# Solvolytic Generation of $\alpha$ -Sulfonyl and $\alpha$ -Sulfinyl Carbocations

### Xavier Creary,\* M. E. Mehrsheikh-Mohammadi, and Mark D. Eggers

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556. Received September 2, 1986

Abstract: A series of mesylates of general type ArC(CH<sub>3</sub>)(SO<sub>2</sub>Ph)OMs, 14, have been prepared and studied under solvolytic conditions. The Hammett  $\rho$  value in methanol is -7.98. A solvent effect study on 14b, where Ar = p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, gave a good correlation with  $Y_{OTs}$  values and an m value of 0.85. These data were interpreted in terms of the involvement of  $\alpha$ -sulfonyl-substituted carbocations, which have a large demand for anyl group stabilization. In solvolyses of 14b, capture of the  $\alpha$ -sulforyl carbocation by acetic or trifluoroacetic acid gave simple substitution products which could be isolated. In alcohol solvents subsequent unimolecular loss of benzenesulfinate ion from the initially formed  $\alpha$ -alkoxy sulfone led ultimately to the formation of ketones or ketals. Analogous loss of benzenesulfinate from the primary product is also seen on prolonged reaction of 14b in carboxylic acid solvents. Solvolyses of a series of  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfoxides of general structure ArC(CH<sub>3</sub>)(SOPh)Br, 35, also proceed via the intermediacy of carbocations. The demand for any stabilization in these  $\alpha$ -sulfinyl cations is also quite large as evidenced by the  $\rho$  value of -7.18 in triflouroethanol. The trifluoroethanolysis rate of **35b**, where Ar = p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, is  $1.4 \times 10^5$  times slower at 25 °C than that of the  $\alpha$ -H analogue ArCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)Br, 29. This, along with the large negative  $\rho$ value, implies that the interaction of the sulfur nonbonding electrons with the adjacent cationic center is of minimal importance in the  $\alpha$ -sulfinyl carbocation derived from 35b. These data conflict with previous suggestions that  $\alpha$ -sulfinyl cations are greatly stabilized by the sulfur nonbonding electrons. Suggestions are offered to explain this apparent conflict. The relative reactivities of a series of bromides containing electron-withdrawing groups have been determined in the common solvent trifluoroethanol in order to evaluate the effect of these groups on the rate of carbocation generation. The relative reactivity order for solvolyses of substrates of general type p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)BrE, where E is an electronegative group, is COPh > PO(OEt)<sub>2</sub> > CN >  $SOPh > CF_3 > SO_2Ph$ .

Over the past 10 years, extensive studies have appeared in which cations of general type 1, where the group E is formally electron withdrawing, have been generated.<sup>1-5</sup> Interest in such cations stems from the belief that such cations should be intrinsically destabilized due to the attachment of an electronegative group to an electron-deficient center. This belief has proven to be somewhat naive. Certain cations of general type 1 (i.e., cations 2-4, where E = COR, CN, and  $PO(OEt)_2$ ) can be generated solvolytically much more rapidly than might be anticipated on the basis of the electronegative properties of these groups.<sup>1,3</sup> These substituents appear to possess some cation stabilizing feature. On the other hand, when the substituent E is  $CF_3$ , the effect of this electronegative group appears to be largely inductively destabi-

(2) Bégué, J.-P.; Charpentier-Morize, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 13, 207-212. (b) Charpentier-Morize, M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1974, 343-351. (3) (a) Gassman, P. G.; Tidwell, T. T. Acc. Chem. Res. 1983, 16, 279–285.
 (b) Gassman, P. G.; Talley, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1214–1216; (c) **1980**, 102, 2138–2143. (d) Gassman, P. G.; Saito, K.; Talley, J. J. Ibid. **1980**, 102, 7613–7615. (e) Gassman, P. G.; Guggenheim, T. L. J. Org. Chem. **1982**, 47, 3023–3026.

(4) (a) Tidwell, T. T. Angew Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 20-32. (b) Allen, A. D.; Ambridge, I. C.; Che, C.; Micheal, H.; Muir, R. J.; Tidwell, T. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2343-2350. (c) Allen, A. D.; Jansen, M. P.; Koshy, K. M.; Mangru, N. N.; Tidwell, T. T. Ibid. 1982, 104, 207-211. (d) Jansen, M. P.; Koshy, K. M.; Mangru, N. N.; Tidwell, T. T. Ibid. 1981, 102, 2367. 103, 3863-3867.

(5) For further examples and leading references, see: (a) Liu, K.-T.; Kuo, M.-Y.; Sheu, C. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 211-215. (b) Liu, K.-T.; Wu, Y. W. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 1984, 408-409. (c) Takeuchi, K.; Kita-gawa, T.; Okamoto, K. J. Chem. Soc, Chem. Commun. 1983, 7. (d) Hopgawa, 1.; Okamoto, K. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1983, 7. (d) Hop-kinson, A. C.; Dao, L. H.; Dupperrouzel, R.; Maleki, M.; Lee-Ruff, E. Ibid.
1983, 727-728. (e) McDonald, R. N.; Tabor, T. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 6573-6578. (f) McDonald, R. N.; Steppel, R. N. Ibid. 1970, 92, 5664-5670. (g) Olah, G. A.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Arvanaghi, M. Ibid. 1982, 104, 1628-1631. (h) Olah, G. A.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Arvanaghi, M.; Krishnamurthy, V. V.; Narang, S. C. Ibid. 1984, 106, 2378-2380.



lizing, as evidenced by greatly repressed rates of solvolytic generation of cations of type  $5.^{4,5a,b}_{\rm }$ 

We have been interested in the effects of the electronegative sulfonyl group on electron-deficient intermediates. We have measured the effect of a benzylic  $SO_2CH_3$  group on the rate of the methylenecyclopropane rearrangement which proceeds via a radical-like transition state.<sup>6</sup> A limited number of studies on cationic sulfonyl-containing systems have also appeared. A study by Bordwell and Mecca<sup>7</sup> suggested the involvement of the ion pair 7 in bimolecular substitution reactions of the allylic sulfone 6. Meyers and Hua<sup>8a</sup> have suggested that the  $\alpha$ -halo sulfones 8 can undergo solvolytic reactions involving sulfonyl-substituted carbocations 9. Our interest in carbocations substituted with electron-withdrawing groups has led us to attempt to determine, in a more quantitative fashion, the effect of the sulfonyl group on carbocations when directly attached to the electron-deficient center as in 10. We also wanted to examine the effect of the less oxidized sulfoxide group on the cationic center in **11**. Such intermediates have been suggested in the past in the  $\alpha$ -halogenation of sulfoxides.<sup>9</sup> They have also been suggested in reactions of phen-

<sup>(1)</sup> For examples of cation 1 where  $E = PO(OEt)_2$ , see: (a) Creary, X.; Geiger, C. C.; Hilton, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2851-2858. (b) Creary, X.; Underiner, T. L. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2165-2170. For a discussion of the chemistry of cation 1, where E = COR, and leading references, see: (c) Creary, X. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 3-8. (d) Creary, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 184, 106, 5568-5577. (e) Creary, X.; Geiger, C. C. Ibid. 1982, 104, 4151-4162. For E = PS(OEt)<sub>2</sub>, see: (f) Creary, X.; Mehrsh-eikh-Mohammadi, M. E. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 7-15.

