

Subscriber access provided by Western Michigan University

Article

Mechanistic Insight into the Interaction Between a Titanium Dioxide Photocatalyst and Pd Co-catalyst for Improved Photocatalytic Performance

Ren Su, Nikolaos Dimitratos, Jinjia Liu, Emma Carter, Sultan Althahban, Xueqin WANG, Yanbin Shen, Stefan Wendt, Xiaodong Wen, J. W. (Hans) Niemantsverdriet, Bo B. Iversen, Christopher J. Kiely, Graham J. Hutchings, and Flemming Besenbacher *ACS Catal.*, Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.6b00982 • Publication Date (Web): 24 May 2016

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on June 4, 2016

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



ACS Catalysis is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Mechanistic Insight into the Interaction Between a Titanium Dioxide Photocatalyst and Pd Co-catalyst for Improved Photocatalytic Performance

Ren Su^{†,‡}, Nikolaos Dimitratos^{†,‡}, Jinjia Liu^{‡,‡}, Emma Carter[‡], Sultan Althahban^{||}, Xueqin Wang[†], Yanbin Shen^{†,‡}, Stefan Wendt[†], Xiaodong Wen^{‡,‡}, J.W. (Hans) Niemantsverdriet^{‡,‡}, Bo B. Iversen^{†,±}, Christopher J. Kiely^{||}, Graham J. Hutchings^{†,‡}* and FlemmingBesenbacher[†]*

[†]Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Centre (iNANO), Aarhus University, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark.

^{*}SynCat@Beijing, SynfuelsChina Co. Ltd., Leyuan South Street II, No.1, Yanqi Economic Development Zone C#, Huairou District, Beijing, 101407, China.

[‡]Cardiff Catalysis Institute, School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10 3AT, UK.

[#]The UK Catalysis Hub, Research Complex at Harwell, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Oxfordshire, OX11 0FA, UK.

[†]School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10 3AT, UK.

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Lehigh University, 5 East Packer Avenue, 18015-3195, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, USA.

[±]Department of Chemistry, Aarhus University, Langelandsgade 140, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark.

[†]State Key Laboratory of Coal Conversion, Institute of Coal Chemistry, CAS, Taiyuan, China

[#]SynCat@DIFFER, Syngaschem BV, Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Understanding the co-catalyst/semiconductor interaction is of key importance for the design and synthesis of next generation photocatalytic materials for efficient hydrogen production and environmental clean-up applications. Here we investigate preformed Pd nanoparticles (NPs) supported on a series of anatase TiO₂ having well-controlled but varying degrees of crystallinity and crystallite size, and explore their photocatalytic performance for H_2 production and phenol decomposition. Whilst tuning the anatase crystallite size significantly influences the photocatalytic performance, varying the TiO₂ crystallinity shows a negligible effect. Interestingly, the optimum quantum efficiency (\sim 78%) for H₂ evolution is achieved with anatase having medium crystallite size (~16 nm), whereas for phenol decomposition, a promotional effect is only observed for anatase with larger crystallite sizes (> 20 nm). Surface radical species and radical densities study reveal that the photogenerated charge carriers have been trapped at different sites depending on the crystallite size of anatase. Whilst the excited electrons are only trapped in bulk lattice sites in small anatase (<16 nm), larger anatase particles provide extra surface sites for charge trapping, which benefit charge storage and transportation to Pd surface sites, leading to a more efficient utilisation of charge carriers for photocatalysis. Additionally, Pd supported on medium-size anatase (~16 nm) hinders the formation of O_2^{-1} radicals on TiO₂ surfaces, thus preventing unwanted re-oxidation of photogenerated H₂.

ACS Catalysis

KEYWORDSPhotocatalysis, Metal-semiconductor interaction, Electron spin resonance,

Hydrogen evolution, Phenol decomposition, TiO₂, Density functional theory

INTRODUCTION

Investigations of advanced catalytic processes have received significant attention, as the demand for sustainable energy, purified water, and clean air keeps increasing.¹⁻³Photocatalysis employing particulate semiconductor based materials has experienced tremendous research activity due to the potential of using solar energy as the driving force for H₂ production, CO₂ reduction, and water and air remediation.⁴⁻⁶ Numerous photocatalyst materials ranging from simple oxides,⁷ nitrides,^{8,9} or sulfides^{10,11} to complicated mixed phase materials¹²⁻¹⁴ have been developed for various applications, however their photocatalytic performance still needs to be improved before implementation in industry can be anticipated.

The relatively poor performance of most photocatalyst materials can be directly linked to the kinetics of photo-excited electron-hole (e^-h^+) pairs within the semiconductor, where most of them recombine rather than being separated and used for redox reactions with the surface adsorbed reactants.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Engineering the electronic properties of the photocatalyst by tuning the composition, structure, surface defects (impurities), surface acidity, and polymorph identity may improve the charge transfer dynamics as well as the optical properties of the material to optimise the photocatalytic performance.¹⁸⁻²²

Decorating the surface of the photocatalyst by metal (*i.e.*, Au, Pd, Pt) nanoparticles (NPs) is considered to be a promising and efficient approach to improve the photocatalytic performance.^{23,24} The presence of metal NPs as a co-catalyst facilitates the spatial separation of the e^-h^+ pairs by trapping the excited e^- that is transferred from the conduction band (CB) of the

semiconductor photocatalysts.²⁵ Fundamental investigations of metal-semiconductor systems indicate that the elemental identity, size, and loading of the co-catalyst all influence the kinetics of the charge transfer process therefore affecting the photocatalytic performance.²⁶⁻²⁹

Meanwhile, it has been shown that the nature of the semiconductor support (*i.e.*, polymorph composition, particle size) can also be used to manipulate the electronic properties of the metal NPs and thus lead to tunable photo-reactivity and selectivity.^{30,31} Whilst promotional effects have been observed in most cases,³¹⁻³⁶ inhibition of the catalyst performance has been also noted.^{37,38} This heterogeneity suggests that numerous details of the interplay between semiconductor photocatalyst particles and the co-catalyst NPs are still unclear. It has been well established that well-controlled and deliberately engineered co-catalyst structures can have a significant positive influence the photo-reactivity of the system.^{39,40} However, the effect of modifying the semiconductor support to alter the semiconductor/co-catalyst interplay and thus engineering the overall photo-reactivity remains largely unknown presumably because of the lack of a welldefined system with tunable physical parameters. Recently, the influence of crystallinity and crystallite size of the pristine anatase TiO₂ as the photocatalyst has been investigated, indicating that the reaction pathways of photocatalytic phenol decomposition can be tuned by adjusting the anatasenanocrystallite size.⁴¹ Since varying the crystallinity and crystallite size can result in changes in the surface properties of anatase, we consider that these parameters may be deliberately controlled to modulate the interaction between metal NPs and semiconductor materials and thus enabling fine tuning of the overall photocatalytic performance of the system.

