

Highly Chemoselective Reduction of Amides (Primary, Secondary, Tertiary) to Alcohols using Sml₂/Amine/H₂O under Mild Conditions

Michal Szostak,* Malcolm Spain,† Andrew J. Eberhart,† and David J. Procter*

School of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Highly chemoselective direct reduction of primary, secondary, and tertiary amides to alcohols using SmI₂/amine/H₂O is reported. The reaction proceeds with C-N bond cleavage in the carbinolamine intermediate, shows excellent functional group tolerance, and delivers the alcohol products in very high yields. The expected C-O cleavage products are not formed under the reaction conditions. The observed reactivity is opposite to the electrophilicity of polar carbonyl groups resulting from the $n_X \to \pi^*_{C=0}$ (X = O, N) conjugation. Mechanistic studies suggest that coordination of Sm to the carbonyl and then to Lewis basic nitrogen in the tetrahedral intermediate facilitate electron transfer and control the selectivity of the C-N/C-O cleavage. Notably, the method provides direct access to acyl-type radicals from unactivated amides under mild electron transfer conditions.

he reduction of carboxylic acid derivatives is among the most important and valuable processes in organic chemistry. In particular, the reduction of amides has captured much attention as a practical method for the synthesis of amines from bench-stable amide precursors.² Over the past decades, many reagents and conditions for this transformation have been reported,³ including recent breakthroughs in highly chemoselective^{3a} and metal-free reductions.^{3g} However, in contrast to the reduction of amides to amines, which typically proceeds via C-O bond cleavage in the tetrahedral intermediate, the development of practical methods for the reduction of amides to alcohols via selective C-N bond scission remains a formidable challenge (Figure 1).

Very few examples of the chemoselective reduction of amides to alcohols have been reported. Early studies by Brown and coworkers based on typical metal hydride reagents (B-H, Al-H) revealed that the selective C-N cleavage is in principle feasible; however, only one reagent (LiEt₃BH) and for only one class of substrates (aromatic N,N-dimethylamides) afforded appreciable C-N cleavage selectivity. Subsequently, the groups of Hutchins, ^{5a} Singaram, ^{5b,c} and Myers ^{5d,e} studied metal amide borane complexes for the reduction of sterically unhindered tertiary amides to alcohols. However, this chemistry highlighted a number of limitations, including the low reactivity and/or C-O bond cleavage selectivity for the reduction of primary and secondary amides, inadequate functional group tolerance, and the use of pyrophoric organometallic reagents that decrease the practicality of these methods. Recently, considerable advancements using catalytic hydrogenation have been reported.6A) General reaction pathways in the reduction of amides

B) This work: first chemoselective reduction of 1°, 2°, 3° amides to alcohols

■ re-routing via open-shell intermediates ■ >95:5 C-N/C-O cleavage selectivity ■ Ln(II)-amidic N coordination ■ rapid access to acyl-type radicals from amides

Figure 1. (a) Divergent reaction pathways in the reduction of amides. (b) This work: the first general, highly chemoselective reduction of amides to alcohols

Milstein and co-workers developed a reduction of secondary and tertiary amides to alcohols that employs a Ru pincer catalyst at elevated temperatures and high H₂ pressures (THF, 110 °C, 10 atm) and proceeds in excellent yields and C-N cleavage selectivity.⁶ Ikariya⁷ and Bergens⁸ reported hydrogenation of activated secondary and tertiary amides/lactams using Ru catalysts at high temperatures and H₂ pressures (100 °C, 50 atm). Additionally, Enthaler and co-workers reported a bimetallic Mo complex for the catalytic hydrosilylation of Naryl tertiary amides with good C-N scission chemoselectivity.9 However, these reactions suffer from limited substrate scope and typically require highly specialized pressure tube and glovebox equipment, which limits their laboratory application. Moreover, primary and secondary amides are difficult substrates because of the presence of free NH bonds. To date, a general method for the reduction of amides to alcohols with high C-N bond cleavage chemoselectivity under mild and practical reaction conditions has not been reported despite the significance of this transformation for the synthesis of fundamental building blocks, such as alcohols, from bench-stable amide precursors.

