

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Inorganica Chimica Acta 357 (2004) 600-604

Note

Unimica Acta

Inorganica

www.elsevier.com/locate/ica

Synthesis and characterization of mixed-ligand ruthenium(III) complexes with oxalate and acetylacetonate ions

Yoshimasa Hoshino ^{a,*}, Motoki Eto ^a, Taku Fujino ^b, Yasuhiko Yukawa ^c, Tetsuo Ohta ^d, Akira Endo ^d, Kunio Shimizu ^d, G.P. Satô ^d

^a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Education, Nagasaki University, 1-14 Bunkyo-machi, Nagasaki 852-8521, Japan

^b Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata University, 8050 Ikarashi Nino-cho, Niigata 950-2181, Japan

^c Department of Environmental Science, Faculty of Science, Niigata University, 8050 Ikarashi Nino-cho, Niigata 950-2181, Japan

^d Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Sophia University, Kioicho 7-1, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-8554, Japan

Received 3 October 2002; accepted 5 June 2003

Abstract

Two mononuclear mixed-ligand ruthenium(III) complexes with oxalate dianion (ox²⁻) and acetylacetonate ion (2,4-pentanedionate, acac⁻), K₂[Ru(ox)₂(acac)] (1) and K[Ru(ox)(acac)₂] (2), were prepared as a candidate for a building block. In fact, reaction of complex 2 with manganese(II) sulfate gave a heterometallic tetranuclear complex, TBA[Mn^{II}{(μ -ox)Ru^{III}(acac)₂}] (5) in the presence of tetrabutylammonium (TBA) bromide. The ¹H NMR, UV–Vis, selected IR and FAB mass spectral data of these complexes are presented. Both mixed-ligand ruthenium(III) complexes gave a Nernstian one-electron reduction step in 0.1 mol dm⁻³ Na₂SO₄ aqueous solution on a mercury electrode at 25 °C. Comparison of observed reversible half-wave potentials with calculated values for a series of [Ru(ox)_n(acac)_{3-n}]ⁿ⁻ (n = 0–3) complexes by using Lever's ligand electrochemical parameters is presented. © 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Ruthenium complexes; Oxalato complexes; Tetranuclear complexes; Mixed-ligand complexes; Electrochemistry; Ligand electrochemical parameters

1. Introduction

Recently oxalate-bridged ruthenium(III) polymeric compounds with formula (TBA)[M^{II}Ru^{III}(ox)₃] [1a] (TBA⁺ = tetra-*n*-butylammonium; M = Mn, Fe and Cu; ox²⁻ = oxalate dianion) and [Z^{III}Cp_{*2}] [M^{II}Ru^{III}(ox)₃] (Z = Co and Fe; M = Mn, Fe, Co, Cu and Zn; Cp^{*} = pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) [1b] were synthesized and their magnetic properties were investigated [1]. To the authors' knowledge, however, only a few papers have been published on discrete bi- or oligonuclear oxalate-bridged ruthenium complexes which have been isolated: [{Ru^{II}(py)₄}₂(µ-ox)](BF₄)₂ (py = pyridine) [2], [{($\eta^3 : \eta^3$ -C₁₀H₁₆)Ru^{IV}Cl}₂(µ-ox)] [3], [{Ru^{II}X(η^6 -*p*-PrⁱC₆H₄Me)}₂(µ-ox)]^{*n*} (X = Cl, *n* = 0; X = PPh₃, *n* = ²⁺) [4], and [Ru^{III} {(µ-ox)M^{II}L₂}₃]Cl₃ (M = Cu and Ni; L = ethylenediamine and 2,2'-bipyridine [5]. Prepara-

