RESEARCH ARTICLE

Study of dry- and wet-process amorphous arsenic sulfides: Synthesis, Raman reference spectra, and identification in historical art materials

Marc Vermeulen¹ 🕩 | Karel Palka^{2,3} | Miroslav Vlček³ | Jana Sanyova¹

¹Polychrome Artefact Laboratory, Royal Institute for Cultural Heritage, Brussels, Belgium

²Department of General and Inorganic Chemistry, University of Pardubice, Pardubice, Czech Republic

³Center of Materials and Nanotechnologies, University of Pardubice, Pardubice, Czech Republic

Correspondence

Marc Vermeulen, Polychrome Artefact Laboratory, Royal Institute for Cultural Heritage, Parc du Cinquantenaire 1, Brussels B-1000, Belgium. Email: marc.vermeulen@metmuseum.org

Present Address

Department of Scientific Research, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, NY 10028, USA.

Funding information

Federaal Wetenschapsbeleid, Grant/ Award Number: SD/RI/04A; European Regional Development Fund-Project, Grant/Award Number: CZ.02.1.01/0.0/ 0.0/16_013/0001829; Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, Grant/Award Number: ED4.100/11.0251 LM2015082; Science for a Sustainable Development – SDD, Grant/ Award Number: SD/RI/04A

Abstract

This paper reports the dry and wet synthetic procedures and characterization by Raman spectroscopy of amorphous arsenic sulfide reference pigments. Reference spectra of two amorphous materials obtained by wet process methods and four dry process references of amorphous arsenic sulfide pigments of known composition are presented and discussed.

While all materials present a main band characteristic for the amorphous pigment centered on 341 cm⁻¹, additional small contributions indicate the presence of sulfur, arsenic oxide, and crystalline nano phases embedded in the amorphous matrix. Although only the broad 341-cm⁻¹ peak is necessary to identify the arsenic sulfide as an amorphous material, the smaller additional features allow for the characterization of the various manufacturing processes and initial materials used. In ideal conditions, these small features also enable to assess the As/S ratio of the studied amorphous arsenic sulfide pigments based on their relative intensity. In this context, the latter reference spectra were used to characterize the amorphous arsenic sulfide pigments and their arsenic to sulfur elemental composition in four 18th- to 20th-century historical samples and compared with scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray semiquantitative analyses. The identification of the amorphous arsenic sulfide used in these historical samples was compared with the description of the manufacturing processes reported in historical sources of the time, allowing for a better understanding of the evolution of the amorphous arsenic sulfide pigments manufacturing methods.

KEYWORDS

amorphous arsenic sulfide, cultural heritage, pigment characterization, reference spectra, synthesis

1 | INTRODUCTION

In the field of heritage science, the identification of artists' pigments found in works of art often requires comparisons with reference material data, especially for spectroscopic techniques.^[1-6] However, although arsenic is found as impurities in mineral ores used as color or opacifier in glass and enamels,^[7,8] it is found as a main element in a limited number of pigments. Therefore, arsenic sulfide pigments (yellow orpiment, As_2S_3 ; and orangey-red realgar, AsS) have often been identified based on the color of the arsenic-containing areas:

² WILEY-RAMAN SPECTROSCOPY

Orpiment was identified in yellow areas whereas realgar was to be expected in orangey-red zones.^[9-11] Nevertheless, the identification of pararealgar (AsS), the yellow light-induced degradation product of realgar, made the identification solely based on elemental and color analysis rather difficult. With the presence of such compounds, arsenic-rich yellow areas would not necessarily correspond to orpiment. Consequently, other analytical techniques such as X-ray diffraction or Raman spectroscopy were applied to the study of such pigments.^[12–14] In that regard, among the other techniques, Raman spectroscopy proved to be a method of choice for a quick and easy differentiation between natural orpiment and pararealgar, thus overriding the limitations of elemental analysis.^[15–17]

Despite being mentioned in artists' treatises as early as the 14th century, ^[18,19] arsenic sulfide glasses (g-As_xS_{100-x}), the artificial amorphous forms of arsenic sulfide pigments, were not identified in arsenic sulfide pigments characterization studies. Instead, until recently, most studies identified orpiment, realgar, or pararealgar as being the arsenic sulfide pigments present.^[20-23] All three aforementioned pigments occur to be natural crystalline arsenic sulfide materials with reference spectra available in spectral databases and scientific literature.^[24,25] Hence, the lack of identification of amorphous arsenic sulfide pigments in works of art may be due to the lack of reference materials to compare the result with as it may appear difficult to differentiate between the crystalline and amorphous forms based solely on microscopic and elemental techniques.^[26]

Nonetheless, in recent technical studies, optical and electron microscopy allowed for the identification of amorphous arsenic sulfide pigments.^[27,28] The occurrence of such pigments was based on the presence of almost perfectly round bright-yellow particles with a diameter of 2-5 µm interpreted as a purified form of artificial orpiment glass obtained by dry process as suggested by the pioneering research on amorphous arsenic sulfide by Grundman and Rötter.^[29] In cases when samples mounted as cross sections do not exhibit these almost perfectly round particles, Raman spectroscopy proved to be a powerful technique to highlight the presence of the amorphous arsenic sulfide material based on its characteristic vibrational signature, very distinct from its crystalline counterparts.^[26] Therefore, in the past years, its positive identification was reported several times in art objects.^[26,28,30-32] However, most of these identifications are based on previously published so-called reference spectra for amorphous arsenic sulfides prepared in the laboratory.^[26] Although the amorphous nature of this reference material is not questioned, its purity, homogeneity, and exact compositions remain unknown. Consequently, due to the increasing identification of amorphous arsenic sulfide in works of art, reliable reference spectra are becoming a requirement.