Herein we report the first general method for the reduction of all types of amides (primary, secondary, and tertiary) to alcohols

Received: December 11, 2013

using the SmI_2 /amine/ H_2O reducing system via a single electron transfer mechanism. ¹⁰ The reaction proceeds with excellent C—N bond cleavage selectivity at room temperature under mild, operationally simple reaction conditions. Notably, this process constitutes the first general method for the synthesis of ketyl-type radicals from unactivated amides. ¹¹

We recently reported the reduction of esters using SmI₂/ amine/H₂O.¹² This reagent system efficiently mediates the reduction of esters, lactones, and carboxylic acids under mild conditions via open-shell reaction pathways, which are orthogonal to the traditional closed-shell mechanisms. 13 We sought to apply this chemistry to the reduction of unactivated amides. 14 We started our investigation by screening the reaction conditions using a cyclic amide substrate, 1-phenylpiperidin-2one [see the Supporting Information (SI)]. We were pleased to find that the SmI₂/Et₃N/H₂O system mediates the reduction of 1-phenylpiperidin-2-one in excellent 96% yield with >95:5 C-N/C-O bond cleavage selectivity. Remarkably, these conditions could be readily applied to a range of acyclic amides to afford the corresponding alcohols with excellent C-N/C-O cleavage selectivity and yield (Table 1). Primary, secondary, and tertiary amides afforded the alcohol reduction products in high yields

Alicyclic amides (Table 1, entries 6–8), including strained azetidine (entry 6) and aziridine (see the SI) substrates resulted in high selectivity for C–N cleavage. Several amides bearing a directing functionality were subjected to the reaction conditions

Table 1. Reduction of Amides to Alcohols Using SmI₂^a

	_Ŭ	R" Sml ₂ -amine-H ₂ O	Н Н	
	R^	$ \begin{array}{c} N^{R''} & \xrightarrow{SmI_2-amine-H_2O} \\ \hline R' & \xrightarrow{THF, rt} \end{array} $	R OH	
	1	N	2	
	_	a min ii	yield	1
entry	1	NR'R"	(%)	selectivity
1	1a	NH ₂	82	>95:5
2	1b	NHn-Bu	89	>95:5
3	1c	NHn-C ₁₂ H ₂₅	88	>95:5
4	1d	NHPh	84	>95:5
5	1e	NEt_2	82	>95:5
6	1f	n = 0	98	>95:5
7	1g	n = 0 $n = 1$	90	>95:5
8	1h	n=2	81	>95:5
9	li	N(OMe)Me	97	>95:5
10	1j	Se N	83	>95:5
11	1k	Me N Ph Me OH	79	>95:5
12	11	95-N Ph	81	>95:5
13	1m	NHBn	92	>95:5
14	1n	'ş ^ş -N	99	>95:5
15	10	N(<i>i</i> -Pr) ₂	<5	>95:5

[&]quot;Conditions: $R = Ph(CH_2)_2$, SmI_2 (8 equiv), THF, Et_3N , H_2O , 23 °C. See the SI for full experimental details.

to determine whether Sm(II) chelation could influence the C-N cleavage selectivity (entries 9–12). In all cases, only alcohol products were formed, suggesting that chelation does not override the inherent reaction pathway. We also found that amides featuring substituents known to afford mixtures of C-N/C-O cleavage products with other reagents were amenable to the Sm(II) reduction protocol and that useful levels of chemoselectivity were obtained with these substrates (entries 13 and 14). We note, however, that *N*₁*N*-diisopropylamide was unreactive under our reaction conditions (entry 15). ¹⁷

Next, the substrate scope of the reaction was investigated with regard to substitution at the α carbon of the amide with the knowledge that there is an unmet need for the reduction of primary and secondary amides (cf. tertiary amides) to alcohols using existing hydride-mediated^{3,4} and hydrogenation⁶⁻⁹ methodologies (Table 2). Amides with increasing steric demand at the α carbon were suitable substrates for the reduction (entries 1-5), including a very hindered N,N-diethyl adamantyl amide (entry 5). Aromatic amides could be reduced to the corresponding alcohols with excellent C-N cleavage selectivity (entries 6-8). The method is compatible with a broad range of functional groups, including terminal and internal alkenes (see the SI; isomerization of an internal cis olefin was not observed); aryl fluorides, chlorides, bromides; trifluoromethylphenyl groups; aryl ethers; aromatic rings; and electron-rich heterocycles such as indoles (entries 9-16). In all cases, excellent selectivity for the C-N cleavage was observed. Furthermore, complex biologically active steroid scaffolds and drug molecules bearing unprotected alcohols and amines were subjected directly to the reaction conditions to afford the corresponding alcohols in high yields (entries 17 and 18). In contrast, acidic protons are not tolerated by the recently disclosed highly chemoselective reductions of amides,³ emphasizing the mild reaction conditions and functional group tolerance of Sm(II) systems. Additional studies showed that high selectivity is also possible in the presence of other functional groups (e.g., esters; see the SI for