From the perspective of the reaction mechanism, most photocatalytic processes involve radical species (*i.e.*, OOH[•], OH[•], O₂⁻, and Ti³⁺) that are created from the photo-generated $e^{-}h^{+}$ pairs.¹⁶ According to electron spin resonance spectrometry (ESR) analysis, the identity, concentration,

and lifetime of radicals are crucial parameters that dictate the photo-reactivity and selectivity of the catalyst.^{30,42,43} Surface science studies on model systems have shown that fine tuning of the co-catalyst/semiconductor interaction may alter the afore-mentioned parameters to allow some control over the reaction mechanism.^{44,45} Unfortunately, such an investigation is still missing for well-defined systems of real photocatalysts, limiting our understanding of photocatalytic processes and hence the development of high performance photocatalysts.

Here we explore the co-catalyst/semiconductor interaction using preformed Pd NPs supported on well-defined nanoscale anatase TiO₂ particles. The Pd NPs prepared by sol-immobilisation were deposited onto anatasesynthesised using a supercritical methodology.⁴⁶ By producing the TiO₂ this way we can systematically and independently control the anatase crystallinity (12%–82%) and mean crystallite size (6–27 nm). The photocatalytic performance of this matrix of materials was investigated for photocatalytic H₂ evolution and phenol decomposition reactions to examine the interaction between the Pd co-catalyst and anatase support particles. In particular, we have probed the interaction mechanisms by following the identity and population density of surface radicals by ESR spectrometry. Additionally, we also employed theoretical calculations to rationalise the origins of the different radical species and to correlate the photocatalytic performance with the electronic properties of the materials.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical properties of the photocatalysts

The XRD patterns of samples after immobilisation of Pd NPs are shown in Fig. 1. Diffraction patterns of Pd supported anatase samples with variable crystallinity (Fig. 1a) and crystallite size (Fig. 1b) remained almost identical compared to that of their pristine anatase equivalent (Fig.

S1),⁴¹ indicating that the sol-immobilisation process did not significantly alter the structure, mean crystallite size or crystallinity of the anatase particles (Fig. 1c). The diffraction peaks of Pd were not observed in all cases due to its ultra-small size and low loadings (1 wt%). However, we do observe a very broad peak located at ~ 32° (yellow zone in Fig. 1(a) within low crystallinity samples (*i.e.*, 12.6%–60%), which can be tentatively assigned to PdO (101).⁴⁷ Meanwhile, PdO was not observed within the highly-crystalline samples (Fig. 1b), indicating the Pd⁰ sol supported on a more disordered TiO₂ surface tends to become more oxidised at ambient conditions.



Fig 1. (a) and (b): XRD patterns of as-prepared Pd NPs on anatase TiO₂ particles having different crystallinity and mean crystallite size, respectively. 'A' indicates the peak positions of anatase. (c): Comparison of the mean crystallite size of anatase before and after Pdimmobilisation as derived from Scherrer analysis of the XRD patterns. Based on comparisons of the XRD patterns, the crystallinity and mean crystallite size of anatase particles was considered to be unchanged after Pd addition.^{41,48}

Page 7 of 30

ACS Catalysis

A sub-set of Pd/TiO₂ catalyst samples was examined in some detail using an aberration corrected STEM. A typical low magnification HAADF image from the Pd/TiO₂ (Sample S6) photocatalyst is shown in Fig. 2(a). It is clear that the dispersion of Pd NPs on TiO₂ resulting from the sol-immobilisation process is not particularly homogeneous, where some grains are more highly decorated with Pd co-catalyst NPs than others. Higher magnification HAADF images (Fig. 2b) clearly show that the Pd NPs have a tendency to 'wet' the TiO_2 , forming an extended flat interface. In addition to the metallic Pd NPs, it was relatively easy to observe subnm Pd-containing clusters dispersed on anatase that were much smaller than the original colloid size. The formation of flattened interfaces and sub-nm clusters suggests that some PVA-ligand disruptionand Pd diffusion has occurred during the drying process (120°C for 16 h). Similar Pd co-catalyst morphologies and dispersions were found in other Pd/TiO₂ samples irrespective of the degree of crystallinity or the mean crystallite size of TiO₂ support (see Fig. S1-S4 of sample C1, S2, S4, and S6 in the Supporting Information).⁴⁸ The particle size distributions of TiO₂ in the three 82% crystallinity samples (S2, S4, and S6) are plotted in Fig. 2(c) and show very good agreement with the mean crystallite size analysis derived from the XRD measurements, indicating that sintering and agglomeration of the TiO₂ particles during Pdimmobilisation is negligible. Although there is some degree of overlap between samples S2, S4 and S6, it is fair to say that samples S2, S4 and S6 are mainly comprised of small to medium (5-15 nm), medium (12-22 nm), and medium to large (17-30 nm) sized anatase particles respectively. The corresponding Pd NP size distributions from these same samples are shown in Fig. 2(c) and have mean values of 2.3-3.1 nm range which is consistent with the starting size of the PVA stabilisedPd-colloid.



Fig. 2. (a, b) Representative BF- and HAADF-STEM images of Pd on TiO₂ (sample S6). (c)Particle size distributions of the TiO₂ particles and Pd NPs of samples S2, S4 and S6.

We further characterised the surface composition and valence states of all as-synthesisedPd NPs dispersed on various anatase particles, as shown in the XPS spectra (Fig. 3). Survey spectra of the photocatalysts as a function of the TiO_2 crystallinity (Fig. 3a) and mean crystallite size (Fig. 3b) revealed that within the limit of detectability they only consisted of Ti, O, Pd, and adventitious C. Quantitative analysis showed that the surface concentrations of Pd were ~2.5 wt% for all samples, which is slightly higher than the nominal 1 wt% bulk Pd concentration due to the preferential location of Pd at the anatase surface.



Fig. 3. Survey XPS spectra of Pd NPs supported on (a) 9 nm anatase having different degrees of crystallinity and (b) 82% crystallinity with varying mean crystallite size, respectively. (c)–(f): High resolution Ti 2p and Pd 3d spectra of sample sets with varying TiO₂ crystallinity and varying mean crystallite size, respectively. The solid and dashed lines are fitting results of the raw data (dots). The binding energies of Ti⁴⁺, Pd⁰, and Pd²⁺ are indicated by dotted lines, respectively.^{49,50} All catalysts have a nominal Pd loading of 1 wt%.

High resolution Ti 2p spectra of the crystallinity series (Fig. 3c) and mean crystallite size series (Fig. 3d) suggest that, as expected, exclusively Ti^{4+} is presented in all cases, again confirming that the anatase TiO_2 particles remained within the sol-immobilisation

processessentially unchanged. The Pd 3d spectra of all samples with different crystallinity and crystallite size are shown in Fig. 3(e) and 3(f), respectively. The Pd 3d signals can be deconvoluted at least into two peaks, indicating the presence of both Pd⁰ and Pd²⁺ species.⁵⁰ However, the Pd⁰/Pd²⁺ ratios varied significantly depending on the degree of crystallinity exhibited by the anatase TiO₂ particles. Whilst the Pd⁰/Pd²⁺ ratio increased from 2.4 to 6.7 following the increase of crystallinity from 12.6% to 82%, increasing the crystallite size of anatase showed negligible effect on the Pd⁰/Pd²⁺ ratio, which remained at ~7 (see Table S2 in Supporting Information).⁴⁸ In conjunction with the evidence from the corresponding XRD patterns (Fig. 1a), we can conclude that a mixture of Pd/PdO NPs has been deposited on anatase particles with low crystallinity, whereas for highly crystalline anatase, mainly metallic Pd NPs are formed that are possibly covered with an ultra-thin PdO layer.