<sup>(6)</sup> Creary, X.; Mehrsheikh-Mohammadi, M. E. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 1110-1114.

<sup>(7)</sup> Bordwell, F. G.; Mecca, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2119-2121.
(8) (a) Meyers, C. T.; Hua, H. D. Phosphorus Sulfur 1979, 6, 197-198.
(b) Meyers, C. T. Topics in Organic Sulfur Chemistry; Tisler, M., Ed.; University Press: Ljubljana, Yugoslavia, 1978; pp 207-260.

Table I. Solvolysis Rates of Substrates in Various Solvents

substrate	solvent <sup>a</sup>	temp, °C	$k, s^{-1}$
14a (p-H)	MeOH	100.0	$1.21 \times 10^{-4}$
		80.0	$1.42 \times 10^{-5}$
		25.0 <sup>b</sup>	9.09 × 10 <sup>-9</sup>
14b (p-CH <sub>3</sub> )	MeOH	25.0	1.78 × 10⁻⁵
	$CD_3CO_2D$	60.0	$1.32 \times 10^{-4}$
		40.0	$1.05 \times 10^{-5}$
		25.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.26 × 10 <sup>−6</sup>
	TFE	25.0	$2.57 \times 10^{-4}$
	HCO <sub>2</sub> H	25.0	$3.07 \times 10^{-3}$
	HFIP	25.0	$8.88 \times 10^{-3}$
	TFA	25.0	$4.39 \times 10^{-2}$
14c (p-CH <sub>3</sub> O)	MeOH	25.0	$1.40 \times 10^{-2}$
<b>35a</b> ( <i>p</i> -H)	TFE	95.0 $1.06 \times 10^{-4}$	
		75.0	$1.27 \times 10^{-5}$
		25.0%	$1.85 \times 10^{-8}$
35b (p-CH <sub>3</sub> )	TFE	25.0	$4.45 \times 10^{-6}$
	HCO₂H	25.0	$1.60 \times 10^{-5}$
<b>35c</b> $(p-CH_{3}O)$	TFE	25.0	$7.41 \times 10^{-3}$
<b>13b</b> (α-SO <sub>2</sub> Ph)	TFE	120.0	$8.45 \times 10^{-5}$
		100.0	$1.43 \times 10^{-5}$
		25.0°	$2.29 \times 10^{-9}$
<b>29</b> (α-Η)	TFE	10.4	$1.59 \times 10^{-1}$
		14.2	$2.33 \times 10^{-1}$
		17.9	$3.21 \times 10^{-1}$
40 ( CODI)		25.0	$6.05 \times 10^{-1}$
$30 (\alpha - \text{COPh})$	TFE	25.0	$2.34 \times 10^{-2}$
31 $(\alpha - PO(OEt)_2)$	TFE	25.0	$1.85 \times 10^{-32}$
$32(\alpha - CN)$		25.04	$2.4 \times 10^{-4}$
$33 (\alpha - \mathbf{CF}_3)$	IFE	80.0 1.24 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	
		60.1 25.0 <sup>k</sup>	$2.11 \times 10^{-3}$
47	D-OU	25.0	$3.20 \times 10^{-1}$
43 33a (= SO Bh)	E+OH	23.0	255 × 10-4
<b>22a</b> $(p-SO_2FII)$	EIOH	90.0	$5.33 \times 10^{-5}$
		70.0	$3.29 \times 10^{-7}$
22h (n SO CH )	E+OU	23.0	$2.91 \times 10$ 2.78 × 10-4
<b>220</b> $(p$ -30 <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> )	LION	70.0	$4.00 \times 10^{-5}$
		25.0	$4.09 \times 10^{-7}$
23a (n-SOPh)	EtOH	25.0	4.52 × 10 <sup>-6</sup>
23h (p-SOCH)	FtOH	25.0	4 59 × 10 <sup>-6</sup>
<b>56</b>	TFF	25.0	9 23 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>
57	TFF	25.0	$2.23 \times 10^{-6}$
<u> </u>		23.0	2.02 0 10

<sup>a</sup> MeOH, 0.025 M Et<sub>3</sub>N in methanol; EtOH, 0.025 M Et<sub>3</sub>N in ethanol; TFE, 0.025 M 2,6-lutidine in trifluoroethanol; HCO<sub>2</sub>H, 0.05 M sodium formate in anhydrous formic acid, HFIP, 97% hexafluoroisopropyl alcohol and 3% water (by weight); TFA, trifluoroacetic acid. <sup>b</sup> Extrapolated rate. <sup>c</sup> Estimated from the rate of the corresponding trifluoroacetate derivative 57 in TFE at 25 °C assuming a bromide/ trifluoroacetate rate ratio of 656. This is the measured ratio for **29** and the trifluoroacetate derivative **56**. <sup>d</sup> Reference 3e.

oxysulfoxonium tetrafluoroborate,<sup>10</sup> in acid-catalyzed reactions of phenyldiazomethyl sulfoxide,<sup>11</sup> and in reactions of certain  $\alpha$ -iodo sulfoxides.<sup>11,12</sup> However, there are few quantitative data which would allow one to speculate on the relative stability of such sulfinyl-substituted cations. Of special interest was an evaluation of the effect of the nonbonding electron pair on rates of formation of cation **11**.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Generation of  $\alpha$ -Sulfonyl Carbocations. A series of bromides of general type 13 were prepared from the sulfones 12 by the bromination procedure developed by Meyers.<sup>8</sup> These bromides proved to be relatively unreactive in solvolytic studies and were therefore converted to the corresponding mesylates 14 by treatment with silver mesylate in acetonitrile.



The solvolysis of 14b was examined in detail. Trifluoroacetolysis gave the trifluoroacetate 15 as well as p-methylacetophenone, 16 (where  $Ar = p-CH_3C_6H_4$ ). Analogous ketone products have also been observed in solvolysis of  $8.^8$  The *p*-methylacetophenone is a secondary product which arises from the primary product 15. The formation of this secondary product can be monitored when a pure sample of 15 is placed in trifluoroacetic acid. Conversion to p-methylacetophenone occurs with a half-life of 380 minutes at 25 °C. Some 16 is also formed from 15 under the aqueous workup conditions. Acetolysis results are similar in that the major products are the simple substitution product 17 and p-methylacetophenone, 16. However a small amount (15%) of the elimination product 18 is formed at the higher temperature of the acetic acid solvolysis. In trifluoroethanol and methanol (buffered with triethylamine), the solvolysis products are the ketals 19a and 19b, respectively, along with a smaller amount of the elimination product 18. None of the simple substitution product was observed, even at short reaction times.



Solvolysis rates of 14b (Table I) are quite dependent on solvent ionizing power. Reaction in the highly ionizing  $CF_3CO_2H$  is 3.5  $\times 10^4$  times faster than in acetic acid- $d_4$ . The effect of solvent on reaction rate is shown graphically in Figure 1. The correlation of rate with  $Y_{OTs}$  values<sup>13</sup> is good (r = 0.997), and the response to solvent ionizing power is large (m = 0.85). These data support the involvement of a cationic intermediate of general type 10, where the potent electron-withdrawing SO<sub>2</sub>Ph group is directly attached to the electron-deficient carbon. More specifically, we suggest that under solvolytic conditions, 14b undergoes loss of

<sup>(9) (</sup>a) Durst, T.; Tin, K. C.; Marcil, M. J. V. Can. J. Chem. 1973, 51, 1704-1712. (b) Bory, S.; Tett, R.; Moreau, B.; Marquet, A. C. R. Sequences Acad. Sci., Ser. C 1973, 276C, 1323-1326. (c) Klein, J.; Stollar, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 7437-7444.