It is particularly noteworthy that the reduction of enantioenriched amides derived from Myers and Evans auxiliaries could be achieved in good yield and selectivity to give the corresponding products in high ee (Scheme 1). The reduction of a diastereoisomer of 5 (see the SI) afforded the corresponding alcohol (S)-6 with high ee. These results demonstrate that amides bearing α -enolizable chiral centers can be readily reduced using this methodology. The recovery of the auxiliaries has not been optimized.

Several studies were conducted to gain insight into the reaction mechanism (see Scheme 2 and the SI): (1) The reduction of trans-cyclopropane radical clocks 8¹⁸ using limiting SmI₂ resulted in rapid cyclopropyl ring opening to give acyclic amides 9 and alcohols 10 in the following ratios: 78:22 (primary amide), 85:15 (secondary amide), and 92:8 (tertiary amide). Cyclopropyl carbinol 11 was not detected. In an additional experiment using SmI₂/H₂O (i.e., without amine), cyclopropane ring opening was observed without further reduction of acyclic amide 9 to alcohol 10. These results suggest that the first electron transfer to the amide carbonyl is reversible and that the rate of the second electron transfer is sensitive to the substitution of the amide nitrogen. (2) The reductions of amides 1a, 1b, and 1e with SmI_2/D_2O amine (83% D^2 and $k_H/k_D = 1.37 \pm 0.1$, primary amide; 95% D^2 and $k_H/k_D = 1.34 \pm 0.1$, secondary amide; 97% D^2 and $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ = 1.32 \pm 0.1, tertiary amide) suggested that anions are generated and protonated by H₂O in a series of electron transfer

Table 2. Substrate Scope in the Reduction of Amides to Alcohols Using SmI,^a

Scheme 1. Reduction of Enantioenriched Amides to Alcohols Using SmI_2

Scheme 2. Studies Designed To Probe the Mechanism of the Reduction of Amides to Alcohols using SmI_2 (R', R" = H; for R' = H, R" = n-Bu and R', R" = Et, See the SI)

steps 19 and that proton transfer is not involved in the ratedetermining step of the reaction. (3) Control experiments using H₂¹⁸O (2.59% ¹⁸O incorporation, primary amide; 4.19%, secondary amide; 14.20%, tertiary amide) showed that amide hydrolysis, or hydrolysis of an iminium intermediate, is not a predominant pathway. (4) Selectivity studies demonstrated the following order of amide reactivity: $1^{\circ} > 2^{\circ} > 3^{\circ}$. Moreover, >95:5 selectivity was obtained in the reduction of primary amides over esters and activated over aliphatic secondary amides. (5) A Hammett study performed using a series of 4-substituted 2phenylacetamides ¹² showed a large positive ρ value of 0.52 (R^2 = 0.98), which can be compared with the ρ value of 0.49 for ionization of phenylacetic acids in H₂O at 25 °C. (6) The Taft correlation study, obtained by plotting $log(k_{obs})$ versus E_S for a series of N-alkyl-3-phenylpropanamides showed a large positive slope of 0.92 ($R^2 = 0.99$). The results from the Hammett and Taft studies are consistent with a mechanism involving Sm coordination to the substrate and buildup of partial negative charge on the carbon of the amide carbonyl. 13g

Overall, these results are in agreement with a mechanism involving coordination of the azaphilic Lewis acid Sm^{20} to nitrogen either before or after the initial electron transfer. We postulate that the high chemoselectivity for C–N versus C–O cleavage results from the fact that a protonated hemiaminal is not formed in the reaction. Furthermore, collapse of the carbinolamine intermediate with selective C–N cleavage is likely promoted by the coordination of $\mathrm{Sm}X_3$ (X = I, OH) to the Lewis basic nitrogen²⁰ (see Figure 1B).

