

Electrosynthesis of 1,1,1-Tribromodimethylsulfone (II). A current of 6 A was passed through the electrolyzer described above containing 600 ml of an aqueous solution containing 120 g KBr, 70 g NaHCO₃, and 7.8 g DMSO at 20-22°C (27 A·h was introduced). The extraction of (II) was carried out as in the case of (I) to give 11.8 g (36% yield, 28% current yield) (II), mp 235-237°C (from toluene-hexane). PMR spectrum (δ , ppm): 3.47 s (3H). Found, %: C 7.45; H 1.10; Br 71.87. C₂H₃Br₃O₂S. Calculated, %: C 7.26; H 0.91; Br 72.46.

Preparation of (II) by the Oxidation of 1,1,1-Tribromomethyl Methyl Sulfide. A sample of 61.7 g (0.21 mole) 1,1,1-tribromomethyl methyl sulfide in 100 ml glacial acetic acid was added dropwise, with rapid stirring and cooling to 18-21°C over 5 h, to 51.8 g fine-crystalline CrO₃ in 300 ml glacial acetic acid. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for an additional 1 h and then poured into 2 liters cold water and neutralized with NaHCO₃. The precipitate was filtered off and dried over P₂O₅ to give 37.9 g (55%) (II), mp 235-236°C (from toluene-hexane).

CONCLUSIONS

The electrochemical bromination of DMSO in alkaline media leads to the formation of (CBr₃)₂SO₂ (in the presence of Na₂CO₃) or CBr₃SO₂CH₃ (in the presence of NaHCO₃).

LITERATURE CITED

1. C. F. Bennett, D. W. Goheen, and W. S. McGregor, *J. Org. Chem.*, **28**, 2485 (1963).
2. H. Johnston, US Patent No. 3,051,757; *Chem. Abstr.*, **58**, 8908a (1963).
3. J. A. Reuterskioeld, *J. Prakt. Chem.*, **129**, 121 (1931).
4. S. Chidambaram, M. S. V. Pathy, and H. V. K. Udupa, *Indian J. Chem.*, **5**, 346 (1967).
5. W. E. Farrar, *J. Chem. Soc.*, No. 3, 508 (1956).
6. B. G. Cox and A. Gibson, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, No. 10, 1355 (1973).
7. W. E. Truce, G. H. Birum, and E. T. McBee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **74**, 2594 (1952).
8. F. Boberg, G. Winter, and G. Richard, *Chem. Ber.*, **89**, 1160 (1956).

HYPOPHOSPHOROUS ACID AS A HYDRIDE ION DONOR

O. A. Karpeiskaya, A. A. Belyi, Z. N. Parnes,
and M. E. Vol'pin

UDC 542.941.7:547.632.3

Ionic hydrogenation of hydrogenolysis requires proton and hydride ion donors. Hypophosphorus acid, H₃PO₂ (I), is presumably both a proton donor and hydride ion donor. In order to check this hypothesis, we selected the ionic hydrogenation of 1-methylcyclohexene (II). This compound readily undergoes ionic hydrogenation to give methylcyclohexane by the action of hydride ion donors such as Et₃SiH and strong acids such as CF₃CO₂H [1]. However, 1-methylcyclohexene in the presence of (I) is not converted to methylcyclohexane at 40°C over 4 h with a ten-fold excess of acid. The lack of a reaction between (I) and (II) may be explained either by assuming that H₃PO₂ is a poor proton donor or a poor hydride ion donor. The reaction of (I) with (II) does not proceed in the presence of Et₃SiH which is an active hydride ion donor. Thus, H₃PO₂ is a poor proton donor. However, when CF₃CO₂H is added to a reaction mixture of (I) and (II) in order to increase the acidity of the medium, ~5% methylcyclohexane was found in the reaction products. Hence, the P-H bond may serve as a hydride ion donor.

When a carbocation such as the tropylium cation participates in the reaction, then, despite its low activity as a hydride ion acceptor, the reduction proceeds even by the action of 50% aqueous solution of (I) and cycloheptatriene is formed in ~25% yield. In this case, the reaction of (I) with the tropylium ion proceeds to give the mono- and dicycloheptatrienyl

A. N. Nesmeyanov Institute of Heteroorganic Compounds, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow. Translated from *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Khimicheskaya*, No. 2, pp. 473-474, February, 1988. Original article submitted April 10, 1987.

derivatives of (I) in addition to ionic hydrogenation. This is indicated by the ^{31}P NMR spectra of the reaction mass: δ_1 27.5 ppm (doublet, $J_{\text{P-H}} = 545$ Hz) and δ_2 52.5 ppm (singlet) which correspond to alkylphosphorous and dialkylphosphonic acids (81.01 MHz in CH_2Cl_2 with 85% H_3PO_4 as the external standard [2]).