The effect of TiO₂ structural parameters on the photocatalytic performance

We first studied the effect of the Pd–anatase interaction on photocatalytic H₂ production, as shown in Fig. 4. For comparative purposes, a sol-immobilisedPd co-catalyst supported on a commercial TiO₂ (Degussa P25) was also tested. Time resolved H₂ evolution (Fig. 4a and 4b) suggests that all Pd/anatase variants can generate H₂ upon UV irradiation, however, the performance of these variants varied significantly depending on the nature of the anatase particles. To better visualise the effects of varying TiO₂ crystallinity and crystallite size, we calculated the H₂ production rate and apparent quantum efficiency (AQE) of all Pd/TiO₂photocatalysts, as shown in Figs. 4(c) and (d), respectively.⁴⁸ Whilst poorly crystalline 9 nm TiO₂ particles (<60%) showed nearly identical performance for H₂ evolution, a slight increase in the performance was observed for those samples displaying a higher degree of crystallinity (>60%). Interestingly, increasing the crystallite size of the most crystalline TiO₂

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

 particles (~82%) from 6.6 nm to 15.9 nm caused a significant enhancement in performance, but a further increase of the crystallite size to 26.6 nm deactivated the photo-reactivity. Remarkably, the Pd NPs supported on the 15.9 nm, 82% crystalline anatase particles presented an extremely high AQE for H₂ evolution (~78%), which was about double that found for Pd NPs with similar particle size supported on commercial P25 TiO₂. Such an AQE value is even higher than that reported previously for the Au_{core}Pd_{shell} co-catalyst on P25 (73%), which at the time of publication was superior to that of any photocatalyst reported using renewable organic chemicals as scavengers.²⁷



Fig. 4. Time-resolved photocatalytic H₂ evolution using 1 wt% Pd NPs supported on (a) 9 nm anatase with different crystallinity and (b) 82% crystallinity and varying crystallite size, respectively. A 1 wt% Pd NPs on Degussa P25 was tested for comparison. A 25 vol% of ethanol solution was used for all tests. (c)–(d): Derived H₂ production rates and AQE as a function of varying crystallinity and varying crystallite size of the anatase particles. AQE =

 $2n(H_2)/n(incident photons)$, where $n(H_2)$ and n(incident photons) are the numbers of generated H_2 and incident photons, respectively.⁴⁸

We further investigated the correlation of the Pd-anatase interaction and photocatalytic oxidation performance through phenol decomposition reaction, as shown in Fig. 5. Previous kinetic analysis based on *in-situ* UV-vis and MS spectrometry suggested that the optimum performance for full phenol oxidation was always observed for samples that produced minimum fraction of phenolic species (*i.e.*, hydroquinone and benzoquinone), as these redox couples consume the photo-generated charge carriers continuously thus reducing the conversion of phenol to CO₂.^{39,41} Therefore the decomposition rate of total phenolic species shown in Fig. 5 reflects the performance of the photocatalysts for the full oxidation of phenol. For 9 nm TiO₂ with different degrees of crystallinity, surface decoration with Pd NPs showed negligible effects on the phenol decomposition rate for the more crystalline TiO_2 particles (>75%) but a decrease in phenol decomposition for the less crystalline TiO_2 (<60%) particles, respectively (Fig. 5a). We did observe that the formation and decomposition of phenolic intermediates (hydroquinone and benzoquinone) were slightly improved upon increasing the crystallinity of the TiO₂ particles (Fig. S8b and S8c).⁴⁶ which resulted in a minor enhancement towards the full decomposition of phenol using Pd/TiO₂ compared to that of their pristine TiO₂ counterparts.⁴¹



Fig. 5. Evolution of total phenolics during photocatalytic phenol decomposition using the 9 nm pristine anatase (*left column*) and 1 wt% Pd NPs modified anatase (*right column*) with different crystallinity (a), and using the 82% pristine anatase (*left column*) and 1 wt% Pd NPs modified anatase (*right column*) with different mean crystallite size, (b).⁴¹

Interestingly, Pd NPs supported on highly crystalline (82%) TiO₂ with tunable crystallite size presented a different phenomenon. Whilst Pd NPs supported on small anatase particles (<15.9 nm) showed identical performance in phenol decomposition compared to their Pd-free counterparts, a further increase in the mean size of anatase (>15.9 nm) boosted the photocatalytic oxidation of phenol significantly (Fig. 5b). The evolution of hydroquinone and benzoquinone using undecorated and Pd-decorated TiO₂ (82% crystallinity) with different mean crystallite sizes are also presented in Figs. S8(f) and S8(g) respectively.^{41,46} These plots clearly reveal that the interaction of Pd with anatase can better promote the decomposition of both intermediates when the mean size of the anatase crystallites exceeds ~15.9 nm, which eventually accelerates the complete decomposition of phenol.

We can satisfactorily explain some of the observed phenomena using some prior experience and knowledge. For example, amorphous TiO_2 is generally considered to be poor for

photocatalysis.⁴¹ Furthermore the optimised photocatalytic H₂ production noted for medium sized crystalline anatase decorated with Pd can be considered as a deliberate trade-off between surface area effects and quantum size effects. However, the underlying mechanism is not sufficiently well understood to fully explain the following issues: (i), the fact that increasing the degree of crystallinity of the anatase does not seem to significantly affect the photocatalytic H₂ production and phenol decomposition; and (ii), the optimised crystallite size required for photocatalytic H₂ production and phenol decomposition is markedly different (*i.e.*, 15.9 nm versus 26.6 nm). Surface science studies on model TiO₂photocatalysts revealed that surface defects (*i.e.*, vacancies and adatoms) and bulk defects affect the charge transfer and trapping kinetics, which in turn control the surface adsorbed species that influence the reaction pathways and determine catalytic performance.^{15,51} However, the above conclusions were drawn from data collected using the surface science approach based on single crystal TiO₂ (normally a reduced rutile phase) under ultra-high vacuum conditions, which are not directly comparable to the TiO_2 NPs (normally pure anatase or mixed anatase/rutile polymorphs [P25]) that are used in real photocatalytic reactions under ambient conditions.

