



Article

Tuning the Moisture and Thermal Stability of Metal-Organic Frameworks through Incorporation of Pendant Hydrophobic Groups

Trevor Makal, Xuan Wang, and Hong-Cai (Joe) Zhou

Cryst. Growth Des., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/cg4009224 • Publication Date (Web): 13 Sep 2013

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on September 16, 2013

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Crystal Growth & Design is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

Tuning the Moisture and Thermal Stability of Metal-Organic Frameworks through Incorporation of Pendant Hydrophobic Groups

Trevor A. Makal[†], Xuan Wang, and Hong-Cai Zhou*

Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States

[†] Current address: Department of Natural Sciences, The University of Virginia's College at Wise, Wise, Virginia 24293, United States

KEYWORDS Metal-organic framework, MOF, isoreticular chemistry, hydrophobic material

ABSTRACT: An isostructural series of NbO-type porous metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) with different dialkoxy-substituents of formula $Cu_2(TPTC-OR)$ (TPTC-OR = 2',5'-di{*alkyl*}oxy-[1,1':4',1"-terphenyl]-3,3",5,5"-tetracarboxylate, R = Me, Et, ⁿPr, ⁿHex) has been synthesized and characterized. The moisture stability of the materials has been evaluated and a new superhydrophobic porous MOF has been identified. The relationship between pendant side chain length and thermal stability has been analyzed by *in situ* synchrotron powder X-ray diffraction, showing decreased thermal stability as the side chain length is increased, contradictory to thermogravimetric decomposition studies. Additionally, the four materials exhibit moderate Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) and Langmuir surface areas (1127 – 1396 m² g⁻¹ and 1414 – 1658 m²g⁻¹), and H₂ capacity up to 1.9 wt% at 77 K and 1 bar.

INTRODUCTION

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs)¹⁻⁵ are porous, crystalline materials that have been steadily growing in popularity due to their exciting chemistries and potential applications in gas storage, ⁶⁻¹⁰ separations, ¹¹⁻¹⁴ catalysis, ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ magnetism, ¹⁹ luminescence, ²⁰⁻²² and drug delivery and storage, ²³ to name a few. The applicability of MOFs stems from their chemical tunability, high surface areas and porosities, and impressive thermal stability. MOFs for the storage of alternative fuels (hydrogen and natural gas) along with carbon capture from flue gas from coal-fired power plants have been at the forefront of the development of future materials for environmental conservation and remediation.²⁴⁻²⁵ However, since MOFs are constructed from coordination bonds between metal ions or clusters and organic linkers (typically carboxylate or pyridyl moieties), the frameworks are often susceptible to decomposition resulting from ligand displacement by atmospheric water vapor.²⁶ This is a significant concern in clean energy technologies, as water is certainly present in flue gas, and is often an impurity in natural gas supplies. To this end, a number of researchers have investigated methods for enhancing the water stability of MOFs, including using high oxidation state metals (such as Fe^{3+} , Al^{3+} , and Zr^{4+}) which form strong coordination bonds with linkers,²⁷⁻³¹ incorporation of methyl groups near coordination sites,³²⁻³⁴ and post-synthetic grafting of hydrophobic groups onto linkers,³⁵ among others.³⁶⁴⁰

With the ready availability and inexpensiveness of copper(II) salts, along with the predictable nature of formation of dicopper(II) paddlewheel secondary building units (SBUs), we have turned our attention toward investigating methods for enhancing the water stability of MOFs formed from combination of dicopper paddlewheel SBUs and carboxylate linkers. In particular, NbO-type MOFs are well known as highly porous and robust frameworks with exceptional gas sorption properties, and can predictably be assembled from solvothermal reactions of copper(II) salts and rectangular planar tetracarboxylic acids.⁴¹⁻⁴⁹ A series of NbO-type MOFs (NOTT-10x) has been previously reported by Schröder and coworkers studying the role of pore size, ligand functionalization, and exposed metal sites in isoreticular copper(II) tetracarboxylate MOFs.⁵⁰ This previous work highlighted the predictability and feasibility of designing and synthesizing NbO-type MOFs and their applicability in future gas sorption applications.

From an inexpensive starting material, hydroquinone, four rectangular planar terphenyl tetracarboxylate organic linker precursors (H₄TPTC-OR, Scheme 1) were designed and synthesized by grafting different dialkoxy substitutions on the central phenyl ring in order to modify the hydrophobic properties. By incorporating these hydrophobic groups prior to MOF formation we can ensure full inclusion of functionalized linkers without any detrimental effects on the structure of the framework. We report here a series of four NbO-type Cu(II)based MOFs with different alkoxy substitutions that modify the moisture and thermal stability of the isostructural frameworks, as well as H₂ capacity. Through in situ synchrotron powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements, we thoroughly investigated the stability of the crystalline materials. The hydrogen storage capacity is reported and the role of pendant alkoxy groups on the linkers toward hydrogen uptake and, most importantly, thermal and moisture stability evaluated.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

d from combinaoxylate linkers. In ACS Paragon Plus Environment



(I) K₂CO₃, DMF, RBr; (II) ICI, MeOH; (III) diethyl 5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1,3benzene-dicarboxylate, NaHCO₃, CsF, Pd(PPH₃)₄, 1,2-dimethoxyethane, H₂O; (iv) 1. MeOH, THF, KOH 2. H_3O^+

purification. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) ¹H data were collected on a 300 MHz Mercury 300 spectrometer. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed under N_2 flow on a Shimadzu TGA-50 thermogravimetric analyzer with a heating rate of 3 °C min⁻¹.

