

# **CHEMISTRY** A European Journal



## **Accepted Article**

Title: Mechanochemical Palladium-Catalyzed Carbonylative Reactions Using Mo(CO)6

Authors: Pit van Bonn, Carsten Bolm, and José G. Hernández

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Chem. Eur. J. 10.1002/chem.201904528

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201904528

Supported by ACES



## COMMUNICATION

# Mechanochemical Palladium-Catalyzed Carbonylative Reactions Using Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub>

#### Pit van Bonn, Carsten Bolm\* and José G. Hernández\*

**Abstract:** Esters and amides were mechanochemically prepared by palladium-catalyzed carbonylative reactions of aryl iodides using molybdenum hexacarbonyl as a convenient solid carbonyl source avoiding a direct handling of gaseous carbon monoxide. Real-time monitoring of the mechanochemical reaction by in situ pressure sensing revealed that CO is rapidly transferred from Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub> to the active catalytic system without significant release of molecular carbon monoxide.

Ball milling techniques are largely used in academia and in industry for advanced comminution and mixing of solids.<sup>[1]</sup> Due to the operational simplicity and especially to the effectiveness of ball milling to transduce mechanical loads to the sample being milled, a significant growth in the use of ball mills to mechanically induce chemical reactions has recently been experienced.<sup>[2]</sup> Intuitively, mechanochemical activation by ball milling appears more appropriate for solid samples. However, mechanical treatment of soft organic materials, liquids and even gaseous reactants has proven equally effective.<sup>[3]</sup>

In the case of mechanochemical reactions involving gaseous components, gases such as H<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[4]</sup> O<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[5]</sup> CO,<sup>[6]</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[7]</sup> HCN,<sup>[8]</sup>  $C_3H_6$ ,<sup>[9]</sup> CH<sub>4</sub>,<sup>[10]</sup> among others,<sup>[11]</sup> have been reported to undergo clean chemical transformations inside ball mills in various areas of synthetic chemistry. For example, in 2017 Hapiot and coworkers reported a rhodium-catalyzed mechanochemical hydroformylation of styrene derivatives in a planetary ball mill using a mixture of CO/H<sub>2</sub> (Scheme 1a).<sup>[12]</sup> While the reactions led to high conversions of substrates such as 2-vinylnaphthalene without the need for external heating, they required a 15 bar pressure of carbon monoxide and hydrogen inside a pressurized milling vessel.<sup>[12]</sup> Noteworthy, however, such high pressure is not an intrinsic requirement of the mechanochemical approach. In general, most carbonylation reactions rely on the use of special high-pressure laboratory equipment and involve the handling of odorless, toxic, and flammable CO gas. To mitigate this problem, carbonylation procedures were developed that improved both reaction performance and overall safety. Recent examples include the introduction of flow chemistry protocols to react gaseous CO,<sup>[13a]</sup> and the use of reagents with the ability to release or to form carbon monoxide in situ during the reaction.[13b-c] Among the latter, metal carbonyls such as  $M(CO)_6$  (M = Cr, Mo, W) and Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> have received large attention as convenient carbonyl sources avoiding the need for directly handling gaseous carbon monoxide.<sup>[13b, 14]</sup> Although, the toxicity of metal carbonyls always depends on their stability, volatility and on the harmfulness of the given metal.

[a]	P. van Bonn, Prof. Dr. C. Bolm, Dr. J. G. Hernández Institute of Organic Chemistry, RWTH Aachen University
	Landoltweg 1, 52074 Aachen (Germany)
	Eandorweg 1, 02014 / adner (Cermany)
	E-mail: carsten.bolm@oc.rwth-aachen.de
	E-mail: jose.hernandez@oc.rwth-aachen.de
	Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of
	the document



Scheme 1. Mechanochemical carbonylation reactions by ball milling.

