# Evaluating a Sodium Dispersion Reagent for the Bouveault–Blanc Reduction of Esters

Jie An,  $^{\dagger}$  D. Neil Work,  $^{\ddagger}$  Craig Kenyon,  $^{\ddagger}$  and David J. Procter\*,  $^{\dagger}$ 

<sup>†</sup>School of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

 $^{\ddagger}$ Pentagon Fine Chemicals Ltd., Lower Road, Halebank, Widnes, Cheshire WA8 8NS, United Kingdom

**Supporting Information** 

**ABSTRACT:** A new sodium dispersion reagent has been evaluated for the reduction of esters. Na-D15, a sodium dispersion with sodium particle size of  $5-15 \ \mu m$ , is a nonpyrophoric reagent that can be handled in air. In this study, a broad range of aliphatic ester substrates were reduced to



primary alcohols by Na-D15/*i*-PrOH with good yields. The method compares favorably with modern metal hydride reductions and is much safer and efficient than the traditional Bouveault–Blanc reduction.

T he dissolving metal reduction of esters has found widespread use in synthesis for access to important alcohols.<sup>1</sup> Compared with metal hydride reduction, this method does not suffer from the formation of toxic metal residues and has higher atom economy.<sup>2</sup> The process was first achieved by Bouveault and Blanc using sodium lump and absolute ethanol (Scheme 1A).<sup>3</sup> Since the reaction takes place on the metal

## Scheme 1. Na-D15/*i*-PrOH-Promoted Electron Transfer Reductions of Esters



surface, it is crucial that the metal is finely dispersed.<sup>1a,b</sup> To form the dispersion in situ, external heating is required to melt the alkali metal, and high-speed stirring is also important. To facilitate this process, the sodium lump is normally precut into small pieces.<sup>4</sup> These procedures can result in reproducibility problems and give rise to safety issues (e.g., excess foaming and fire).<sup>4</sup> Furthermore, the high temperature accelerates competitive base-promoted Claisen condensation and ester hydrolysis (Scheme 1A).<sup>1a</sup> Reactions at lower temperature can be achieved by using the EtOH/NH<sub>3</sub> solvent system instead of EtOH<sup>1a</sup> or using NaK instead of the pure metal.<sup>5</sup> These alternative protocols, however, are not general. Herein, we present the use of a pre-prepared, nonpyrophoric, and high surface area sodium dispersion, Na-D15, in place of sodium lump for the Bouveault–Blanc reduction. To our knowledge,

no systematic study of the application of a pre-prepared sodium dispersion for the electron transfer reductions of esters has been reported.

The sodium dispersion Na-D15 (Scheme 1B) is prepared by a robust, reliable, and scalable sodium dispersion technology.<sup>6</sup> It is a free-flowing suspension that has an optimized particle size  $(5-15 \ \mu\text{m})$ . Na-D15 is transferable by syringe or pipette and can easily be handled in the open atmosphere, thus its use offers key advantages over that of alternative sodium dispersions. The feasibility of using Na-D15 as a base in large-scale industry synthesis has already been demonstrated.

The initial trial of the ester reduction using Na-D15 was carried out under the same reaction conditions as those employed for the classic Bouveault–Blanc reduction; however, the reaction was carried out at 0 °C rather than at reflux.<sup>4d</sup> When 5.0 equiv of Na-D15 was used in EtOH, only 10% of the desired alcohol product **2a** was detected (entry 1, Table 1) with 90% of the ester recovered. The low yield was due to the competitive oxidation of sodium by EtOH. We found that the yield could be increased to 80% by using 10 equiv of Na-D15 (entry 2, Table 1). Replacing EtOH with MeOH resulted in a lower yield (entry 3, Table 1).

Given the fast side reaction between Na-D15 and the protic solvent and the poor miscibility of the suspending agent in Na-D15 in alcohols, the application of other aprotic solvents was explored. When hexane was used as the solvent, an excellent 93% yield was obtained (entry 4, Table 1). EtOH could be replaced by other proton sources, and *i*-PrOH was found to be the most effective alcohol whose use led to a quantitative yield of **2a** (entry 8, Table 1). When approximately quantitative<sup>7</sup> Na-D15 was used, an excellent 94% yield of **2a** was also obtained (entry 10, Table 1). No competitive Claisen condensation and/ or ester hydrolysis was observed under these conditions. When H<sub>2</sub>O was used instead of an alcohol, intermolecular acyloin