To rationalise the Pd–anatase interaction under different reaction conditions, we performed solid state ESR analysis on a selected sub-set of anatase samples and their corresponding Pd decorated counterparts, as shown in Fig. 6. When pristine TiO₂ samples were irradiated under high vacuum (Fig. 6a, $P_{cell} = 10^{-5}$ mbar), well characterised Ti³⁺centres, CB electrons (e⁻_{CB}), and O⁻ were formed as expected due to charge separation (e⁻ + Ti⁴⁺ \rightarrow Ti³⁺, O²⁻ + h⁺ \rightarrow O⁻). Note that e⁻_{CB} showed a *g* value of 2.003, which can effectively be considered as "free electrons" (*g* = 2.0023). The ESR signal at g=2.003 could be also induced by the defect states at particle-particle interfaces on reduced anatase,⁵² but such contribution should be negligible in our case as all

samples consist of stoichiometric TiO₂. However, the relative concentrations of different radical species varied significantly as the crystallinity changed. Whilst mainly Ti³⁺ in regular bulk lattice positions (bulk Ti³⁺, $g_{\perp} = 1.992$, $g_{//} = 1.960$) were detected for TiO₂ with poor crystallinity or small sizes (C1, C3, C5, and S3),⁵³ Ti³⁺ in a disordered environment (near-surface Ti³⁺, g =1.93), e_{CB}^{-} , and O⁻ were the dominant species found for well-crystalline TiO₂ with larger crystallite sizes (S4 and S6).⁵⁴ Because the most intense surface Ti³⁺ features were observed on samples S6, and the extensive bulk Ti³⁺ features were observed on samples C1, C3, C5, and S4, we surmise that large anatase is responsible for the surface Ti^{3+} and small anatase originates the bulk Ti³⁺. Moreover, increasing the crystallinity from 12.6% (C1) to 60.3% (C3) and then to 82% (C5 and S3) solely resulted in an increase of the bulk Ti^{3+} , whereas most of e_{CB} converted to near-surface Ti^{3+} centres when the TiO_2 size grew from 16.4 nm (S4) to 27 nm (S6). Therefore, the e⁻_{CB} should be solely generated on medium sized anatase as the corresponding ESR peak was significantly observed on sample S4 and visible on sample S6. Interestingly, the signals of excess charges vanished almost completely in all samples when preformed Pd NPs were immobilised onto the TiO₂ particles (see Fig. 6c), indicating most of excited electrons were successfully transferred from TiO₂ and localised at Pd surface sites. In comparison, Ti³⁺ species in regular bulk lattice positions were predominantly detected for pure P25 after irradiation under high vacuum, which is a similar situation to that of sample S3 (Fig. S10a). These trapped electrons can also be transferred to Pd surface sites (Fig. S10c).⁴⁶

We also performed similar experiments under low vacuum conditions ($P_{cell} = 10^{-1}$ mbar) to examine the effect of oxygen and water upon radical formation under UV irradiation, as shown in Figs. 6(b) and 6(d) for pristine TiO₂ and Pd/TiO₂, respectively. Surprisingly, whilst surface Ti³⁺, O₂⁻⁻, and O⁻⁻ were observed for large anatase particles (S4 and S6), O₂⁻⁻ was the only

radical species detected for poorly crystalline or small grain TiO₂ samples (C1, C3, C5, and S3), and the intensity was much weaker compared to that observed for samples S4 and S6. The spectra changed considerably in the presence of Pd NPs on TiO₂ particles, as shown in Fig. 6(d). Whilst the characteristic near-surface Ti³⁺ signals observed for large pristine anatase vanished due to charge transfer from TiO₂ to Pd, weak fingerprints of Pd²⁺ signals were observed in the low crystallinity sample (C1 and C3),⁵⁵ which agrees well with our XRD and XPS observations. Noticeably, the number of surface O₂⁻⁻ radicals decreased when increasing the crystallinity from 12.6% (C1) to 60.3% (C3) and to 82% (C5 and S3), as well as increasing the crystallite size from 9.3 nm (C5) to 11.3 nm (S3) and to 15.9 nm (S4), and reached a minimum with a mean size of 15.9 nm (S4). The concentration of O2 - radical shown in Fig. 6(d) can also be associated with the divergence in the content of medium sized anatase between samples S3, S4, and S6. Surface Ti^{3+} and O_2^{-} were the dominant species when pure P25 was irradiated under low vacuum, and the intensity of the O_2^{-} signal was similar to that of S4 (Fig. S10b). However, Ti³⁺ cations were still evident in the ESR spectra of Pd on P25, indicating the interfacial charge transfer between P25 and Pd to be relatively poor compared to that of the Pd-anatase system (Fig. S10d).⁴⁶





Fig. 6. ESR spectra of selected pristine TiO_2 and 1 wt% Pd/ TiO_2 samples that have been UV irradiated for 30 min at 77 K under high vacuum (a and c) and low vacuum (b and d), respectively. (e) an (f): Integrated intensities of all ESR spectra recorded under high vacuum and low vacuum, respectively. Lines in (e) and (f) are guidelines only.

We have further estimated the total numbers of active paramagnetic species by integrating the ESR signals, as shown in Fig. 6(e) and 6(f). Under high vacuum conditions (Fig. 6e), small TiO₂ particles with low crystallinity (C1, C3, and C5) generated far fewer active paramagnetic speciescompared to that of other TiO₂ particles. However, for large TiO₂ particles with high crystallinity (S3, S4, and S6), the number of Pd NPs seems to become insufficient for trapping

excess Ti³⁺. Under low vacuum conditions (Fig. 6f), the densities of surface active paramagnetic species increased sharply only when the crystallinity and size of TiO₂ reached certain thresholds (> 80% and > 15.9 nm), and a minimum concentration of surface $O_2^{\bullet-}$ species was observed for Pd NPs supported on TiO₂ with a crystallite size of 15.9 nm (S4).



Fig. 7. (a) The three layer anatase (101) surface model with five different oxygen vacancies (V_0) . (b) The surface DOS of Ti3d with V_0^{-1} and V_0^{-4} . The arrow indicates the mid-gap state. (cand d) LUMO of the anatase (101) surface with V_0^{-1} and V_0^{-4} , respectively. Ti atom: gray, O atom: red.

Since the density and identity of surface radicals are a reflection of the thermodynamics and kinetics of the charge carriers under reaction conditions, it is crucial to obtain a deep understanding of the charge carriers. In this connection we note that various defects such as OH species, low-coordinated ions, and oxygen vacancies (V_0) may exist in pure TiO₂,⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸ turning it into an n-type semiconductor, where the electrons and holes are the majority and minority charge carriers, respectively. However in the present study all samples were stoichiometric anatase (see Fig. 3) that should be close to behaving like intrinsic semiconductors. Nevertheless, excess

charge may occur due to minority defects. In the following we focus on the excited electrons for H_2 evolution with ethanol as the hole scavenger. As the phenol decomposition is more complicated, we would have to consider both electrons and holes since phenol and O_2 have to be oxidised and reduced simultaneously.

In order to reveal the effect of charge carriers on the photocatalytic performance, we have modelled a three-layer anatase TiO_2 (101) surface with a V_0 placed at various surface and subsurface (bulk) sites (Fig. 7a), and calculated the surface DOS of the system with different V_0 and the LUMO distributions, as shown in Fig. 7. Our focus here is the creation of $Ti3^+$ in the system rather than the structure of the defects. Here, introducing one V_0 corresponds to the creation of two Ti^{3+} , which is equivalent to two trapped electrons at the Ti^{4+} sites.

