## Nanostructures

# Shape-Controlled Synthesis of Metal Nanocrystals: Simple Chemistry Meets Complex Physics?

Younan Xia,\* Yujie Xiong, Byungkwon Lim, and Sara E. Skrabalak



60 www.angewandte.org

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 60-103

**N**anocrystals are fundamental to modern science and technology. Mastery over the shape of a nanocrystal enables control of its properties and enhancement of its usefulness for a given application. Our aim is to present a comprehensive review of current research activities that center on the shape-controlled synthesis of metal nanocrystals. We begin with a brief introduction to nucleation and growth within the context of metal nanocrystal synthesis, followed by a discussion of the possible shapes that a metal nanocrystal might take under different conditions. We then focus on a variety of experimental parameters that have been explored to manipulate the nucleation and growth of metal nanocrystals in solution-phase syntheses in an effort to generate specific shapes. We then elaborate on these approaches by selecting examples in which there is already reasonable understanding for the observed shape control or at least the protocols have proven to be reproducible and controllable. Finally, we highlight a number of applications that have been enabled and/or enhanced by the shapecontrolled synthesis of metal nanocrystals. We conclude this article with personal perspectives on the directions toward which future research in this field might take.

## 1. Introduction

Nanocrystals are crystals with at least one dimension between 1 and 100 nm.<sup>[1]</sup> They also are characterized by a single-domain crystalline lattice, without the complicating presence of grain boundaries. Interest in nanocrystals has been growing steadily due to their unique position as a bridge between atoms and bulk solids as well as their fascinating properties and potential applications.<sup>[2]</sup> The ability to generate such minuscule crystals is central to advances in many areas of modern science and technology. In principle, the electron confinement by a nanocrystal provides the most powerful means to manipulate the electronic, optical, and magnetic properties of a solid material. This notion explains why nanocrystals have been the primary source for discovering and studying quantum size effects, with examples of quantized excitation,<sup>[3]</sup> Coulomb blockade,<sup>[4]</sup> metal-insulator transition,<sup>[5]</sup> and superparamagnetism.<sup>[6]</sup> Among all kinds of inorganic solids, metals deserve our special attention because they represent more than two thirds of the elements in the periodic table. Most metals crystallize in the same cubic closepacked (ccp) structure, a face-centered cubic (fcc) lattice that allows easy characterization. Metals also possess a range of fascinating properties, and many metals have been applied in catalysis,<sup>[7]</sup> electronics,<sup>[8]</sup> photography,<sup>[9]</sup> and information storage,<sup>[10]</sup> among others.<sup>[11]</sup> New applications for metals in areas such as photonics,<sup>[12]</sup> sensing,<sup>[13]</sup> imaging,<sup>[14]</sup> and medicine<sup>[15]</sup> are also being developed. Significantly, most of these applications require the use of metals in a finely divided state, preferably in the form of nanocrystals with precisely controlled properties.

The properties of a metal nanocrystal are determined by a set of physical parameters that may include its size, shape, composition, and structure (e.g., solid or hollow). In principle,

1. Introduction	61
2. Nucleation: The Birth of a New Phase	63
3. Evolution from Nuclei to Seeds	66
4. Evolution from Seeds to Nanocrystals	69
5. Case Studies of Different Metals	74
6. Stability of the Shape	88
7. Properties and Applications Enabled by Shape-Controlled Synthesis	89
8. Summary and Outlook	95

one can tailor and fine-tune the properties of a metal nanocrystal by con-

trolling any one of these parameters, but the flexibility and scope of change are highly sensitive to the specific parameter. For example, in the case of localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) and surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS), both computational and experimental studies have demonstrated that the shape and structure of a Au or Ag nanocrystal play the most important roles in determining the number, position, and intensity of LSPR modes, as well as the spectral region or polarization dependence for effective molecular detection by SERS.<sup>[16]</sup> In the case of catalysis, it is well-established that the activity of a metal nanocrystal can be enhanced by reducing its size.<sup>[17]</sup> The selectivity, however, is most sensitive to the packing of atoms on the surface or the exposed facets of a nanocrystal.<sup>[18]</sup> For example, Pt can selectively catalyze different types of chemical reactions, with the {100} and {210} facets being most active for reactions involving H<sub>2</sub> and CO, respectively.<sup>[19]</sup> Of course, the facets exposed on a nanocrystal have a strong correlation with the shape. These and many other examples clearly illustrate the importance of shape control to the efficient utilization of metal nanocrystals.