Received: May 18, 2014

Table 1. Optimization of the Ester Reductions Using Na-D15 $^a$ 

|                           | 0        | ۲<br>۲               |                             |                   |                                       |
|---------------------------|----------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| $\wedge$                  |          | Ĺ                    | Na-D15                      |                   | ~ОН                                   |
|                           | 1a       | Ome                  | ROH, solvent<br>0 °C, 5 min |                   | 2a                                    |
| entry                     | solvent  | ROH                  | ROH<br>(equiv)              | Na-D15<br>(equiv) | yield of <b>2a</b><br>(%)             |
| 1                         | EtOH     | EtOH                 | 51 <sup>b</sup>             | 5.0               | 10                                    |
| 2                         | EtOH     | EtOH                 | 51 <sup>b</sup>             | 10                | 80                                    |
| 3                         | MeOH     | MeOH                 | $74^b$                      | 10                | 66                                    |
| 4                         | hexane   | EtOH                 | 10                          | 10                | 93                                    |
| 5                         | hexane   | EtOH                 | 10                          | 8                 | 90                                    |
| 6                         | hexane   | EtOH                 | 10                          | 6                 | 86                                    |
| 7                         | hexane   | MeOH                 | 10                          | 10                | 71                                    |
| 8                         | hexane   | i-PrOH               | 10                          | 10                | >99                                   |
| 9                         | hexane   | i-PrOH               | 6.0                         | 6.0               | >99                                   |
| 10                        | hexane   | i-PrOH               | 4.5                         | 4.5               | 94                                    |
| 11                        | THF      | i-PrOH               | 4.5                         | 4.5               | 86                                    |
| 12                        | $Et_2O$  | i-PrOH               | 4.5                         | 4.5               | 93                                    |
| 13                        | toluene  | i-PrOH               | 4.5                         | 4.5               | 90                                    |
| 14                        | hexane   | t-BuOH               | 4.5                         | 4.5               | 87                                    |
| <sup><i>a</i></sup> Yield | determin | ed by <sup>1</sup> H | NMR spectros                | copy using C      | CH <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> as an |

internal standard. <sup>b</sup>ROH was used as the solvent.

condensation gave rise to the major product, most likely due to the poor miscibility of  $H_2O$  in hexane.<sup>1b</sup> Different solvents were then screened: hexane, which is seldom used for Bouveault–Blanc reductions, is superior to arene solvents<sup>8</sup> (e.g., toluene), and Lewis basic solvents (e.g., THF and Et<sub>2</sub>O), which are commonly used in dissolving metal reductions (entries 10–13, Table 1).

Following the optimization studies, the influence of the ester group was investigated using a range of esters derived from hydrocinnamic acid. Methyl, ethyl, isopropyl, *n*-butyl, phenyl, and 2-methoxyethyl esters were all reduced in high yield (Table 2). More hindered ester **1c** was reduced in a slightly lower yield (entry 3, Table 2). Similarly, allyl and benzyl esters were also reduced to the corresponding alcohols in high yields (entries 5 and 6, Table 2).

The scope of this reduction was then investigated using a range of aliphatic ester and lactone substrates. The results demonstrate that a broad range of esters readily participate in

| Tabl | e 2 | . Red | luction | of | Hy | drocinnamic | Esters | with | Na-D15" |
|------|-----|-------|---------|----|----|-------------|--------|------|---------|
|------|-----|-------|---------|----|----|-------------|--------|------|---------|

| $\bigcirc$ |       | R <u>Na-D15, <i>i-</i></u><br>0 °C, Hex | PrOH<br>ane | ОН                     |
|------------|-------|---|-------------|------------------------|
| ~          | 1     |   |             | 2a                     |
| entry      | ester | R                                       | product     | yield of $2a \ (\%)^b$ |
| 1          | 1a    | Me                                      | 2a          | 94                     |
| 2          | 1b    | Et                                      | 2a          | 97                     |
| 3          | 1c    | <i>i</i> -Pr                            | 2a          | 88                     |
| 4          | 1d    | <i>n</i> -Bu                            | 2a          | 93                     |
| 5          | 1e    | allyl                                   | 2a          | 80                     |
| 6          | 1f    | Bn                                      | 2a          | 88                     |
| 7          | 1g    | Ph                                      | 2a          | 97                     |
| 8          | 1h    | $(CH_2)_2OMe$                           | 2a          | 98                     |

<sup>a</sup>Conditions: Na-D15 (4.5 equiv), *i*-PrOH (4.5 equiv), hexane, 0 °C, 5–10 min. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yields. the reaction (Scheme 2). Primary, secondary, and tertiary alkyl esters were all reduced to the corresponding primary alcohols