These precedents made us wondering if solid metal carbonyl complexes such as  $M(CO)_6$  (M = Cr, Mo, W) could be activated by ball milling allowing mechanochemical carbonylations. Specifically, the use of metal carbonyls as one-carbon building blocks in palladium-catalyzed carbonylation reactions such as alkoxycarbonylations and aminocarbonylations was envisaged (Scheme 1b).<sup>[15]</sup> Herein we report the findings on our investigation, which include the development of a solvent-free protocol for the palladium-catalyzed carbonylation by ball milling, the in situ pressure monitoring of the reaction, and the application of the mechanochemical approach to the synthesis of series of esters and amides.

Initially, the carbonylation reaction between iodobenzene (**1a**), *n*butanol (**2a**), M(CO)<sub>6</sub> (M = Cr, Mo, W), K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, and a catalytic system composed by Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>/triphenylphosphine in a mixer mill was tested.<sup>[16]</sup> After 90 min of milling the crude mixtures of these experiments were directly analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. In all experiments, formation of butyl benzoate (**3aa**) was confirmed, and out of the three metal carbonyl complexes tested molybdenum hexacarbonyl proved to be the most active one for the carbonylation reaction (Table 1, entry 2). In the reactions with Cr(CO)<sub>6</sub> and W(CO)<sub>6</sub> a competitive oxidative homo-coupling reaction of **2a** led to the formation of butyl butyrate (**3aa**').

Table 1. Screening of metal carbonyls  $M(CO)_6$  (M = Cr, Mo, W) in the mechanochemical carbonylation of 1a with *n*-butanol  $2a^{[6]}$ 

l 1a	$\frac{M(1)}{Pd(C)}$ + <i>n</i> BuOH $K_3$ 2a 2	CO) <sub>6</sub> (1 equiv) 2h <sub>3</sub> (20 mol%) PO <sub>4</sub> (3 equiv) 30 Hz, 90 min CO) <sub>6</sub> (1 equiv) 3aa	+O_ <i>n</i> Bu 3aa'
Entry	M(CO) <sub>6</sub>	Yield <b>3aa</b> [%] <sup>[b]</sup>	Yield <b>3aa'</b> [%] <sup>[b]</sup>
1	Cr(CO) <sub>6</sub>	13	26
2	Mo(CO)6	99	<1
3	W(CO) <sub>6</sub>	22	26

[a] Reaction conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), 2a (0.4 mmol), M(CO)\_6 (0.2 mmol), Pd(OAc)\_2 (0.02 mmol), PPh\_3 (0.04 mmol), and K\_3PO\_4 (0.6 mmol) were milled in a 5 mL SS milling jar with one 10 mm milling ball of the same material. [b] Determined by ^1H NMR spectroscopy using ethylbenzene as internal standard.

### COMMUNICATION

Having observed chemical reactivity towards the carbonylative pathway for all the group VI hexacarbonyl complexes, we wondered if the mechanical treatment of these complexes had promoted the release of molecular carbon monoxide inside the ball mill. To test this hypothesis, M(CO)<sub>6</sub> (M = Cr, Mo, W) were milled under air using a planetary ball mill suitable for sensing changes in pressure and temperature during milling (for details see ESI). The experiments showed that other than the calculated rise in pressure due to the expansion of the air inside the milling jar by frictional heating, the pressure inside the milling container stayed essentially constant (Inset, Figure 1a). On the other hand, repeating the milling experiments with M(CO)<sub>6</sub> in the presence of K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (1 equiv) produced almost immediately gaseous carbon monoxide as indicated by the corresponding pressure increase (Figure 1a).<sup>[17]</sup> This indicated that the K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> used in the carbonylation reactions could have two roles: First, as base for the deprotonation of 2a, and second, as a promoter for the release of carbon monoxide from the metal hexacarbonyl sources. The mechanism by which K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> facilitates the release of CO is not completely elucidated, but <sup>31</sup>P NMR analysis of the milled samples revealed the presence of new peaks in the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum (Figure S5), suggesting that K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> might have participated in a ligand displacement with M(CO)<sub>6</sub>. After 4 h of milling, Cr(CO)<sub>6</sub> had produce the highest CO pressure inside the milling vessel. However, as noted before, the carbonylation of 1a with Cr(CO)<sub>6</sub> and 2a had been sluggish (Table 1), and this result led us doubt that the carbonylation really involved gaseous carbon monoxide formed from the reaction of M(CO)<sub>6</sub> and K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Hence, subsequent experiments were performed to evaluate if an alternative mechanism was operational by ball milling.