The last decade has witnessed the successful synthesis of metal nanocrystals in a variety of shapes. Examples include: sphere; spheroid; cube; cuboctahedron; octahedron; tetrahe-

[\*] Prof. Y. Xia, Dr. B. Lim
Department of Biomedical Engineering, Washington University
St. Louis, MO 63130-4899 (USA)
Fax: (+1) 314-935-7448
E-mail: xia@biomed.wustl.edu
Dr. Y. Xiong, Dr. S. E. Skrabalak
Department of Chemistry, University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195-1700 (USA)



61

dron; right bipyramid; decahedron; icosahedron; thin plate with a triangular, hexagonal, or circular profile; and rod or wire with a circular, square, rectangular, pentagonal, or octagonal cross-section. As limited by space, we restrict ourselves to solution-phase methods of preparation in this Review. According to Wulff's theorem (or the Wulff construction),<sup>[20]</sup> a single crystal of an fcc metal assumes the socalled Wulff polyhedron (a truncated octahedron) as its equilibrium shape in an inert gas or vacuum (strictly speaking, this result is only valid at 0 K). This prediction has been experimentally validated for a number of metals.<sup>[21]</sup> In a solution phase, however, the product often adopts a shape drastically different from the Wulff polyhedron. This deviation can be attributed to a number of scenarios that may include: 1) the equilibrium condition never being reached during synthesis; 2) the surface energies for various facets being different from those in a vacuum due to anisotropic interactions with a capping agent, impurity, or solvent; 3) twin defects being included during nucleation and growth to form shapes such as decahedron and icosahedron with a total free energy lower than that of the Wulff polyhedron; and 4) applying an elevated temperature for the synthesis. For these reasons, it is not hard to understand why solution-phase syntheses are inherently more powerful and versatile (at the same time, more complicated) than vapor-phase methods for generating metal nanocrystals of different shapes.

The first documented solution-phase synthesis of metal nanoparticles can be traced back to the 1850's when Michael Y. Xia et al.

Faraday prepared his now famous Au colloids by reducing gold chloride with phosphorus in water.<sup>[22]</sup> Over the past 150 years, a myriad of solution-phase methods have been developed for preparing metal colloids; however, most of the samples were troubled by problems such as polydispersed sizes, poorly defined shapes, and limited morphologies. Only within the last decade have solution-phase methods blossomed and become a powerful approach toward preparing metal nanocrystals with the quality, quantity, and reproducibility suitable for a meaningful study of their shape-property relationships. As a result, most of the references cited in this Review were published after 2000. For earlier work on metal nanoparticles, please refer to a number of nice review articles published in the 80's and 90's.<sup>[23]</sup> In addition, we focus only on those systems (see Table 1) where there is already some reasonable understanding for the observed shape control or at least the synthetic protocols have proven to be reproducible and controllable.

Compared to organic synthesis where a virtually endless number of molecules with complex structures and functions (e.g., fluorescent dyes or drugs) can be designed and synthesized, controlling the assembly of metal atoms into nanocrystals is still at a rudimentary stage. Interestingly, the chemical reactions involved in syntheses of metal nanocrystals often appear to be fairly simple, and most of them can be readily found in chemistry textbooks. It is the nucleation and growth mechanisms behind the simple chemistry that is extremely complicated. In fact, scientists have just begun to



Younan Xia was born in Jiangsu, China, in 1965. He studied chemical physics at the University of Science and Technology of China (B.S. 1987) and inorganic chemistry at the University of Pennsylvania with Prof. A. G. MacDiarmid (M.S. 1993). He received a Ph.D. degree in physical chemistry from Harvard University (with Professor George M. Whitesides) in 1996 and started as an Assistant Professor of Chemistry at the University of Washington in Seattle in 1997. He was promoted to Associate Professor and Professor in 2002 and 2004, respectively. In

2007, he relocated to Washington University in St. Louis to take the position of James M. McKelvey Professor for Advanced Materials in the Department of Biomedical Engineering.