Upon the use of diphenylchloromethane and 1-chloro-1-methylcyclohexane as the carbocation source and AlCl_3 , the ionic hydrogenation in the presence of (I) proceeds under mild conditions (20°C over 1 h) with the formation of 22% diphenylmethane and 27% methylcyclohexane.

Ionic hydrogenolysis proceeds upon the action of (I) on triphenylcarbinol at 40°C over 4 h with a 93% yield of triphenylmethane. Diphenylcarbinol is converted to diphenylmethane under the same conditions, albeit in only 12% yield. This decrease in yield is apparently related to difficulty in forming the cation due to insufficient acidity of (I). We should note that except for the reactions with $\text{C}_7\text{H}_7\text{BF}_4$, we used (I) with $\leq 0.2\%$ water. At high water contents in the acid, ionic hydrogenation and hydrogenolysis either do not occur at all or the product yield is low (the yield is only $\sim 50\%$ in the case of Ph_3COH).

EXPERIMENTAL

The products of the ionic hydrogenation were analyzed by gas-liquid chromatography on a Tsvet-129 chromatograph with a flame ionization detector and helium gas carrier using a 1500×4 -mm glass column packed with Elastomer E-301 on Chromosorb W, HP 100/120 mesh (for the products of the conversion of Ph_3COH) and a 2500×4 -mm glass column packed with 5% GESE-30 silicone on Chromaton-Super 100/120 mesh (for the products of the conversion of Ph_2CHOH , Ph_2CHCl , and $\text{C}_7\text{H}_7\text{BF}_4$). We also used a Chrom-5 chromatograph with a flame ionization detector and nitrogen gas carrier using a 2400×3 -mm stainless steel column packed with SE-30 silicone on Chromaton N-AW-HMOC (0.16-0.20 mm) [for the products of the conversion of 1-chloro-1-methylcyclohexane and (II)]. The products were identified relative to authentic samples and the internal standard method was used for quantitative analysis.

The sample of hypophosphorous acid was dried as described by Brauer [3]. The moisture content was determined by potentiometric titration.

Ionic Hydrogenation of Ph_3COH by Hypophosphorous Acid. A sample of 0.260 g (0.001 mole) Ph_3COH in 1 ml CH_2Cl_2 was added to a pear-shaped flask equipped with a reflux condenser and magnetic stirrer maintained at 40°C. Then, 0.66 g (0.01 mole) anhydrous hypophosphorous acid was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 4 h. The solution was neutralized and extracted thrice with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic layer was analyzed by gas-liquid chromatography.

The ionic hydrogenation of Ph_2CHOH and 1-methylcyclohexene by hypophosphorous acid was carried out by the same procedure.

Ionic Hydrogenation of 1-Chloro-1-methylcyclohexane and Ph_2CHOH by Hypophosphorous Acid in the Presence of AlCl_3 . A sample of 0.001 mole 1-chloro-1-methylcyclohexane or Ph_2CHCl was added to the reaction flask equipped with a reflux flask and a magnetic stirrer and dissolved in 1 ml CH_2Cl_2 . Then, 0.01 mole AlCl_3 was added upon cooling to 0°C. The mixture was stirred at $\sim 12^\circ\text{C}$ for 15 min. The solution turned orange and then brown after the dropwise addition of 0.005 mole H_3PO_2 . The temperature of the cooling bath was gradually raised to room temperature. After 1 h, the mixture was neutralized and extracted thrice with CH_2Cl_2 . The mixture was analyzed by gas-liquid chromatograph. The order of the addition of the reagents does not have a significant effect on the product yields. The best result (27% methylcyclohexane) was obtained upon the initial mixing of H_3PO_2 with AlCl_3 and the subsequent addition of 1-chloro-1-methylcyclohexane at 0°C.

Ionic Hydrogenation of $\text{C}_7\text{H}_7\text{BF}_4$ by Hypophosphorous Acid. A sample of 0.001 mole $\text{C}_7\text{H}_7\text{BF}_4$ was introduced into a pear-shaped flask equipped with a reflux condenser and magnetic stirrer. Then, 1 ml CH_2Cl_2 and heptane as the internal standard were added. A sample of 1.32 ml 50% aqueous H_3PO_2 was added with stirring. After 4 h, the mixture was thrice extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The organic layer was analyzed by gas-liquid chromatography.

CONCLUSIONS

Hypophosphorous acid is a protonating agent and relatively good hydride ion donor in ionic hydrogenation and hydrogenolysis.

LITERATURE CITED

1. D. N. Kursanov, Z. N. Parnes, M. I. Kalinkin, and N. M. Loim, Ionic Hydrogenation [in Russian], Izd. Khimiya, Moscow (1979), p. 32.
2. É. E. Nifant'ev, R. K. Magdeeva, and N. P. Shepet'eva, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 50, 1744 (1980).
3. G. Brauer, Handbook of Preparative Inorganic Chemistry, 2 Vols., Academic Press, New York (1963).