<sup>(10)</sup> Shimagaki, M.; Tsuchiya, H.; Ban, Y.; Oishi, T. Tetrahedron Lett.
1978, 3435-3438.
(11) Venier, C. G.; Wing, F. A., Jr.; Barager, H. J., III. Tetrahedron Lett.

<sup>(12)</sup> Reutrakul, V.; Panyachotipun, C.; Hahbvajanawong, V.; Sotheeswaran, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 1825-1828.

<sup>(13)</sup> Schadt, F. L.; Bentley, T. W.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 7667-7674.



Figure 1. Plot of log k for solvolysis of 14b vs.  $Y_{OTs}$ 

methanesulfonate ion giving the  $\alpha$ -sulfonyl cation 20. In trifluoroacetic and acetic acids, solvent capture gives the observed substitution products 15 and 17 which can be isolated. Proton loss from the cation 20 accounts for the small amount of the elimination product 18. Loss of sulfinate ion from 15 or 17 in a unimolecular process<sup>14</sup> can subsequently occur to give the cationic intermediate 21 ( $R = COCF_3$ ,  $COCH_3$ ), which leads ultimately to p-methylacetophenone in carboxylic acid solvents. In alcohol solvents, this secondary loss of sulfinate ion is quite rapid due to the relative stability of the resultant alkoxy-substituted cation 21. Hence no simple substitution products can be detected. Alcohol capture of 21 (R = CH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>) leads to the observed ketal products 19.



Sulfonyl Group Rate Effects. In order to gain some insights into the electron-withdrawing properties of the sulfonyl group,  $\sigma^+$  values were determined for the p-SO<sub>2</sub>Ph and p-SO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> groups by solvolysis of the corresponding cumyl chlorides 22a and 22b in ethanol. Rate data are given in Table I, and  $\sigma^+$  values for these



(14) For a study of the reactivity of sulfinate as a leaving group in carbocation-forming processes, see: (a) Creary, X. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 5080-5084. For leading references on sulfinate as a leaving group in other mechanistic processes, see ref 1-9 of this paper. See also: Issari, B.; Stirling, C. J. M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1984, 1043-1051. Marshall, D. R. Thomas, P. J.; Stirling, C. J. M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1977, 1898-1909.

----

<b>Table II.</b> $\sigma'$ Value	s for Electron	-withdrawi	ng Groups			
substitu	ent	$\sigma^+$	ref			
p-SO <sub>2</sub> Ph		0.670	this wo	rk		
p-SO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>1</sub>		0.697	this wo	rk		
<i>p</i> -SOPh	-	0.416	this wo	rk		
p-SOCH	l <sub>3</sub>	0.414	this wo	rk		
p-CO-t-l	Bu	0.293	1e			
<i>p</i> -COPh		0.406	le			
p-CO <sub>2</sub> Cl	H <sub>3</sub>	0.466	19a			
p-PO(OI	$Et)_2$	0.505	la			
p-PS(OF	$(1)_2$	0.431	11			
p-Cr <sub>3</sub> p-CN		0.590	19a 19h			
$\frac{p + c_{11}}{Table III. Summary of \rho^{+} Values$						
substrate	solvent		ρ+	ref		
OMs   CH <sub>3</sub> -C-SO <sub>2</sub> Ph   Ar	МеОН	-8.0		this work		
14						
Сі   СН3—С—Н	90% acetone	-4.95		16		
1 Ar <b>24</b>						
Br   CH3 CN   Ar	TFE	-6.70		3e		
25 OTs   CH <sub>3</sub> -C-CF <sub>3</sub> 	80% EtOH	-6.85 (- (-10.3 fo	7.46) or bromide)	5a 5b		
26 CI   CH <sub>3</sub> -C-CH <sub>3</sub>   Ar	90% acetone	-4.54		19a		
27 ОРМВ   СH3С( Аг	80% acetone	e –2.78		15		
28 Br   CH3-C-SOPh   Ar	TFE	-7.2		this work		
35						

and related substituents are summarized in Table II.  $\sigma^+$  values for p-SO<sub>2</sub>Ph and SO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> in ethanol are 0.670 and 0.697, respectively. These groups are therefore even more destabilizing when placed in the para position of a cumyl cation than are the CF<sub>3</sub> ( $\sigma^+ = 0.596$ ) and the cyano substituents ( $\sigma^+ = 0.659$ ).

Attention was next turned to rate effects of the sulfonyl group on the formation of  $\alpha$ -sulforyl carbocations where the sulforyl group is directly attached to the cationic center. Rates of methanolysis of 14, where  $Ar = C_6H_5$  and p-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, were also determined (Table I). These data give a Hammett  $\rho^+$  value of -8.0 for methanolysis of 14. Other pertinent  $\rho^+$  values are summarized in Table III. These  $\rho^+$  values give some measure of the demand for aryl group stabilization in the transition state for solvolyses of these substrates. Although the comparisons involve different solvents and leaving groups, certain trends are apparent. Carbocation stabilizing groups such as cyclopropyl lead to a reduced  $\rho^+$  value  $(-2.78)^{15}$  relative to the  $\alpha$ -H analogue 24 where the  $\rho^+$  value is  $-4.95.^{16}$  The  $\rho^+$  value of -8.0 seen for 14 is substantially larger (in absolute value) than the value of -4.95 seen in solvolyses of the  $\alpha$ -H analogue 24. This very large negative  $\rho^+$  value is consistent with an extremely large demand for aryl stabilization in sulfonyl-substituted carbocations. The  $\rho^+$  value for 14 exceeds that of the  $\alpha$ -cyano analogue, 23, and even the  $\alpha$ -trifluoromethyl analogue, 26.

A direct comparison of the effect of a variety of electronegative groups on solvolytic reactivity has been made. Analogues of substrates 30-33 have been previously investigated, and presumably these substrates solvolyze via  $k_c$  processes involving cations of general type 1. However no complete comparison of the effect of various electronegative groups is available due to the variation of leaving groups and solvents employed in previous studies. To allow such a direct comparison of substrates containing electronegative groups, rates were measured in the common solvent trifluoroethanol. The aryl substituent chosen was  $p-CH_3C_6H_4$ , and the common leaving group was bromide. At 25 °C, the  $\alpha$ -sulforyl substrate 13b is 2.6  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> times less reactive than the  $\alpha$ -H analogue 29. The SO<sub>2</sub>Ph group is therefore the most rate retarding of the electronegative substituents reported to date, as evidenced by the fact that the solvolytic rate of the  $\alpha$ -CF<sub>3</sub>-substituted system 33 exceeds that of the  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfone 13b by a factor of  $2.3 \times 10^2$ . These rate comparisons suggest that the major effect of the SO<sub>2</sub>Ph group is inductive in nature and that this effect is quite destabilizing with respect to carbocationic centers. No offsetting stabilizing effect is apparent as in the case of  $\alpha$ -carbonyl cations, 2, or  $\alpha$ -cyano cations, 3.