Synthesis of 1,4-di-n-propoxybenzene (A, $R=^{n}Pr$) A, $R=^{n}Pr$, was synthesized by modification of a previously reported procedure.⁵¹ Hydroquinone (2.10 g, 19.1 mmol) and excess potassium carbonate were purged under vacuum and back-filled with N₂ three times in a dry 100 mL Schlenk flask. *N*,*N*dimethylformamide (DMF, 30 mL) was added *via* canula and 1-bromopropane (4.1 mL, 45.2 mmol) was added *via* syringe. The reaction mixture was heated at 60 °C under N₂ atmosphere overnight. After cooling to room temperature, water was added and the light brown crystals collected by vacuum filtration. Recrystallization from methanol yielded colorless crystals (26.5 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz CDCl₃): δ 6.80 (d, 4H, *J* = 2.2 Hz), 3.85 (td, 4H, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2.2 Hz), 1.78 (m, 4H), 1.01 (td, 6H, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2.2 Hz).

Synthesis of 1,4-di-n-hexyloxybenzene (A, $R^{=n}Hex$) A, $R^{=n}Hex$, was synthesized similarly to A, $R^{=n}Pr$, except that 1bromohexane (6.3 mL, 45.2 mmol) in place of 1bromopropane. Recrystallization of the light brown crystals from ethanol yielded a flaky off-white precipitate (40.1 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz CDCl₃): δ 6.80 (s, 4H), 3.88 (t, 4H, J = 6.6Hz), 1.73 (m, 4H), 1.50-1.26 (m, 12H), 0.88 (t, 6H, J = 7.0Hz). Synthesis of 2,5-diethoxy-1,4-di-iodo-benzene (**B**, R=Et) **B**, R=Et, was synthesized by modification of a previously reported procedure.⁵² To 20 mL methanol at 0°C were added dropwise 1.26 mL (3.91 g, 24.1 mmol) iodine monochloride, followed by 1,4-diethoxybenzene (**A**, R=Et, 1.0 g, 6.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred and heated to reflux for 4 hours, the white precipitate collected by vacuum filtration, washed with methanol, and dried in air to yield 0.681 g. Another 0.123 g was recovered upon cooling the filtrate in refrigerator (32.0 % combined). ¹H NMR (300 MHz CDCl₃) 7.19 (s, 2H), 4.00 (q, 4H, J = 7.0 Hz) 1.44 (t, 6H, J = 7.0 Hz).

Synthesis of 1,4-di-iodo-2,5-di-n-propoxybenzene (**B**, $R=^{n}Pr$) **B**, $R=^{n}Pr$, was synthesized similarly to **B**, R=Et, except that 1,4-di-n-propoxybenzene (**A**, $R=^{n}Pr$, 0.937 g, 4.82 mmol) was used instead of **A**, R=Et, in 15 mL methanol with 1.0 mL (19.1 mmol) ICl. Yield: 57.7% ¹H NMR (300 MHz CDCl₃) 7.11 (s, 2H), 3.83 (t, 4H, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.75 (m, 4H), 1.00 (t, 6H, J = 7.4 Hz).

Synthesis of 2,5-di-n-hexyloxy-1,4-di-iodo-benzene (**B**, $R=^{n}Hex$) **B**, $R=^{n}Hex$, was synthesized similarly to **B**, R=Et, except that 1,4-di-n-hexyloxybenzene (**A**, $R=^{n}Hex$, 2.03 g, 7.29 mmol) was used instead of **A**, R=Et, in 20 mL methanol with 1.65 mL (31.5 mmol) IC1. Yield: 82.2% ¹H NMR (300 MHz CDCl₃) 7.17 (s, 2H), 3.92 (t, 4H, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.80 (qn, 4H, J = 6.3 Hz), 1.58-1.43 (m, 4H), 1.41-1.29 (m, 8H), 0.91 (t, 6H, J = 7.0 Hz).

Synthesis of diethyl 2',5'-dimethoxy-[1,1':4',1''-terphenyl]-3,3'',5,5''-tetracarboxylate (C, R=Me) 1,4-Dibromo-2,5-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 dimethoxybenzene (0.51 g, 1.72 mmol), diethyl 5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1,3-benzene-

dicarboxylate⁵³ (2.61 g, 7.5 mmol), NaHCO₃ (1.23 g), CsF (3.0 g), and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.15 g) were mixed in a 250 mL Schlenk flask, then purged and refilled with N₂ three times. 1,2-Dimethoxyethane (DME, 100 mL) and distilled water (10 mL) were mixed and purged with N₂ for 30 minutes, then transferred under N₂ to the reaction flask *via* canula. The reaction solution was heated at reflux for 7 days. Organic solvent was removed *in vacuo* and water added. The aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane three times and organic extracts combined. The organic solution was washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and reduced *in vacuo*. The off-white solid was collected after washing with hot acetone and drying in air (43.8 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz CDCl₃): δ 8.67 (t, 2H, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 8.43 (d, 4H, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 7.00 (s, 2H), 4.43 (q, 8H, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 3.82 (s, 6H), 1.43 (t, 12H, *J* = 7.1 Hz).

Synthesis of diethyl 2',5'-diethoxy-[1,1':4',1"-terphenyl]-3,3",5,5"-tetracarboxylate (C, R=Et) B, R=Et, (0.804 g, 1.63 mmol), diethyl 5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2yl)-1,3-benzene-dicarboxylate⁵³ (2.26 g, 6.52 mmol), NaHCO₃ (1.00 g), CsF (2.5 g), and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.1 g) were mixed in a 250 mL Schlenk flask, then purged and refilled with N₂ three times. 1,2-Dimethoxyethane (DME, 100 mL) and distilled water (10 mL) were mixed and purged with N₂ for 30 minutes, then transferred under N2 to the reaction flask via canula. The reaction solution was heated at reflux for 5 days. Organic solvent was removed in vacuo and water added. The aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane three times and organic extracts combined. The organic solution was washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and reduced in vacuo. The off-white solid was collected after recrystallization from acetone and drying in air (42.5 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz CDCl₃): δ 8.60 (t, 2H, J = 1.6 Hz), 8.43 (d, 2H, J = 1.6 Hz), 6.97 (s, 2H), 4.36 (q, 8H, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.98 (q, 4H, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.36 (t, 12H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.27 (t, 6H, J = 7.0 Hz).