In summary, the first general reduction of primary, secondary, and tertiary amides to alcohols using $\mathrm{SmI}_2/\mathrm{amine}/\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}$ has been developed. The reaction proceeds with high selectivity for C–N bond cleavage under mild and operationally simple reaction conditions. The mechanism involves reversible first electron transfer and electrophilic activation of the amide bond. This protocol demonstrates a broad substrate scope and provides the corresponding alcohols in excellent yields with chemoselectivity orthogonal to that of existing closed-shell processes. We fully expect that this method will be of great interest for the synthesis of functionalized alcohol-containing building blocks. Studies of the application of $\mathrm{Sm}(\mathrm{II})$ to chemoselective reductions and reductive cyclizations of functional groups are underway and will be reported shortly.

^aConditions: SmI₂ (4–8 equiv), THF, Et₃N, H₂O, 23 °C. See the SI for full experimental details.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and compound characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

michal.szostak@manchester.ac.uk david.j.procter@manchester.ac.uk

Author Contributions

[†]M. Spain and A. J. Eberhart contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the EPSRC and GSK for financial support.

REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Hudlicky, M. Reductions in Organic Chemistry; Ellis Horwood Ltd.: Chichester, U.K., 1984. (b) Seyden-Penne, J. Reductions by the Alumino- and Borohydrides in Organic Synthesis; Wiley: New York, 1997. (c) Modern Reduction Methods; Andersson, P. G., Munslow, I. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2008. (d) Addis, D.; Das, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6004.
- (2) (a) Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1991. (b) Modern Amination Methods; Ricci, A., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2000. (c) Carey, J. S.; Laffan, D.; Thomson, C.; Williams, M. T. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2337.
- (3) For selected recent examples, see: (a) Das, S.; Addis, D.; Zhou, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1770. (b) Das, S.; Wendt, B.; Möller, K.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1662. (c) Das, S.; Join, B.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 2683. (d) Das, S.; Addis, D.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 12186. (e) Zhou, S.; Junge, K.; Addis, D.; Das, S.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9507. For an excellent review, see: (f) Das, S.; Zhou, S.; Addis, D.; Junge, K.; Enthaler, S.; Beller, M. Top. Catal. 2010, 53, 979. (g) Barbe, G.; Charette, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 18. (h) Pelletier, G.; Bechara, W. S.; Charette, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12817. For an elegant application of chemoselective amide bond activation, see: (i) Bechara, W. S.; Pelletier, G.; Charette, A. B. Nat. Chem. 2012, 4, 228. (j) Reeves, J. T.; Tan, Z.; Marsini, M. A.; Han, Z. S.; Xu, Y.; Reeves, D. C.; Lee, H.; Lu, B. Z.; Senanayake, C. H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 47. (k) Stein, M.; Breit, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2231. (1) Cheng, C.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11304. (m) Park, S.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 640. (n) Hanada, S.; Tsutsumi, E.; Motoyama, Y.; Nagashima, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15032. (o) Motoyama, Y.; Mitsui, K.; Ishida, T.; Nagashima, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13150. (p) Sunada, Y.; Kawakami, H.; Imaoka, T.; Motoyama, Y.; Nagashima, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9511. (q) White, J. M.; Tunoori, A. R.; Georg, G. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11995. (r) Spletstoser, J. T.; White, J. M.; Tunoori, A. R.; Georg, G. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3408.
- (4) Brown, H. C.; Kim, S. C. Synthesis 1977, 635.
- (5) (a) Hutchins, R. O.; Learn, K.; El-Telbany, F.; Stercho, Y. P. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2438. (b) Fisher, G. B.; Fuller, J. C.; Harrison, J.; Alvarez, S. G.; Burkhardt, E. R.; Goralski, C. T.; Singaram, B. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 6378. For an excellent overview, see: (c) Pasumansky, L.; Goralski, C. T.; Singaram, B. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2006, 10, 959. (d) Myers, A. G.; Yang, B. H.; Kopecky, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 3623. (e) Myers, A. G.; Yang, B. H.; Chen, H.; McKinstry, L.; Kopecky, D. J.; Gleason, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 6496.
- (6) (a) Balaraman, E.; Gnanaprakasam, B.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16756. For other elegant applications of