Byungkwon Lim was born in Seoul, Korea, in 1975. He received his B.S. (1998), M.S. (2000), and Ph.D. (2004) degrees all from the School of Chemical and Biological Engineering at Seoul National University. During his graduate study, he worked on the synthesis of polymeric and carboneous nanostructures using vapor deposition polymerization. He then worked as a senior researcher for 3 years at LG Chem, Korea. He has been working with Prof. Younan Xia as a postdoctoral fellow since 2007. His research interests include shape-controlled

Sara E. Skrabalak received a B.A. degree in

chemistry from Washington University in St. Louis with Prof. W. E. Buhro and a Ph.D.

degree from the University of Illinois at

Urbana-Champaign under the tutelage of

Prof. K. S. Suslick. She was the recipient of the 2002 Sowden Award and the 2006 T. S.

Piper Thesis Research Award. She has been working with Prof. Younan Xia as a postdoc-

toral fellow since 2007 and started as an

Assistant Professor of Chemistry at Indiana

University in Bloomington in fall 2008. Her

research interests include shape controlled

synthesis of inorganic solids, solar energy

conversion, catalysis, and nanotechnology.

synthesis of noble-metal nanocrystals, surface plasmonic properties, and carboneous nanostructures.



Yujie Xiong was born in Jiangxi, China, in 1979. He studied chemical physics at the University of Science and Technology of China (B.S. 2000) and received a Ph.D. degree in inorganic chemistry under the tutelage of Prof. Yi Xie in 2004 (Best Doctoral Dissertation Award from the Chinese Academy of Sciences). From 2004 to 2007, he worked as a postdoctoral fellow with Prof. Younan Xia at the University of Washington in Seattle, where his research centered on shape-controlled synthesis of noble-metal nanocrystals. He is currently

Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

working on energy-saving devices with Prof. J. A. Rogers at the University of

62 www.angewandte.org

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. Weinheim

Table 1: A summary of different shapes that have been achieved for various metal nanocrystals.

Structures	Shapes	Schematic drawings	Metals
single-crystal	perfect/truncated cube <sup>[a]</sup>		Pd, Ag, Au, Pt, Cu, Rh, Bi, Fe
	perfect/truncated octahedron <sup>[a]</sup>	🔶 😔	Pd, Ag, Au, Pt
	perfect/truncated tetrahedron <sup>[a]</sup>		Ag, Au, Pt, Rh
	rectangular bar		Pd, Ag, Pt
	octagonal rod		Pd, Au, Fe, Co, Ni
	rectangular or octagonal wire		Pb, In, Sn, Sb, Fe, Co
singly twinned	right bipyramid	$\blacklozenge$	Pd, Ag
	beam		Ag
multiply twin- ned	decahedron <sup>[a]</sup>		Pd, Ag, Au
	icosahedron <sup>[a]</sup>	$\bigcirc$	Pd, Au
	five-fold twinned pentagonal rod		Pd, Ag, Au, Cu
	five-fold twinned pentagonal wire		Ag, Au, Cu
	triangular/hexagonal plate	$\checkmark$	Pd, Ag, Au, Cu, Pb, Bi, Co, Ni
	disc	$\bigcirc$	Sn, Co

[a] Platonic solid.

understand the complex physics that lead to the formation of nanocrystals with specific shapes. At the current stage of development, it is not an exaggeration to say that the chemical synthesis of metal nanocrystals (as well as for other solid materials) remains an art rather than a science. It must be emphasized that our current understanding of these syntheses is far from being able to present atomistic details for the evolution pathways that a precursor compound may take to form metal atoms, nuclei, and then well-defined nanocrystals. In a rough approach, we can divide a typical synthesis into three distinct stages: 1) nucleation, 2) evolution of nuclei into seeds, and 3) growth of seeds into nanocrystals. Here, seeds are defined as something larger than nuclei, in which structure fluctuation is no longer an option. Electron microscopy can be used to analyze the internal structures of both seeds and nanocrystals, and from such analyses, it has been established-at least for Ag,<sup>[24]</sup> Au,<sup>[25]</sup> and Pd<sup>[26]</sup>-that the final shape of a nanocrystal is determined primarily by the internal structure of the corresponding seed (more specifically, the number of twin defects included) and the binding

63

affinity of the capping agent. Searching for this kind of correlation has been the focus of research in this area. It is also the main theme of this Review, around which our discussion is organized.