diethyl 2',5'-di-n-propoxy-[1,1':4',1"-**Synthesis** of terphenyl]-3,3",5,5"-tetracarboxylate (C, $R=^{n}Pr$) **B**, $R=^{n}Pr$, (1.24 g, 2.78 mmol), diethyl 5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1,3-benzene-dicarboxylate⁵³ (2.60 g, 7.5 mmol), NaHCO₃ (1.2 g), CsF (3.0 g), and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.15 g) were mixed in a 250 mL Schlenk flask, then purged and refilled with N_2 three times. 1,2-Dimethoxyethane (DME, 100) mL) and distilled water (10 mL) were mixed and purged with N_2 for 30 minutes, then transferred under N_2 to the reaction flask via canula. The reaction solution was heated at reflux for 5 days. Organic solvent was removed in vacuo and water added. The aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane three times and organic extracts combined. The organic solution was washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and reduced in vacuo. The white solid was collected after washing with acetone and drying in air (60.7 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz CDCl₃): δ 8.60 (t, 2H, J = 1.6 Hz), 8.42 (d, 4H, J = 1.6 Hz), 6.96 (s, 2H), 4.36 (q, 8H, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.87 (t, 4H, J = 6.3 Hz), 1.65 (m, 4H), 1.36 (t, 12H, J = 7.1 Hz), 0.90 (t, 6H, J = 7.4 Hz).

Synthesis of diethyl 2',5'-di-n-hexyloxy-[1,1':4',1''terphenyl]-3,3'',5,5''-tetracarboxylate ($C, R=^{n}Hex$) **B**, R=ⁿHex, (1.50 g, 2.82 mmol), diethyl 5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1,3-benzene-dicarboxylate⁵³ (2.60 g, 7.5 mmol), NaHCO₃ (1.2 g), CsF (3.0 g), and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.15 g) were mixed in a 250 mL Schlenk flask, then purged and refilled with N₂ three times. 1,2-Dimethoxyethane (DME, 100 mL) and distilled water (10 mL) were mixed and purged with N₂ for 30 minutes, then transferred under N₂ to the reaction flask *via* canula. The reaction solution was heated at reflux for 5 days. Organic solvent was removed *in vacuo* and water added. The aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane three times and organic extracts combined. The organic solution was washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and reduced *in vacuo*. The white solid was collected after recrystallization from acetone and drying in air (44.0 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz CDCl₃): δ 8.66 (t, 2H, J = 1.6 Hz), 8.47 (d, 4H, J = 1.6 Hz), 7.02 (s, 2H), 4.42 (q, 8H, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.95 (t, 4H, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.68 (qn, 4H, J = 6.3 Hz), 1.43 (t, 12H, J = 7.1), 1.39-1.19 (m, 12H) 0.83 (t, 6H, J = 6.8 Hz).

Synthesis of 2',5'-dimethoxy-[1,1':4',1"-terphenyl]-3,3",5,5"-tetracarboxylic acid (H_4TPTC -OMe) To a suspension of **C**, R=Me, (0.435 g, 0.753 mmol) in 50 mL THF/methanol (1/1 by volume) was added KOH (3 g in 25 mL water). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux until no more solid was present. The organic solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the peach colored solution acidified with 20% HCl (in water). The white precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with water, and dried in air (quant. yield). ¹H NMR (300 MHz DMSO- d_6): δ 13.35 (br, 4H), 8.46 (t, 2H, J = 1.6 Hz), 8.32 (d, 4H, J = 1.6 Hz), 7.18 (s, 2H), 3.81 (s, 6H).

Synthesis of 2',5'-diethoxy-[1,1':4',1"-terphenyl]-3,3",5,5"tetracarboxylic acid (**H**₄**TPTC-OEt**) To a suspension of **C**, R=Et, (0.401 g, 0.690 mmol) in 50 mL THF/methanol (1/1 by volume) was added KOH (3 g in 25 mL water). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux overnight. The organic solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the peach colored solution acidified with 20% HCl (in water). The white precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with water, and dried in air (60.0 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz DMSO-*d*₆): δ 13.30 (br, 4H), 8.45 (t, 2H, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 8.39 (d, 4H, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 7.20 (s, 2H), 4.09 (q, 4H, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 1.24 (t, 6H, *J* = 7.0 Hz).

Synthesis of 2',5'-di-n-propoxy-[1,1':4',1"-terphenyl]-3,3",5,5"-tetracarboxylic acid ($H_4TPTC-O^nPr$) To a suspension of C, R="Pr, (1.07 g, 1.69 mmol) in 50 mL THF/methanol (1/1 by volume) was added KOH (3 g in 25 mL water). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux overnight. The organic solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the peach colored solution acidified with 20% HCl (in water). The white precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with water, and dried in air (quant. yield). ¹H NMR (300 MHz DMSO- d_6): δ 13.26 (br, 4H), 8.45 (t, 2H, J = 1.6 Hz), 8.39 (d, 4H, J = 1.6 Hz), 7.19 (s, 2H), 4.00 (t, 4H, J = 6.2 Hz), 1.64 (m, 4H, J = 6.7 Hz), 0.90 (t, 6H, J = 7.4 Hz).

Synthesis of 2',5'-di-n-hexyloxy-[1,1':4',1"-terphenyl]-3,3",5,5"-tetracarboxylic acid ($H_4TPTC-O^nHex$) To a suspension of C, R=ⁿHex, (0.896 g, 1.25 mmol) in 50 mL THF/methanol (1/1 by volume) was added KOH (3 g in 25 mL water). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux overnight. The organic solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the peach colored solution acidified with 20% HCl (in water). The white precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with water, and dried in air (quant. yield). ¹H NMR (300 MHz DMSO- d_6): δ 13.30 (br, 4H), 8.45 (t, 2H, J = 1.6 Hz), 8.35 (d, 4H, J = 1.6 Hz), 7.17 (s, 2H), 4.00 (t, 4H), 1.57 (m, 4H), 1.45-1.05 (m, 12H), 0.76 (t, 6H).