Generation of  $\alpha$ -Sulfinyl Carbocations and Sulfinyl Group Rate Effects. With an improved understanding of the effect of the sulfonyl group, SO<sub>2</sub>Ph, on carbocation stability and reactivity, attention was turned to the effect of the sulfinyl group, SOPh, on carbocations. A series of  $\alpha$ -sulfinyl bromides 35 were prepared from the corresponding sulfoxides 34 by a bromination procedure analogous to that previously used to prepare the  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfones



13. Despite the relatively low yields, the  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfoxides 35 could be isolated. Solvolysis of 35b (a mixture of diastereomers) in formic acid gave no simple substitution product. *p*-Methylacetophenone, 16, was produced as well as diphenyl disulfide, 36, and phenyl benzenethiosulfonate, 37. These later two products are produced undoubtedly from loss of the benzenesulfinyl group

and dimerization-disproportionation of the resultant PhS(O)H under the reaction conditions. Analogous products were seen in trifluoroethanol, where ketal **19a**, ketone **16**, and the elimination product **38** were formed (2.3:1.3:1 ratio) along with **36** and **37**. In 70% aqueous acetone (buffered with triethylamine) p-methylacetophenone, **16**, and the elimination product **38** were produced (6.1:1 ratio) along with diphenyl disulfide, **36**.



The products derived from solvolysis of **35b** are consistent with the involvement of cation **39**. The rate of solvolysis of **35b** in formic acid ( $Y_{OTs} = 3.04$ ) is much greater than in acetic acid ( $Y_{OTs} = -0.61$ ) as is expected for a reaction involving a cationic intermediate.<sup>17</sup> Solvent capture of **39** followed by subsequent



processes involving loss of the sulfinyl group would account for the observed products. In order to gain some insights into the effect of the sulfinyl group on solvolytically generated cations, the  $\sigma^+$  values for the SOPh and SOCH<sub>3</sub> groups were determined in ethanol from the solvolysis rates of the cumyl chlorides 23a and 23b. As can be seen from Table II, these groups in the para position are electron withdrawing relative to hydrogen but less so than the more oxidized sulfone groups. In the case of the bromides 35a-c, where the electron-withdrawing SOPh group is attached directly to the developing cationic center, the Hammett  $\rho^+$  value was determined by measuring trifluoroethanolysis rates. In the case of 35b, both diastereomers reacted at comparable rates as determined by monitoring the reaction in formic acid by NMR. The  $\rho^+$  value based on these three substrates is -7.2. Pertinent comparisons can be seen in Table III. The  $\rho^+$  value for 35 is quite large and indicative of a very large transition-state demand for aryl stabilization. We therefore conclude that the sulfinyl group is not very effective in alleviating the demand for charge delocalization into the aryl group. The demand for aryl stabilization in solvolysis of 35 is comparable to that of the CF<sub>3</sub>-containing substrate 26. This indicates that a conjugative interaction as represented by 40b is not very important in stabilization of this  $\alpha$ -sulfinyl carbocation. Solvolytic processes involving neighboring group participation of the adjacent oxygen atom, leading to 41, are also considered unlikely due to the magnitude of the  $\rho^+$  value.<sup>19</sup>

A direct comparison of the solvolysis rate of **35b** with those of the analogous bromides also supports these conclusions. The

<sup>(15)</sup> Peters, E. N.; Brown, H. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 2397-2398.
(16) Tsuno, Y.; Kusuyama, Y.; Sawada, M.; Fujii, T.; Yakawa, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1975, 48, 3337.

<sup>(17)</sup> Temperatures of about 90 °C are necessary to acheive solvolysis of **35b** in HOAc at convenient rates. Determination of precise solvolysis rate constants was difficult due to a competing sulfoxide pyrolysis reaction at these temperatures.

<sup>(18)</sup> Solvolysis of substrates in which neighboring thiophosphoryl participation is important results in a relatively small  $\rho$  value of only -2.99. See ref 1f.

 <sup>(19) (</sup>a) Okamoto, Y.; Inukai, T.; Brown, H. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 4972–4976. (b) Brown, H. C.; Okamoto, Y. Ibid. 1958, 80, 4979–4987.

sulfinyl-containing substrate 35b is far less reactive  $(5.2 \times 10^3)$ than the carbonyl-containing analogue 30 despite the comparable  $\sigma^+$  values for these two groups. We conclude that, unlike the  $\alpha$ -carbonyl cation<sup>1c-e</sup> derived from 30, the  $\alpha$ -sulfinyl cation is not stabilized by a conjugative interaction. The  $\alpha$ -sulfinyl cation is produced from 35b even less readily than the  $\alpha$ -cyano cation forms from 32. Sulfur nonbonding electron pair conjugation cannot be very important as a stabilizing feature in cations such as 40. We speculate that this lack of stabilization by the sulfoxide nonbonding electron pair is due to the fact that the sulfur atom in sulfoxides already carries significant positive charge. Sulfoxides are probably best represented as dipolar structures. Conjugation as in 40b, involving electrons in a sulfur sp<sup>3</sup> hybrid orbital, is probably not effective since these electrons are more strongly attached to the positively charged sulfoxide sulfur atom than are nonbonding electrons in a sulfide group.

A comparison of the solvolysis rate of the unoxidized  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfide 42 with those of the  $\alpha$ -H analogue 29 and the oxidized analogues 13b and 35b is instructive. The  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfide 42 is far too reactive for its rate to be measured in trifluoroethanol. Therefore we have attempted to estimate its solvolytic rate in trifluoroethanol in the following fashion. The  $\alpha$ -chloro sulfide 43 has been prepared and is found to solvolyze in the poorly



ionizing solvent isopropyl alcohol with a half-life of 3.54 s at 25 °C. One can crudely estimate the rate of 42 if one assumes a bromide/chloride reactivity ratio of  $14^{20}$  a Winstein–Grunwald *m* value of 0.85 for solvolysis of 43, an  $\alpha$ -CH<sub>3</sub> rate-enhancing effect of  $10^{3}$ ,<sup>21</sup> and a *p*-CH<sub>3</sub> rate-enhancing effect of 10;<sup>22</sup> then the calculated trifluoroethanolysis rate of 43 at 25 °C is  $4 \times 10^8$  s<sup>-1</sup>. While this value involves large extrapolations and should not be taken literally, it does imply that  $\alpha$ -SPh substitution enhances solvolysis rates by an enormous factor (approaching 10<sup>9</sup>) relative to the  $\alpha$ -H analogue. Oxidation of  $\alpha$ -SPh to  $\alpha$ -SOPh results in a rate reduction of approximately 10<sup>14</sup>. Further oxidation of SOPh to  $\alpha$ -SO<sub>2</sub>Ph results in a further rate reduction of  $1.9 \times 10^3$ . This much smaller rate reduction is presumably due to the somewhat greater electron-withdrawing properties of SO<sub>2</sub>Ph relative to SOPh as reflected by their corresponding  $\sigma^+$  values. The first oxidation of sulfide to sulfoxide is the process which removes the conjugative ability of the sulfur nonbonding electrons. It is our view that further oxidation of sulfoxide to sulfone simply increases the electron-withdrawing properties of the substituent with no effect on the conjugative properties which have already been essentially destroyed in the sulfoxide.