<sup>*a*</sup>Conditions: 1 (0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Na-D15 (4.5 equiv), *i*-PrOH (4.5 equiv), hexane, 0 °C, 5–10 min. <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yields. <sup>*c*</sup>Conditions: 1 (5.0 mmol). <sup>*d*</sup>Conditions: 1 (25.0 mmol). <sup>*e*</sup>Conditions: Na-D15 (6.5 equiv), *i*-PrOH (6.5 equiv); 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propan-1-ol formed as the product.

in excellent yields. Minimal impact on the yield was observed with sterically hindered substituents (11 and 10, Scheme 2). A substrate with an acidic proton was also tolerated very well (1n, Scheme 2). The substrates bearing both internal and terminal olefins (1q and 1r, Scheme 2) were reduced smoothly to the corresponding alcohols. The  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ester underwent full reduction with Na-D15/*i*-PrOH to give the saturated alcohol (1i, Scheme 2). Furthermore, this protocol tolerates the heterocyclic ring in ester 1j and is able to reduce lactone 1m to the corresponding diol. Importantly, no significant change in yields has been observed upon scale up of this reaction from 0.50 to 25 mmol (1a, Scheme 2).

Table 3 shows further examples of functional group tolerance. Functional groups such as -F, -OMe, -SMe, and  $-NH_2$  (entries 1 and 3–5, Table 3) are tolerated well. It is

Table 3. Functional Group Tolerance in the Reduction of Esters with Na-D15  $^{a,b}$ 

| R <sup>1</sup> |    | OMe <u>Na</u>   | -D15, <i>i</i> -PrO<br>°C, Hexane | $H$ $R^2$       | 2                 |
|----------------|----|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| entry          | 1  | $\mathbb{R}^1$  | 2                                 | R <sup>2</sup>  | yield of $2a$ (%) |
| 1              | 1s | F               | 2s                                | F               | 90                |
| $2^{c}$        | 1t | Cl              | 2a                                | Н               | 81                |
| 3              | 1u | OMe             | 2u                                | OMe             | 90                |
| 4              | 1v | SMe             | 2v                                | SMe             | 78                |
| 5              | 1w | NH <sub>2</sub> | 2w                                | NH <sub>2</sub> | 73                |

<sup>a</sup>Conditions: 1 (0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Na-D15 (4.5 equiv), *i*-PrOH (4.5 equiv), hexane, 0 °C, 5–10 min. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yields. <sup>c</sup>Conditions: Na-D15 (6.5 equiv), *i*-PrOH (6.5 equiv); 3-phenylpropan-1-ol formed as the product.

noteworthy that aryl fluorides are not tolerated in the improved Bouveault–Blanc reduction using Na-SG(I) (stage I sodium in silica gel).<sup>1d</sup> Na-SG(I) is a stabilized sodium metal, which eliminates the dangers of handling neat sodium while retaining its reactivity. However, chloride on an aromatic ring was fully reduced when 6.5 equiv of Na-D15 was used (entry 2, Table 3).

Next, the reduction of esters using deuterated alcohols was conducted (Scheme 3). The formation of 2-D,D compounds

Scheme 3. Deuterium Incorporation



suggests that anions are generated and protonated by the alcohol during a series of single electron transfers. The kinetic isotope effect was determined as shown in Table 4. The results

| Table 4. Determination o | f Primary | <sup>v</sup> Kinetic | Isotope Effe | ect |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------------|-----|
|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------------|-----|

| R <sup>1</sup> OMe<br>1 | Na-D15,<br>0 °C, 1 | ROH/ROD X X<br>Hexane R <sup>1</sup> OH<br>2 | X = D or H          |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--|---------------------|
| entry                   | ester              | ROH/ROD                                      | $k_{ m H}/k_{ m D}$ |
| 1                       | 1a                 | <i>i</i> -PrOH/ <i>i</i> -PrOD               | 1.1                 |
| 2                       | 1a                 | EtOH/EtOD                                    | 1.4                 |
| 3                       | 10                 | <i>i</i> -PrOH/ <i>i</i> -PrOD               | 1.0                 |
| 4                       | 10                 | EtOH/EtOD                                    | 1.3                 |

suggest that, when EtOH and *i*-PrOH were used as the proton donor, the proton transfer is not involved in the ratedetermining step for the reduction of simple and sterically demanding esters.<sup>1m,n,9</sup>

Finally, the performance of Na-D15 was compared with that of Na-SG(I):<sup>1d,10</sup> similar yields were obtained using Na-SG(I) for the reduction of **1b** and **1o**; however, 15 equiv of Na-SG(I) was required to achieve full conversion (entries 5 and 6, Table 5).