Figure 1. PXRD patterns for Cu_2TPTC -OR MOFs as-synthesized (as), activated (ac), after being exposed to atmosphere after activation for 1 h, and after being exposed to atmosphere (50% r.h.) after activation for 16 days, compared to the PXRD pattern for NOTT-106 (simulated from single-crystal data).

Synthesis of $Cu_2(TPTC-OR)$ -xS (S=unidentified guest species). $Cu_2(TPTC-OMe)$ -xS To a 10 dram glass vial was added 0.050 g (0.107 mmol) H₄TPTC-OMe and 0.150 g (0.645 mmol) Cu(NO₃)₂ · 2.5 H₂O dissolved in 15 mL *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA) with 40 drops HBF₄ (48% w/w aqueous solution) and 40 drops water. The reaction was kept at 85 °C in an oven for 4 days. The resultant blue-teal crystal-line powder of Cu₂(TPTC-OMe)-xS was then decanted, washed with fresh DMA, and collected. Yield: 45.3% (activated sample) based on H₄TPTC-OMe.

 $Cu_2(TPTC-OEt)$ ·xS To a 10 dram glass vial was added 0.050 g (0.101 mmol) H₄TPTC-OEt and 0.150 g (0.645 mmol) Cu(NO₃)₂ · 2.5 H₂O dissolved in 15 mL *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA) with 20 drops HBF₄ (48% w/w aqueous solution). The reaction was kept at 85 °C in an oven for 4 days. The resultant blue-teal crystalline powder of Cu₂(TPTC-OEt)·xS was then decanted, washed with fresh DMA, and collected. Yield: 39.4% (activated sample) based on H₄TPTC-OEt.

 $Cu_2(TPTC-O^n Pr)$ ·xS To a 10 dram glass vial was added 0.050 g (0.096 mmol) H₄TPTC-OⁿPr and 0.150 g (0.645 mmol) Cu(NO₃)₂ · 2.5 H₂O dissolved in 15 mL *N*,*N*dimethylacetamide (DMA) with 20 drops HBF₄ (48% w/w aqueous solution). The reaction was kept at 85 °C in an oven for 4 days. The resultant blue-teal crystalline powder of Cu₂(TPTC-OⁿPr)·xS was then decanted, washed with fresh DMA, and collected. Yield: 66.5% (activated sample) based on H₄TPTC-OⁿPr.

 $Cu_2(TPTC-O^nHex)$ ·xS To a 10 dram glass vial was added 0.050 g (0.082 mmol) H₄TPTC-OⁿHex and 0.150 g (0.645 mmol) Cu(NO₃)₂ · 2.5 H₂O dissolved in 15 mL *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA) with 20 drops HBF₄ (48% w/w aqueous solution). The reaction was kept at 85 °C in an oven for 4 days. The resultant blue-teal crystalline powder of Cu₂(TPTC-OⁿHex)·xS was then decanted, washed with fresh DMA, and collected. Yield: 63.2% (activated sample) based on H₄TPTC-OⁿHex.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48 49

50

51

52 53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60



Figure 2. Views of (a) the node structures in NOTT-101; (b) simplified 4-connected node; (c) NbO type framework assembled by two 4-connected nodes; (d and e) structures of the two types of cages. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 50. Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society.

X-ray Crystallography. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were collected on a Bruker D8-Focus Bragg-Brentano X-ray Powder diffractometer equipped with a Cu sealed tube $(\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ Å})$ and graphite monochromator at a scan rate of 1 s deg⁻¹, solid state detector, and a routine power of 1600 W (40 kV, 40 mA). The samples were dispersed on Si single crystal zero diffraction plate for analysis. Simulation of the PXRD pattern was carried out by the single-crystal data and diffraction-crystal module of the *Mercury* program available free-of-charge via the internet at http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/products/mercury/.

In situ synchrotron PXRD measurements were conducted on Beamline 1-BM-C at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. Samples were heated from 25 to 400 °C at a rate of 3 °C/min. For each diffraction pattern, the sample was exposed to synchrotron X-ray radiation ($\lambda = 0.60505$ Å) 20 times over 6 sec, rocking the sample +/- 5° to reduce artifacts from non-monodisperse particle sizes.

Low-Pressure Gas Adsorption Measurements. Gas sorption isotherm measurements were performed on an ASAP 2020 Surface Area and Pore Size Analyzers. As-synthesized samples of Cu₂TPTC-OR were immersed in dry methanol for 24 h and the extract decanted. Fresh dry methanol was subsequently added and the crystals remained in the solvent for an additional 24 h. Each sample was collected by decanting and



Figure 3. Dry (a, c, e) and wet (b, d, f) powder samples of Cu_2TPTC -OEt, -OⁿPr, and -OⁿHex, respectively. After additions of a single drop of water the -OEt and -OⁿPr frameworks readily absorb the water drop, while the -OⁿHex framework acts as a superhydrophobic surface.

the procedure repeated once more with dry methanol, and three subsequent times with dry dichloromethane. After the removal of dichloromethane by decanting, the samples were activated by drying under a dynamic vacuum at room temperature and at 80 °C overnight to produce activated samples. Before the measurement, the samples were further activated using the "degas" function of the surface area analyzer for 5 h at 80 °C. Other activation temperatures were tested, with the reported methods providing the best sorption properties. UHP grade (99.999%) N₂ and H₂ were used for all measurements.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Structural Identification of Cu₂TPTC-OR·*xS*. Under solvothermal conditions, reactions between Cu(NO₃)₂·2.5 H₂O and H₄TPTC-OR in DMA afforded tealblue microcrystalline powders of Cu₂TPTC-OR·*xS*. No crystals were large enough for structural characterization by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, so a previously synthesized Cu(II)-based MOF with similarly substituted terphenyl tetracarboxylate ligand backbone, NOTT-106, was used as a structural analogue.⁵⁰ Using the powder pattern of NOTT-106



Figure 4. Thermogravimetric analysis of Cu₂TPTC-OR MOFs.