In this article, the authors report the Raman spectra and vibrational assignations of four pure homogeneous amorphous arsenic sulfide pigments synthetized by dry process and two amorphous pigments obtained by wet process. Based on the reference spectra obtained, dryprocess amorphous arsenic sulfide was identified in four historical samples. Although the amorphous arsenic sulfide references given in this paper allow for the identification of the pigments in historical paint samples, a closer look to the smaller secondary bands also gives access to more information regarding the synthesis processes of the pigments identified in the same historical samples such as their composition or the initial materials used for their preparation.

To the best of our knowledge, this article reports for the first time in the field of heritage science the Raman spectra and vibrational assignations of four pure amorphous arsenic sulfide pigments of known compositions. Furthermore, the satellite bands recorded for the four references were used to estimate the presence of the realgarlike nano phases, free arsenic and sulfur in arsenic sulfide-based pigments of four 18th- to 20th-century historical samples.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Raw materials

Various compounds were considered as starting materials for the syntheses and references for the Raman characterization. These materials include commercially available natural orpiment (Kremer Pigmente GmbH & Co, Aichstetten, Germany) and metalloid arsenic, arsenic oxide, and sulfur, all from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Missouri, USA).

2.2 | Raman spectroscopy

Micro-Raman spectroscopy spectra were acquired with a Renishaw inVia Raman microscope with a Peltier-cooled (203 K), near-infrared enhanced, deep-depletion CCD detector (576 \times 384 pixels) using a high power 785-nm diode laser (Innovative Photonic Solutions, New Jersey, USA) in combination with a 1,200 l/mm grating. The instrument was calibrated using a silicon wafer. Samples were analyzed using a 50 \times objective in a direct-coupled Leica DMLM microscope with enclosure. To avoid degradation or heat induced physical changes, the power on the samples was reduced to 0.3 mW with neutral density filters. A sample exposure time of 10 s (one accumulation)

was employed, resulting in an adequate signal-to-noise ratio. Spectra were acquired using the Wire 4 Raman software and were baseline corrected and normalized into the range [0,1] using the OriginPro 8 software.

2.3 | Scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray analysis

Analyses were carried out using a Zeiss-EVO LS15 scanning electron microscopy (SEM) equipped with an Oxford energy dispersive X-Ray spectroscopy detection system, all operated under variable pressure vacuum. Images, elemental maps, and semiquantitative analysis were recorded using an acceleration voltage of 15 kV, and 8-mm-working distance. The accuracy of the energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) semiquantitative analysis was assessed on the pure arsenic sulfide glasses of known composition used as standards. Data were collected and processed using the AZtecEnergy software system, v. 2.1 (Oxford Instruments).

2.4 | Historical samples

For this study, arsenic sulfide pigments from four historical samples were investigated (Figure 1a–d). One was taken on a French rococo Chinese-inspired oil painting, arbitrary untitled *Chinoiserie* (H1), which represents a couple walking in a garden (Figure 1a). The arsenic sulfide was mixed with Prussian blue to obtain the desired green color of the landscape from where the sample was taken (Figure 1e). The second sample (H2) comes from a Japanese leather paper or kinkarakawakami from the musée Charlier in Saint-Josse-ten-Noode in Belgium (Figure 1b). In this sample, the yellow arsenic layer is found between the leather and metallic layers (Figure 1f). Samples H3 and H4 were taken on two decorative panels of the Japanese tower found in Laeken, Brussels, Belgium (Figure 1c,d). These samples present different application processes: Sample H3 is found mixed with ultramarine blue in the paint underlayer (Figure 1g) whereas sample H4 presents two successive layers of arsenic sulfide pigments of different tone (Figure 1h). The thinner upper layer presents a brighter hue whereas the thicker deeper layer presents a darker yellow tone (Figure 1e). The four historical samples were prepared as embedded cross sections in order to be analyzed.

WILEY

To be cast as cross sections, the loose samples were first fixed on a 1-cm³ poly(methyl methacrylate) cube using a white poly(vinyl acetate) glue, after which the acrylic copolymer resin (Spofacryl[®], SpofaDental, Prague) was poured on the samples and a second poly(methyl methacrylate) cube placed on top and left to dry. Cross sections were polished until the sample surface was exposed, first with wet polish and finished dry with Micromesh[®] polishing cloths up to 8,000 mesh (Scientific Instruments Services Inc., MN). Microphotographs were



FIGURE 1 Photographs of (a) "Chinoiserie" (18th century), oil on canvas by an unknown artist (private collection), (b) Japanese wallpaper (ca. 1900), gilt leather paper by unknown artist (Musée Charlier, Brussels), (c,d) decorative panels from the Japanese tower (ca. 1900), mixed medium on wood by unknown artists (Royal Museums of Art and History, Brussels) in which the sampling locations are indicated (H1–H4). (e,h) Correspond to the microphotographs of respective cross sections under visible light showing the arsenic sulfide pigment. Location of Raman analyses are indicated by blue circles [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

3

FROSCOP



taken with an optical microscope Axio Imager 2 (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) with incident light and dark field with magnification up to 500 (Figure 1e–h).