- Ru(II) complexes, see: (b) Balaraman, E.; Gunanathan, C.; Zhang, J.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, 3, 609. (c) Balaraman, E.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, 50, 11702. (7) (a) Ito, M.; Koo, L. W.; Himizu, A.; Kobayashi, C.; Sakaguchi, A.; Ikariya, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 1324. (b) Ito, M.; Ootsuka, T.; Watari, R.; Shiibashi, A.; Himizu, A.; Ikariya, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 133, 4240. For a review, see: (c) Dub, P. A.; Ikariya, T. *ACS Catal.* **2012**, 2, 1718.
- (8) John, J. M.; Bergens, S. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 10377.
 (9) Krackl, S.; Someya, C. I.; Enthaler, S. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 5267
- (10) For reviews of metal-mediated radical reactions, see: (a) Gansäuer, A.; Bluhm, H. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 2771. (b) Szostak, M.; Procter, D. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9238. (c) Streuff, J. Synthesis 2013, 45, 281. (d) Radicals in Synthesis I and II; Gansäuer, A., Ed.; Topics in Current Chemistry, Vols. 263–264; Springer: Berlin, 2006. For recent reviews of SmI₂, see: (e) Molander, G. A.; Harris, C. R. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 307. (f) Krief, A.; Laval, A. M. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 745. (g) Kagan, H. B. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 10351. (h) Nicolaou, K. C.; Ellery, S. P.; Chen, J. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7140. (i) Szostak, M.; Spain, M.; Procter, D. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 9155.
- (11) For selected studies of cyclizations of acyl-type radicals, see: (a) Parmar, D.; Duffy, L. A.; Sadasivam, D. V.; Matsubara, H.; Bradley, P. A.; Flowers, R. A., II; Procter, D. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 15467. (b) Parmar, D.; Matsubara, H.; Price, K.; Spain, M.; Procter, D. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 12751. (c) Sautier, B.; Lyons, S. E.; Webb, M. R.; Procter, D. J. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 146. (d) Szostak, M.; Sautier, B.; Spain, M.; Behlendorf, M.; Procter, D. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 12559. (12) A study of the mechanism of ester reduction using SmI₂/amine/H,O will be reported separately.
- (13) (a) Szostak, M.; Spain, M.; Procter, D. J. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 10254. (b) Szostak, M.; Spain, M.; Procter, D. J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 840. For other studies of SmI₂/amine/H₂O, see: (c) Cabri, W.; Candiani, I.; Colombo, M.; Franzoi, L.; Bedeschi, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 949. (d) Dahlén, A.; Hilmersson, G. Chem.—Eur. J. 2003, 9, 1123. (e) Dahlén, A.; Hilmersson, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8340. (f) Ankner, T.; Hilmersson, G. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 10856. (g) Ankner, T.; Stålsmeden, A. S.; Hilmersson, G. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 6867. (14) Kamochi and Kudo described the reduction of aryl carboxylic acid derivatives using SmI₂, but this process is low-yielding and/or limited in scope. See: (a) Kamochi, Y.; Kudo, T. Chem. Lett. 1993, 1495. (b) Kamochi, Y.; Kudo, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1992, 65, 3049. Electrochemical methods for the reduction of amides have been reported. See: (c) Benkeser, R. A.; Watanabe, H.; Mels, S. J.; Sabol, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 1210. (d) Shono, T.; Masuda, H.; Murase, H.; Shimomura, M.; Kashimura, S. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 1061.
- (15) Cyclic carboxylic acid derivatives are more reactive towards Sm(II) because of anomeric stabilization of the radical anion. 11a
- (16) Szostak, M.; Spain, M.; Choquette, K. A.; Flowers, R. A., II; Procter, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15702.
- (17) Complete recovery of the staring material was observed. In contrast, lithium amidotrihydroborate affords mixtures of C-N/C-O cleavage products with similar substrates. St This divergent reactivity should prove useful in the selective reduction of this class of amides.
- (18) Newcomb, M. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 1151.
- (19) (a) Dahlén, A.; Hilmersson, G. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 3393. (b) Flowers, R. A., II. Synlett 2008, 1427. (c) Szostak, M.; Spain, M.; Parmar, D.; Procter, D. J. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 330.
- (20) (a) Tsuruta, H.; Yamaguchi, K.; Imamoto, T. Chem. Commun. 1999, 1703. (b) Evans, W. J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 3435.
- (21) (a) Laurence, C.; Gal, J.-F. Lewis Basicity and Affinity Scales: Data and Measurement; Wiley-Blackwell: Chichester, U.K., 2009. (b) Cox, C.; Lectka, T. Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 849.