tions of such bi- or oligonuclear complexes require some inert mononuclear mixed-ligand ruthenium(III) complexes with one or two ox^{2-} as a bridging moiety. In fact, in the case of chromium(III) complexes, some inert complexes, $[Cr(salen)(ox)]^{-}$ [6] (H₂salen = bis(salicylidne) ethylenediamine), $[Cr(bpy)(ox)_2]^-$ [7] (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine), $[Cr(eddp)(ox)]^{-}$ [8] (eddp = ethylenediamine-N,N'-dipropionate), $[Cr(acac)_2(ox)]^-$ [9] $(acac^- = acetyl$ acetonate), $[Cr(dpa)(ox)_2]^-$ (dpa = 2,2'-dipyridylamine) [10], and $[Cr(bpym)(ox)_2]^-$ (bpym = 2,2'-bipyrimidine) [11] have been synthesized for preparation of bi- or oligonuclear complexes. On the other hand, the number of studies on mixed-ligand ruthenium complexes with ox²⁻ are limited: $[Ru^{III}(en)_2(ox)][Ru^{III}(en)(ox)_2]$ [12,13] (en = ethylenediamine), $[Ru^{II}(phen)_2(ox)]$ [14] (phen = 1,10phenanthroline), [Ru^{II}(bpy)₂(ox)] [15–19], [Ru^{III}(ox)₂ $(py)_2$]⁻ [20] (py = pyridine), [Ru^{III}(ox)₂ (L-aniline)₂]⁻ [20] (L-aniline = a substituted aniline), $[Ru^{II}(tpy)(ox) (H_2O)]$ [21] (tpy=2,2',2"-terpyridine), $[Ru^{III}(ox)_2(H_2O)_2]^-$ [22], cis-K[Ru^{II}(ox)₂(en)NO] and cis-K[Ru^{II}(Hox)(ox)(en)NO]

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./Fax: +81-95-819-2332.

E-mail address: hoshino@net.nagasaki-u.ac.jp (Y. Hoshino).

[23]. Among them, there is no successful example illustrating the preparation of bi- or oligonuclear ruthenium complexes using a mixed-ligand mono- or bis(oxa-

lato)ruthenium complex as a building block. In this paper preparation and characterization of two new mononuclear mixed-ligand ruthenium(III) complexes with ox^{2-} and $acac^-$, $K_2[Ru^{III}(ox)_2(acac)]$ (1) and $K[Ru^{III}(ox)(acac)_2]$ (2), as a building block for preparation of oligonuclear complexes are presented. Comparison of observed reversible half-wave potentials with calculated values for a series of $[Ru(ox)_n(acac)_{3-n}]$ (n =0–3) complexes by using Lever's ligand electrochemical parameters is also presented.

2. Experimental

2.1. Physical measurements

Elemental analyses of the complexes were carried out on a Shimadzu Seisakusho Ltd. CHN Analyzer CHN-1A. Electronic spectra in aqueous solutions were recorded on a Hitachi Model 200-20 spectrophotometer. ¹H NMR spectra and mass spectra were recorded with a JEOL JNM GX-270 spectrometer and a JEOL JMS-DX303 instrument, respectively. Voltammetric studies were carried out in aqueous solutions on a Huso Polarograph Model 312 with Huso Potential Scanning Unit Model 321 and a Riken Denshi X-Y Recorder Model F-42CP. A hanging mercury drop electrode (HMDE) of Princeton Applied Research Model 9323 and a glassy carbon electrode (3 mm ϕ) were used as a working electrode, Ag|AgCl was used as a reference electrode, Pt wire was used as an auxiliary electrode and 0.1 mol dm⁻³ sodium sulfate as a supporting electrolyte. All the electrochemical measurements were made at (25.0 ± 0.1) °C.

2.2. Preparation of the complexes

2.2.1. $K_2[Ru(ox)_2(acac)]$ (1)

The K₃[Ru(ox)₃] complex [13] (3, 2.5 g, ca. 5.2 mmol) was dissolved in water (30 cm³) and Hacac (0.55 g, 5.5 mmol) was added to the solution. Then the mixture was refluxed for 30 min; the color of the solution turned brown. The brown solution was washed with benzene (ca. 30 cm³) twice to remove the excess of Hacac and an insoluble substance was filtered off. The filtrate, after being concentrated to ca. 5 cm³ at 65 °C, was subjected to column chromatography (Sephadex LH-20, 2 cm diameter, 30 cm long). Development with water gave rise to four bands (the first greenish yellow band corresponds to [Ru(ox)₃]^{3–}, the second vermilion band to [Ru(ox)₂][–], and the fourth red–purple band to [Ru(acac)₃]); the vermilion eluate of the second band was concentrated to ca. 3 cm³ at