In summary, a new sodium dispersion has been evaluated for the reduction of aliphatic esters to primary alcohols in *i*-PrOH/ hexane. Only 4.5 equiv of the reagent was required in this method. A broad range of aliphatic esters was reduced in high yields under mild conditions without external heating. The

- - (-)

| Ί | able | : 5. | Comparison | of | Na-D15 | 5 and | Na-SG( | L) |  |
|---|------|------|------------|----|--------|-------|--------|----|--|
|---|------|------|------------|----|--------|-------|--------|----|--|

|                       |    | Ŭ                          | la reagent           | ∕ <sub>ОН</sub> |                          |
|-----------------------|----|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
|                       |    | R <sup>^</sup> OR' R(<br>1 | DH, solvent          | 2               |                          |
| entry                 | 1  | Na reagent<br>(equiv)      | ROH (equiv)          | solvent         | yield of <b>2</b><br>(%) |
| $1^a$                 | 1b | Na-D15 (4.5)               | <i>i</i> -PrOH (4.5) | hexane          | 97                       |
| 2 <sup><i>a</i></sup> | 10 | Na-D15 (4.5)               | <i>i</i> -PrOH (4.5) | hexane          | 99                       |
| $3^{a,b}$             | 1b | Na-SG(I) (4.5)             | MeOH (26.5)          | THF             | 36                       |
| $4^{a,b}$             | 10 | Na-SG(I) (4.5)             | MeOH (26.5)          | THF             | 21                       |
| 5 <sup>c</sup>        | 1b | Na-SG(I) (15)              | MeOH (26.5)          | THF             | 99                       |
| 6 <sup><i>c</i></sup> | 10 | Na-SG(I) (15)              | MeOH (26.5)          | THF             | 97                       |
|                       |    |                            |                      |                 |                          |

<sup>*a*</sup>Yield determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy using  $CH_3NO_2$  as an internal standard. <sup>*b*</sup>Conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv), Na-SG(I) (35 wt %, 4.5 equiv), MeOH (26.5 equiv, added dropwise over 5 min at 0 °C), 0 °C to rt, 35 min. <sup>*c*</sup>Results reported in ref 1d.

base-promoted side reactions observed in traditional Bouveault–Blanc reductions were suppressed by using Na-D15, and higher yields were obtained. The chemoselectivity of Na-D15 has been demonstrated to be comparable with metal hydrides. Given that Na-D15 is easy to handle and stable, this protocol provides an attractive alternative to the use of pyrophoric metal hydrides for ester reduction.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Glassware was dried in an oven overnight before use. Thin layer chromatography was carried out on SIL G/UV254 silica–aluminum plates, and plates were visualized using ultraviolet light (254 nm) and KMnO<sub>4</sub> solution. For flash column chromatography, 35–70  $\mu$ m silica gel 60 was used. NMR data were collected at either 400 or 500 MHz. All samples were analyzed in CDCl<sub>3</sub> unless otherwise stated. Reference values for residual solvent were taken as  $\delta = 7.27$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) for <sup>1</sup>H NMR;  $\delta = 77.1$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) for <sup>13</sup>C NMR. Multiplicities for coupled signals were designated using the following abbreviations: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, quin = quintet, br = broad signal and are given in hertz.

All compounds used in this study have been described in the literature<sup>1</sup> or are commercially available. All solvents and reagents were used as supplied. Esters were purchased from commercial suppliers or prepared by standard methods.<sup>1d,m</sup>

**General Procedure for the Reduction of Esters with Na-D15.** To a solution of ester (0.500 mmol) in anhydrous hexane (2.5 mL) was added anhydrous *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol, 172  $\mu$ L), followed by Na-D15 (27.7 wt %, 2.25 mmol, 209  $\mu$ L) under N<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C, and the resulting solution was stirred vigorously. After 5 min at 0 °C, the temperature was increased to rt. After the specified time (typically 0–10 min), the reaction was quenched by an aqueous solution of HCI (1.0 mL, 3.0 M) and the reaction mixture was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) and brine (20 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 × 10 mL), and the organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, 0–30% EtOAc/hexane).

*3-Phenylpropan-1-ol* **2a**<sup>*im*</sup> (entry 1, *Table 2*). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl 3-phenylpropanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C, after chromatography (hexanes, 30% EtOAc/hexane), afforded **2a** (64.0 mg) in 94% yield as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.34–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.25–7.19 (m, 3H), 3.69 (t, *J* = 6.3, 2H), 2.73 (t, *J* = 7.8, 2H), 1.96–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.76 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  141.9, 128.5, 128.4, 125.9, 62.3, 34.2, 32.1.

*3-Phenylpropan-1-ol* **2a**<sup>*Tm*</sup> (*entry 2, Table 2*). According to the general procedure, the reaction of ethyl 3-phenylpropanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C afforded **2a** (66.1 mg) in 97% yield. Spectroscopic properties matched those previously described.