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Synthesis and characterization of amorphous reference materials

3.1.1 | Melt/quench dry process

Due to the limited commercial availability and high prices of amorphous arsenic sulfide pigments, the synthesis of reference materials with various composition was undertaken. With the development and use of arsenic sulfide as a semiconducting material in the 20th century, industrial recipes corresponding to a melt/quench of arsenic and sulfur mixture are accessible.^[33–37] The obtained amorphous masses are very homogeneous, and their compositions are easily tunable due to a full control of the experimental conditions, quantity, and purity of the starting materials.

Following such procedures, four amorphous arsenic sulfides were synthetized: $g-As_{40}S_{60}$, $g-As_{34}S_{66}$, $g-As_{30}S_{70}$, and $g-As_{25}S_{75}$. These compositions were chosen for the variety in shades they offer once ground, from bright yellow ($g-As_{25}S_{75}$) to orangey-red hues ($g-As_{40}S_{60}$; Figure 2).

Ten grams of each four chalcogenide glasses were prepared by standard melt-quenching method.^[33,38] High purity (5N) arsenic and sulfur, which amounts were calculated according to Equations 1 and 2, were loaded into a cleaned quartz ampoule. The ampoule was evacuated ($\sim 10^{-3}$ Pa) and sealed. The reagents were melted in a rocking tube furnace at 800°C for 48 hr. Subsequently, the ampoule with melted glass was quenched in cold water and the amorphous masses collected.

The required masses of sulfur and arsenic necessary for the synthesis of 10 g of each glass and the final mass of chalcogenide glasses and reaction yields are given in Table 1.

$$m_{As} = \frac{mass of the final product (g)}{M_{As} \times X_{As} + M_s \times X_S} \times X_{As} \times M_{As}, \quad (1)$$

$$m_{S} = \frac{mass of the final product (g)}{M_{As} \times X_{As} + M_{s} \times X_{S}} \times X_{S} \times M_{S}, \qquad (2)$$

where $M_{As} = 74.9216 \text{ g.mol}^{-1}$, $M_S = 32.06 \text{ g.mol}^{-1}$, and X_{As} and X_S are the atomic fraction of arsenic and sulfur in the final products.

The Raman spectra obtained of the four amorphous arsenic sulfide glasses are presented in Figure 3 (1-4) along with the Raman spectra of natural orpiment (5), sulfur (6), and arsenic oxide (7) reference materials. The main bands wave numbers, relative intensities, and vibrational assignments for all compounds can be found in Table 2.

The distinct Raman vibrations observed for the amorphous materials (1-4 in Figure 3) and the crystalline one (5 in Figure 3) clearly highlight the difference in structures between the two types of materials. For the amorphous material (1-4 in Figure 3), all spectra are characterized by a broad band centered around 341 cm ⁻¹ corresponding to the symmetric stretching vibration mode of AsS_{3/2} structural units.^[40] Additional minor bands are found at 153, 187, 219, 235, 473, and 493 cm $^{-1}$. The bands at 153, 219, and 473 cm $^{-1}$ increase when larger amounts of sulfur are found in the final glass products, and as suggested by the Raman spectrum of sulfur reference (6 in Figure 3), these bands correspond to free sulfur present in the nonstoichiometric materials. The contribution at 493 cm⁻¹ correspond to S-S bonds,^[39] which is consistent with its higher intensity in the sulfur-rich arsenic sulfide glasses.

Bands at 187 and 235 cm⁻¹ do not depend on the material composition and cannot be associated with sulfur excess. The contribution at 187 cm⁻¹ is only visible for the $g-As_{40}S_{60}$ (1 in Figure 3) whereas similar



FIGURE 2 Photograph of ground (1) g-As₄₀S₆₀, (2) g-As₃₄S₆₆, (3) g-As₃₀S₇₀, and (4) g-As₂₅S₇₅ produced by melt/quench dry process, showing the variation of hue from orange/red to bright yellow depending on the As/S ratios [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TABLE 1 Summary of the arsenic and sulfur masses used for the preparation of the arsenic sulfide glass, the mass of the final products and the yields of the reaction

Glass	m _{As} (grams)	m _s (grams)	m _{g-AsS} (grams)	Yield (%)
g-As ₂₅ S ₇₅	4.3785	5.6215	9.76	97.6
g-As ₃₀ S ₇₀	5.0035	4.9965	9.71	97.1
g-As ₃₄ S ₆₆	5.4625	4.5375	9.88	98.8
g-As ₄₀ S ₆₀	6.0906	3.9094	9.86	98.6

contribution intensities at 235 cm^{-1} are observed for the three other compositions (2-4 in Figure 3) but not in Spectrum 1. These contributions are attributed to As-As bending modes in realgar-like nano phases, the latter being crystalline structures included in the glassy matrix.^[34,39,40] These bands are often related to the experimental setup such as the raw materials used, the temperature program, or the quenching temperature.^[41] A similar observation can be made with the shoulder to the main band at ~310 cm⁻¹ for g-As₄₀S₆₀ (1 in Figure 3) that is not observed for the other reference materials. The differences observed in these reference materials can be explained by the use of different sulfur and arsenic raw materials batches for g-As₄₀S₆₀ whereas the same materials were used for the three other amorphous glasses. Different batches of the same materials were used because g-As₄₀S₆₀ was synthetized at a different time than the three other references.