Among these five possible V_0 sites shown in Fig. 7(a), V_0^1 , V_0^2 , V_0^3 , and V_0^X can be assigned to different variants of surface V_0 , whereas V_0^4 is a subsurface V_0 defect. The calculated formation energies derived from the model with one single V_0^1 , V_0^2 , V_0^3 , V_0^X , and V_0^4 defect are 3.99, 4.90, 4.57, 4.27, and 3.88 eV at 0 K, respectively (see Fig. S11),⁴⁶ indicating that oxygen vacancies are most stable on site 4 (*i.e.*, subsurface) followed by site 1 (surface) rather than on the other sites considered.

The surface DOS shown in Fig. 7(b) reveals the effect of V_0^{-1} and V_0^{-4} on the Ti 3d orbitals, which constitute the conduction band of TiO₂. Interestingly, a mid-gap state close to the unoccupied Ti 3d orbitals was observed for anatase with a V_0^{-1} type defect (see arrow), whereas such a feature was not found for anatase with a V_0^{-4} defect. This Ti 3d mid-gap level induced by the surface V_0 provides additional trapping sites for the excited electrons, which could be transferred to the Pd NPs for use in subsequent reduction reactions. Meanwhile, the location of V_0 has a negligible effect on the O 2p orbitals in the valence band (Fig. S12),⁴⁶ thus the exact

location of V_0 does not influence the hole species significantly. The relatively high intensity ESR signals of O⁻⁻ observed in samples S4 and S6 in Fig. 6(a) are probably due to the enhanced charge separation caused by the mid-gap states that originate from surface defects. We further mapped the LUMO of the anatase (101) surface with V_0^1 and V_0^4 , as shown in Fig. 7(c) and (d). Obviously the LUMO located at the surface Ti sites (adjacent to the V_0^{-1} site) is more feasible for charge trapping and subsequent charge transfer than the LUMO located at the subsurface Ti sites (adjacent to the V_0^4 site). Previous surface science studies and DFT calculations showed that the subsurface and bulk V_0 's are significantly more stable on highly reduced anatase (101).⁵⁷ However, in our case the samples are all very close to stoichiometric TiO₂ (see Fig. 3), TiO₂ particles are used instead of single crystals, and we are also not working under ultra-high vacuum conditions. Hence, other surface defects can also potentially be envisaged to be present on our samples that lead to Ti³⁺ excess charge.⁵⁷ Although all calculation results were derived at 0 K, the electrons that have been trapped at the surface sites should be thermodynamically much easier to utilise for charge transfer even at room temperature. Moreover, the additional unoccupied Ti 3d states observed for the model with V_0^{-1} should be temperature independent.

We can now correlate the photocatalytic performance with the charge carriers and the properties of the photocatalyst materials by combining the ESR results with the insight of the theoretical calculations. For the H₂ evolution reaction, "free electrons" (e^-_{CB}) and surface trapped electrons (near-surface Ti³⁺) are thermodynamically and kinetically more favourable to reduce H⁺ to H₂ compared to electrons trapped within the subsurface or in the bulk (*i.e.*, lattice Ti³⁺). Ti³⁺ excess charge is available at the surface of large anatase crystals having high crystallinity, resulting in the formation of surface mid-gap states below the conduction band, thus improving the charge trapping and transfer efficiency. For anatase with low crystallinity and

ACS Catalysis

small crystallite size, Ti^{3+} excess charge is available predominantly in the subsurface or bulk, which results in a relatively poor charge trapping efficiency. Moreover, the unoccupied states are located at the subsurface or bulk that are unfavourable for interfacial charge transfer, leading to a relatively poor photocatalytic performance. Furthermore, a lower density of surface O_2^{-} radicals in medium sized anatase is ideal to inhibit re-oxidation (*i.e.*, back reactions) of the freshly synthesised H₂ during the photocatalytic process.⁴⁰ Accordingly, an optimum level of photocatalytic H₂ evolution was observed for highly crystalline TiO₂ (82.6%) with moderate crystallite size (15.9 nm). The relatively poor performance of Pd on P25 can mainly be associated with the bulk trapped electrons that require extra energy for charge transfer to the Pd NPs.For phenol decomposition, however, a situation is favourable where a dense population of surface radicals exist, which makes breaking of the aromatic rings more feasible. Thus the best performance for phenol decomposition was observed for highly crystalline TiO₂ (86.3%) of large size (26.6 nm).

CONCLUSIONS

We have synthesisedphotocatalysts comprised of Pd NPs supported on TiO₂ (anatase) materials having well controlled crystallinity and crystallite size. Whilst mainly metallic Pd NPs were deposited on highly crystalline TiO₂ regardless of the anatase crystallite size, about 20-30% of the Pd was presented in the Pd²⁺ form (*i.e.*,PdO) when using poorly crystalline TiO₂ particles. We have investigated the interaction of the Pd co-catalyst with TiO₂ for photocatalytic H₂ production and phenol decomposition reactions. Tuning the crystallinity of the TiO₂ particles showed a negligible effect on the photocatalytic performance of both reactions in comparison to varying the crystallite size. For H₂ production, a remarkable apparent quantum efficiency of \sim 78% was obtained when using anatase particles with a medium crystallite size of \sim 16 nm.

Meanwhile, an improvement in phenol decomposition was only observed for anatase particles with larger crystallite size (>20 nm). ESR analysis revealed that larger anatase particles (>16 nm) offer more near-surface sites for charge trapping, whereas solely bulk trapping sites were observed for smaller anatase. Such additional surface sites benefit both charge storage and charge transfer from TiO₂ to the Pd co-catalyst surface sites, and thus provide a more efficient utilisation of charge carriers to enhance H₂ evolution and phenol decomposition. Additionally, the presence of Pd on medium-size anatase particles (~16%) limited the formation of O_2 . radicals on TiO₂ surfaces, preventing unwanted re-oxidation of photo-generated H₂. DFT calculations on surface DOS and LUMO distribution illustrate that the surface trapping sites for photoexcited electrons in medium and large grain anatase can indeed be associated with different locations of defects such as V₀ species. Irrespective of the exact nature of the defects, it is clear that surface Ti³⁺ sites are beneficial for photocatalytic reactions, since they facilitate interfacial charge transfer from TiO₂ to the Pd NPs.Our results suggest that varying the size of the semiconductor is an efficient method to tune the electronic interaction between metal NPs and semiconductor to improve the photocatalytic performance.Further investigation on the Pd size effect, Pd⁰: Pd²⁺ratio, and Pd/TiO₂ interface may further tune the electronic properties of the material thus improving the photocatalytic performance.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Sample preparation

Synthesis of TiO₂ particles: A supercritical synthesis approach using a continuous flow reactor was employed to prepare TiO₂ nanoparticles.⁴⁶ Isopropanol mixed with deionized (DI) water and titanium isopropoxide (TTIP, ACROS, 98%) were used as the

supercritical solvent and the reactant, respectively. The crystallinity and crystallite size of pure anatase TiO₂ were controlled by tuning the concentration of TTIP, composition of the solvent, flow rate, temperature, and pressure, as listed in Table S1 in the Supporting Information.⁴⁸ The suspensions of as-prepared anatase particles were centrifuged, washed with DI water, and dried overnight at 120 °C. A series of samples were prepared where the crystallinity of anatase was tuned independently from 12% to 82% while maintaining a constant crystallite size of ~9 nm (samples C1-C5). A second series was made where the anatase crystallite size was varied from ~6 nm to ~27 nm while keeping a constant crystallinity of ~82% (samples S1-S6), as shown in Fig. S1.^{41,48}