Related studies in the free radical area support our findings that the sulfinyl group does not act as an electron-donor group.<sup>6</sup> The captodative effect is a free radical stabilizing feature in which the combination of electron-donor and electron-acceptor sub-



<sup>(21)</sup> For a discussion of the  $\alpha$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\alpha$ -H ratio and leading references, see: Fry, J. L.; Harris, J. M.; Bingham, R. C.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 2540–2542. The  $\alpha$ -CH<sub>3</sub>/ $\alpha$ -H ratio of 10<sup>3</sup> used for estimating the rate of 42 is a conservative estimate.

stituents attached to a radical center exerts a synergistic stabilizing effect.<sup>23</sup> We have found<sup>6</sup> that there is no additional captodative stabilization in the transition state of the methylenecyclopropane rearrangement of 44 to 45, which proceeds through the biradical 46. If the sulfinyl group were capable of acting as an elec-



tron-donor group, then, in conjunction with the electron-withdrawing carboethoxy group, a captodative rate-enhancing effect would have been observed in the rearrangement of 44. We have concluded that the sulfinyl group does *not* stabilize free radicals via a donor type of mechanism as pictured in 47.

How do these findings fit with other studies where  $\alpha$ -sulfinyl cations have been suggested? A study by Venier et al. on the acid-catalyzed decomposition of the diazo compound **48** suggested the involvement of cation **50**, which is stabilized as in **50a**.<sup>11</sup> This



cation captures water at sulfur and gives phenyl methyl sulfone, 51. Silver-assisted solvolysis of 52 also gave 51 via 50. The intermediate generated from 49 and 52 captures water at sulfur while the intermediate formed in the reaction of the  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfoxides 35 gives products derived from solvent capture at carbon. Our studies suggest that the cations 40 do not derive significant stabilization from the adjacent sulfur, while it is suggested that 50 is substantially stabilized by the sulfinyl group. Indeed, if the directly attached PhSO group is cation destabilizing as our study indicates, then a cation such as 50 would be less stable than a methyl cation.

How do we resolve these conflicting interpretations of experimental results? Our data are completely consistent with the

<sup>(22)</sup> This would correspond to a  $\rho$  value of -3.2 for solvolysis of substituted analogues of 43.

<sup>(23)</sup> For a review and leading references, see: Viehe, H. G.; Janousek, Z.; Merényi, R. Acc. Chem. Res. **1985**, *18*, 148–154. The captodative effect is also referred to as merostabilization of "push-pull" stabilization. For further leading references, see: Leigh, W. J.; Arnold, D. R.; Humphreys, R. W. R.; Wong, P. C. Can. J. Chem. **1980**, *58*, 2537–2549.

involvement of the  $\alpha$ -sulfinyl cations 40, which do not derive any stabilization from the adjacent sulfoxide group. Previous literature<sup>11</sup> suggests that cations of type 50 are stabilized to a rather large extent by the sulfoxide group. One explanation for this apparent conflict is the possibility that the extreme demand for stabilization in 50 results in a changeover of the sulfoxide moiety from an electron-withdrawing to an electron-donating group (via resonance as in 50a). In aryl-stabilized cations such as 39, due to decreased electron demand, the sulfoxide would exert purely its electronegative effect. If this explanation is correct, then the sulfoxide group is capable of an enormous change in its response to a developing adjacent positive charge. In one case, i.e., cation 40, it is quite destabilizing relative to  $\alpha$ -H, while in the other case, i.e., cation 50, it is quite stabilizing relative to hydrogen. We are aware of no precedent for a response change of this magnitude. An alternative suggestion to account for the conflicting data interpretations is the possibility that cation 50 is not actually involved. The cyclized form 53 has not previously been considered, and there is no evidence which precludes its involvement in the reactions of 49 and 52. Capture of water at the sulfur of 53



followed by ring opening and tautomerism would give the sulfone product **51** found in these reactions. In any case, further studies are necessary to allow one to distinguish between ion **50** and the cyclized form **53**. These studies should help to clear up the conflict with existing literature created by our current findings on the ability of the sulfinyl group to interact with an adjacent cationic center.

**Conclusions.** The  $\alpha$ -mesyloxy sulfores 14 solvolyze giving products and rate data consistent with the involvement of  $\alpha$ sulfonyl cations of general type 10. These cations form at much slower rates than the  $\alpha$ -H analogues. The sulfort group is even more inductively destabilizing with respect to an adjacent cationic center than is the CF<sub>3</sub> group as evidenced by relative rates of cation formation and demand for any stabilization as reflected by  $\rho^+$ values. The less oxidized  $\alpha$ -sulfingl cations of general type 11 can also be solvolytically generated from the  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfoxides 35. These cations also form at greatly reduced rates relative to the  $\alpha$ -H analogues despite the potential for stabilization involving the sulfur nonbonding electrons. Oxidation of a sulfide function to sulfoxide results in an enormous rate reduction for formation of the  $\alpha$ -substituted carbocation. Further oxidation of sulfoxide to sulfone gives a much smaller rate slowdown for carbocation formation. Stabilization of  $\alpha$ -sulfinyl cations as in 40b does not appear to be important. The series of bromides of general type p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>C(CH<sub>3</sub>)BrE, where the group E is an electronegative group, has been solvolyzed in the common solvent trifluoroethanol in order to compare the effect of various groups on rates of generation of electron-deficient carbocations. The relative reactivity order for formation of these aryl-stabilized carbocations containing the various electronegative groups E is COPh > PO- $(OEt)_2 > CN > SOPh > CF_3 > SO_2Ph.$ 

#### **Experimental Section**

**Preparation of Sulfones 12. General Procedure.** A solution of the appropriate sulfide  $ArCH(CH_3)SPh$  (prepared by reaction of the appropriate chloride with sodium thiophenoxide in ethanol) in 10 parts of  $CH_2Cl_2$  was cooled to 0 °C, and 2.1 equiv of *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid was added slowly in small portions. After stirring for 2 h at room temperature, the mixture was taken up into ether and washed with a mixture of NaOH, NaI, and Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The organic extract was dried

over  $MgSO_4$ , and the solvent was removed by using a rotary evaporator. The crude sulfones 12 were slurried with pentane and collected on a Buchner funnel. The following procedure is representative.

Reaction of 3.00 g of p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)SPh in 25 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with 6.25 g of 85% *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid gave 3.40 g (99%) of sulfone **12b**: mp 109–110 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.8–7.2 (m, 5 H), 7.07 (m, 4 H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 1.72 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H).

**Preparation of**  $\alpha$ **-Bromo Sulfones 13. General Procedure.**<sup>8b</sup> The appropriate sulfone 12 was dissolved by warming it in 2.8 parts of BrCCl<sub>3</sub> and 5 parts of *tert*-butyl alcohol. Powdered KOH (4.5 parts) was added in small portions to the stirred mixture held in a room temperature water bath. The reaction was exothermic. After 75 min, the mixture was taken up into CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and washed with water. The organic extract was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and the solvent was removed by using a rotary evaporator. The crude products 13 were slurried with hexanes and collected on a Buchner funnel. The following procedure is representative.

Reaction of a solution of 6.53 g of sulfone 12b in 18.45 mL of BrCCl<sub>3</sub> and 33.1 mL of *tert*-butyl alcohol with 29.7 g of powdered KOH gave 7.74 g (91%) of  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfone 13b: mp 126–128 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD-Cl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.8–7.2 (m, 7 H), 7.2–6.9 (d, 2 H), 2.465 (s, 3 H), 2.359 (s, 3 H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrO<sub>2</sub>S: C, 53.11; H, 4.46. Found: C, 52.92; H, 4.58.