In characterization study of arsenic sulfide pigments, the satellite bands described here can be used as indicators regarding the composition and intended color of the amorphous pigment found in works of art. However, it is important to keep in mind that the spectra presented in Figure 3 were obtained on homogeneous laboratorysynthetized amorphous arsenic sulfides and may not be fully representatives of amorphous arsenic sulfides found in works of art. Furthermore, reaching conclusions can be difficult when the amorphous arsenic sulfide is found in small quantity or mixed with other pigments.

3.1.2 | Wet process

In the 19th and later in the early 20th century, several sources describe the preparation of amorphous arsenic sulfide materials through wet process chemistry.^[42,43] This novel method was made available through the development of wet chemistry in the end of the 19th century. For this synthesis process, a hydrochloric solution of arsenic trioxide is treated with a stream of hydrogen sulfide (Equation 3). The result will be a bright yellow amorphous precipitate (Figure 4a) that can be used as an artist pigment.

One of the source of hydrogen sulfide is the mineral pyrite (FeS). The latter decomposes into the iron (II) chloride brown solid (FeCl₂) and gaseous hydrogen sulfide when placed in a 6N hydrochloric solution and heated over a Bunsen burner (Equation 4). However, the H₂S gas produced need to be transported through the delivery tube of a Kipps' apparatus to the arsenic oxide solution in order to form the yellow precipitate (Equation 3). Therefore, other hydrogen sulfide sources can be used. Thioacetamide (CH_3CSNH_2), an organosulfur compound, has been used due to the easy production of hydrogen sulfide when present in aqueous solutions (Equation 5). It offers the advantage of producing the hydrogen sulfide gas directly in the 6N hydrochloric solution of arsenic trioxide and form in situ the bright vellow amorphous arsenic sulfide according to Equation (3).



FIGURE 3 Raman spectra of (1) g-As₄₀S₆₀, (2) g-As₃₄S₆₆, (3) g-As₃₀S₇₀, (4) g-As₂₅S₇₅, (5) natural orpiment, (6) sulfur, and (7) arsenic oxide references and the photographs of all four ground arsenic sulfide glasses analyzed (1–4). For the arsenic sulfide glasses (1–4), Raman bands characteristic for sulfur are indicated in magenta whereas the bands characteristic for realgar-like nano phases are indicated in cyan color [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TABLE 2Raman shifts and vibrational assignments for naturalorpiment, arsenic sulfide glass, arsenic oxide, and sulfur

Raman

shift (cm^{-1})	Intensity	Vibrational assignment		
Arsenic sulfide glass ^[39]				
233	W	Realgar-like nano phase		
341	vs, br	As-S-As stretching vibration in AsS _{3/2}		
495	W	S-S vibration		
Natural orpiment $(As_2S_3)^{[24]}$				
106	vw	Unknown		
136	W	Bending pyramids AsS _{3/2}		
154	m	Skeletal deformation		
179	W	Bending pyramids AsS _{3/2}		
203	m	Symmetric stretching of As-S-As bridges		
293	S	Symmetric stretching of pyramids AsS _{3/2}		
311	VS	Asymmetric stretching of pyramids AsS _{3/2}		
355	VS	Stretching of pyramids AsS _{3/2}		
384	m	Asymmetric stretching of As-S-As bridges		
Sulfur				
152	w to vw			
218	w to vw			
471	w to s			
Arsenic (III) oxide (As ₂ O ₃)				
183	sm	As-O-As bending vibration		
268	S	As-O-As wagging vibration		
369	VS	As-O-As bending vibration		
470	S	As-O stretching vibration		
560	m	As-O stretching vibration		

Note. w, m, s: weak, medium, strong; v: very; sh: shoulder; br: broad.

$$As_2O_{3(aq)} + 3H_2S_{(g)} \rightarrow As_2S_{3(s)} + 3H_2O_{(l)}, \qquad (3)$$

$$FeS_{(s)} + 2 HCl_{(aq)} \Delta \rightarrow H_2S_{(g)}, \tag{4}$$

$$CH_3CSNH_{2(s)} + H_2O_{(l)} \rightarrow CH_3CONH_{2(aq)} + H_2S_{(g)}.$$
 (5)

The yellow precipitate produced in both procedures corresponds to fine amorphous arsenic sulfide particles, require filtering and rinsing to eliminate traces of residual arsenic oxide. This latter operation may explain the observation made in the 19th century, which reported the wet chemistry arsenic sulfide to be purer than that the one obtained by melt or sublimation for which the arsenic oxide was considered to be the colorless matrix.^[27,44]

When analyzing the final product of both preparation methods with Raman spectroscopy, it appears clear that both compounds are amorphous arsenic sulfide materials based on their broad Raman scattering band centered on 341 cm^{-1} (Figure 4). However, when obtained with pyrite, small bands at 185, 269, 371, and 562 cm^{-1} (Spectrum 1) suggest the presence of arsenic oxide remnants. Such presence is most likely due to an incomplete purification and elimination of the arsenic oxide excess by means of filtration. However, due to the preparation process, which does not require the intervention of high temperature or quenching, no distinctive realgar-like nano phase bands are observed in the Raman spectra for the amorphous arsenic sulfide pigment obtained as suggested by the lack of Raman bands at 187 and 235 cm⁻¹. Nonetheless, the asymmetry of the main band may indicate the presence of As₄S₄. A more sensitive technique such as XRD analyses would be require to confirm this observation.