Deposition of Pd NPs on TiO₂ particles: A standard sol immobilisation method was utilised to deposit 1 wt% of Pd NPs on the various anatase particles described above.³² Aqueous solutions of PdCl₂ (Johnson Matthey), poly vinyl alcohol (PVA) (1 wt% aqueous solution, Aldrich, MW=10000, 80% hydrolyzed), and NaBH₄ (0.1 M) were freshly prepared and mixed to generate the Pd colloid for deposition. Immobilisation of the Pd colloid was then performed by adding the anatase support into the fresh sol (acidified to pH 1-2 by sulfuric acid) under vigorous stirring conditions. The catalyst slurry was then filtered, washed thoroughly with DI water, and dried at 120 °C overnight. For comparative purposes, a 1 wt% Pd supported on commercial TiO₂ (Degussa P25) was also prepared by sol immobilisation.

Materials characterisation

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to acquire crystallographic information of the samples by using an X-ray diffractometer (SmartLab, Rigaku) operating with Cu-K_{α} radiation. A scan rate of 0.04 deg·s⁻¹ and integration time of 10.0 s was used for all measurements. Rietveld refinement was applied to determine the peak area thus the crystallite size from the diffraction

patterns.^{41,59} The crystallinity of the sample is defined as the percentage of crystallites in a mixture of crystalline and amorphous material, thus can be measured by mixing the sample with a CaF₂ reference (1:1 weight ratio), which is 100% crystalline. The crystallinity of the sample was calculated by comparing the integrated areas of the diffraction peaks of anatase (101) with CaF₂ (111) by the following equation:⁶⁰

$$Crystallinity = 0.763 \times A_{anatase(101)} / A_{CaF_2(111)} \times 100\%$$
(1)

High-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) images of some of the photocatalyst samples were acquired using a 200 kV JEOL ARM 200CF scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) equipped with a CEOS probe aberration corrector. The samples for STEM analysis were prepared by dry dispersing the catalyst powder onto a holey carbon TEM grid.

A Kratos Axis Ultra spectrometer equipped with a monochromatic Al K_{α} source (10 mA, 15 kV) was employed to perform X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis. Pass energies of 160 eV and 40 eV were used for survey scans and high resolution scans, respectively. Calibration of the binding energy was referenced to adventitious carbon, which has a binding energy of 284.8 for the C1s peak.

Photocatalytic performance measurements

 Photocatalytic H_2 production and phenol decomposition reactions were both performed to evaluate the performance of each material. Prior to the experiment, fresh photocatalyst (50 mg) was dispersed in DI water and subsequently cleaned by UV irradiation (Optimax 365, 365 nm LED, photon flux: 4×10^{17} photons·s⁻¹) for 2 h to remove the PVA ligand.

For H_2 production, 6.25 mL of ethanol (99 vol%) was added to the reactor to form a 25 vol% ethanol-catalyst-water suspension (25 mL), which was transferred to a leak-tight reactor that was connected to a quadruple mass spectrometer (QMS, Hiden HPR-20) for the analysis of evolved H_2 . The reactor was then evacuated using a by-pass pump until the dissolved O_2 level was

ACS Catalysis

reduced to below 5 μ M. UV irradiation of 2 h duration was then employed to photocatalytically evolve H₂ under conditions of continuous stirring at room temperature using the same LED light source as described above. The partial pressures of m/e⁻ = 2 (H₂), 18 (H₂O), 28 (N₂), 32 (O₂), and 44 (CO₂) species were monitored *in-situ*.

For phenol decomposition, 1 mL of phenol solution (20 mM) was added to the reactor to form a 400 μ M phenol-catalyst-water suspension (50 mL). An adsorption/desorption equilibrium was achieved by keeping the suspension in the dark for 1 h. Then UV irradiation was commenced using the aforementioned LED light source to initiate the photocatalytic decomposition of phenol. A 1.5 mL aliquot of the suspension was collected at given time intervals, centrifuged, and analysed by UV-vis spectrometry (UV-1800, Shimadzu, JP).

Further details of the photo-reactivity measurements and related calculations are summarised in the Supporting Information and can also be found elsewhere.^{28,39,48}

ESR characterisation of the photocatalysts

An X-band Bruker EMX spectrometer equipped with a high-sensitive cavity (ER 4119HS) was utilised to record the ESR spectra of pure anatase and the various Pd/anatase samples that have been treated under different oxygen partial pressures. This has been realised by evacuating the cell using a turbo pump system (P_{cell} : 1e⁻⁵ mbar) or a rotary pump (P_{cell} : 1e⁻¹ mbar), respectively. Before evacuation, the samples (10 mg) were cleaned by UV irradiation for 2 h at room temperature. The samples were then evacuated under dynamic vacuum at 80 °C (for low vacuum) and 120 °C (for high vacuum) to remove excess surface adsorbed water. The evacuated cell was then irradiated using the same UV light for 30 min at 77 K, and then transferred into the cavity which was held at 120 K to record ESR spectra. The modulation field and microwave

power employed were 100 kHz and 10 mW, respectively. The value of *g* tensor for each measurement was determined using a 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) standard.^{43,61}

Theoretical calculations

Density functional theory (DFT) was used to calculate the formation energy of the photoexcited electrons that are trapped at surface and subsurface sites by creating surface and subsurface oxygen vacancies (V₀). Subsequently, the impact of various surface and subsurface V_0 defects on the surface density of states (DOS) and the distribution of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMO) were also evaluated. A three O-Ti-O layer anatase model (144 atoms) was used to simulate the (101) surface (surface area 10.44×15.57 Å²). Vienna Ab-Initio Simulation Package (VASP) with the frozen-core projected-augmented wave (PAW) method was used with application of the generalised gradient approximation (GGA) of Pedew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE).⁶²⁻⁶⁵ The Ti3d states were described by GGA + U with a U value of 3.5 eV to correct for the on-site Coulomb interactions.^{66,67} The energy and the electronic properties of the anatase (101) surface were computed using a plane wave cutoff of 500 eV and a Monkhorst– Pack grid of (2×2×1) k-points.⁶⁸ The computational resources for the project were supplied by the Tianhe-2 in Lvliang, Shanxi province. More details of the calculation parameters can be found in the Supporting Information.⁴⁶

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* hutch@cardiff.ac.uk (Graham Hutchings), fbe@inano.au.dk (FlemmingBesenbacher)

Author Contributions

ACS Catalysis

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the financial support from the iNANO Centre through the Danish Strategic Research Council and the Carlsberg Foundation, and the Centre for Materials Crystallography (Denmark National Research Foundation, DNRF93). We also acknowledge the EPSRC (EP/K014854/1) and NSFC (project number: 21503257) for financial support. R.S. acknowledges financial support from Syngaschem BV and SynfuelsChina Technology Co. Ltd. for his stay at Cardiff University in 2014. RS would like to thank Prof. Damien Murphy at Cardiff University for EPR training and fruitful discussions and Dr. Jose Gracia at SynCat@Beijing for discussions in calculations.