First, the most active  $M(CO)_6$  (M = Mo) in the carbonylation reaction was milled for 4 h with various amounts of  $K_3PO_4$  (1-3 equiv), and the reaction was followed by sensing changes in pressure (Figure 1b). Clearly, the extent of the gas evolution depended on the amount of  $K_3PO_4$  used. For example, 1.05 equiv of CO were released after milling of a mixture of  $Mo(CO)_6$  and  $K_3PO_4$  (1 equiv) for 4 h. Whereas the same experiment with 2 equiv of  $K_3PO_4$  afforded 1.66 equiv of CO.<sup>[18]</sup> Moreover, the use of 3 equiv of K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> promoted a fast buildup of pressure upon milling, which after 110 min of milling gradually dropped (Figure 1b). Such a drop in pressure was detected to occur earlier and to reduce the CO pressure more rapidly when 4 equiv of K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> were used (see Figure S4 in ESI). A similar feedback mechanochemical reaction was recently reported in experiments between CO<sub>2</sub> and zeolitic imidazolate frameworks.<sup>[19]</sup> However, repeating our experiments under argon was observed to offer a protective effect leading to higher pressure values while avoiding the drop in pressure upon milling (see Figure S6 in ESI).

Even more surprisingly was the pressure monitoring profile of the palladium-catalyzed carbonylation between 1a, 2a, Mo(CO)6 and K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (2 equiv), which did not reveal any significant buildup of pressure upon milling (Figure 1b). This result hinted at the possibility for the carbonylation reaction to involve a fast CO transfer from Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub> to the active palladium species during the catalytic cycle, rather than the release of molecular CO followed by its consumption during the reaction. This hypothesis was further tested after carrying out the reaction using 3 equiv of K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. This time, an increase in pressure was detected after the carbonylation had finished (after 90 min of milling), which can be interpreted as resulting from a reaction between the remaining Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub> and K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (see Figure S7 in ESI). Similar gas-free carbonylative reactions using metal carbonyls complexes have precedence. recent palladium-catalyzed For instance. Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub>,<sup>[20a]</sup> Suzuki reactions using and carbonylative carbonylation reactions using Co2(CO)8 under palladium catalysis,[20b] were proposed to involve cooperative rapid CO insertion into the catalytic cycle directly from the metal carbonyl source. Despite this likely scenario it was important to evaluate if the mechanochemical carbonylation could also take place using gaseous carbon monoxide. Thus, the palladium catalysis was repeated by ball milling 1a, 2a and K3PO4 (2 equiv) in the absence of Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub> but under a CO atmosphere (1 atm), and experiments in both a mixer mill and a planetary ball mill confirmed the formation of butyl benzoate (3aa) (Scheme 2).



**Figure 1**. a) Pressure monitoring of milling experiments of  $M(CO)_6$  and  $K_3PO_4$  (1 equiv). The inset shows the control experiments in the absence of  $K_3PO_4$ . For pressure and temperature monitoring profiles, see Figure S2. b) Pressure monitoring of milling experiments between  $Mo(CO)_6$  and  $K_3PO_4$  (1-3 equiv) and of the mechanochemical palladium-catalyzed carbonylation reaction between **1a**, **2a** (2 equiv),  $Mo(CO)_6$  (1 equiv), and  $K_3PO_4$  (2 equiv). Milling parameters: planetary ball mill operated at 800 rpm using a ZrO<sub>2</sub> milling vessel charged with 5 milling balls (10 mm in diameter), for additional experimental details, see ESI.