65 °C. The solution was applied to another Sephadex column of a similar size. The eluate was collected in fractions of a few cubic centimeters. Each fraction was tested for chloride ions which were included in the crude complex **3** (silver nitrate). The fractions that gave negative results were combined and evaporated to dryness at 65 °C. The residue, after being washed with acetone, was collected by filtration, and dried in vacuo; yield 23.3%. *Anal.* Calc. for C₉H₇K₂O₁₀Ru ($M_r = 454.4$): C, 23.79; H, 1.55. Found: C, 23.55; H, 1.41%. FAB-MS(*m*-nitrobenzyl alcohol (*m*-NBA)): *m*/*z* 328 [*M* – ox – K], 456 [*M* + H], 494 [*M* + K]. UV–Vis (H₂O) λ_{max}/nm (log(ε /mol⁻¹ dm³ cm⁻¹)): 281(3.96); 329(3.64); 496(2.62). IR (KBr pellet) v/ cm⁻¹ 1668 v_a (non-coordinated C=O in ox), 1525 v (C=O in acac) + v (C=C in acac).

2.2.2. $K[Ru(ox)(acac)_2]$ (2)

Method (A): a procedure similar to that for complex 1 was used. The ratio amount of Hacac to 3 was 1.5. The red eluate of the third band of the first column chromatography was evaporated to dryness at 65 °C and dried in vacuo for 1 h. The crude crystals were dissolved in acetone–water (50:1 by volume), and insoluble material (probably KCl) was filtered off. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness again. This decantation procedure was repeated until chloride ion was no longer detected; yield 5.6%.

Method (B): the $[Ru(acac)_3]$ [24] (4, 2.0 g, 5.0 mmol) was added to a boiling solution of $H_2C_2O_4 \cdot 2H_2O(0.32 \text{ g})$ 2.5 mmol) in water (400 cm³) and the mixture was refluxed for 2 h. After cooling, $K_2C_2O_4 \cdot H_2O$ (0.48 g, 2.6 mmol) was added to the solution. The solution was refluxed for 3 days and then evaporated to ca. 20 cm³ at 65 °C. After filtration to remove 4, the filtrate was neutralized with 0.01 mol dm⁻³ KOH aqueous solution. The mixture was evaporated to ca. 20 cm³ again. A fraction (ca. 3 cm³) of the evaporated solution was subjected to column chromatography (Sephadex LH-20, 2 cm diameter, 30 cm long). The red eluate of the third band was collected. This chromatographic procedure was repeated seven times. The collected solution was evaporated to dryness. The residue, after being washed with acetone, was collected by filtration, and dried in vacuo; yield 47.2%.

Anal. Calc. for $C_{12}H_{14}KO_8Ru \cdot H_2O$ (M_r (not containing H_2O) = 426.4): C, 32.43; H, 3.63. Found: C, 32.19; H, 3.25%. FAB-MS(*m*-NBA): *m/z* 300 [M ox – K], 328 [M – acac], 428 [M + H], 466 [M + K], 893 [2M + K]. UV–Vis (H₂O) λ_{max}/nm (log(ε/mol^{-1} dm³ cm⁻¹)): 279(4.09); 341(3.84); 495(3.01). IR (KBr pellet) ν/cm^{-1} 1676 v_a (non-coordinated C=O in ox), 1521 ν (C=O in acac) + ν (C=C in acac).

2.2.3. TBA $[Mn^{II} {(\mu-ox)Ru^{III}(acac)_2}_3]$ (5)

K[Ru^{III}(ox)(acac)₂] (**2**, 80 mg, 0.188 mmol) was dissolved in an aqueous solution (1 cm³), after stirring for few minutes, aqueous solutions of MnSO₄ · 4~5H₂O (23 mg, ca. 0.063 mmol: 0.5 cm^3) and TBABr (22 mg, 0.069 mmol: 0.5 cm^3) were added to it. The mixed solution was stirred in the dark at room temperature for several days. The vermeil precipitate was collected by filtration with a glass filter, washed with small amount of water, and dried in vacuo for 1 day; yield 32%.