3-Phenylpropan-1-ol **2a**<sup>1m</sup> (entry 3, Table 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of isopropyl 3-phenylpropanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 10 min at rt afforded **2a** (60.1 mg) in 88% yield. Spectroscopic properties matched those previously described.

3-Phenylpropan-1-ol  $2a^{1m}$  (entry 4, Table 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of butyl 3-phenylpropanoate (0.50 mmol), i-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C afforded 2a (63.3 mg) in 93% yield. Spectroscopic properties matched those previously described.

3-Phenylpropan-1-ol 2a<sup>1m</sup> (entry 5, Table 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of allyl 3-phenylpropanoate (0.50 mmol), i-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C afforded 2a (54.5 mg) in 80% yield. Spectroscopic properties matched those previously described.

3-Phenylpropan-1-ol 2a<sup>1m</sup> (entry 6, Table 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of benzyl 3-phenylpropanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C afforded 2a (60.2 mg) in 88% yield. Spectroscopic properties matched those previously described.

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

3-Phenylpropan-1-ol  $2a^{1m}$  (entry 7, Table 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of phenyl 3-phenylpropanoate (0.50 mmol), i-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C afforded 2a (66.2 mg) in 97% yield. Spectroscopic properties matched those previously described.

3-Phenylpropan-1-ol  $2a^{1m}$  (entry 8, Table 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of 2-methoxyethyl 3-phenylpropanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C afforded 2a (66.9 mg) in 98% yield. Spectroscopic properties matched those previously described.

3-Phenylpropan-1-ol **2a**<sup>1m</sup> (25 mmol scale reaction, Scheme 2).<sup>11</sup> Na-D15 (112.5 mmol) was transferred to a 250 mL roundbottom flask under N<sub>2</sub>. Hexane (110 mL) was added, and the suspension was cooled to 0 °C. A solution of methyl 3-phenylpropanoate (25.0 mmol) and *i*-PrOH (112.5 mmol) in hexane (15 mL) was then transferred into the flask in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 5 min, and the temperature was increased to rt. After 5 min, the reaction was quenched by an aqueous solution of HCl (50 mL, 3.0 M). The reaction mixture was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL) and brine (100 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 100 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, 30% EtOAc/hexane), affording **2a** (3.23 g) in 95% yield as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic properties matched those previously described

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)propan-1-ol 2i<sup>1m</sup> (Scheme 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of (*E*)-methyl 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)acrylate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (3.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (3.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 5 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 30% EtOAc/hexane), afforded 2i (71.6 mg) in 86% yield as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.15–7.11 (m, 2H), 6.87–6.82 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.68 (t, *J* = 6.3, 2H), 2.66 (t, *J* = 7.6, 2H), 1.91–1.84 (m, 2H), 1.56 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.8, 133.9, 129.4, 113.9, 62.3, 55.3, 34.5, 31.2.

2-(1*H*-Indol-3-yl)ethanol **2j**<sup>1m</sup> (Scheme 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl 2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)acetate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (4.50 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 30 min at rt, after preparative TLC (20% EtOAc/hexane), afforded **2j** (65.3 mg) in 81% yield as a brown oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.08 (br, 1H), 7.66–7.63 (m, 1H), 7.41–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.25–7.21 (m, 1H), 7.17–7.13 (m, 1H), 7.11–7.09 (m, 1H), 3.93 (t, *J* = 6.3, 2H), 3.06 (m, 2H), 1.59 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 136.5, 127.5, 122.6, 122.3, 119.6, 118.9, 112.4, 111.3, 62.7, 28.8.

2-(4-Isobutylphenyl)propan-1-ol **2k**<sup>1m</sup> (Scheme 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl 2-(4-isobutylphenyl)propanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 10 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 20% EtOAc/hexane), afforded **2k** (91.6 mg) in 95% yield as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.17–7.10 (m, 4H), 3.69 (d, *J* = 6.9, 2H), 2.98–2.90 (m, 1H), 2.46 (d, *J* = 7.3, 2H), 1.92–1.81 (m, 1H), 1.48 (br, 1H), 1.28 (d, *J* = 6.9, 3H), 0.92 (d, *J* = 6.6, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 140.8, 140.1, 129.4, 127.2, 68.8, 45.1, 42.1, 30.3, 22.5, 17.7.