## COMMUNICATION



Scheme 2. Mechanochemical carbonylation reaction using gaseous CO by ball milling.

These results reveal that in ball mills both mechanistic alternatives are feasible: First, a gas-free carbonylation pathway for which the CO is rapidly transferred from  $Mo(CO)_6$  to the active catalytic system as demonstrated by in situ pressure monitoring of the mechanochemical alkoxycarbonylation reaction and, second, a direct uptake of gaseous CO by the palladium catalyst during the ball milling reaction.

After having studied the mechanochemical alkoxycarbonylation by ball milling between 1a and 2a using Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub>/K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, we set to evaluate the applicability of the protocol to other substrates. For this, the standard conditions were applied with the exception of using xantphos instead triphenylphosphine to facilitate the isolation by column chromatography. First, iodobenzene (1a) was reacted with solid biphenyl-4-methanol (2b) (mp. 96-100 °C). After purification by column chromatography ester 3ab was isolated in 70% yield (Scheme 3). Then, solid 4-iodoanisole (1b) (mp. 50-53 °C) and solid biphenyl-4-methanol (2b) were milled under the optimized reaction conditions affording the corresponding product 3bb in 75% yield (Scheme 3). Also methanol (2c), isopropanol (2d), phenol (2e) and benzyl alcohol (2f) were tested as nucleophiles in the mechanochemical carbonylation reactions. The results with 4-iodoanisole (1b) as reaction partner showed that all alkoxycarbonylations leading to 3bc-3bf proceeded smoothly within 90 min of milling. However, the high volatility of some of the products during post-processing vacuum drying prevented the isolation of the esters in higher yields after separation by column chromatography (Scheme 3). Reacting iodobenzene (1a) and 4-iodotoluene (1c) with benzyl alcohol (2f) led to esters 3af and 3cf in 91% yield and 80% yield, respectively (Scheme 3). To our surprise, the reaction of p-iodonitrobenzene (1d) under the standard reaction conditions [i.e., Mo(CO)6 (1 equiv)] gave ester 3df in only 23% yield. Realizing that Mo(CO)6 has the capability of reducing nitro groups,<sup>[21]</sup> the reaction was repeated with only 0.2 equiv of Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub>. This small change led to an increase in the yield of ester 3df to 42% yield after the same milling time. Using such substoichiometric amounts of Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub> for other substrates produced lower yields, indicating that the initially applied quantity (1 equiv) was preferable.

Attempts to carry out the mechanochemical alkoxycarbonylation of benzyl alcohol (2f) with 4-bromoanisole or phenyl triflate instead of iodobenzene (1a) showed that the latter substrate (1a) was superior over the formed two. Thus, with 4-bromoanisole product 3bf was obtained in only 15% yield, and the use of phenyl triflate gave ester 3af in 86% yield.<sup>[22]</sup> For comparison, with substrates 1b and 1a, 3bf had been obtained in 93% yield and 3af in 91% yield (Scheme 3). Then, it was examined if the mechanochemical carbonylation procedure using molybdenum hexacarbonyl could be extended to palladium-catalyzed aminocarbonylation reactions. For this, 4-iodoanisole (1b) was reacted with primary and secondary amines under the standard milling conditions (Scheme 4a). Among them, *n*-butylamine (4a), benzyl amine (4b), diethyl amine (4c) and aniline (4d) proved to be suitable as

#### WILEY-VCH



Scheme 3. Mechanochemical alkoxycarbonylation reactions using Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub> by ball milling (yields after column chromatography; in parentheses, yields as determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy).

nucleophiles leading to amides **5ba-5bd** in yields ranging from 58% to 86% (Scheme 4a). Finally, the mechanochemical carbonylation protocol was applied to the sulfoximinocarbonylation of iodobenzene (**1a**) with *S*-methyl-*S*-phenyl- sulfoximine (**6aa**), which gave the corresponding *N*-aroyl sulfoximine **7aa** in 78% yield (Scheme 4b).