Anal. Calc. for C₅₂H₇₈NO₂₄MnRu₃ ($M_r = 1459.32$): C, 42.80; H, 5.39; N, 0.96%. Found: C, 42.45; H, 5.58; N, 0.90%. FAB-MS(*m*-NBA): *m*/*z* 1702 [*M* + TBA], UV–Vis (CH₃CN) λ_{max} /nm (log(ϵ /mol⁻¹ dm³ cm⁻¹)): 343 (4.41); 493 (3.65). IR (KBr pellet) *v*/cm⁻¹ 1633 v_a (C=O in ox), 810 δ (OCO). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : –51 (s, br, 6H, CH in acac); –22.83, –22.46, –21.40 (m, br, 18H, CH₃ in acac); –16.54, –15.89 (m, br, 18H, CH₃ in acac); –0.46, –1.26, 3.37 (m, br, C₄H₉).

3. Results and discussion

Complex 1 was prepared from 3 as a starting material in fairly good yield (23.3%); other 2,4-pentanedionato complexes, 2 and 4, were formed in a few percent, while 2 was prepared from the same starting material in very poor yield (5.6%) by method (A). When complex 4 was employed as a starting material [method (B)], 2 was obtained in good yield (47.2%), and other oxalato complexes were formed on a small scale; complex 1 was formed in about 10% and 3 in a few percent. Furthermore complex 4 was able to be recovered from the reactant mixture in ca. 20%.

Both mixed-ligand complexes were readily obtained by means of the ligand exchange reactions of the trischelate complexes in aqueous solution:

$$K_{3}[Ru(ox)_{3}] + Hacac$$

$$\approx K_{2}[Ru(ox)_{2}(acac)] + KHox$$
(1)

and

$$[\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{acac})_3] + 1/2\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{ox} + 1/2\operatorname{K}_2\operatorname{ox}$$

$$\rightleftharpoons \operatorname{K}[\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{ox})(\operatorname{acac})_2] + \operatorname{Hacac}$$
(2)

Complex 3 has been known as a starting material for syntheses of several oxalatoruthenium complexes in aqueous solution [13]. Complex 4 has also been known as a good starting material for preparation of bis(acetonitrile)bis(acetylacetonato)ruthenium(II) [25] and -ruthenium(III) [26], of organometallic alkene [27], alkyne [28], bis(cyclooctene) [29], diene [30] complexes with bis(acetylacetonato)ruthenium(II), and of some homoleptic tris(N,S-bidentate)ruthenium(III) complexes [31] in organic solvents. However, no reports have been published on the ligand substitution reaction of 4 in aqueous solution. Some reports on the ligand substitution reaction of 4 in an organic solvent including a small amount of water have been published, but the water has been used to enrich the reduction power of zinc or zinc amalgam for ruthenium(III) to ruthenium(II) [25,27–29].

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** in D_2O at ambient temperature is shown in Fig. 1(a). The NMR data of the oxalato ruthenium(III) complexes in D₂O are listed in Table 1 together with the data of 4 in the same solvent. The NMR spectra of 2 exhibit paramagnetic shifts. The assignment of the methyne (=CH-) and methyl signals in the mixed-ligand complexes with C_2 symmetry was based on the integral ratios and on the comparison with the spectrum of 4. During the assignment, we noticed that the integral ratio of the methyne protons to the methyl protons of acac ligand in Fig. 1(a) was smaller than the expected. This spectrum was recorded immediately after the complex was dissolved in D₂O (probably within 1 h including accumulation time). When the solution was allowed to stand for a week at 5 °C, the methyne proton signal disappeared completely as shown in Fig. 1(b). This fact indicates that the rate of exchange reaction between the methyne hydrogen and the deuterium of the solvent is relatively fast. In the case of 4, no indication of deuterium exchange was observed.