(1-Phenylcyclopentyl)methanol  $2l^{1d}$  (Scheme 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl 1-phenylcyclopentanecarboxylate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 30 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 20% EtOAc/hexane), afforded 2l (82.0 mg) in 93% yield as a yellow oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.37–7.32 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.21 (m, 1H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 2.08–2.00 (m, 2H), 1.94–1.86 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.68 (m, 4H), 1.30 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  146.9, 128.4, 127.4, 126.3, 70.4, 53.4, 34.4, 23.9. 2-(3-Hydroxypropyl)phenol  $2m^{1m}$  (Scheme 2). According to the

2-(3-Hydroxypropyl)phenol 2m<sup>m</sup> (Scheme 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of chroman-2-one (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (4.50 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 30 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 30% EtOAc/hexane), afforded 2m (68.5 mg) in 90% yield as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.14–7.09 (m, 2H), 6.91–6.83 (m, 2H), 4.46 (br, 2H), 3.65 (t, *J* = 5.8,

2H), 2.79 (t, J = 6.8, 2H), 1.94–1.85 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  154.6, 130.7, 127.6, 127.3, 120.9, 116.1, 60.9, 32.3, 25.3. *3,3-Diphenylpropan-1-ol* **2n**<sup>12</sup> (Scheme 2). According to the

3,3-Diphenylpropan-1-ol  $2n^{12}$  (Scheme 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl 3,3-diphenylpropanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 10 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 30% EtOAc/hexane), afforded 2n (96.0 mg) in 90% yield as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.34–7.27 (m, 8H), 7.23–7.18 (m, 2H), 4.17 (t, *J* = 7.9, 1H), 3.64 (t, *J* = 6.4, 2H), 2.39–2.32 (m, 2H), 1.40 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  144.6, 128.6, 127.9, 126.4, 61.2, 47.5, 38.1.

1-Adamantanemethanol **20**<sup>1m</sup> (Scheme 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl adamantane-1-carboxylate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 15 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 10% EtOAc/hexane), afforded **20** (81.6 mg) in 98% yield as white crystals: mp 117–118 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.21 (s, 2H), 2.03–1.98 (m, 3H), 1.77–1.71 (m, 3H), 1.69–1.63 (m, 3H), 1.54–1.50 (m, 6H), 1.37 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  74.0, 39.1, 37.3, 34.6, 28.3.

2-Butyloctan-1-ol **2p**<sup>1n</sup> (Scheme 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl 2-butyloctanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 30 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 10% EtOAc/hexane), afforded **2p** (68.2 mg) in 73% yield as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.55 (d, J = 5.4, 2H), 1.51–1.42 (m, 1H), 1.38–1.23 (m, 17H), 0.91 (t, J = 6.6, 3H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.2, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  65.8, 40.6, 32.0, 31.0, 30.7, 29.8, 29.2, 27.0, 23.2, 22.8, 14.2 × 2.

Undec-10-en-1-ol  $2q^{1n}$  (Scheme 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl undec-10-enoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 10 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 20% EtOAc/hexane), afforded 2q (81.9 mg) in 96% yield as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.86–5.77 (m, 1H), 5.03–4.97 (m, 1H), 4.96–4.91 (m, 1H), 3.64 (t, *J* = 6.6, 2H), 2.08–2.01 (m, 2H), 1.61–1.53 (m, 2H), 1.46–1.24 (m, 13H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  139.3, 114.2, 63.2, 33.9, 32.9, 29.6, 29.5 × 2, 29.2, 29.0, 25.8.

(Z)-Octadec-9-en-1-ol  $2r^{1n}$  (Scheme 2). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl oleate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C, after chromatography (hexanes, 10% EtOAc/hexane), afforded 2r (131.7 mg) in 98% yield as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.39–5.31 (m, 2H), 3.65 (t, J = 6.8, 2H), 2.05–1.99 (m, 4H), 1.61–1.54 (m, 2H), 1.39–1.22 (m, 23H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.1, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  130.1, 129.9, 63.2, 32.9, 32.0, 29.9, 29.8, 29.6 × 2, 29.5, 29.4 × 2, 29.3, 27.3 × 2, 25.8, 22.8, 14.2.

3-(4-Fluorophenyl)propan-1-ol **2s**<sup>In</sup> (entry 1, Table 3). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl 3-(4-fluorophenyl)propanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 10 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 50% EtOAc/hexane), afforded **2s** (69.6 mg) in 90% yield as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.19–7.13 (m, 2H), 7.00–6.95 (m, 2H), 3.67 (t, *J* = 6.5, 2H), 2.69 (t, *J* = 7.7, 2H), 1.91– 1.84 (m, 2H), 1.54 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.3 (d, *J* = 242.9), 137.4 (d, *J* = 2.7), 129.2 (d, *J* = 7.3), 115.2 (d, *J* = 21.0), 62.1, 34.4, 31.3.

