## JOURNAL OF THE CHEMICAL SOCIETY

# **Chemical Communications**

Number 6 1990

### Electro-oxidation of Diarylacetylenes and Diaryldiacetylenes

#### Michel Cariou\*a and Jacques Simonet<sup>b</sup>

a Laboratoire d'Electrochimie Organique, U.R.A. CNRS 439, Université Catholique de l'Ouest, B.P. 808, 49005 Angers Cedex, France

b Laboratoire d'Electrochimie Organique, U.R.A. CNRS 439, Université de Rennes I, Campus de Beaulieu, B.P. 25A, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France

Diarylacetylenes led to 1,2-diaroyl-1,2-diarylethylenes and diaryldiacetylenes led to a mixture of acetylenic  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -diketones, by anodic oxidation in MeCN–LiClO<sub>4</sub> on a graphite plate electrode.

Until now, the anodic oxidation of diphenylacetylene has only been carried out in solvent-electrolyte systems (AcOH-AcONa,<sup>1</sup> MeOH–NaCN,<sup>2</sup> MeOH–LiClO<sub>4</sub><sup>3</sup>) where the electrogenerated cation radical reacts either with the solvent (MeOH) or with the electrolyte anion ( $AcO^{-}$ ,  $NC^{-}$ ).

On the other hand, recently, it was reported<sup>4</sup> that the photochemical oxidation of diphenylacetylene gave 1,2,3triphenylazulene. This dimerisation, postulated to occur via the cation radical, suggested that azulenes might be formed by electro-oxidation of diarylacetylenes in MeCN-LiClO<sub>4</sub>.

Here we report our results on the anodic behaviour of diarylacetylenes (Ar-C=C-Ar) (1) and conjugated diaryldiacetylenes (Ar-C $\equiv$ C-C $\equiv$ C-Ar) (2) (a, Ar = Ph; b, Ar = p-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> = An) for comparison of results. At a platinum microanode these substrates undergo irreversible oxidation steps located at 1.64 (1a), 1.17 (1b); 1.84 (2a), and 1.35 V (2b)

(1a) <u>+1.70 V, 24h</u> 1.84 F mol<sup>-1</sup> ►

(1b) +1.15 V, 9h 0.79 F / mol<sup>-1</sup>



Macro-scale electrolyses were carried out in 0.3 м MeCN-LiClO<sub>4</sub> (giving better results than  $CH_2Cl_2-Bu_4NBF_4$ ), using a graphite plate anode  $(A = 10 \text{ cm}^2)$  [such an anode material was essential to overcome the very high passivation observed at smooth-surfaced electrodes (platinum or glassy carbon)] and a graphite rod cathode, in a divided H-cell. Electrolyses were always conducted in the absence of soluble base





(2,6-lutidine) or insoluble base ( $K_2CO_3$ ) which gave tars (even though, in most cases, the acidity generated at an anode is responsible for the decomposition of products). In the anode compartment (60 ml) acetylenes (1) or (2) (4 mmol) were added.

In the case of diarylacetylenes (1), the expected 1,2,3triarylazulenes were not formed; among the electrolysis products, were isolated 1,2-diaroyl-1,2-diarylethylenes (3)together with benzils (4); (1b) gave also oxazepine (5)(Scheme 1). The proposed mechanism for the formation of diaroylstilbenes (3) involves the reaction of the residual water on cation radical of (1) [so, is formed an enol radical dimerizing in a di-enol readily oxidisable into a dication losing two protons to lead to (3)], and for oxazepine (5) the addition of acetonitrile on this cation radical must also be considered (Scheme 2). The absence of azulene product is probably due to the instability of its cation radical (if formed) giving only degradation reactions.

In the case of diaryldiacetylenes (2), a mixture of acetylenic  $\alpha$ -diketone (6) and acetylenic  $\gamma$ -diketone (7) was obtained (Scheme 3); yields were improved by the addition of 25% dimethylformamide (DMF) to MeCN. The mechanism also involves an attack of the cation radical by the residual water whose average concentration was estimated at about 1000 p.p.m.

It is worth mentioning that electro-oxidation of 1,4diphenylbutadiyne (2a) into dibenzoylacetylene PhCO-C $\equiv$ C-COPh (7a) with a 60% yield, appears to be a good synthesis of this interesting dienophile.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, one should note the electrosynthesis of acetylenic  $\alpha$ -diketones (6) which would be difficult to prepare by means of conventional methods.

Received, 7th November 1989; Com. 9/04779D

#### References

- 1 W. J. Koehl, Jr., J. Org. Chem., 1967, 32, 614.
- 2 K. Yoshida and T. Fueno, J. Chem. Soc., D, 1970, 711.
- 3 M.-A. Michel, P. Martigny, and J. Simonet, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1975, **36**, 3143.
- 4 C. J. Cooksey, J. L. Courtneidge, A. G. Davies, P. S. Gregory, J. C. Evans, and C. C. Rowlands, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1988, 807.
- 5 J. Meinwald and J. Mioduski, Tetrahedron Lett., 1974, 44, 3839.