Fig. 1. ¹H NMR spectra of K[Ru(ox)(acac)₂] in D₂O at ambient temperature. (a) The spectrum was recorded immediately after the complex was dissolved in D₂O. (b) The spectrum was recorded after the solution was allowed to stand for a week at 5 °C.

Table 1 ¹H NMR data (in D_2O) and electrochemical data for oxalato ruthenium(III) complexes together with [Ru(acac)₃]

Complex	$\delta^{\rm a}({ m ppm})$		Ru ^{III} /Ru ^{II}	
	-CH ₃	=CH-	$E_{1/2}^{b}$ (V)	$\Delta E_{\rm p}{}^{\rm c}~({\rm mV})$
$K_3[Ru(ox)_3]$ (3)			-0.653 ^d	61 ^d
$K_2[Ru(ox)_2(acac)]$ (1)	-11.16 ^e	-38.4 ^f	-0.503	63
$K[Ru(ox)(acac)_2]$ (2)	-15.86 ^e	-47.1 ^f	-0.507	59
	-19.97 ^e			
[Ru(acac) ₃] (4)	-5.90 ^e	-29.9^{f}	-0.471 ^g	

^a H_2O ($\delta = 4.70$ ppm) is used as internal reference.

^bReversible half-wave potential against Ag|AgCl (sat'd KCl) determined from the polarograms by means of the conventional logarithmic plot method.

^cCyclic voltammetric peak separation at sweep rate of 0.1 V s⁻¹.

^d Data taken from [32]. The electrochemical measurements were made in a medium of 0.1 mol dm⁻³ KCl aqueous solution at 20.0 °C. Reversible half-wave potential against Ag|AgCl (sat'd KCl).

^eSinglet signal.

^f Broad signal.

^g Data taken from [33]. The electrochemical measurements were made in a medium of 0.2 mol dm⁻³ KCl aqueous solution at 25.0 °C. The original $E_{1/2}$ was -0.516 V vs. SCE, so the listed value (-0.471 V) was converted on the basis of the potential difference, -0.045 V between SCE and Ag|AgCl (sat'd KCl).

Therefore, the presence of oxalate ligand promotes the reaction.

Both complexes 1 and 2 in 0.1 mol dm⁻³ Na₂SO₄ aqueous solution underwent a one-electron reduction process on a mercury electrode at ca. -0.50 V (versus Ag|AgCl). These were Nernstian one-electron processes with no following reaction as indicated by the analyses of the polarographic and the voltammetric data. The reversible half-wave potential ($E_{1/2}$) of the Ru^{III}/Ru^{II} couple was determined from the polarograms by means of the conventional logarithmic plot method. The electrochemical data are summarized in Table 1 together with the data of **3** [32] and **4** [33].

Oxidative measurements for a Ru^{IV}/Ru^{III} couple were attempted under the same conditions except on a glassy carbon electrode. In the potential range 0 to +1.00 V (versus Ag|AgCl), no oxidation wave was observed.

It should be noted that in spite of the difference in reversible half-wave potentials between the tris-chelate complexes, the half-wave potentials of the mixed-ligand complexes are almost identical. Lever has obtained good linear relationships between observed Ru^{III}/Ru^{II} reversible or quasi-reversible half-wave potentials for many ruthenium complexes measured in organic phase or in water and $\sum E_L$, where E_L is a ligand electrochemical parameter [34]. The $E_L(L)$ values for over 200 ligands, which contain acac and oxalate ions, have been presented in the literature; the $E_L(L)$ value is -0.08 V (versus NHE) for the acac ligand and -0.17 V for the ox ligand. Observed Ru^{III}/Ru^{II} reversible half-wave potentials for a series of $[Ru(ox)_n(acac)_{3-n}]^{n-1}$ (n = 0-3)complexes have been compared with the calculated Table 2

Comparison of observed reversible half-wave potentials with calculated ones for a series of $[\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{ox})_n(\operatorname{acac})_{3-n}]^{n-}$ (n = 0-3) complexes in aqueous medium^a