Supporting Information. The sample preparation & characterisation, apparent quantum efficiency, evolution of the phenolic intermediates, additional ESR spectra, and additional calculation details are available. This material is available free of charge *via* the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

REFERENCES

(1) Jin, F. M.; Zeng, X.; Liu, J. K.; Jin, Y. J.; Wang, L. Y.; Zhong, H.; Yao, G. D.; Huo, Z. B. Sci. Rep.2014, 4, 4503-4511.

(2) Tedsree, K.; Li, T.; Jones, S.; Chan, C. W. A.; Yu, K. M. K.; Bagot, P. A. J.; Marquis, E. A.; Smith, G. D. W.; Tsang, S. C. E. *Nat. Nano***2011**, 6, 302-307.

(3) Wong, M. S.; Alvarez, P. J. J.; Fang, Y.-l.; Akçin, N.; Nutt, M. O.; Miller, J. T.; Heck, K. N. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 2009, 84, 158-166.

(4) Liu, J.; Liu, Y.; Liu, N.; Han, Y.; Zhang, X.; Huang, H.; Lifshitz, Y.; Lee, S.-T.; Zhong, J.; Kang, Z. *Science***2015**, 347, 970-974.

(5) Sastre, F.; Puga, A. V.; Liu, L.; Corma, A.; García, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2014, 136, 6798-6801.

(6) Chong, M. N.; Jin, B.; Chow, C. W. K.; Saint, C. *Water Res.***2010**, 44, 2997-3027.

(7) Li, R.; Weng, Y.; Zhou, X.; Wang, X.; Mi, Y.; Chong, R.; Han, H.; Li, C. *Energy Environ Sci***2015**, 8, 2377-2382.

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52 53

54

55

56

Wang, X.; Maeda, K.; Thomas, A.; Takanabe, K.; Xin, G.; Carlsson, J. M.; Domen, K.; (8) Antonietti, M. Nat. Mater. 2009, 8, 76-80. Wang, L.; Dionigi, F.; Nguyen, N. T.; Kirchgeorg, R.; Gliech, M.; Grigorescu, S.; (9) Strasser, P.; Schmuki, P. Chem. Mater. 2015, 27, 2360-2366. (10)Zhang, Y. C.; Du, Z. N.; Li, K. W.; Zhang, M.; Dionysiou, D. D. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces2011, 3, 1528-1537. (11)Bao, N.; Shen, L.; Takata, T.; Domen, K. Chem. Mater. 2007, 20, 110-117. Moniz, S. J. A.; Shevlin, S. A.; Martin, D. J.; Guo, Z.-X.; Tang, J. Energy Environ. (12)Sci.2015, 8, 731-759. (13) Nasalevich, M. A.; Becker, R.; Ramos-Fernandez, E. V.; Castellanos, S.; Veber, S. L.; Fedin, M. V.; Kapteijn, F.; Reek, J. N. H.; van der Vlugt, J. I.; Gascon, J. Energy Environ. Sci.2015, 8, 364-375. García-López, E.; Marcì, G.; Megna, B.; Parisi, F.; Armelao, L.; Trovarelli, A.; Boaro, (14)M.; Palmisano, L. J. Catal.2015, 321, 13-22. (15)Henderson, M. A. Surf. Sci. Rep. 2011, 66, 185-297. Hoffmann, M. R.; Martin, S. T.; Choi, W. Y.; Bahnemann, D. W. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, (16)69-96. (17)Tachikawa, T.; Fujitsuka, M.; Majima, T. J. Phys. Chem. C2007, 111, 5259-5275. Su, R.; Christensen, M.; Shen, Y. B.; Kibsgaard, J.; Elgh, B.; Vang, R. T.; Bechstein, R.; (18)Wendt, S.; Palmqvist, A.; Iversen, B. B.; Besenbacher, F. J. Phys. Chem. C2013, 117, 27039-27046. (19) Hernandez-Alonso, M. D.; Fresno, F.; Suarez, S.; Coronado, J. M. Energy Environ. Sci.2009, 2, 1231-1257. (20)Martin, D. J.; Qiu, K.; Shevlin, S. A.; Handoko, A. D.; Chen, X.; Guo, Z.; Tang, J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9240-9245. (21)Scanlon, D. O.; Dunnill, C. W.; Buckeridge, J.; Shevlin, S. A.; Logsdail, A. J.; Woodley, S. M.; Catlow, C. R. A.; Powell, M. J.; Palgrave, R. G.; Parkin, I. P.; Watson, G. W.; Keal, T. W.; Sherwood, P.; Walsh, A.; Sokol, A. A. Nat. Mater.2013, 12, 798-801. Kozlov, D. V.; Vorontsov, A. V. J. Catal. 2008, 258, 87-94. (22)(23)Yang, J.; Wang, D.; Han, H.; Li, C. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 1900-1909. (24)Rawalekar, S.; Mokari, T. Adv. Energy. Mater. 2013, 3, 12-27. Takai, A.; Kamat, P. V. ACS Nano2011, 5, 7369-7376. (25)(26)Subramanian, V.; Wolf, E. E.; Kamat, P. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4943-4950. Cozzoli, P. D.; Curri, M. L.; Agostiano, A. Chem. Commun. 2005, 25, 3186-3188. (27)Su, R.; Tiruvalam, R.; Logsdail, A. J.; He, Q.; Downing, C. A.; Jensen, M. T.; (28)Dimitratos, N.; Kesavan, L.; Wells, P. P.; Bechstein, R.; Jensen, H. H.; Wendt, S.; Catlow, C. R. A.; Kiely, C. J.; Hutchings, G. J.; Besenbacher, F. ACS Nano2014, 8, 3490-3497. Priebe, J. B.; Radnik, J.; Lennox, A. J. J.; Pohl, M.-M.; Karnahl, M.; Hollmann, D.; (29)Grabow, K.; Bentrup, U.; Junge, H.; Beller, M.; Brückner, A. ACS Catal.2015, 5, 2137-2148. Tsukamoto, D.; Shiraishi, Y.; Sugano, Y.; Ichikawa, S.; Tanaka, S.; Hirai, T. J. Am. (30)*Chem. Soc.***2012**, 134, 6309-6315. (31)Murdoch, M.; Waterhouse, G. I. N.; Nadeem, M. A.; Metson, J. B.; Keane, M. A.; Howe, R. F.; Llorca, J.; Idriss, H. Nat. Chem. 2011, 3, 489-492. Su, R.; Forde, M. M.; He, O.; Shen, Y.; Wang, X.; Dimitratos, N.; Wendt, S.; Huang, Y.; (32)Iversen, B. B.; Kiely, C. J.; Besenbacher, F.; Hutchings, G. J. Dalton Trans. 2014, 43, 14976-14982.