Figure 5. Top view of combined patterns from *in situ* synchrotron PXRD thermal decomposition experiments (heating from 25 - 350 °C at a rate of 3 °C min⁻¹; a, c, e), and single diffraction patterns taken at 100 °C (b, d, f) for Cu₂(TPTC-OEt), $-O^n$ Pr, and $-O^n$ Hex, respectively.

simulated from single-crystal data, the PXRD data collected for Cu_2TPTC -OMe, -OEt, -OⁿPr, and -OⁿHex were compared and determined to be isostructural with NOTT-106 (Figure 1). Furthermore, a new method developed in our lab was used to generate a difference envelope density for highly porous MOFs from PXRD data. In conjunction with overlaying the predicted structure (NOTT-106), the core structures of the MOFs were confirmed and positions of pendant alkoxy chains identified.⁵⁴

Therefore, the structures of Cu₂TPTC-OR may be described as being constructed from 4-connected square planar Cu₂paddlewheel SBUs and 4-connected rectangular planar TPTC-OR⁴⁻ organic SBUs. The Cu₂-paddlewheels are sustained by four carboxylates from four separate TPTC-OR⁴⁻ linkers bridging between two Cu(II) ions. When two types of planar 4connected nodes are combined, it often results in the formation of NbO type networks of $6^4 \cdot 8^2$ topology.⁵⁰ The NbO network may be described as being composed of two types of cages or channels, as previously reported (Figure 2).⁴⁹⁻⁵⁰

To confirm no cleavage of the side chains occurred during solvothermal reactions, a sample of each MOF was collected, digested in HCl, washed with water, air dried, and ¹H NMR spectra confirmed to match with synthesized acid precursors of each ligand.

Moisture and Thermal Stability Properties. The role of pendant alkoxy groups in affecting the moisture stability of the four Cu_2TPTC -OR MOFs was evaluated through PXRD studies and observation of interaction of water drops with the functionalized frameworks. PXRD patterns for each sample were measured after each stage of solvent exchange, guest removal (activation), and exposure to air (laboratory conditions, 50%)

r.h.) after full removal of all guest species from the pores (1 h exposure and 16 days exposure, Figures 1 and S1-S4).

It is apparent from the PXRD data that the -OMe substituted framework is the least stable of the studied systems and, in fact, begins to decompose during the desolvation process, when guest molecules are removed from the pores, as evident from the increasing intensity of the reflection at ~36.4° 2θ (Figure 1). This reflection is also observed in the assynthesized pattern, and is attributed to decomposition of the framework (formation of CuO). The other three structures, however, are observed to retain crystallinity upon solvent removal, activation, and a minimum of 1 hour exposure to atmospheric conditions (50% r.h.) after activation. As is common when comparing experimental powder patterns with a simulated structure, reflection intensities of experimental patterns do not match perfectly with the simulated pattern, but all experimental patterns (under similar conditions) match quite well with one another. The deviation of as-synthesized forms from the simulated pattern is attributed to the fact that the single crystal data includes no contributions from solvent molecules which reside in the pores of the structure. While deviations apparent in the activated structure arise from slight distortions in the framework to minimize the energy after the pores are evacuated. The most notable trend observed is seen in the PXRD patterns after 16 days exposure to atmospheric conditions (50% r.h.). The pattern for the -OEt substituted framework shows significant broadening of diffraction peaks and decrease in signal to noise ratio, indicative of significant distortions in the framework structure and the initial stages of decomposition. Whereas the -OⁿHex substitution leads to significant enhancement in the stability of the system to atmospheric conditions, identified from the minimal broadening of reflection peaks. The -OⁿPr substituted framework falls in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 between the –OEt and –OⁿHex substituted frameworks, as expected, when comparing peak broadening, but, interestingly, shows a clear reflection attributed to the formation of CuO, a feature not observed in what should be the less stable –OEt substituted framework.

The observation of excellent moisture stability led us to study the nature of water droplets on the surfaces of the three stable MOFs. When a single drop of water was dropped on to the surface of a ~10 mg sample of powdered MOF, both the – OEt and $-O^nPr$ substituted frameworks were observed to absorb the water droplet (Figure 3a-d). However, the long chain $-O^nHex$ substitution created an exceptionally hydrophobic material and resulted in the surface of the water drop being coated in the powder and retaining its shape before rolling off the surface of the powder (Figure S5). Another drop was then added to the same sample with similar result, but remaining in a divot in the powder formed when the drop landed on the surface of the sample (Figure 3f).

The thermal stability of the MOFs was evaluated by both TGA and *in situ* synchrotron PXRD decomposition studies. Based upon TGA studies, the MOFs exhibit a three step decomposition process. The first step occurs from 30 to ~100°C, and is attributed to the removal of uncoordinated ("free") guest molecules. The second step occurs around 250 - 300 °C, and is attributed to coordinated guest species, with full decomposition beginning at 300, 325, 340, and 355 °C for -OMe, -OEt, -OⁿPr, and -OⁿHex substituted frameworks, respectively (Figure 4). Surprisingly, the in situ study showed contradictory thermal stability results, indicating that rather than increasing the stability of the framework, longer alkoxy chains resulted in decreased crystallinity at lower temperatures (Figure 5). The -OEt, $-O^{n}Pr$, and $-O^{n}Hex$ substituted frameworks underwent amorphization at 315, 298, and 292 °C, respectively. The explanation for such reversal in thermal stability may be explained by considering the length and flexibility of pendant groups allows for more disruptive movements in the crystal structure with the input of thermal energy. This increased thermal motion places further strain on the crystalline framework, leading to collapse. These results show that TGA studies may not provide the entire picture when investigating thermal stability of porous materials. This is an inherent problem when utilizing TGA data, as TGA is based solely upon combustion of the sample and cannot take into consideration the crystallinity or porosity of the system. However, in situ PXRD study allows for the direct observation of the crystallinity of the structure and confirmation of the porous structure.