**Preparation of Mesylates 14. General Procedure.** A solution of the appropriate  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfone 13 (1 equiv) and 3 equiv of silver mesylate in dry acetonitrile was heated for a given period of time and protected from light. The mixture was then taken up into 50% ether-50% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and washed with four portions of aqueous KCN and saturated NaCl solution. The organic extract was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and the solvent was removed by using a rotary evaporator. Recrystallization of the residue from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-Skelly F gave the corresponding mesylates 14. The following procedure is representative.

A solution of 1.515 g of  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfone **13b** and 2.648 g of silver mesylate in 100 mL of acetonitrile was heated at reflux for 3 h. After a workup as described above and solvent removal, the residue was cooled to -20 °C. The solid which formed was recrystallized from 3 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and 6 mL of hexanes to give 0.770 g (49%) of mesylate **14b**: mp 91-93 °C dec; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.69-7.59 (m, 3 H), 7.50-7.43 (t, 2 H), 7.29-7.23 (d, 2 H), 7.16-7.10 (d, 2 H), 3.153 (s, 3 H), 2.434 (s, 3 H), 2.350 (s, 3 H). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>5</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 54.22; H, 5.12. Found: C, 53.97; H, 5.23.

Reaction of 1.041 g of  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfone 13a and 1.866 g of silver mesylate in 18 mL of acetonitrile in sealed tubes at 125 °C for 3 h gave a large amount of the elimination product 18 (Ar = Ph) and a smaller amount of mesylate 14a from which 88 mg (8%) of 14a could be isolated.

Reaction of 340 mg of  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfone 13c and 605 mg of silver mesylate in 22 mL of acetonitrile at room temperature for 1 h gave 284 mg (81%) of mesylate 14c, which crystallized from hexanes containing a small amount of ether at -20 °C. During solvent removal, the temperature was not allowed to exceed 0 °C. Mesylate 14c readily decomposed on standing at room temperature and was stored at -80 °C. 14c: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.80-7.45 (m, 5 H), 7.38 (d, 2 H), 6.88 (d, 2 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H), 3.14 (s, 3 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H). Mesylate 14c decomposed on standing in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

**Preparation of Cumyl Chlorides 22 and 23.** The cumyl chlorides **22a** (p-SO<sub>2</sub>Ph) and **22b** (p-SO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>) were prepared by treating the corresponding cumyl alcohols (prepared by oxidation of the corresponding sulfides with 2 equiv of *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid) with thionyl chloride by using procedures analogous to those previously described.

The cumyl chlorides **23a** (*p*-SOPh) and **23b** (*p*-SOCH<sub>3</sub>) were prepared by oxidation of the corresponding *p*-(thioalkyl)cumyl chlorides with 1 equiv of *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid. The following procedure is representative. A solution of 650 mg of *p*-(thiomethoxy)cumyl alcohol in 10 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was cooled to 0 °C, and HCl gas was bubbled in for about 2 min. A slow stream of nitrogen was then bubbled through the mixture to remove the excess HCl. A solution of 0.616 g of 85% *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid in 5 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was then added dropwise to the cold solution. The mixture was then warmed to room temperature, and after 1 h, the mixture was taken up into ether. The mixture was then washed with NaOH solution and saturated NaCl solution and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed by using a rotary evaporator, and the residue slowly crystallized. The solid was slurried with hexanes and collected to give 726 mg (93%) of **23b**: mp 54-56 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.82-7.59 (AA'BB' quartet, 4 H), 2.753 (s, 3 H), 2.009 (s, 6 H).

**Preparation of Sulfoxides 34.** General Procedures. A solution of the appropriate sulfide  $ArCH(CH_3)SPh$  in 10 parts of  $CH_2Cl_2$  was cooled to 0 °C, and a solution of 0.95 equiv of *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid in  $CH_2Cl_2$  was slowly added dropwise to the stirred solution. The mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 30 min and taken up into ether, and an aqueous workup followed. The organic extract was washed with

#### $\alpha$ -Sulfonyl and $\alpha$ -Sulfinyl Carbocations

NaOH solution and dried over MgSO4. After solvent removal on a rotary evaporator, the solid mixture of diastereomeric sulfoxides was slurried with hexanes and collected on a Buchner funnel. The following procedure is representative.

Addition of a solution of 1.69 g of 85% *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid in 25 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to a solution of 2.22 g of p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)SPh in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C gave 1.95 g (82%) of sulfoxide **34b**: mp 70–78 °C, as a mixture of diastereomers; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.5–6.8 (m, 9 H), 4.02 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, CH of minor diastereomer), 3.77 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, CH of major diastereomer), 1.54 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub> of minor diastereomer). The ratio of the two diastereomers was 1.95 to 1 as determined by NMR.

**Preparation of**  $\alpha$ **-Bromo Sulfoxides 35. General Procedure.** The appropriate sulfoxide 34, dissolved in a mixture of BrCCl<sub>3</sub> and *tert*-butyl alcohol, was treated with powdered KOH by using a procedure analogous to that used to prepare the  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfones, 13. On completion of the reaction, the mixture was taken up into CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, washed with water and saturated with NaCl solution, and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel and eluted with 25% ether in hexanes. The following procedure is representative.

A solution of 1.75 g of sulfoxide **34b** in 10 g of BrCCl<sub>3</sub> and 12 mL of *tert*-butyl alcohol was treated with 14.5 g of powdered KOH. After the mixture stirred for 2 h at room temperature, an aqueous workup followed as described above. Chromatography on 25 g of silica gel gave an oil which was slurried with hot hexane. On cooling, the product crystallized giving 0.52 g (22%) of the  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfoxides **35b**, mp 60–90 °C. Two diastereomers were present in a 1.24 to 1 ratio as determined by NMR: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.8–7.2 (m, 7 H), 7.5–6.9 (m, 9 H), 2.367 (s, 3 H), 2.289 (s, CH<sub>3</sub> of the major diastereomer), 2.173 (s, CH<sub>3</sub> of the minor diastereomer). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrOS: C, 55.74; H, 4.68. Found: C, 54.47; H, 4.56.

Bromination of 34a for 5 h at room temperature by using the above procedure gave 33% of 35a. The ratio of diastereomers before chromatography was about 4 to 1. After chromatography the ratio was 20 to 1 with the CH<sub>3</sub> of the major diastereomer appearing at  $\delta$  2.34. The CH<sub>3</sub> of the minor diastereomer appeared at  $\delta$  2.23.

Bromination of 34c for 15 min in an ice-water bath according to the above procedure gave the unstable  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfoxide 35c. After chromatography the ratio of the diastereomers of 35c was 5.9 to 1 with the CH<sub>3</sub> of the major diastereomer appearing at  $\delta$  2.28. The CH<sub>3</sub> of the minor diastereomer appeared at  $\delta$  2.17. On standing for short periods of time in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 35c decomposed.

**Preparation of \alpha-Bromo Ketone 30.** A solution of lithium diisopropylamide (prepared by the addition of 2.1 mL of 2.5 M *n*-butyllithium in hexane to 0.59 g of diisopropylamine in 10 mL of tetrahydrofuran) was cooled to -78 °C, and a solution of 0.95 g of *p*-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH-(CH<sub>3</sub>)COPh in 3 mL of THF was added dropwise. The mixture was warmed to -35 °C and recooled to -78 °C. A solution of 0.73 g of ClSiMe<sub>3</sub> in 5 mL of THF was added, and the mixture was warmed to 50 mL of an ether-Skelly F mixture (50/50). The mixture was taken up to 50 mL of an ether-Skelly F mixture (50/50). The mixture MgSQ<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed by using a rotary evaporator, and the mixture was distilled to give 1.10 g (87%) of the silyl enol ether derivative; bp 104-110 °C (0.04 mm), as a mixture of *E* and *Z* isomers.