## 2. Nucleation: The Birth of a New Phase

Nucleation represents the very first stage of any crystallization process. Despite the scientific and technological importance of this phenomenon and the tremendous efforts that have been devoted to studying the subject, attempts to examine, understand, and control this process have met with limited success.<sup>[27]</sup> One barrier to success is the lack of experimental tools capable of capturing, identifying, and monitoring the nuclei-that is, the minuscule clusters consisting of very few atoms and/or ionsformed in the earliest stage of a nanocrystal synthesis. It is also difficult (if not impossible) to directly observe the formation of nuclei in real space. By the time a crystal is visible to an electron microscopist, it has already grown beyond the nucleation stage.

There are a number of approaches being developed to address this technical challenge. The first approach relies on theoretical developments, where

increasingly sophisticated theories have been formulated and refined to simulate and account for nucleation.<sup>[28]</sup> As a second approach, building blocks with much larger sizes (e.g., colloidal spheres) have been employed as a model system to study nucleation and crystallization.<sup>[29]</sup> Although the relatively large sizes of colloidal spheres allow the use of optical tools (such as a laser scanning confocal microscope) to monitor nucleation in real space, there are drastic differences between atoms and colloidal spheres in terms of size, surface properties, solvation, and interaction potential. As a third approach, efforts have been devoted to studying the nucleation of atoms on a flat surface.<sup>[30]</sup> With advancements in scanning probe microscopy (SPM), a nucleation process can now be followed in a vapor or liquid phase with remarkable spatial and temporal resolutions. The involvement of a solid substrate and a physical tip, however, introduces additional parameters (e.g., kinks, steps, or other types of defects on the solid substrate that can serve as nucleation sites, as well as tipatom interactions) that are not present in solution-phase nucleation. As a fourth approach, organometallic chemists have been trying to prepare metal clusters consisting of a specific number of atoms through dedicated synthetic methods.<sup>[31]</sup> By crystallizing the clusters into larger crystals, the three-dimensional (3-D) structure of such clusters can be precisely determined by X-ray crystallography. This approach has been widely used to investigate the transition from discrete atoms to bulk solids by preparing ligand-stabilized clusters of different sizes.<sup>[32]</sup> The major drawback of this approach is that bulky ligands have to be introduced in order to cap and stabilize the metal clusters. There are likely significant differences between these synthetic clusters and the nascent nuclei formed in a crystallization process. In the next section, we only discuss nucleation in the context of metal nanocrystal synthesis.

### 2.1. The Molecular Mechanism of Nucleation

In a typical synthesis of metal nanocrystals, a precursor compound is either decomposed or reduced to generate zerovalent atoms-the building blocks of a metal nanocrystal. Yet, it is still unclear how nuclei and nanocrystals evolve exactly from a precursor. Depending on the explicit route to atoms, the nucleation process might take completely different pathways. For the decomposition route, nucleation is expected to follow the mechanism proposed by LaMer and co-workers in the early 50's (Figure 1).<sup>[33]</sup> This mechanism is based upon an extensive study of the solution-phase synthesis of monodisperse sulfur colloids. In the context of metal nanocrystal synthesis, the concentration of metal atoms steadily increases with time as the precursor is decomposed (typically from heat or sonication). Once the concentration of atoms reaches a point of supersaturation, the atoms start to aggregate into small clusters (i.e., nuclei) via self- (or homogeneous) nucleation. Once formed, these nuclei then grow in an accelerated manner and the concentration of metal atoms in solution drops. If the concentration of atoms drops quickly below the level of minimum supersaturation, no additional nucleation events will occur. With a continuous supply of



*Figure 1.* Plot of atomic concentration against time, illustrating the generation of atoms, nucleation, and subsequent growth (modified with permission from ref. [33], copyright 1950 American Chemical Society).

atoms via ongoing precursor decomposition, the nuclei will grow into nanocrystals of increasingly larger size until an equilibrium state is reached between the atoms on the surface of the nanocrystal and the atoms in the solution. Besides growth via atomic addition, the nuclei and nanocrystals can directly merge into larger objects via agglomeration.<sup>[34]</sup>