3-Phenylpropan-1-ol  $2a^{1m}$  (entry 2, Table 3). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl 3-(4-chlorophenyl)propanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (3.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (3.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 20 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 20% EtOAc/hexane), afforded 2a (55.4 mg) in 81% yield as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic properties matched those previously described.

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)propan-1-ol  $2u^{1n}$  (entry 3, Table 3). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl 3-(4methoxyphenyl)propanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 10 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 50% EtOAc/hexane), afforded 2u (74.9

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

mg) in 90% yield as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic properties matched those previously described.

3-(4-(Methylthio)phenyl)propan-1-ol  $2v^{1n}$  (entry 4, Table 3). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl 3-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)propanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 10 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 30% EtOAc/hexane), afforded 2v (71.0 mg) in 78% yield as a light yellow oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.23–7.20 (m, 2H), 7.16–7.12 (m, 2H), 3.67 (t, *J* = 6.5, 2H), 2.68 (t, *J* = 7.8, 2H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 1.92–1.84 (m, 2H), 1.63 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  139.0, 135.4, 129.0, 127.3, 62.2, 34.2, 31.6, 164.

3-(4-Aminophenyl)propan-1-ol **2w**<sup>1n</sup> (entry 5, Table 3). According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl 3-(4-aminophenyl)-propanoate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOH (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 45 min at rt, after chromatography (hexanes, 50% EtOAc/hexane), afforded **2w** (55.3 mg) in 73% yield as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.02–6.98 (m, 2H), 6.66–6.62 (m, 2H), 3.66 (t, *J* = 6.5, 2H), 2.61 (t, *J* = 7.6, 2H), 1.88–1.81 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  144.3, 131.9, 129.3, 115.4, 62.4, 34.6, 31.3.

3-Phenylpropan-1-ol  $2a^{1m}$  (entry 3, Table 5). According to the reported procedure, <sup>1d</sup> the reaction of ethyl 3-phenylpropanoate (0.50 mmol), MeOH (13.3 mmol), and stage I sodium silica gel (35 wt %, 2.25 mmol) in anhydrous THF (8.0 mL) afforded 2a (24.7 mg) in 36% yield (<sup>1</sup>H NMR vs internal standard). Spectroscopic properties matched those previously described.

1-Adamantanemethanol **20**<sup>1m</sup> (entry 4, Table 5). According to the reported procedure,<sup>1d</sup> the reaction of methyl adamantane-1carboxylate (0.50 mmol), MeOH (13.3 mmol), and stage I sodium silica gel (35 wt %, 2.25 mmol) in anhydrous THF (8.0 mL) afforded **20** (17.5 mg) in 21% yield (<sup>1</sup>H NMR vs internal standard). Spectroscopic properties matched those previously described.

**Deuterium Incorporation.** (Adamantan-1-yl)methan- $d_2$ -ol **2o**-D,D. According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl adamantane-1-carboxylate (0.50 mmol), *i*-PrOD (2.25 mmol), and Na-D15 (2.25 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C afforded **2o**-D,D with 99% deuterium incorporation, yield >99% (<sup>1</sup>H NMR vs internal standard): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.03–1.98 (m, 3H), 1.77–1.71 (m, 3H), 1.69–1.63 (m, 3H), 1.54–1.50 (m, 6H), 1.36 (br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  73.2 (m), 39.1, 37.3, 34.4, 28.3.

**Determination of Primary Kinetic Isotope Effect.**<sup>1m,n</sup> According to the general procedure, the reaction of methyl adamantane-1-carboxylate (0.17 mmol), *i*-PrOD/*i*-PrOH (1:1, 18.4 mmol), and Na-D15 (0.77 mmol) for 5 min at 0 °C and 5 min at rt afforded **20** and **20**-D,D. The amount of each species was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. Kinetic isotope effect,  $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D} = 1.0$ .

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra for all compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: david.j.procter@manchester.ac.uk.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the EPSRC and Pentagon Fine Chemicals Ltd for support. We thank Pentagon Fine Chemicals Ltd. for the supply of sodium dispersion Na-D15.