Complex	Ru^{III}/Ru^{II}		
	$E_{\rm obs}{}^{\rm b}$ (V)	$E_{\rm calc}^{\rm c}$ (V)	$\sum E_{\rm L}$
$K_3[Ru(ox)_3]$ (3)	-0.456	-1.51	-1.02
$K_2[Ru(ox)_2 (acac)](1)$	-0.306	-1.31	-0.84
$K[Ru(ox)(acac)_2]$ (2)	-0.310	-1.10	-0.66
$[Ru(acac)_3] (4)$	-0.275	-0.90	-0.48

^a Reversible half-wave potential against NHE.

^b The listed values were converted on the basis of the potential difference, 0.197 V between NHE and Ag|AgCl (sat'd KCl).

^c The listed values were calculated by Eq. (3) (see text) using tabulated $\sum E_L$ values.

values by using the following equation [34] as shown in Table 2:

$$E_{\text{calc}}$$
 (V) = 1.14 $\left[\sum E_{\text{L}}\right]$ - 0.35. (3)

The differences in potentials between the observed and the calculated values are large: ca 0.7 V for complex 4 to ca 1.0 V for 3. The differences seem to be caused by the difference in net charge on the complexes, because Eq. (3) has been obtained from the data set of net 2+ charged species [34]. More data of net negative charged species are required to evaluate Eq. (3), which could be valid for the negative charged ruthenium species.

One of the oxalate mixed-ligand ruthenium complexes, **2** is a useful building block for the synthesis of binuclear ruthenium(III) complex [35] and heterometallic tetranuclear complexes with another transition metal ion as a central metal and with three $[Ru(ox)(acac)_2]^-$ as a peripheral unit. In fact, the tetranuclear complex **5** has been prepared by the reaction of complex **2** with MnSO₄ in aqueous solution containing TBABr in relatively good yield. The preparation of other heterometallic tetranuclear complexes and their magnetic properties will be presented in subsequent papers.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr. Noriyuki Matsuura, Nagasaki University for measurement of the IR spectra. The present work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Nos. 13640562 and 15550052) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

- [1] (a) J. Larionova, B. Mombelli, J. Sanchiz, O. Kahn, Inorg. Chem. 37 (1998) 679;
 - (b) E. Coronado, J.R. Galán-Mascarós, C.J. Gómez-García, J.M. Martínez-Agudo, E. Martínez-Ferrero, J.C. Waerenborgh, M. Almeida, J. Solid State Chem. 159 (2001) 391.

- [2] P.-T. Cheng, B.R. Loescher, S.C. Nyburg, Inorg. Chem. 10 (1971) 1275.
- [3] J.W. Steed, D.A. Tocher, Polyhedron 11 (1992) 1849.
- [4] H. Yan, G. Süss-Fink, A. Neels, H. Stoeckli-Evans, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1997) 4345.
- [5] H.-Y. Shen, D.-Z. Liao, Z.-H. Jiang, S.-P. Yan, Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem. 32 (2002) 69.
- [6] (a) M. Ohba, H. Tamaki, N. Matsumoto, H. Okawa, Inorg. Chem. 32 (1993) 5385;
- (b) Y. Pei, Y. Journaux, O. Kahn, Inorg. Chem. 28 (1989) 100.
 [7] M.C. Muñoz, M. Julve, F. Lloret, J. Faus, M. Andruh, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1998) 3125.
- [8] N. Sakagami, K.-I. Okamoto, Chem. Lett. (1998) 201.
- [9] T. Sanada, T. Suzuki, T. Yoshida, S. Kaizaki, Inorg. Chem. 37 (1998) 4712.
- [10] R. Lescouëzec, G. Marinescu, M.C. Muñoz, D. Luneau, M. Andruh, F. Lloret, J. Faus, M. Julve, J.A. Mata, R. Llusar, J. Cano, New J. Chem. 25 (2001) 1224.
- [11] G. Marinescu, R. Lescouëzec, D. Armentano, G. De Munno, M. Andruh, S. Uriel, R. Llusar, F. Lloret, M. Julve, Inorg. Chim. Acta 336 (2002) 46.
- [12] J.A. Broomhead, L.A.P. Kane-Maguire, J. Chem. Soc. A (1967) 546.
- [13] J.K. Witschy, J.K. Beattie, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 5 (1969) 969.
- [14] L.F.P. Dwyer, H.A. Goodwin, E.C. Gyarfas, Aust. J. Chem. 16 (1963) 544.
- [15] (a) C.F. Liu, N.C. Liu, J.C. Bailar Jr., Inorg. Chem. 3 (1964) 1197;
 (b) H.-Y. Shen, W.-M. Bu, D.-Z. Liao, Z.-H. Jiang, S.-P. Yan, G.-L. Wang, Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2 (1999) 615.
- [16] D.M. Klassen, G.A. Crosby, J. Chem. Phys. 48 (1968) 1853.
- [17] G.M. Bryant, J.E. Fergusson, H.K.J. Powell, Aust. J. Chem. 24 (1971) 257.
- [18] S.L. Tan, M.K. DeArmond, K.W. Hanck, J. Electroanal. Chem. 181 (1984) 187.
- [19] T. Matsumura-Inoue, I. Ikemoto, Y. Umezawa, J. Electroanal. Chem. 209 (1986) 135.