A solution of 0.250 g of the silyl enol ether mixture prepared above in 3 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was cooled to -78 °C, and a solution of 0.145 g of Br<sub>2</sub> in 2 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure with the last traces being removed at 0.1 mm. The unstable  $\alpha$ -bromo ketone **30** (0.253 g, 99%) remained as a light-yellow oil which was used immediately for kinetic studies without further purification: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.81-7.74 (d, 2 H), 7.45-7.07 (m, 7 H), 2.340 (s, 3 H), 2.209 (s, 3 H).

**Preparation of 33.** Bromide 33 was prepared according to a procedure analogous to that previously described.<sup>5a</sup> Reaction of p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>C-(CH<sub>3</sub>)(OH)CF<sub>3</sub> with PBr<sub>3</sub> at 40 °C for 2 h as previously described gave only recovered starting alcohol and none of the bromide 33. Reaction at 85–93 °c for 4 h gave the desired bromide 33, bp 76–77 °C (2 mm), with spectral properties identical with those previously reported.

**Preparation of**  $\alpha$ -**Chloro Sulfide 43.** A solution of 0.539 g of PhSCl in 10 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was cooled to -78 °C as a solution of 0.539 g of phenyldiazomethane in 3 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was added dropwise. Nitrogen evolution was instantaneous. On completion of the addition the color was completely discharged. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the solid residue was distilled to give 0.740 g (85%) of the  $\alpha$ -chloro sulfide **43**,<sup>24</sup> bp 115 °C (0.05 mm), which solidified on standing at -20 °C: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.8-7.0 (m, 10 H), 6.29 (s, 1 H).

**Kinetics Procedures.** Procedures were in certain cases analogous to those previously described.<sup>1</sup> However, determination of solvolysis rates of sulfones 14 was sometimes complicated by the secondary process in which benzenesulfinate is lost. Oxidation and/or disproportionation of the benzenesulfinic acid occurs at higher temperatures and, in some cases, prevented determination of rates by simple back-titration of unreacted buffering base as we have described previously.

The rates of solvolysis of **14a** and **14b** in methanol containing 0.025 M Et<sub>3</sub>N were monitored by back-titration of the unreacted Et<sub>3</sub>N with perchloric acid. Solvolysis of **14b** in TFE containing 0.025 M 2,6-lutidine was monitored by back-titration of the unreacted 2,6-lutidine with perchloric acid. Calculated infinity values were used due to the variable measured infinity values resulting from benzenesulfinate oxidation and/or disproportionation. Solvolysis of **14b** in HCO<sub>2</sub>H containing 0.05 M sodium formate was monitored by back-titration of the unreacted sodium formate with perchloric acid. Solvolysis of **14b** in CD<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>D containing pyridine as a buffering base was monitored by NMR by observing the disappearance of the methyl singlet at  $\delta$  3.15. Solvolyses of **14b** in 97% HFIP and in trifluoroacetic acid were monitored spectrophotometrically by observing the absorbance increase at 260 and 262.5 nm, respectively. Solvolysis of **14c** in methanol was monitored spectrophotometrically by observing the absorbance increase at 240 nm.

Determination of solvolysis rates of  $\alpha$ -bromo sulfoxides 35 by acid titration procedures was also complicated by the fact that "infinity' values varied with time due to secondary reactions of the PhS(O)H produced in solvolysis. The solvolysis rate of 35a in TFE containing 0.025 M 2,6-lutidine was therefore determined by aqueous titration of the bromide ion released as a function of time with aqueous silver nitrate. The solvolysis rates of 35b in TFE containing 0.025 M 2,6-lutidine and in HCO<sub>2</sub>H containing 0.05 M sodium formate were determined by back-titration of unreacted base with perchloric acid as previously described. Calculated infinity values were used. The solvolysis rate of 35c in TFE containing 0.001 M Et<sub>3</sub>N was monitored spectrophotometrically by observing the absorbance decrease at 237 nm. Clean first-order kinetic behavior was observed for 35a-c despite the fact that measurements were made on the mixture of diastereomers described earlier. This is due to the fact that both diastereomers of 35b appear to react at comparable rates, while 35a and 35c are reasonably free of the minor diastereomer.

Solvolysis rates for 29 and 30 in TFE, and for 43 in isopropyl alcohol, were monitored spectrophotometrically by observing absorbance changes at 201, 250, and 259 nm, respectively. Solvolysis rates of 33 in TFE containing 0.025 M 2,6-lutidine were monitored by back-titration of the unreacted 2,6-lutidine with perchloric acid as previously described. The solvolysis rate for trifluoroacetate 56 in TFE was determined spectro-



photometrically at 228 nm. The solvolysis rate for trifluoroacetate 57 in TFE was determined by quenching the solvolysis mixture, removing the TFE solvent under vacuum, and analyzing it by NMR spectroscopy in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

Rate constants for all of the above procedures were calculated by the method of least squares. Correlation coefficients were all greater than 0.999 and in most cases greater than 0.9999. Rate constants given represent an average of at least two runs.

Solvolysis of Mesylate 14b in Trifluoroacetic Acid. Four milliliters of trifluoroacetic acid containing 0.2 M sodium trifluoroacetate was added to 145 mg of mesylate 14b with rapid stirring. After 60 s the mixture was transferred with ether to 35 mL of cold saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. After CO<sub>2</sub> evolution ceased, the organic phase was washed with another 40 mL of cold saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator to give 124 mg of an oil. NMR analysis showed a mixture of the trifluoroacetate 15 (Ar = p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) and p-methylacetophenone, 16. The oil was triturated with hexanes and cooled. The solid which formed was recrystallized from hexanes to give 77 mg (51%) of trifluoroacetate 15: 113–114 °C; 'H NMR (Ar = p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $\delta$  7.78–7.65 (m, 3 H), 7.502 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 3 H), 7.135 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.038 (d, J =

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Vol. 109, No. 8, 1987 2441

<sup>(24)</sup> Tuleen, D. L.; Marcum, V. C. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 204-206.

<sup>(25)</sup> Trost, B. M.; Massiot, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4405-4412.
(b) Pinnick, H. W.; Reynolds, M. A.; McDonald, R. T., Jr.; Brewster, W. D. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 930-932.

8.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.356 (s, 3 H), 2.349 (s, 3 H); IR (Ar = p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\nu_{C=0}$  1800 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In a separate experiment, heating a sample of mesylate **14b** in trifluoroacetic acid for 2 h at 55 °C gave only p-methylacetophenone, **16**.

In a separate experiment, 8.5 mg of trifluoroacetate 15, isolated as described above, was dissolved in 0.5 mL of trifluoroacetic acid containing 0.2 M sodium trifluoroacetate in an NMR tube. The conversion of 15 to p-methylacetophenone, 16, was monitored by 300-MHz NMR at 25 °C by observing the appearance of the two methyl signals of 16, which appear downfield from the methyl signals of 15. The first-order rate constant for this process is  $3.05 \times 10^{-5} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ . After completion of the reaction an authentic sample of p-methylacetophenone was added to the NMR tube to confirm its presence.