Surface Area and Hydrogen Storage Properties. The stability and significant uptake typical of NbO type MOFs led us to investigate the porosity and hydrogen uptake properties. The freshly prepared samples of Cu₂TPTC-OR were first solvent exchanged with methanol, then dichloromethane, resulting in a color change from teal in DMA, to green in methanol, to blue in dichloromethane, and finally deep purple upon activation, typical of dicopper(II)-paddlewheel frameworks. The N₂ sorption isotherms, Figure 6, reveal that all four MOFs exhibit typical Type I sorption behavior, a characteristic of microporous materials,⁵⁵ and congruent with the expected crystal structure. By applying the BET model, the apparent surface areas are estimated to be 1127, 1293, 1396, and 1083 m² g⁻¹ (1414, 1612, 1658, and 1269 m²g⁻¹ Langmuir) for the – OMe, –OEt, –OⁿPr, and –OⁿHex substituted MOFs,



Figure 6. Nitrogen sorption isotherms at 77 K. Filled symbols = adsorption; empty symbols = desorption.

respectively. This trend was somewhat unexpected, as it would seem that incorporating longer alkoxy chains on the ligands should decrease the uptake and apparent surface area, since they would serve to occupy more of the free void space within the porous structure. This trend is observed between the $-O^{n}Pr$ and –OⁿHex frameworks, but not from the –OEt to the –OⁿPr (because of the impurity observed in the activated PXRD pattern for the -OMe substituted MOF, it will not be further considered when evaluating trends). However, we can see that the difference between surface areas for the -OEt and -OⁿPr frameworks differ only slightly, as compared to the difference between the surface areas of the -OⁿPr and -OⁿHex frameworks. This unexpected juxtaposition in trends is proposed to arise from the pendant -OⁿPr groups effectively reducing the pore sizes so as to enhance the interactions between the host framework and guest gas molecules. Since gas adsorption in MOFs occurs through weak van der Waal's interactions, gas storage in the system may be enhanced by tuning pore sizes to very near the kinetic diameter of target guest species to increase the potential overlap between host and guest.

The N_2 sorption measurements were repeated for the -OEt. -OⁿPr, and -OⁿHex samples after being exposed to atmospheric conditions (50% r.h.) for 16 days without and with reactivation of the sample prior to measurement (thermal activation, 80 °C for 5 hours under dynamic vacuum), Figure S6. Significant decrease in the amount of gas adsorbed was observed for each of the three samples, with the $-O^{n}Pr$ sample exhibiting no N₂ sorption and the –OEt sample exhibiting the greatest sorption (reduced from 372 to 119 cm^3g^{-1}). This follows in line with the observations from PXRD data (i.e. decomposition of the $-O^{n}Pr$ sample). The $-O^{n}Hex$ sample showed N₂ sorption reduced from 292 to 59 cm³g⁻¹. While the N₂ isotherm for the -OⁿHex sample still exhibited a Type I isotherm with no hysteresis, the -OEt isotherm showed significant hysteresis, which may be attributed to collapse of the framework. The observation of Type I isotherm with diminished uptake for the -OⁿHex sample, paired with observations from PXRD, indicate that the MOF is structurally stable to moisture under 50% r.h. conditions but retains a significant amount of water upon thermal activation under reduced pressure.

The hydrogen storage properties of the four MOFs were evaluated at both 77 K and 87 K (Figures 7a and S7). All MOFs adsorb a fairly significant amount of H_2 at 77 K and



Figure 7. Excess hydrogen uptake at 77 K (a) with isosteric heats of adsorption (inset), and normalized hydrogen uptake at 77 K (b): $(H_2 \text{ uptake, wt\%})/(BET \text{ surface area, m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}) \text{ v. pressure.}$

810 Torr: 1.57 wt%, -OMe; 1.79 wt%, -OEt; 1.96 wt%, - $O^{n}Pr$; and 1.48 wt%, $-O^{n}Hex$. As a way to identify the role of the pendant alkoxy groups on the H₂ sorption properties, the isotherms were normalized by dividing the H₂ uptake (in wt%) by the surface area of the sorbent (in m²g⁻¹) (Figures 7b and S8). As has been shown in previous reports, the H_2 sorption properties of MOFs are highly dependent upon accessible surface area and pore volume,⁵⁶⁻⁵⁹ and much less dependent upon functional groups. To further analyze the H₂ uptake properties, the isosteric heats of adsorption, Q_{st} , were analyzed using the Clausius-Clapeyron equation.⁶⁰ At zero-coverage, the four MOFs exhibit Q_{st} values (Figure 7a inset) similar to that of other Cu(II)-based MOFs, ranging from 6.22 – 6.72 kJ mol⁻¹, attributable to interactions of H₂ with open Cu sites.⁶¹⁻⁶⁴ This is further highlighted by the decreasing Q_{st} as loading increases and the exposed Cu sites are saturated, resulting in steady $Q_{\rm st}$ of approximately 5.3 - 5.6 kJ mol⁻¹. The lower Q_{st} observed for the -OⁿHex framework at zero-loading may be explained by the same reason it exhibits higher moisture stability; that is, the pendant hexyloxy groups serve to decrease access to the open metal sites.

CONCLUSIONS

In this report, four isostructural NbO type metal-organic frameworks have been designed and synthesized with tetratopic ligands featuring pendant alkoxy groups. The moisture stability is increased upon extending the hydrophobic pendant chains, resulting in a superhydrophobic material when $-O^{n}$ Hex is the substituent on the central phenyl ring. However, the thermal stability of the systems are decreased with increasing chain length, as identified through in situ synchrotron powder X-ray diffraction measurements, in which the crystallinity of the frameworks were monitored as a function of temperature. This result shows that the standard use of thermogravimetric analysis, which measures combustion temperatures, may not always provide an accurate description of the thermal stability of a MOF. The H₂ sorption properties of the materials were evaluated and found to scale according to accessible surface area, showing no other significant impact from changing the length of pendant groups, and heats of adsorption match well with other previously reported Cu-based MOFs with uncoordinated metal centers. This work is a successful demonstration of the simplicity and feasibility of modifying organic linkers to produce water stable, superhydrophobic materials for future gas sorption applications. Future work will focus on tuning the pore sizes and geometries to enhance targeted storage of gas molecules while maintaining hydrophobicity so as to preferentially exclude water.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. H_2 uptake at 87 K, normalized H_2 uptake at 87 K, full PXRD analyses, and additional photograph from water drop analyses. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E-mail: zhou@chem.tamu.edu Tel: 1-979-845-4034 Fax: 1-979-845-1595

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported as part of the Center for Gas Separations Relevant to Clean Energy Technologies, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Award Number DE-SC0001015 and the Welch Foundation (A-1725). A detailed description is given in the Supporting Information. The *in situ* diffraction study was conducted by Andrey A. Yakovenko and Dr. Mario Wriedt on Beamline 1-BM-C at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory.