Scheme 4. (a) Mechanochemical aminocarbonylation reactions and (b) sulfoximinocarbonylation of 1a using Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub> by ball milling. Yields after column chromatography.

In summary, we have developed a mechanochemical protocol to carry out palladium-catalyzed carbonylative reactions (alkoxycarbonylations and aminocarbonylations) in ball mills using molybdenum hexacarbonyl as a one-carbon building block. The model alkoxycarbonylation reaction was found to proceed mostly through a gas-free mechanism as evidenced by the real-

## COMMUNICATION

time monitoring of the mechanochemical reaction using in situ pressure sensing during ball milling. This result suggests that CO is rapidly transferred from  $Mo(CO)_6$  to the active catalytic system without significant release of molecular carbon monoxide. However, if preferred, gaseous CO can be applied in the mechanochemical alkoxycarbonylation reaction as well. From a more general perspective, this study reinforces the concept of in situ generation and consumption of gaseous reactants by mechanochemistry, which reduces the direct handling and exposition to toxic or highly reactive gaseous substances.<sup>[3]</sup>

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to RWTH Aachen University for financial support through the Distinguished Professorship Program funded by the Excellence Initiative of the German Federal and State Governments.

**Keywords:** ball milling • carbonylation • mechanochemistry • molybdenum hexacarbonyl • real-time monitoring

- a) C. Suryanarayana, Prog. Mater. Sci. 2001, 46, 1–184; b) C. F. Burmeister, A. Kwade, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 7660–7667; c) P. Baláž, M. Achimovičová, M. Baláž. P. Billik, Z. Cherkezova-Zheleva, J. M. Criado, F. Delogu, E. Dutková, F. Gaffet, F. J. Gotor, R. Kumar, I. Mitov, T. Rojac, M. Senna, A. Streletskii, K. Wieczorek-Ciurowa, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 7571–7637.
- For reviews in the area, see; a) S. L. James, C. J. Adams, C. Bolm, D. [2] Braga, P. Collier, T. Friščić, F. Grepioni, K. D. M. Harris, G. Hyett, W. Jones, A. Krebs, J. Mack, L. Maini, A. G. Orpen, I. P. Parkin, W. C. Shearouse, J. W. Steed, D. C. Waddell, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 413-447; b) T. Friščić, C. Mottillo, H. M. Titi, Angew. Chem. DOI: 10.1002/ange.201906755; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. DOI: 10.1002/anie.201906755; A. Beillard, X. Bantreil, T.-X. Métro, J. Martinez, F. Lamaty, Chem. Rev. 2019, 119, 7529-7609; c) E. Colacino, A. Porcheddu, C. Charnay, F. Delogu, React. Chem. Eng. 2019, 4, 1179-1188; d) D. Tan, F. García, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2019, 48, 2274-2292; e) C. G. Avila-Ortiz, M. Pérez-Venegas, J. Vargas-Caporali, E. Juaristi, Tetrahedron Lett. 2019, 60, 1749–1757; f) J. L. Howard, Q. Cao. D. L. Browne, Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 3080-3094; g) C. Bolm, J. G. Hernández, ChemSusChem, 2018, 11, 1410-1420; h) J. Andersen, J. Mack, Green Chem. 2018, 20, 1435–1443; i) J. G. Hernández, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 17157-17165; j) J. G. Hernández, C. Bolm, J. Org. Chem. 2017. 82, 4007-4019; k) J.-L. Do. T. Friščić, ACS Cent. Sci. 2017. 3, 13-19; I) N. R. Rightmire, T. P. Hanusa, Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 2352-2362; m) E. Boldyreva, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 7719-7738.
- C. Bolm, J. G. Hernández, Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 3320–3335; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 3285–3299.
- [4] a) D. J. Nash, D. T. Restrepo, N. S. Parra, K. E. Giesler, R. A. Penabade, M. Aminpour, D. Le, Z. Li, O. K. Farha, J. K. Harper, T. S. Rahman, R. G. Blair, ACS Omega 2016, 1, 1343–1354; b) C. Schumacher, D. E. Crawford, B, Raguž, R. Glaum, S. L. James, C. Bolm, J. G. Hernández Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 8355–8358; c) Y. Sawama, T. Kawajiri, M. Niikawa, R. Goto, Y. Yabe, T. Takahashi, T. Marumoto, M. Itoh, Y. Kimura, Y. Monguchi, S.-I. Kondo, H. Sajiki, ChemSusChem 2015, 8, 3773–3776.
- [5] a) A. Beillard, T.-X. Métro, X. Bantreil, J. Martinez, F. Lamaty, *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 1086–1089; b) K. J. Ardila-Fierro, A. Pich, M. Spehr, J. G. Hernández, C. Bolm, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, *15*, 811–817.
- [6] a) G. Mulas, R. Campesi, S. Garroni, F. Delogu, C. Milanese, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2011, 257, 8165–8170; b) S. Immohr, M. Felderhoff, C. Weidenthaler, F. Schüth, *Angew. Chem.* 2013, 125, 12920–12923; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, 52, 12688–12691; c) R. Eckert, M. Felderhoff, F. Schüth, *Angew. Chem.* 2017, 129, 2485–2488; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, 56,