SYNTHESIS AND MOLECULAR STRUCTURES OF ANTIFERROMAGNETIC $(\text{Cp}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{SCMe}_3)$ $(\mu_3\text{-S})_2\text{RhL}_2$ CLUSTERS. FIRST OBSERVATION OF Cr-Rh BONDS

A. A. Pasynskii, I. L. Eremenko, V. R. Zalmanovich, UDC 542.91:548.737:541.49:
 V. V. Kaverin, B. Orazsakhatov, V. M. Novotortsev, 547.1'13:546.76:546.97
 A. I. Yanovskii, and Yu. T. Struchkov

An increase of the activity of rhodium hydroformylation catalysts has been reported upon the introduction of thiolate bridges [1] and upon the combination of the rhodium complexes with transition elements of the beginning of the periods [2]. In order to obtain catalyst models, we synthesized rhodium complexes, in which binuclear chromium sulfide fragments are used as the ligands. $\text{Cp}_2\text{Cr}_2(\mu\text{-SCMe}_3)(\mu_3\text{-S})_2\text{RhL}_2$ clusters [$\text{L}_2 = \pi\text{-C}_8\text{H}_{12}$ (I) and $(\text{CO})_2$ (II)] were formed in the reactions of $(\text{CpCrSCMe}_3)_2\text{S}$ with $(\pi\text{-C}_8\text{H}_{12}\text{RhCl})_2$ or $(\text{AcacRh}(\text{CO})_2)$ in benzene at 20 and 80°C, respectively. These clusters were isolated by crystallization from benzene-heptane or chromatography on alumina and characterized by x-ray diffraction structural analysis (Table 1, Figs. 1 and 2).

The unit cell parameters for (I) are as follows: $a = 9.913(8)$, $b = 13.994(11)$, $c = 18.242(12)$ Å, $\alpha = 91.96(3)$, $\beta = 95.91(2)$, $\gamma = 106.24(2)^\circ$, $Z = 4$ (two independent molecules), space group $\text{P}\bar{1}$. The unit cell parameters for (II) are as follows: $a = 16.966(5)$, $b = 13.190(5)$, $c = 17.885(6)$ Å, $Z = 8$, space group Pbca . In both clusters, the diamagnetic rhodium(I) atom is coordinated to two sulfur atoms of the antiferromagnetic $\text{Cp}_2\text{Cr}_2(\text{SCMe}_3)(\text{S})_2$ fragment, which has short Cr-Cr bonds [2.687(1) Å in (I) and 2.708(1) Å in (II)]. These bond lengths are almost the same as in starting $(\text{CpCrSCMe}_3)_2\text{S}$ (2.689 Å) [3]. We should note the augmentation of the ligand environment of the Rh(I) atoms to typical square planar due to the C=C double bonds [1.395(9) Å] of coordinated 1,5-cyclooctadiene in (I) located perpendicularly to the plane of the RhS_2 group or two CO groups in cluster (II). In addition, extremely elongated Rh-Cr bonds are formed [3.018(1) and 3.010(1) Å in (I) and 3.010(1) and 3.134(1) Å in (II)]. This is apparently a consequence of the electronic features of Rh(I) since the Co(I) atom in the $\text{Cp}_2\text{Cr}_2(\text{SCMe}_3)(\mu_3\text{-S})\text{Co}(\text{CO})_2$ cluster (III), which is analogous to (II), has a tetrahedral environment and forms short Co-Cr bonds (2.579 and 2.592 Å); the Cr-Cr bond is also short (2.617 Å) [3]. We should note that, despite the relative antibonding nature of the Cr-Cr and Rh-Cr bonds in (II) in comparison with (III), there is a marked enhancement of the antiferromagnetic exchange interactions in (II): μ_{ef} drops from 0.78 to 0.58 B. m. in going from 295 to 80 K, which is described in the framework of the dimeric Heisenberg-Dirac-Van Flack model [4] with exchange parameter $-2J = 592 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (2% monomer impurity, 4% mean-square error) in comparison to 530 cm^{-1} in the case of cluster (III) [3].

EXPERIMENTAL

All the operations in the synthesis of (I) and (II) were carried out in a pure argon atmosphere in absolute solvents. The starting $(\pi\text{-C}_8\text{H}_{12}\text{RhCl})_2$ [5], $\text{AcacRh}(\text{CO})_2$ [6], and $(\text{CpCrSCMe}_3)_2\text{S}$ complexes [7] were obtained according to reported procedures. The IR spectra

N. S. Kurnakov Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow. Translated from *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Khimicheskaya*, No. 2, pp. 474-477, February, 1988. Original article submitted April 10, 1987.