3.2 | Historical samples

Arsenic sulfide pigments were identified and localized in various historical samples based on the presence of arsenic and sulfur by SEM–EDX mapping (Figure 5). Due to the yellow color of the pigment particles, natural orpiment is expected. However, it remains difficult to conclude on the nature of arsenic sulfide pigments based on microscopic and elemental analyses only as the simultaneous presence of arsenic and sulfur may correspond to several arsenic sulfide pigments. Therefore, due to the distinctive vibrational signatures of arsenic sulfide pigments,^[23,26,45–47] Raman measurements on the arsenic sulfide particles were undertaken (Figure 6).

Sample H1 distinguishes itself from the other three analyzed samples by the presence of sharp bands characteristic for crystalline arsenic sulfides (yellow dotted lines in Spectra 1 and 2 in Figure 6). However, if Spectrum 2 presents the unambiguous signal of natural orpiment, Spectrum 1 appears more complex. In addition to the sharp bands of crystalline orpiment, the spectrum looks broaden around 341 cm^{-1} , which indicates the presence of amorphous arsenic sulfide along with crystalline orpiment. The simultaneous presence of both compounds in H1 may suggest an incomplete melt or sublimation of crystalline natural orpiment into the amorphous form of the pigment. Such sublimation processes were known in medieval time for the preparation of artificial pigments such as vermillion, for which mercury and sulfur were heated together.^[48-50] However, vermilion was not the only pigment produced by sublimation processes.^[51] The



FIGURE 4 (a) Photograph of amorphous arsenic sulfide produced through bubbling of FeS-induced H_2S in an arsenic oxide solution and (b) Raman spectra of amorphous arsenic sulfide produced by wet chemistry using (1) FeS and (2) thioacetamide as source of H_2S [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]



FIGURE 5 Backscattered electron images of (a) H2, (b) H3, and (c) H4 samples and their respective scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray analysis highlighting the use of arsenic sulfide pigments in the yellow-containing areas [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

dry process preparation of amorphous arsenic sulfide pigments has been described in several artists' treatises such as *Experimenta de coloribus* (Jehan Le Begue, 15th century) or the *Paduan Manuscript* (author unknown, 16th century).^[19,48,51] In these manuscripts, the authors often describe how to melt natural orpiment alone or mixed with a sulfur source (described as "powdered red sulfur" in Jehan Le Begue's manuscript) in order "to make a most beautiful orange yellow color".^[19] The sulfur added to the mix is most likely used to modify



FIGURE 6 Raman spectra of the arsenic sulfide particles from (1 and 2) the French Chinoiserie (H1), (3) the Japanese wallpaper (H2), and (4, 5, and 6) the decorative panels from the Brussels's Japanese tower (H3 and H4). Insert corresponds to the 330- to 400-cm⁻¹ area of Spectrum 2 presenting the increased 359-cm⁻¹ contribution compared with unaltered natural orpiment (black spectrum) [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com

the hue of the final product as a greater amount of sulfur will lead to a brighter yellow tone (Figure 2) or allowing a purer orpiment to be produced.^[52] Once cooled down, the amorphous material can be conserved and ground when needed. The occurrence of sulfur in H1 (band at 221 cm ⁻¹) tends to indicate that sulfur was most likely added to the crystalline pigment to brighten its hue as described in the medieval recipes.

Similar Raman results have previously been observed for arsenic sulfide pigments identified on 13th-century Portuguese illuminated manuscripts.^[53] Although the authors identified the amorphous arsenic sulfide as a degradation product of the orpiment, the heat required to transform orpiment into amorphous arsenic sulfide tends to suggest a similar incomplete sublimation of natural orpiment rather than a degradation product. A similar process has previously been identified in 18th-century Dutch painting in which the artificial arsenic sulfide was identified by Raman spectroscopy as partially sublimed pararealgar.^[54]

Arsenic oxide, a common degradation product of natural orpiment,^[51,55,56] was not identified by means of Raman spectroscopy in the pigments particles of H1. Although this may indicate that no degradation of the arsenic sulfide may have happened, it may also be present under the detection limit of the technique or could have been removed due to weathering or conservation interventions.