For the reduction route, the precursor compound is in a higher oxidation state than the atomic species. In this case, it is unclear if the precursor compound is reduced into zero-valent atoms first, which aggregate into nuclei and then grow into nanocrystals, or if the unreduced metal species begin forming nuclei prior to reduction. Simulations based on first-principles molecular dynamics have shed some light onto this question and indicate that precursor compounds can be directly converted into nuclei and add to other precursor-based nuclei or growing nanocrystals without going through a zero-valent state. For example, it has been shown that a Pt<sup>II</sup>-Pt<sup>I</sup> dimer stabilized with Cl<sup>-</sup> can be formed directly from two dissolved  $[PtCl_2(H_2O)_2]$  complexes through the introduction of one electron.<sup>[35]</sup> Here, the  $[PtCl_2(H_2O)_2]$  complex is the hydrolysis product of [PtCl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, a precursor commonly used in the synthesis of Pt nanocrystals. The PtI-PtII dimer can be transformed subsequently into a Pt<sup>I</sup>-Pt<sup>I</sup> dimer through the addition of another electron and the loss of Cl<sup>-</sup>. Interestingly, both the Pt<sup>II</sup>-Pt<sup>I</sup> and Pt<sup>I</sup>-Pt<sup>I</sup> dimers can react with a third  $[PtCl_2(H_2O)_2]$  complex to form a trimer by coupling to a third reduction step. These partially reduced dimers and trimers likely represent early intermediates toward the formation of larger clusters or nuclei. Since dimers and trimers have higher electron affinities than the precursor (due to orbital delocalization), reduction is expected to occur preferentially via electron transfer from the reductant to these dimeric and trimeric units. This preference excludes the possibility for the monomeric precursor complexes being reduced directly into atoms and then adding to nuclei or growing seeds.

As mentioned, both the addition of Pt<sup>II</sup> complexes to and the detachment of a ligand from a cluster can drastically accelerate the growth of a metal nanocrystal. This acceleration is commonly referred to as autocatalytic growth and has been observed for a number of metal systems.<sup>[23a,36]</sup> It is worth pointing out that this reduction mechanism is only favorable under certain experimental conditions, for example, when a mild reducing agent and/or a high concentration of precursor are involved. Under these conditions, it is not necessary for the precursor to be reduced into atomic species before being added to the surface of a growing cluster (Figure 2). It is also not critical for the cluster (or a nanocrystal) to be fully reduced into the zero-valent state, suggesting that its surface is likely terminated by positively charged metal ions coordinated to ligands or solvated by solvent molecules. This unique structure at the interface might be related to the capping effect of some ionic species such as Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, and citrate, as well as polymeric species.

#### 2.2. The Actual Starting Material of a Synthesis

Most of the solution-phase methods for preparing metal nanocrystals involve the use of a salt precursor dissolved in a

## 64 www.angewandte.org

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



**Figure 2.** Snapshots from a first-principles molecular dynamics simulation showing the reaction of a  $[PtCl_2(H_2O)_2]$  complex with a  $[Pt_{12}Cl_4]$  cluster. Pt yellow, Cl green, O red, H white. Simulation time (in ps): a) 0.0, b) 0.6, c) 1.3, d) 2.0, e) 3.2, and f) 5.0 (modified with permission from ref. [35d], copyright 2003 American Chemical Society).