REFERENCES

(1) Zhou, H.-C.; Long, J. R.; Yaghi, O. M., Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 673-674.

(2) Yaghi, O. M.; O'Keeffe, M.; Ockwig, N. W.; Chae, H. K.; Eddaoudi, M.; Kim, J., *Nature* **2003**, *423*, 705-714.

(3) Hong, D.-Y.; Hwang, Y. K.; Serre, C.; Férey, G.; Chang, J.-S., *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2009**, *19*, 1537-1552.

(4) Kitagawa, S.; Kitaura, R.; Noro, S.-i., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2004**, 43, 2334-2375.

(5) Perry Iv, J. J.; Perman, J. A.; Zaworotko, M. J., *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 1400-1417.

(6) Makal, T. A.; Li, J.-R.; Lu, W.; Zhou, H.-C., *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 7761-7779.

(7) Suh, M. P.; Park, H. J.; Prasad, T. K.; Lim, D.-W., *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 782-835.

(8) Wu, H.; Gong, Q.; Olson, D. H.; Li, J., Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 836-868.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

6

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

51

52

53

60

(9) Murray, L. J.; Dinca, M.; Long, J. R., Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1294-1314.

- 2 (10) Konstas, K.; Osl, T.; Yang, Y.; Batten, M.; Burke, N.; Hill, A. 3 J.; Hill, M. R., J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 16698-16708.
- 4 (11) Li, J.-R.; Sculley, J.; Zhou, H.-C., Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 869-5 932.
 - (12) Li, J.-R.; Kuppler, R. J.; Zhou, H.-C., Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1477-1504.
- (13) He, Y.; Zhou, W.; Krishna, R.; Chen, B., Chem. Commun. 2012, 8 48, 11813-11831. 9
- (14) Liu, B., J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 10094-10101. 10
- (15) Corma, A.; García, H.; Llabrés i Xamena, F. X., Chem. Rev. 11 2010, 110, 4606-4655. 12
- 13 (16) Yoon, M.; Srirambalaji, R.; Kim, K., Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 1196-1231. 14
- (17) Ma, L.; Abney, C.; Lin, W., Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1248-15 1256. 16
 - (18) Dhakshinamoorthy, A.; Alvaro, M.; Garcia, H., Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 11275-11288.
 - (19) Kurmoo, M., Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1353-1379.
 - (20) Cui, Y.; Yue, Y.; Qian, G.; Chen, B., Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 1126-1162.
 - (21) Rocha, J.; Carlos, L. D.; Paz, F. A. A.; Ananias, D., Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 926-940.
 - (22) Allendorf, M. D.; Bauer, C. A.; Bhakta, R. K.; Houk, R. J. T., Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1330-1352.
 - (23) Horcajada, P.; Gref, R.; Baati, T.; Allan, P. K.; Maurin, G.; Couvreur, P.; Férey, G.; Morris, R. E.; Serre, C., Chem. Rev. 2012, 112. 1232-1268.
 - (24) Sumida, K.; Rogow, D. L.; Mason, J. A.; McDonald, T. M.; Bloch, E. D.; Herm, Z. R.; Bae, T.-H.; Long, J. R., Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 724-781.
 - (25) Ferey, G.; Serre, C.; Devic, T.; Maurin, G.; Jobic, H.; Llewellyn, P. L.; De Weireld, G.; Vimont, A.; Daturi, M.; Chang, J.-S., Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 550-562.
- (26) Bellarosa, L.; Castillo, J. M.; Vlugt, T.; Calero, S.; López, N., 34 Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 12260-12266. 35
 - (27) Low, J. J.; Benin, A. I.; Jakubczak, P.; Abrahamian, J. F.; Faheem, S. A.; Willis, R. R., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15834-15842.
 - (28) Cavka, J. H.; Jakobsen, S.; Olsbye, U.; Guillou, N.; Lamberti, C.; Bordiga, S.; Lillerud, K. P., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13850-13851.
 - (29) Ehrenmann, J.; Henninger, S. K.; Janiak, C., Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 2011, 471-474.
 - (30) Akiyama, G.; Matsuda, R.; Kitagawa, S., Chem. Lett. 2010, 39, 360-361.
- 45 (31) Küsgens, P.; Rose, M.; Senkovska, I.; Fröde, H.; Henschel, A.; 46 Siegle, S.; Kaskel, S., Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2009, 120, 325-330. 47
- 48 (32) Yang, J.; Grzech, A.; Mulder, F. M.; Dingemans, T. J., Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 5244-5246. 49
- 50 (33) Ma, D.; Li, Y.; Li, Z., Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 7377-7379.
 - (34) Wu, T.; Shen, L.; Luebbers, M.; Hu, C.; Chen, Q.; Ni, Z.; Masel, R. I., Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 6120-6122.
- (35) Nguyen, J. G.; Cohen, S. M., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4560-4561. 54
- (36) Decoste, J. B.; Peterson, G. W.; Smith, M. W.; Stone, C. A.; 55 Willis, C. R., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1486-1489. 56
- (37) Yang, S. J.; Park, C. R., Adv. Mater. 2012, 24, 4010-4013. 57
- 58 (38) Taylor, J. M.; Vaidhyanathan, R.; Iremonger, S. S.; Shimizu, G.
- K. H., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14338-14340. 59

(39) Choi, H. J.; Dinca, M.; Dailly, A.; Long, J. R., Energy Environ. Sci. 2010, 3, 117-123.