2445–2448; d) H. Schreyer, R. Eckert, S. Immohr, J. de Bellis, M. Felderhoff, F. Schüth, *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 11384–11387; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 11262–11265.

- [7] a) I.-Y. Jeon, Y.-R. Shin, G.-J. Sohn, H.-J. Choi, S.-Y. Bae, J. Mahmood, S.-M. Jung, J.-M. Seo, M.-J. Kim, D. Wook Chang, L. Dai, J.-B. Baek, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2012**, *109*, 5588–5593.
- [8] C. Bolm, R. Mocci, C. Schumacher, M. Turberg, F. Puccetti, J. G. Hernández, Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 2447–2450; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 2423–2426.
- [9] H. Schreyer, S. Immohr, F. Schüth, J. Mater Sci. 2017, 52, 12021–12030.
- [10] M. Bilke, P. Losch, O. Vozniuk, A. Bodach, F. Schüth, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 11212–11218.
- [11] a) A. Y. Li, A. Segalla, C.-J. Li, A. Moores, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. **2017**, 5, 11752–1176; b) I. Y. Jeon, H. J. Choi, S. M. Jung, J. M. Seo, M. J. Kim, L. Dai, J. B. Baek, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 1386–1393; c) I. -Y. Jeon, H. -J. Choi, M. Choi, J. -M. Seo, S. -M. Jung, M. -J. Kim, S. Zhang, L. Zhang, Z. Xia, L. Dai, N. Park, J.-B. Baek, Sci. Rep. **2013**, 3, 1810; d) I.-Y. Jeon, M. J. Ju, J. Xu, H.-J. Choi, J.-M. Seo, M.-J. Kim, I. T. Choi, H. M. Kim, J. C. Kim, J.-J. Lee, H. K. Liu, H. K. Kim, S. Dou, L. Dai, J.-B. Baek, Adv. Funct. Mater. **2015**, 25, 1170–1179; e) J. C. Kim, J.-J. Lee, D. Shin, S.-M. Jung, J.-M. Seo, M.-J. Kim, N. Park, L. Dai, J.-B. Baek, Sci. Rep. **2013**, 3, 2260.
- [12] K. Cousin, S. Menuel, E. Monflier, F. Hapiot, Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 10700–10704; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 10564–10568.
- a) C. J. Mallia, I. R. Baxendale, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2016, *20*, 327–360; b) P. Gautam, B. M. Bhanage, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2015, *5*, 4663–4702; c) S. D. Friis, A. T. Lindhardt, T. Skrydstrup, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2016, *49*, 594–605.
- [14] a) L. R. Odell, F. Russo, M. Larhed, Synlett 2012, 23, 685–698; b) L.
   Åkerbladh, L. R. Odell, M. Larhed, Synlett 2019, 30, 141–155.
- [15] For a recent Minireview on palladium-catalyzed carbonylative multicomponent reactions, see: C. Shen, X.-F. Wu, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, 23, 2973.
- [16] For optimization of the reaction conditions, see ESI.
