk, K. N.; Tan, B. *Science* **2018**, 361, 8707–8715. (k) Lin, J.-S.; Li, T.-T.; Liu, J.-R.; Jiao, G.-Y.; Gu, Q.-S.; Cheng, J.-T.; Guo, Y.-L.; Hong, X.; Liu, X.-Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, 141, 1074–1083.

(7) (a) Gu, H.-R.; Han, Z.; Xie, H. J.; Lin, X. F. Org. Lett. **2018**, 20, 6544–6549. (b) Sun, W. Y.; Gu, H. R.; Lin, X. F. J. Org. Chem. **2018**, 83, 4034–4043. (c) Shan, H. Y.; Zhou, Q. X.; Yu, J. L.; Zhang, S. Q.; Hong, X.; Lin, X. F. J. Org. Chem. **2018**, 83, 11873–11885.

(8) Bannwarth, W.; Trzeciak, A. Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 175–186.

(9) For reviews on asymmetric aza-Friedel-Crafts reaction, see: (a) Bandini, M.; Melloni, A.; Umani-Ronchi, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 550-556. (b) Poulsen, T. B.; Jorgensen, K. A. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 2903-2915. (c) Bandini, M.; Eichholzer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9608-9644. (d) You, S.-L.; Cai, Q.; Zeng, M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2190-2201. (e) Reid, J. P.; Simón, L.; Goodman, J. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1029-1041. For recent examples, see: (f) Li, G.; Rowland, G. B.; Rowland, E. B.; Antilla, J. C. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 4065-4068. (g) Husmann, R.; Sugiono, E.; Mersmann, S.; Raabe, G.; Rueping, M.; Bolm, C. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1044-1047. (h) Zhang, K.-F.; Nie, J.; Guo, R.; Zheng, Y.; Ma, J.-A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 3497-3502. (i) Wang, S.-G.; You, S.-L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2194-2197. (j) Kano, T.; Takechi, R.; Kobayashi, R.; Maruoka, K. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 724-727. (10) (a) Wang, Y.-Q.; Song, J.; Hong, R.; Li, H.; Deng, L. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8156-8157. (b) Terada, M.; Sorimachi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 292-293. (c) Kang, Q.; Zhao, Z.-A.; You, S.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1484-1485. (d) Terada, M.; Yokoyama, S.; Sorimachi, K.; Uraguchi, D. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 1863-1867. (e) Rowland, G. B.; Rowland, E. B.; Liang, Y.; Perman, J. A.; Antilla, J. C. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2609-2611. (f) Zhang, G.-W.; Wang, L.; Nie, J.; Ma, J.-A. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 1457-1463. (g) Qiu, H.; Li, M.; Jiang, L.-Q.; Lv, F.-P.; Zan, L.; Zhai, C.-W.; Doyle, M. P.; Hu, W.-H. Nat. Chem. 2012, 4, 733-738. (h) Li, X. J.; Chen, D.; Gu, H. R.; Lin, X. F. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 7538-7541. (i) Wang, L.; Rahman, A.; Lin, X. F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 6033-6041. (j) Rahman, A.; Xie, E.; Lin, X. F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 1367-1374. (k) Hatano, M.; Mochizuki, T.; Nishikawa, K.; Ishihara, K. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 349-353.

(11) (a) Wang, B.-L.; Li, N.-K.; Zhang, J.-X.; Liu, G.-G.; Liu, T.; Shen, Q.; Wang, X.-W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 2614–2617. (b) Takizawa, S.; Arteaga, F. A.; Yoshida, Y.; Kodera, J.; Nagata, Y.; Sasai, H. DaltonTrans 2013, 42, 11787–11790. (c) Kumari, P.; Bera, P.-K.; Khan, N.-U.-H.; Kureshy, R.-I.; Abdi, S.-H.-R.; Bajaj, H.-C. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2014, 4, 563–568. (d) Zou, K.; Ye, J.; Wu, X.-Y. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 7869–7873. (e) Arai, T.; Kakino, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 15263–15267. (f) Kim, M.-J.; Xue, L.; Liu, Y.; Paladhi, S.; Park, S.-J.; Yan, H.; Song, C.-E. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2017, 359, 811–823.