solvent. It is generally assumed that metal ions exist as monomeric units through complexation with anions, ligands, or solvent molecules. Recently, in studying aqueous AgNO<sub>3</sub> solutions, we found that this is not always the case-metal ions may be complexed as larger units and their presence can influence reaction outcomes.[37] Specifically, using mass spectrometry, we found a surprisingly high abundance of trimeric Ag clusters in aqueous solutions prepared from commercially available AgNO<sub>3</sub> powders. Our data indicates that about 27% (molar) of the total Ag can be found in these trimeric clusters for a freshly prepared sample. Figure 3 a shows a typical mass spectrum taken from an aqueous AgNO<sub>3</sub> solution immediately after preparation. In the mass/charge (m/z) range of 80 to 600, there are four sets of peaks with distinct isotope patterns. According to the m/z ratios in each pattern, the four peaks can be assigned to  $Ag^+$ ,  $[Ag_2(NO_3)]^+$ ,  $Ag_3^+$ , and  $[Ag_3(NO_3)_2]^+$ , respectively. The insets illustrate the welldefined doublet and quadruplet patterns characteristic of Ag<sup>+</sup> and  $Ag_3^+$ . Further studies revealed that the trimeric clusters decreased in concentration as the aqueous solution was aged in air under ambient conditions. As shown in Figure 3b, after 24 h only 13% of the total Ag content remained as trimeric clusters. The decrease in Ag atoms contained in these trimeric clusters essentially equaled the increase in newly formed Ag<sup>+</sup> ions (including their complexes with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>). This conservation of Ag implies that the trimeric clusters are directly transformed into Ag<sup>+</sup> ions and their complexes with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during the course of aging. We suspect that this transformation involves O<sub>2</sub> that is naturally present in the aqueous medium or later dissolved from air.



**Figure 3.** a) Positive-mode mass spectrum of a freshly prepared 1 mM aqueous  $AgNO_3$  solution. Note that  $Cs^+$  was added in the form of  $CsNO_3$  as a reference for concentration calibration. b) Plots of the concentrations of different silver species versus time, when a 1 mM aqueous  $AgNO_3$  solution was aged in air (modified with permission from ref. [37], copyright 2007 Wiley-VCH).

Regardless, changes in the concentration of trimeric clusters were shown to influence reaction outcomes. The observed trimeric clusters can be either positively charged  $(Ag_3^+)$  or neutral  $(Ag_3)$  and are likely formed in the AgNO<sub>3</sub> solid through a photochemical reduction process much like in photography.<sup>[38]</sup> For the Ag<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> cluster, its ground state has a triangular structure (<sup>1</sup>A<sub>1</sub>) with  $D_{3h}$  symmetry.<sup>[39]</sup> Its linear <sup>1</sup> $\Sigma_{g}$ state is ca. 1 eV above the  ${}^{1}A_{1}$  state. The Ag<sub>3</sub> cluster also has a triangular ground state, in this case (2E').[40] Both of these clusters have a stronger affinity for electrons than Ag<sup>+</sup>, making them more favorable sites for nucleation and growth once a reductant is introduced.<sup>[41]</sup> Electron microscopy studies show that the reduction of these AgNO<sub>3</sub> solutions with a mild reducing agent, poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP), yields triangular nanoplates. Interestingly, we found that the average edge lengths of the resulting Ag nanoplates increased with decreasing starting concentrations of trimeric Ag clusters. This observation supports our hypothesis that these trimeric clusters likely serve as nuclei for the addition and reduction of Ag<sup>+</sup>, with the triangular shape being largely retained during the growth process. The results from this study clearly illustrate the significance of fully characterizing the reagents and solutions used in nanocrystal syntheses. Such rigorous

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 60-103

65

characterization of the solution species present under different conditions is critical to both understanding why nanocrystals of a particular shape form and ensuring reproducibility.

### 2.3. Capturing the Nuclei

Nuclei play the most important role in directing the assembly of atoms into nanocrystals. Due to their small sizes, very little is known about the nuclei present during a synthesis, not to mention a conclusive account of their explicit and likely dynamic roles. As discussed in Section 2.2, electrospray mass spectrometry can provide mass information about the small clusters present in a precursor solution.<sup>[42]</sup> It may also be a valuable tool for discerning the larger clusters formed in a nucleation process. Indeed, for metals such as Ag in which there are only a few isotopes, it is relatively easy to assign the m/z peaks to different-sized clusters because of their simple isotope patterns. Unfortunately, mass spectrometry only reveals the size of a cluster. To determine the internal structure or geometric shape of a cluster, one has to rely on electrospray photoelectron spectroscopy in conjunction with accurate ab initio calculations.<sup>[43]</sup> Alternatively, collision-induced dissociation of a cluster coupled with mass spectrometry can provide some structural information. In a proof-of-concept experiment, Wang and co-workers used this method to confirm the tetrahedral shape of phenylphosphinestabilized Au<sub>20</sub> clusters formed in a solution-phase reduction.<sup>[44]</sup> Still, this approach can only be applied to clusters with relatively good stability. It remains a great challenge to analyze transient clusters or nuclei by mass spectrometry methods.