However, a broadening of the main band of orpiment due to an increase of the 359 cm^{-1} band contribution (Figure 6 insert) suggests that the natural orpiment has been subjected to light alteration.^[57] Nonetheless, such modification is not observed for the natural orpiment

contribution found along the amorphous arsenic sulfide. This further strengthens the greater stability of amorphous arsenic sulfides compared with the natural crystalline forms-at least in the initial degradation phase-as already highlighted in previous research.^[45,58]

Samples H2, H3, and H4 do not present the characteristic bands for crystalline arsenic sulfide. Instead, they present a main broad band centered on 341 cm^{-1} , very similar to the Raman signatures of the pure reference materials presented in Figure 3. Spectra 3 and 4 (samples H2 and H3, Figure 1f,g) present satellite bands at 234, 471, and 494 cm^{-1} , whereas Spectrum 6 (upper bright yellow layer in sample H4, Figure 1h) presents sharp bands at 152, 219, and 473 cm^{-1} . No significant satellite bands are observed in Spectrum 5 (darker layer in sample H4, Figure 1h). Whereas the satellite band at 234 cm^{-1} in Spectra 3 and 4 suggests realgar-like nano phases, their 471 and 494 cm^{-1} bands and the sharp contributions observed for Spectrum 6 correspond to sulfur remnants. All bands indicate amorphous arsenic sulfide obtained by dry process. The presence of sulfur in these samples indicates the use of sulfur in the dry process synthesis. However, it remains difficult to conclude on the nature of the arsenic source used as being metalloid arsenic or arsenic oxide. Nevertheless, similar dry processes involving sublimation or melt/quench of arsenic oxide and sulfur are found in 18th-century historical literature.^[59,60] In these treatises, it was advised to mix together sulfur with a powder arsenic (also described as white arsenic, which could correspond to arsenic oxide or small pieces of oxidized metalloid arsenic) and sublimate the mixture. The achieved materials are described as never as perfect and beautiful as the crystalline form found in nature.^[60] From

these treatises, it appears that pigments manufacturers were aware that the quantity of sulfur contained in the final material had an influence on its hue as observed during the dry process manufacturing procedure. The absence of satellite bands observed for Spectrum 5 may indicate a different synthetic process than the ones used for the other samples. A similar profile-without realgar-like nano phases or sulfur remnants-is also observed for the amorphous arsenic sulfide obtained by wet process (Spectrum 2 in Figure 4). This may represent the first occurrence of wet-process arsenic sulfide in works of art. However, all amorphous arsenic sulfide obtained by wet process is described as very fine (0,4-1 μ m in diameter),^[29,55] which does not appear to be the case for H4 (Figure 5c). The lack of realgar-like nano phases may just inform that this particular amorphous arsenic sulfide was obtained following different experimental procedures than the other pigments analyzed.

It can be precarious to compare historic naturally aged pigments with newly synthetized ones due to the potential differences that can exist, mainly the reference materials being perfectly homogeneous and the historic ones being unknown. However, the pigment particles studied and the Raman beam are small and large enough to cover most of the particles, therefore inducing a Raman spectrum representative of the entire amorphous particle. Raman spectra obtained for the amorphous arsenic sulfide found in works of art can then be compared with the reference materials synthetized during this research in order to extrapolate information regarding the elemental composition with enough confidence. By comparing Spectra 3, 4, and 5 from Figure 6 (Spectrum 6 clearly present an excess of free sulfur) to the reference Spectra 1-4 from Figure 3 and giving a special attention to the sulfur satellite band at 494 cm^{-1} , we could expect the As/S ratio to be similar to 30/70 for samples H2 and H3 (Spectra 3 and 4) and to 34/66 for H4 (darker lower part, Spectrum 5). These values compared well with the SEM-EDX semiquantitative analyses performed on the same samples, which read respectively (atomic % arsenic) 30.14 ± 1.79 , 29.35 ± 2.36 , and 34.82 ± 1.79 . The results demonstrate that good quality Raman spectra of amorphous arsenic sulfides could be used to approximate the elemental composition of the pigments, even though it is important to bear in mind that elemental composition may be influenced by degradation processes of the pigments over time and by homogeneity of the pigments studied.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

Identification of amorphous arsenic sulfide in works of art is not as straight forward as it may seem. It often



requires a multianalytical approach, which includes microscopic and spectroscopic techniques. However, in recent studies, Raman spectroscopy has allowed to characterize amorphous arsenic sulfide pigment based on its As-S-As stretching vibration in $AsS_{3/2}$ structural units. Most studies use previously published reference spectra for amorphous arsenic sulfide as reference material and Raman spectra that can be used to compare the historical samples remain scarce. Even though the amorphous nature of this reference material is not contested, its unknown composition and homogeneity make it nonfully trustworthy for further investigations. Therefore, more homogeneous and reliable references were required due to the steady Raman-based identification of amorphous arsenic sulfide pigments in works of art. This article fills this gap by reporting the Raman spectra of four dryprocess homogeneous amorphous arsenic sulfide pigments of known composition along with the Raman spectra of two wet process pigments. The article also investigates the various dry- and wet-process synthesis procedures available through times to better understand the possible preparation of arsenic sulfide pigments found in works of art, applying it to amorphous arsenic sulfide found in three 18th- to 20th-century decorative objects.

Although the main Raman broad band at 341 cm^{-1} is enough to conclude on the amorphous nature of the arsenic sulfide pigments, the satellite bands provide information on the materials used and the preparation conditions, such as the use of sulfur or arsenic oxide in excess or the dry preparation when realgar-like nano phases are found in the amorphous material.