- [20] D.L. Key, L.F. Larkworthy, J.E. Salmon, J. Chem. Soc. A (1971) 371.
- [21] A. Dovletoglou, S.A. Adeyemi, T.J. Meyer, Inorg. Chem. 35 (1996) 4120.
- [22] (a) R.N. Bose, J.E. Earley, Inorg. Chim. Acta 82 (1984) L7;
 (b) C.A. Murphy, T.S. Cameron, M.W. Cooke, M.A.S. Aquino, Inorg. Chim. Acta 305 (2000) 225.
- [23] Y. Morioka, A. Ishikawa, H. Tomizawa, E. Miki, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (2000) 781.
- [24] A. Endo, M. Kajitani, M. Mukaida, K. Shimizu, G.P. Satô, Inorg. Chim. Acta 150 (1988) 25.
- [25] T. Kobayashi, Y. Nishina, K. Shimizu, G.P. Satô, Chem. Lett. (1988) 1137.
- [26] Y. Kasahara, Y. Hoshino, K. Shimizu, G.P. Satô, Chem. Lett. (1990) 381.
- [27] M.A. Bennett, G.A. Heath, D.C.R. Hockless, I. Kovacik, A.C. Willis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120 (1998) 932.
- [28] M.A. Bennett, G.A. Heath, D.C.R. Hockless, I. Kovacik, A.C. Willis, Organometallics 17 (1998) 5867.
- [29] M.A. Bennett, G. Chung, D.C.R. Hockless, H. Neumann, A.C. Willis, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1999) 3451.
- [30] (a) R.D. Ernst, E. Melendez, L. Stahl, M.L. Ziegler, Organometallics 10 (1991) 3635;
 (b) E. Melendez, R. Ilarraza, G.P.A. Yap, A.L. Rheingold, J. Organomet. Chem. 522 (1996) 1.
- [31] (a) K.S. Finney, G.W. Everett Jr., Inorg. Chim. Acta 11 (1974) 185;

(b) A.M.W. Cargill Thompson, D.A. Bardwell, J.C. Jeffery, L.H. Rees, M.D. Ward, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (1997) 721.

- [32] R. Kaziro, T.W. Hambley, R.A. Binstead, J.K. Beattie, Inorg. Chim. Acta 164 (1989) 85.
- [33] A. Endo, M. Watanabe, S. Hayashi, K. Shimizu, G.P. Satô, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 51 (1978) 800.
- [34] A.B.P. Lever, Inorg. Chem. 29 (1990) 1271.
- [35] T. Fujino, Y. Hoshino, M. Eto, Y. Yukawa, J. Fiedler, W. Kaim, Chem. Lett. 32 (2003) 274.