(40) Park, K. S.; Ni, Z.; Côté, A. P.; Choi, J. Y.; Huang, R.; Uribe-Romo, F. J.; Chae, H. K.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M., Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2006, 103, 10186-10191.

(41) Ma, S.; Sun, D.; Simmons, J. M.; Collier, C. D.; Yuan, D.; Zhou, H.-C., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 130, 1012-1016.

(42) Wang, X.-S.; Ma, S.; Rauch, K.; Simmons, J. M.; Yuan, D.; Wang, X.; Yildirim, T.; Cole, W. C.; López, J. J.; Meijere, A. d.; Zhou, H.-C., Chem. Mater. 2008, 20, 3145-3152.

(43) Zhao, D.; Yuan, D.; Yakovenko, A.; Zhou, H.-C., Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4196-4198.

(44) Lin, X.; Jia, J.; Zhao, X.; Thomas, K. M.; Blake, A. J.; Walker, G. S.; Champness, N. R.; Hubberstey, P.; Schröder, M., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7358-7364.

(45) Chen, B.; Ockwig, N. W.; Millward, A. R.; Contreras, D. S.; Yaghi, O. M., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4745-4749.

(46) Lee, Y.-G.; Moon, H. R.; Cheon, Y. E.; Suh, M. P., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7741-7745.

(47) Xue, M.; Zhu, G.; Li, Y.; Zhao, X.; Jin, Z.; Kang, E.; Qiu, S., Cryst. Growth Des. 2008, 8, 2478-2483.

(48) Hu, Y.; Xiang, S.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, L.; Bai, J.; Chen, B., Chem. Commun. 2009, 45, 7551-7553.

(49) Sun, D.; Ma, S.; Simmons, J. M.; Li, J.-R.; Yuan, D.; Zhou, H.-C., Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 1329-1331.

(50) Lin, X.; Telepeni, I.; Blake, A. J.; Dailly, A.; Brown, C. M.; Simmons, J. M.; Zoppi, M.; Walker, G. S.; Thomas, K. M.; Mays, T. J.; Hubberstey, P.; Champness, N. R.; Schröder, M., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 2159-2171.

(51) Tongkate, P.; Phromyothin, D.; Sumranjit, J., Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 3329-3335.

(52) Wariishi, K.; Morishima, S.-i.; Inagaki, Y., Organic Process Research & Development 2002, 7, 98-100.

(53) Natera, J.; Otero, L.; Sereno, L.; Fungo, F.; Wang, N.-S.; Tsai, Y.-M.; Hwu, T.-Y.; Wong, K.-T., Macromolecules 2007, 40, 4456-4463.

(54) Yakovenko, A.; Makal, T. A.; Park, J.; Zhou, H.-C., Manuscript in prep.

(55) Sing, K. S. W.; Everett, D. H.; Haul, R. A. W.; Moscou, L.; Pierotti, R. A.; Rouquerol, J.; Siemieniewska, T., Pure Appl. Chem. **1985,** *57*, 603-619.

(56) Kolotilov, S. V.; Pavlishchuk, V. V., Theor. Exp. Chem. 2009, 45, 75-97.

(57) Frost, H.; Düren, T.; Snurr, R. Q., J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 9565-9570.

(58) Schnobrich, J. K.; Koh, K.; Sura, K. N.; Matzger, A. J., Langmuir 2010, 26, 5808-5814.

(59) Sculley, J.; Yuan, D.; Zhou, H.-C., Energy Environ. Sci. 2011, 4, 2721-2735.

(60) Rouquerol, F. o.; Rouquerol, J.; Sing, K. S. W., Adsorption by powders and porous solids : principles, methodology, and applications. Academic Press: San Diego, 1999; p xvi, 467 p.

(61) Lee, J.; Li, J.; Jagiello, J., J. Solid State Chem. 2005, 178, 2527-2532.

(62) Rowsell, J. L. C.; Yaghi, O. M., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1304-1315

(63) Zhuang, W.; Yuan, D.; Liu, D.; Zhong, C.; Li, J.-R.; Zhou, H.-C., Chem. Mater. 2012, 24, 18-25.

(64) Ma, S.; Eckert, J.; Forster, P. M.; Yoon, J. W.; Hwang, Y. K.; Chang, J.-S.; Collier, C. D.; Parise, J. B.; Zhou, H.-C., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 15896-15902.

Trevor A. Makal, Xuan Wang, and Hong-Cai Zhou

A series of NbO type metal-organic frameworks are synthesized with various pendant alkoxy groups, exhibiting remarkable moisture and thermal stability relationships dependent on the pendant group. While longer pendant alkoxy group enhances moisture stability, thermal stability is observed to decrease; contrary to results from commonly used TGA data.





Synthesis and structures of ligand precursors H4TPTC-OR 178x125mm (150 x 150 DPI)



PXRD patterns for Cu2TPTC-OR MOFs as-synthesized (as), activated (ac), after being exposed to atmosphere after activation for 1 h, and after being exposed to atmosphere after activation for 16 days, compared to the PXRD pattern for NOTT-106 (simulated from single-crystal data). 153x136mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Views of (a) the node structures in NOTT-101; (b) simplified 4-connected node; (c) NbO type framework assembled by two 4-connected nodes; (d and e) structures of the two types of cages. Reprinted with permission from Ref. 50. Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society. 177x69mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Dry (a, c, e) and wet (b, d, f) powder samples of Cu2TPTC-OEt, -OnPr, and -OnHex, respectively. After additions of a single drop of water the -OEt and -OnPr frameworks readily absorb the water drop, while the -OnHex framework acts as a superhydrophobic surface. 38x18mm (600 x 600 DPI)





Top view of combined patterns from in situ synchrotron PXRD thermal decomposition experiments (heating from 25 – 350 °C at a rate of 3 °C min-1; a, c, e), and single diffraction patterns taken at 100 °C (b, d, f) for Cu2(TPTC-OEt), –OnPr, and –OnHex, respectively. 104x65mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Nitrogen sorption isotherms at 77 K. Filled symbols = adsorption; empty symbols = desorption. 62x51mm (600 x 600 DPI)