Furthermore, when working on high signal-to-noise ratio, well-baselined Raman spectra, and suitable reference materials, the samples' Raman spectra can provide information regarding the As/S ratios based on the satellite bands (especially at 494 cm⁻¹) without requiring access to SEM–EDX semiquantitative analyses. This can be very useful to explain various shades of arsenic sulfide pigments within one object.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research is made possible with the support of the Belgian Science Policy Office (BELSPO, Brussels) through the research program Science for a Sustainable Development – SDD, "Long-term role and fate of metal-sulfides in painted works of art – S2ART" (SD/RI/04A). K. P. and M. V. appreciate support from Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic (LM2015082 and ED4.100/11.0251) and European Regional Development Fund-Project "Modernization and upgrade of the CEMNAT" (CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_013/0001829).

WILEY-RAMAN

ORCID

Marc Vermeulen D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1000-3259

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Cosentino, e-Conserv. J. 2014, 54.
- [2] T. Cavaleri, A. Giovagnoli, M. Nervo, Procedia Chem. 2013, 8, 45.
- [3] L. Burgio, Anal. Methods 2015, 7, 4844.
- [4] K. Castro, M. Pérez-Alonso, M. D. Rodríguez-Laso, L. A. Fernández, J. M. Madariaga, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2005, 382, 248.
- [5] L. Burgio, R. J. Clark, Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 2001, 57, 1491.
- [6] P. Vandenabeele, L. Moens, H. G. M. Edwards, R. Dams, J. Raman Spectrosc. 2000, 31, 509.
- [7] P. Colomban, T.- A. Lu, V. Milande, Ceram. Int. 2018, 44, 9018.
- [8] P. Colomban, L. Arberet, B. Kırmızı, *Ceram. Int.* **2017**, *43*, 10158.
- [9] M. A. Garrote, M. D. Robador, J. L. Perez-Rodriguez, Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 2017, 173, 279.
- [10] S. Bracci, O. Caruso, M. Galeotti, R. Iannaccone, D. Magrini, D. Picchi, D. Pinna, S. Porcinai, *Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* 2015, 145, 511.
- [11] N. Civici, J. Cult. Herit. 2006, 7, 339.
- [12] M. Uda, S. Sassa, S. Yoshimura, J. Kondo, M. Nakamura, Y. Ban, H. Adachi, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B beam interact. Mater. At. 2000, 161–163, 758.
- [13] M. Uda, in *X-rays for Archaeology*, (Eds: M. Uda, G. Demortier, I. Nakai), Springer, Netherlands **2005** 3.
- [14] X. Zhu, R. Wang, X. Lu, H. Liu, J. Li, B. Ouyang, J. Lu, *Mineral*. *Petrol.* 2014, 109, 1.
- [15] L. Burgio, R. J. H. Clark, K. Theodoraki, Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 2003, 59, 2371.
- [16] A. Jurado-López, O. Demko, R. J. H. Clark, D. Jacobs, J. Raman Spectrosc. 2004, 35, 119.
- [17] R. R. Ernst, J. Raman Spectrosc. 2010, 41, 275.
- [18] C. d'Andrea Cennini, D. V. Thompson, *The Craftsman's Handbook: The Italian 'Il libro dell'arte'*, Dover Publ, New York **1960**.
- [19] M. P. Merrifield, Medieval and Renaissance Treatises on the Arts of Painting: Original Texts with English Translations, Courier Corporation 2012.
- [20] R. Lewis, "Identification and Implication: Orpiment on a Child's Coffin". Decoration Surfaces on Ancient Egyptian Objects: Technology, Deterioration and Conservation, Fitzwilliam Museum, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK 2007.
- [21] J. P. Ogalde, C. O. Salas, N. Lara, P. Leyton, C. Paipa, M. Campos-Vallette, B. Arriaza, J. Chil. Chem. Soc. 2014, 59, 2571.
- [22] K. Keune, J. Mass, A. Mehta, J. Church, F. Meirer, *Herit. Sci.* 2016, 4, 10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-016-0078-1
- [23] M.-C. Corbeil, K. Helwig, Stud. Conserv. 1995, 40, 133.
- [24] R. Forneris, Am. Mineral. 1969, 54, 1062.