ACS Catalysis

2	
3	(33) Paramasiyalm I: Macak I M: Schmuki P. Electrochem Commun 2008 10 71-75
4	$(24) \qquad \text{Chan V D} : \text{Lin I : Via D V : Map S S Saturd 2011 221 746 750}$
5	(34) Chen, A. D., Liu, L., $1u$, F. 1., Mao, S. S. Science 2011, 551, 740-750.
6	(35) Maeda, K.; Teramura, K.; Lu, D. L.; Saito, N.; Inoue, Y.; Domen, K. Angew. Chem. Int.
7	<i>Ed.</i> 2006 , 45, 7806-7809.
8	(36) Mizukoshi, Y.; Sato, K.; Konno, T. J.; Masahashi, N. <i>Appl. Catal.</i> B2010 , 94, 248-253.
9	(37) Primo, A.; Corma, A.; Garcia, H. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2011, 13, 886-910.
10	(38) Mogyorósi K · Kmetykó Á · Czirbus N · Veréh G · Sinos P · Dombi A <i>React Kinet</i>
11	Catal Latt 2000 08 215 225
12	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
13	(39) Su, R.; Tiruvalam, R.; He, Q.; Dimitratos, N.; Kesavan, L.; Hammond, C.; Lopez-
14	Sanchez, J. A.; Bechstein, R.; Kiely, C. J.; Hutchings, G. J.; Besenbacher, F. ACS Nano2012, 6,
15	6284-6292.
16	(40) Li, Y. H.; Xing, J.; Chen, Z. J.; Li, Z.; Tian, F.; Zheng, L. R.; Wang, H. F.; Hu, P.; Zhao,
17	H. J.: Yang, H. G. Nat. Commun. 2013, 4, 2500-2507.
10	(41) Wang X $O \cdot S\alpha$ I $\cdot Su$ R \cdot Wendt S \cdot Hald P \cdot Mamakhel A \cdot Yang C X \cdot Huang Y
19	D: Ivarson P. P.: Posonbachar F. I. Catal 2014 210, 100, 109
20	$ \begin{array}{c} D_{1}, \text{ Iversen, } D_{2}, \text{ Described on eq}, 1^{\circ}, J_{2}, \text{ Cutat. 2014}, J_{10}, 100^{\circ}100. \\ \end{array} $
21	(42) Hurum, D. C.; Agrios, A. G.; Gray, K. A.; Kajn, T.; Thurnauer, M. C. J. Phys. Chem.
22	<i>B</i> 2003 , 107, 4545-4549.
20	(43) Carter, E.; Carley, A. F.; Murphy, D. M. J. Phys. Chem. C2007, 111, 10630-10638.
25	(44) Matthey, D.; Wang, J. G.; Wendt, S.; Matthiesen, J.; Schaub, R.; Lægsgaard, E.;
26	Hammer, B.; Besenbacher, F. Science2007, 315, 1692-1696.
27	(45) Porsgaard S · Jiang P · Borondics F · Wendt S · Liu Z · Bluhm H · Besenbacher F ·
28	Salmeron M Angew Chem Int Ed 2011 50 2266-2269
29	(16) Hold D: Backer I: Bremholm M: Paderson I S: Chavallier I: Iverson S B:
30	(40) Haid, I., Decker, J., Dieminonin, M., Federsen, J. S., Chevanier, J., Weisen, S. D., Lyoman D. D. I. Salid State Cham $2000, 170, 2674, 2680$
31	Iversen, B. B. J. Solia State Chem. 2000, 179, 2074-2080.
32	(4/) Ganji, S.; Bukya, P.; Vakati, V.; Rao, K. S. R.; Burri, D. R. <i>Catal. Sci. Technol.</i> 2013 , <i>3</i> ,
33	409-414.
34	(48) Details for sample synthesis and photocatalytic tests, additional TEM and XPS
35	characterisations, and theoretical calculations can be found in Supporting Information.
36	(49) Su, R.; Bechstein, R.; So, L.; Vang, R. T.; Sillassen, M.; Esbjornsson, B.; Palmqvist, A.;
37	Besenbacher F J Phys Chem C2011 115 24287-24292
30 20	(50) Noack K : Zhinden H : Schlögl R Catal Latt 1990 $A = 145-155$
39	(50) Nodek, K., Zonden, H., Senlogi, K. Catat. Lett. 1990, 4, 145-155. (51) Honderson M. A. Lynchingtalay, J. Chem. Day. 2012 , 112, 4429, 4455
40	(51) Henderson, M. A., Lydoinetsky, I.C. $nem. Rev., 2015, 115, 4426-4455.$
42	(52) Baumann, S. O.; Elser, M. J.; Auer, M.; Bernardi, J.; Husing, N.; Diwald, O.
43	<i>Langmuir</i> 2011 , <i>27</i> , 1946-1953.
44	(53) Chiesa, M.; Paganini, M. C.; Livraghi, S.; Giamello, E. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys2013,
45	15, 9435-9447.
46	(54) Barolo, G.: Livraghi, S.: Chiesa, M.: Paganini, M. C.: Giamello, E. J. Phys. Chem.
47	C2012 116 20887-20894
48	(55) Vedvagin Λ Λ : Volodin Λ M : Stovanovskij V Ω : Mishakov I V : Medvedev D
49	(55) Veryagin, A. A., Volodin, A. IVI., Stoyanovskii, V. O., Mishakov, I. V., Medvedev, D. A. Nagleax, A. S. Angl. Catal D2011 , 102, 207, 402
50	A., NOSKOV, A. S. Appl. Calal. D2011 , 105, 597-405.
51	(56) M1, JL.; Jensen, K. M. O.; Tyrsted, C.; Bremholm, M.; Iversen, B. B.
52	CrystEngComm2015, 17, 6868-6877.
53	(57) He, Y.; Dulub, O.; Cheng, H.; Selloni, A.; Diebold, U. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2009, 102,
54	106105.
55	(58) Di Valentin, C.; Pacchioni, G.; Selloni, A. J. Phys. Chem. C2009, 113, 20543-20552.
0C 57	(59) Rietveld, H. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1969. 2. 65-71.
57 58	(··· , ································
50	
60	

(60) Jensen, H.; Joensen, K.; Jørgensen, J.-E.; Pedersen, J.; Søgaard, G. J. Nanopart. Res. 2004, 6, 519-526.

- (61) Adamski, A.; Spałek, T.; Sojka, Z. Res. Chem. Intermed. 2003, 29, 793-804.
- (62) Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. Phys. Rev. B1999, 59, 1758-1775.
- (63) Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Phys. Rev. B1996, 54, 11169-11186.
- (64) Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Phys. Rev. B1994, 49, 14251-14269.
- (65) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865-3868.
- (66) Dudarev, S. L.; Botton, G. A.; Savrasov, S. Y.; Humphreys, C. J.; Sutton, A. P. Phys.
- *Rev.* B1998, 57, 1505-1509.
- (67) Cheng, H.; Selloni, A. J. Chem. Phys. 2009, 131, 054703.
- (68) Blöchl, P. E. Phys. Rev. B1994, 50, 17953-17979.

TOC