#### REFERENCES

(1) For reviews on the dissolving metal reductions of carbonyl compounds, see: (a) Barrett, A. G. M. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Elsevier Science Ltd.:

Amsterdam, 1991; pp 235-257. (b) Brettle, R. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Elsevier Science Ltd.: Amsterdam, 1991; pp 613-632. (c) Huffman, J. W. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Elsevier Science Ltd.: Amsterdam, 1991; pp 107-127. For an improved Bouveault-Blanc reduction with sodium on silica gel, see: (d) Bodnar, B. S.; Vogt, P. F. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2598. For a detailed discussion of alkali metal anions stabilized by crown ethers for potential applications in functional group reductions, see: (e) Dye, J. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 587. For alkali-metal-promoted cyclization reactions, see: (f) Cossy, J.; Gille, B.; Bellosta, V. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 3141. (g) Srikrishna, A.; Ramasastry, S. S. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 379. For other recent methods for the reduction of carboxylic acid derivatives, see: (h) Kim, J.; Suri, J. T.; Cordes, D. B.; Singaram, B. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2006, 10, 949. (i) Pasumansky, L.; Goralski, C. T.; Singaram, B. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2006, 10, 959. (j) Barbe, G.; Charette, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 18. (k) Pelletier, G.; Bechara, W. S.; Charette, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12817. (l) Das, S.; Addis, D.; Zhou, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. I. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1770. (m) Szostak, M.; Spain, M.; Procter, D. J. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 10254. (n) Szostak, M.; Spain, M.; Procter, D. J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 840. (o) Szostak, M.; Spain, M.; Procter, D. J. Chem.-Eur. J. 2014, 20, 4222. (p) Szostak, M.; Spain, M.; Eberhart, A. J.; Procter, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 2268. (q) Magano, J.; Dunetz, J. R. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2012, 16, 1156.

(2) (a) Nystrom, R. F.; Brown, W. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1947, 69, 2548. (b) Bajwa, N.; Jennings, M. P. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3638. (c) Kudo, T.; Higashide, T.; Ikedate, S.; Yamataka, H. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 5157. (d) W. Gribble, G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1998, 27, 395. It is noteworthy that most commonly used metal hydrides are directly or indirectly made from sodium metal: (e) Rittmeyer, P.; Wietelmann, U. Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2000; pp 103–132.

(3) (a) Bouveault, L.; Blanc, G. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1904, 31, 666.
(b) Bouveault, L.; Blanc, G. Compt. Rend. 1903, 137, 60. (c) Bouveault, L.; Blanc, G. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1904, 31, 1206. (d) Bouveault, L.; Blanc, G. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1904, 31, 1213. (e) Bouveault, L.; Blanc, G. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1903, 137, 328. (f) Gault, H. Compt. Rend. 1907, 145, 126.

(4) (a) Ford, S. G.; Marvel, C. S. Org. Synth. **1930**, 10, 62. (b) Reid, S. E. E.; Cockerille, F. O.; Meyer, J. D.; Cox, W. M., Jr.; Ruhoff, J. R. Org. Synth. **1935**, 15, 51. (c) Shriner, R. L.; Ruby, P. R. Org. Synth. **1953**, 33, 76. (d) Manske, R. H. Org. Synth. **1934**, 14, 20. (e) Adkins, H.; Gillespie, R. H. Org. Synth. **1949**, 29, 80.

(5) Gol'dfarb, Y. L.; Taits, S. Z.; Belen'kii, L. I. *Tetrahedron* **1963**, *19*, 1851.

(6) Sodium dispersion Na-D15 is commercially available. Please see the Supporting Information for the details of the commercial supplier.

(7) The reduction of esters using Na-D15 is a four-electron process.

(8) When toluene was used as solvent, it is possible that the reduction of esters was promoted by the arene radical formed by electron transfer from sodium to toluene. See: Ohsawa, T.; Hatano, K.; Kayoh, K.; Kotabe, J.; Oishi, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 5555.

(9) Simmons, E. M.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3066.

(10) (a) Dye, J. L.; Cram, K. D.; Urbin, S. A.; Redko, M. Y.; Jackson, J. E.; Lefenfeld, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9338. (b) Shatnawi, M.; Paglia, G.; Dye, J. L.; Cram, K. C.; Lefenfeld, M.; Billinge, S. J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1386. (c) Dye, J. L.; Nandi, P.; Jackson, J. E.; Lefenfeld, M.; Bentley, P. A.; Dunyak, B. M.; Kwarcinski, F. E.; Spencer, C. M.; Lindman, T. N.; Lambert, P.; Jacobson, P. K.; Redko, M. Y. Chem. Mater. 2011, 23, 2388. (d) Nandi, P.; Dye, J. L.; Jackson, J. E. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 5790. (e) Nandi, P.; Redko, M. Y.; Petersen, K.; Dye, J. L.; Lefenfeld, M.; Vogt, P. F.; Jackson, J. E. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 5441. (f) Costanzo, M. J.; Patel, M. N.; Petersen, K. A.; Vogt, P. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 5463.

(11) For large-scale reactions, it is more convenient to transfer a solution of ester substrate and *i*-PrOH in hexane to Na-D15.

(12) Screttas, C. G.; Micha-Screttas, M. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 3008.