- [17] During the *in situ* monitoring of the milling experiments it was observed that the temperature rose from ca. 25 °C to ca. 41 °C after 4 h at 800 rpm (for details see ESI). For recent studies on temperature development and temperature control during ball milling, see: a) K. Užarević, N. Ferdelji, T. Mrla, P. A. Julien, B. Halasz, T. Friščić, I. Halasz, *Chem. Sci.* 2018, 9, 2525–2532; b) N. Cindro, M. Tireli, B. Karadeniz, T. Mrla, K. Užarevic, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2019, 7, 16301–16309; c) H. Kulla, M. Wilke, F. Fischer, M. Rölling, C. Maierhofer, F. Emmerling, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 1664–1667; c) J. Andersen, J. Mack, Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 13246–13249; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 13062–13065; d) K. Užarević, V. Štrukil, C. Mottillo, P. A. Julien, A. Puškarić, T. Friščić, I. Halasz, Cryst. Growth Des. 2016, 16, 2342–2347.
- [18] The amount of CO released upon milling was calculated using the ideal gas law (for details, see ESI). For comparison, a theoretical release of six molecules of CO from of Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub> (0.5 mmol) was calculated to produce an internal pressure inside the milling jar of 5.29 bar at 25 °C.
- [19] I. Brekalo, W. Yuan, C. Mottillo, Y. Lu, Y. Zhang, J. Casaban, K. Travis Holman, S. L. James, F. Duarte, P. Andrew Williams, K. D. M. Harris, T. Friščić, *ChemRxiv.* Preprint. https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv.9108626.v1
- [20] a) N. Sun, Q. Sun, W. Zhao, L. Jin, B. Hu, Z. Shen, X. Hu, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2019, 361, 2117–2123. b) J. T. Joseph, A. M. Sajith, R. C. Ningegowda, S. Shashikanth, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2017, 359, 419–425.
- [21] J. Spencer, N. Anjum, H. Patel, R. P. Rathnam, J. Verma, Synlett 2007, 2557–2558.
- [22] Aryl bromides are known to undergo aminocarbonylation reactions in toluene at 80-120 °C for 5-22 h using Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, Xantphos CO (1 atm) and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, see: J. R. Martinelli, D. A. Watson, D. M. M. Freckmann, T. E. Barder, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 7102–7107. The lower reactivity of aryl bromides observed in this work could be a consequence of the substantially different reaction conditions by milling i.e., 90 min without external heating. For in situ temperature monitoring of the reaction, see Figure S7.

## COMMUNICATION

<

## COMMUNICATION

### COMMUNICATION

$$R^{1} \xrightarrow{[I]}{I} + Mo(CO)_{6} + Nu-H \xrightarrow{P-ligand, K_{3}PO_{4}}{ball milling} R^{1} \xrightarrow{[I]}{I} Nu$$

$$Nu-H = R^{2}OH, R^{2}R^{3}NH \qquad yield = 32-94\%$$
Solid CO surroute Mochanical activation. Solvent free. No external heating

Solid CO-surrogate - Mechanical activation - Solvent-free - No external heating - No high-pressure equipment

A protocol for carrying out mechanochemical palladium-catalyzed carbonylative reactions in ball mills is reported. The reaction uses molybdenum hexacarbonyl as a convenient solid carbonyl source that precludes the need for a direct handling of CO gas.

Pit van Bonn, Carsten Bolm\* and José G. Hernández\*

Page No. – Page No.

Mechanochemical Palladium-Catalyzed Carbonylative Reactions Using Mo(CO)<sub>6</sub>