Solvolysis of Mesylate 14b in Acetic Acid. A solution of 74 mg of 14b in 10 mL of HOAc containing 0.05 M NaOAc and 1% acetic anhydride was heated at 70 °C for 4 h. The mixture was then taken up into ether, the solution was washed with dilute NaOH solution and saturated NaCl solution, and the organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator, leaving 46 mg of a clear oil. Analysis by 300-MHz NMR showed the presence of acetate 17 (Ar = p- $CH_3C_6H_4$ ), the elimination product 18 (Ar = p-CH\_3C\_6H\_4), and pmethylacetophenone, 16, in a 25:15:60 ratio, respectively. Hexane was added to the oil which was cooled in a freezer. A solid crystallized, and the hexanes were decanted leaving 10 mg of acetate 17 (Ar = p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>): IR (Ar = p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\nu_{C=0}$  1760 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(Ar = p-CH_{3}C_{6}H_{4})$   $(CDCl_{3})$   $\delta$  7.71–7.62 (m, 3 H), 7.466 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.13-7.02 (AA'BB' quartet, 4 H), 2.334 (s, 3 H), 2.277 (s, 3 H), 2.139 (s, 3 H). 18: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Ar = p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.695 (d, 2 H), 7.526 (t, 1 H), 7.403 (t, 2 H), 7.212 (d, 2 H), 7.069 (d, 2 H), 6.596 (s, 1 H), 5.932 (s, 1 H), 2.315 (s, 3 H). p-Methylacetophenone, 16, formed in the acetolysis was identified by NMR spectral comparison with an authentic sample.

Solvolysis of Mesylate 14b in Trifluoroethanol. A solution of 43 mg of 14b in 6 mL of TFE containing 40 mg of Et<sub>3</sub>N was kept at 25 °C for 7.5 h. The solvent was then removed on a rotary evaporator, and the residue was taken up into ether. The mixture was then washed with water, dilute KOH, and saturated NaCl and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The ether was removed on a rotary evaporator to give 32 mg of a mixture of ketal 19a (96%) and the elimination product 18 (4%). 19a: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Ar = p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.43-7.35 (d, 2 H), 7.24-7.16 (d, 2 H), 3.90-3.66 (m, 4 H), 2.362 (s, 3 H), 1.666 (s, 3 H).

Solvolysis of Bromide 35b in Formic Acid. A solution of 258 mg of 35b in 17 mL of formic acid containing 0.05 M sodium formate was heated at 55 °C for 5 h. The mixture was taken up into ether, washed

with water, dilute Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and saturated NaCl, and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator, and the residue was chromatographed on 6 g of silica gel and eluted with 5% ether in hexanes. Diphenyl disulfide, **36**, eluted first (41 mg) followed by *p*-methylacetophenone (75 mg, 70%), and finally the thiosulfonate **37**<sup>25</sup> (32 mg) eluted. These products were all identified by spectral comparison with authentic samples.

In a separate experiment, the reaction of 10 mg of 35b in 0.7 mL of formic acid containing 0.05 M sodium formate was monitored directly by 300-MHz NMR at 45 °C. *p*-Methylacetophenone, 16, was observed to form at the same rate that 35b disappeared. No buildup of an intermediate could be observed. The two diastereomers of 35b disappeared at rates which were identical within the limits of NMR determination.

Solvolysis of Bromide 35b in Trifluoroethanol. A solution of 170 mg of 35b in 8 mL of TFE containing 0.075 M 2,6-lutidine was heated for 5 h at 60 °C. The solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator, and the residue was taken up into ether, washed with water and saturated NaCl, and dried over MgSO4. NMR analysis showed the presence of ketal 19a and p-methylacetophenone, 16, along with the elimination product 38 in a 2.3:1.3:1 ratio, respectively. Also present were diphenyl disulfide, 36, and the thiosulfonate 37. The residue was chromatographed on 7 g of silica gel and eluted with 5% ether in hexanes. The initial fraction (61 mg) contained a mixture of ketal 19a and diphenyl disulfide, 36, which were identified by spectral methods and by comparison of gas chromatographic retention times with those of authentic samples. p-Methylacetophenone, 16 (32 mg, 45%), eluted next, followed by 37 (22 mg). The solvent polarity was then changed to 100% ether, and the elimination product 38 (28 mg, 22%) eluted:  ${}^{1}H$  NMR (Ar = p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.47-7.27 (m, 5 H), 7.09 (s, 4 H), 6.21 (s, 1 H), 5.88 (s, 1 H), 2.31 (s, 3 H).

Solvolysis of Bromide 35b in 70% Aqueous Acetone. A solution of 144 mg of 35b in 5 mL of 70% aqueous acetone (by volume) containing 55 mg of  $Et_3N$  was heated at 70 °C for 46 h and at 80 °C for 16 h. The solvent was removed on a rotary evaporator, and the residue was taken up into ether, washed with water, dilute HCl, and saturated NaCl solution, and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After solvent removal on a rotary evaporator, the residue (102 mg) was analyzed by NMR and gas chromatography, which showed *p*-methylacetophenone, 16, and the elimination product 38 in a 86 to 14 ratio, along with diphenyl disulfide, 36.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for financial support of this research.

# Preparation and Regiospecific Cyclization of Alkenyllithiums<sup>1</sup>

## William F. Bailey,\* Timo T. Nurmi,<sup>†</sup> Jeffrey J. Patricia, and Wei Wang

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06268. Received June 23, 1986. Revised Manuscript Received October 15, 1986

Abstract: A two-step, one-pot sequence has been developed that provides an anionic route to functionalized carbocycles containing five- or six-membered rings. Primary alkenyllithiums, which are prepared in excellent yield by metal-halogen interchange between the appropriate iodide and t-BuLi at -78 °C, are stable at low temperature. These species have been found to undergo regiospecific, and in several instances totally stereoselective, isomerization at elevated temperature to give a five- or six-membered ring bearing a CH<sub>2</sub>Li moiety that may be functionalized with electrophiles. The more complex behavior of secondary alkenyllithiums is discussed.

The construction of C–C bonds is arguably the most important operation in organic synthesis. It is therefore not surprising that much recent interest has focused on the synthetic utility<sup>2</sup> of the highly regiospecific isomerization of 5-hexen-1-yl radicals to cyclopentylmethyl-containing products.<sup>3</sup> A major disadvantage of this otherwise powerful methodology is the fact that the product radical is difficult to trap in a controlled, intermolecular reaction to give a functionalized product.<sup>2,4</sup> A conceptually simple solution

<sup>†</sup>On leave from the University of Turku, Turku, Finland.

to this limitation of radical cyclizations would seem to be provided by the well-established tendency of various organometallic de-

<sup>(1)</sup> Presented in part at the 190th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Chicago, IL, Sept 1985; ORGN 121.

<sup>(2)</sup> Representative examples may be found in: (a) Hart, D. J. Science (Washington, D. C.) 1984, 223, 883. (b) Curran, D. P.; Rakiewicz, D. M. Tetetrahedron 1985, 19, 3943. (c) Curran, D. P.; Kuo, S.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1106. (d) Stork, G.; Sher, P. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2116. (f) Meijs, G. F.; Beckwith, A. L. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5890. (g) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Roberts, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5893.