- [25] K. Trentelman, L. Stodulski, M. Pavlosky, Anal. Chem. 1996, 68, 1755.
- [26] M. Vermeulen, J. Sanyova, K. Janssens, *Herit. Sci.* 2015, 3, 9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-015-0040-7
- [27] M. Richter, G. Grundmann, A. Van Loon, K. Keune, A. Boersma, C. Rötter, K. Rapp, Auripigment/Orpiment - Studien zu dem Mineral und den künstlichen Produkten/Studies on the Mineral and the Artificial Products, Materialien aus dem Institut für Baugeschichte, Kunstgeschichte und Restaurierung mit Architekturmuseum der Technischen Universität München, München 2007 167.
- [28] A. van Loon, P. Noble, A. Krekeler, G. Van der Snickt, K. Janssens, Y. Abe, I. Nakai, J. Dik, *Herit. Sci.* 2017, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-017-0138-1
- [29] G. Grundmann, C. Rötter, Auripigment, Orpiment: Studien zu dem Mineral und den künstlichen Produkten = Studies on the mineral and the artifical products, Siegl, München 2007 103.
- [30] S. Panayotova, P. Ricciardi, Colour: The Art & Science of Illuminated Manuscripts, Harvey Miller Publishers, London Turnhout, First published. 2016.
- [31] Y. Luo, E. Basso, H. D. Smith, M. Leona, *Herit. Sci.* 2016, 4, 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-016-0087-0
- [32] S. Zaleski, Y. Takahashi, M. Leona, *Herit. Sci.* 2018, 6, 32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-018-0195-0
- [33] H. Pribylova, K. Antoine, M. Vlcek, H. Jain, *Thin Solid Films* 2011, *519*, 3950.
- [34] A. Bertoluzza, C. Fagnano, P. Monti, G. Semerano, J. Non Cryst. Solids 1978, 29, 49.
- [35] V.Q. Nguyen, J. Sanghera, S.S. Bayya, G. Chin, I.S. Aggarwal, Inventors; V.Q. Nguyen, assignee, manufacturing process for chalcogenide glasses, U.S. patent 20120238432 A1, May 29, 2012.
- [36] S. R. Elliott, *Physics of Amorphous Materials*, Longman, London; New York **1984**.
- [37] D. W. Hewak, D. Brady, R. J. Curry, G. Elliott, C. C. Huang, M. Hughes, K. Knight, A. Mairaj, M. N. Petrovich, R. E. Simpson, C. Sproat, in *Photonic Glasses and Glass-Ceramics*, (Ed: G. S. Murugan), Research Signpost **2010** 29.
- [38] K. Palka, T. Syrovy, S. Schröter, S. Brückner, M. Rothhardt, M. Vlcek, Opt. Mater. Express 2014, 4, 384.
- [39] A. Zakery, S. R. Elliott, Optical Nonlinearities in Chalcogenide Glasses and Their Applications, Springer, Berlin, New York 2007.
- [40] J. M. González-Leal, Mater. Res. Express 2014, 1, 015201.
- [41] D. G. Georgiev, P. Boolchand, K. A. Jackson, *Philos. Mag.* 2003, 83, 2941.
- [42] J. Garçon, Traité général des applications de la chimie. Métalloïdes et composés métalliques, Vve C. Dunod, Paris 1901.
- [43] F. Dorvault, Officine, ou Répertoire général de pharmacie pratique, 1910.
- [44] J. Lefort, Chimie des couleurs pour la peinture à l'eau et à l'huile, V. Masson, Paris 1855.
- [45] M. Vermeulen, G. Nuyts, J. Sanyova, A. Vila, D. Buti, J.-P. Suuronen, K. Janssens, J. Anal. At. Spectrom 2016, 31, 1913.

- [46] P. Bonazzi, S. Menchetti, G. Pratesi, M. Muniz-Miranda, G. Sbrana, Am. Mineral. 1996, 81, 874.
- [47] V. Daniels, B. Leach, Stud. Conserv. 2004, 49, 73.
- [48] M. P. Merrifield, Original treatises dating from the XIIth to XVIIIth centuries on the arts of painting, in *Oil, Miniature, Mosaic and on Glass*, Dover Publications, New York, originally published John Murray, London **1849 1999**, vol. 1.
- [49] L. Vagts, M. Clarke, J. Am. Inst. Conserv. 2002, 41, 291.
- [50] C. Miguel, J. V. Pinto, M. Clarke, M. J. Melo, *Dyes Pigm.* 2014, 102, 210.
- [51] A. Wallert, Maltechnik Restauro 1984, 90, 45.
- [52] M. Douma, in Pigments through the Ages, Orpiment 2008.
- [53] V. S. F. Muralha, C. Miguel, M. J. Melo, J. Raman Spectrosc. 2012, 43, 1737.
- [54] M. Vermeulen, S. Saverwyns, A. Coudray, K. Janssens, J. Sanyova, *Dyes Pigm.* 2018, 149, 290.
- [55] E. W. FitzHugh, in Artists' Pigments: A Handbook of their History and Characteristics, (Ed: E. W. FitzHugh) Vol. 3, National Gallery of Art, Washington 1997 47.
- [56] F. Casadio, E. Heye, K. Manchester, Mus. Stud. 2006, 31, 8.

- [57] M. Vermeulen, J. Sanyova, K. Janssens, G. Nuyts, S. De Meyer, K. De Wael, J. Anal. Spectrom. 2017, 32, 1331.
- [58] M. Vermeulen, K. Janssens, J. Sanyova, V. Rahemi, C. McGlinchey, K. De Wael, *Microchem. J.* 2018, 138, 82.
- [59] N. Chomel, Dictionnaire oeconomique, Ganeau & Bauch, Paris 1767.
- [60] C. de Massoul, A Treatise on the Art of Painting, and the Composition of Colours: Containing Instructions for All the Various Processes of Painting: Together with Observations upon the Qualities and Ingredients of Colours, Published and sold by the author, London 1797.

How to cite this article: Vermeulen M, Palka K, Vlček M, Sanyova J. Study of dry- and wet-process amorphous arsenic sulfides: Synthesis, Raman reference spectra, and identification in historical art materials. *J Raman Spectrosc.* 2018;1–11. https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.5534