In addition to mass spectrometry, both absorption and emission spectroscopic methods have been adopted for in situ characterization of certain metal clusters. As demonstrated by a number of groups, Ag clusters display distinct absorption and emission spectra depending on the number of Ag atoms contained in the cluster.<sup>[45]</sup> For example, when Ag<sup>+</sup> ions were reduced in water by a pulse radiolysis method, Henglein and co-workers found that the most stable cluster was  $Ag_4^{2+}$ .<sup>[46]</sup> This cluster exhibited a strong absorption peak at 275 nm, which was easily distinguished from both Ag atoms (360 nm)

and  $Ag_2^+$  dimers (310 nm). Similarly, the emission spectra of Ag clusters can be used to identify their presence in a chemical synthesis.<sup>[47]</sup> With the use of specially designed glassware and procedures, it is feasible to sample the reaction solution and record the spectra without disturbing the nucleation and growth processes.<sup>[48]</sup> By combining mass spectrometry with other analytical techniques, it should be possible to analyze the evolution pathway from metal ions to atoms and clusters of various sizes under different experimental conditions.

So far,  $Au_{13}$ ,  $Au_{20}$ ,  $Pt_{38}$ ,  $M_{55}$  (M = Au, Pt, and Rh),  $Pt_{309}$ ,  $Pd_{561}$ ,  $Pd_{1415}$ , and  $Pd_{2057}$ 

clusters have been reported in the literature.<sup>[44,49]</sup> With the exceptions of Au<sub>20</sub> and Pt<sub>38</sub>, these clusters can be referred to as "full-shell clusters" in which their constituent atoms assume a closed geometry with the densest sphere packing possible. For example, starting with a central atom, 12 and 42 atoms can be placed around it to form a second and third shell, respectively, and thus  $M_{13}$  and  $M_{55}$  clusters. In general,  $10n^2 + 2$  atoms need to be incorporated into the *n*th shell to form a cluster with the densest packing of atoms. As shown in Figure 4, these fullshell clusters display shapes remarkably similar to those that typify the stable and observable seeds from which metal nanocrystals are known to grow. It is thus reasonable to speculate that similar types of clusters are also involved as the intermediates (e.g., nuclei) during the formation of metal seeds from precursor molecules. However, it remains a critical instrumental challenge to capture, identify, and monitor such clusters with the necessary temporal resolution. Such information would be invaluable in correlating synthetic parameters with nuclei and eventual seed structure (i.e., singlecrystal or twinned).

## 3. Evolution from Nuclei to Seeds

Once a cluster has grown past a critical size, structural fluctuations become so energetically costly that the cluster becomes locked into a well-defined structure. This critical point marks the birth of a seed. As illustrated in Figure 5, these seeds hold an important position in bridging the nuclei and the nanocrystals.<sup>[24-26]</sup> In general, the seeds may take a single-crystal, singly twinned, or multiply twinned structure, and all of these may co-exist in a typical synthesis. The key to obtaining only one nanocrystal shape to the exclusion of others is to ensure tight control over the population of seeds with different internal structures. How can the population of seeds be controlled and manipulated during a synthesis? This question needs to be addressed from a number of different angles as structures, in general, are history dependent and their formation is determined by both thermodynamic and kinetic factors. In essence, the population of differently structured seeds is determined by the statistical thermodynamics of the free energies of different species in combination with kinetic effects regarding the generation and addition of



*Figure 4.* Idealized representation of full-shell metal clusters with "magic numbers" of atoms, which are built upon the densest sphere packing (modified with permission from ref. [49c], copyright 1999 Elsevier).

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



**Figure 5.** Reaction pathways that lead to fcc metal nanocrystals having different shapes. First, a precursor is reduced or decomposed to form the nuclei (small clusters). Once the nuclei have grown past a certain size, they become seeds with a single-crystal, singly twinned, or multiply twinned structure. If stacking faults are introduced, then plate-like seeds will be formed. The green, orange, and purple colors represent the {100}, {111}, and {110} facets, respectively. Twin planes are delineated in the drawing with red lines. The parameter *R* is defined as the ratio between the growth rates along the  $\langle 100 \rangle$  and  $\langle 111 \rangle$  directions (modified with permission from ref. [26], copyright 2007 Wiley-VCH).

metal atoms to a nucleus. This picture can be complicated by the introduction of other processes such as oxidative etching. In the following sections, we elaborate on the roles these factors play in determining the internal structure of a seed.

#### 3.1. Thermodynamic Control

When a reaction is under thermodynamic control, the greatest proportion of the most stable product will be produced. To approximate the most stable product, the formation of single-crystal seeds can be considered in the context of Wulff's theorem, which attempts to minimize the total interfacial free energy of a system with a given volume. The interfacial free energy,  $\gamma$ , can be defined as the energy required for creating a unit area of "new" surface [Eq. (1)] where *G* is the free energy and *A* is the surface area.

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial A}\right)_{\eta, T, P} \tag{1}$$

For a newly formed seed, crystal symmetry is broken due to missing bonds at the surface, causing the surface atoms to be attracted toward the interior. A restoring force is needed to pull the surface atoms back to their original positions. Using this simple model (i.e., an ideal surface), the interfacial free energy is given by Equation (2) where  $N_{\rm b}$  is the number of broken bonds,  $\varepsilon$  is the bond strength, and  $\rho_{\rm a}$  is the density of surface atoms.<sup>[50]</sup>

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} N_{\rm b} \,\varepsilon \,\rho_{\rm a} \tag{2}$$

For an fcc structure with a lattice constant of a, the surface energies of the low-index crystallographic facets that typically encase nanocrystals can be estimated as:  $\gamma_{\{100\}} = 4(\varepsilon/a^2), \, \gamma_{\{110\}} = 4.24(\varepsilon/a^2),$ and  $\gamma_{\{111\}} = 3.36(\varepsilon/a^2)$ , resulting in the energetic sequence of  $\gamma_{\{111\}}$  <  $\gamma_{\{100\}} < \gamma_{\{110\}}$ . This sequence implies that a single-crystal seed should take an octahedral or tetrahedral shape in order to maximize the expression of {111} facets and minimize the total surface energy. Both shapes, however, have larger surface areas than a cube of the same volume. As a result, single-crystal seeds are expected to exist as truncated octahedrons (or Wulff polyhedrons) enclosed by a mix of {111} and {100} facets. This shape

has a nearly spherical profile and thus the smallest surface area to minimize the total interfacial free energy. Such seeds have been observed experimentally in the syntheses of a number of metal nanocrystals.

In addition to these single-crystal seeds, singly and multiply twinned seeds containing at least one twin defecta single atomic layer in the form of a (111) mirror planehave been observed under the same reaction conditions.<sup>[51]</sup> As discussed in the introduction, there are a number of factors that can contribute to this observation. Similar to a single crystal, the surface of a singly twinned seed tends to be enclosed by a mix of {111} and {100} facets to lower the total interfacial free energy. For a multiply twinned seed, the strain energy caused by twin defects will greatly increase as the seed grows in size. For example, a five-fold twinned, decahedral seed can be considered as an assembly of five single-crystal, tetrahedral units sharing a common edge (Figure 6a).<sup>[51]</sup> Each tetrahedron has two sides in contact with a neighbor through {111} twin planes. Since the theoretical angle between two {111} planes of a tetrahedron is 70.53°, five tetrahedrons joined with {111} twin planes will leave a gap of 7.35°, which

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 60-103



**Figure 6.** a) A decahedron can be considered as the assembly of five single-crystal, tetrahedral units sharing a common edge. Since the theoretical angle between two {111} planes of a tetrahedron is 70.53°, five tetrahedrons joined with {111} twin planes will leave a gap of 7.35°. b, c) High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of a decahedral Ag nanocrystal (modified with permission from ref. [58b], copyright 2007 Royal Society of Chemistry). d) Schematic of a plate-like seed with a random hexagonal close-packed (rhcp) structure. Note that stacking faults and/or lamellar twins are introduced into the crystal lattice. e, f) HRTEM images taken from the side face of a Ag nanoplate (modified with permission from ref. [58b], copyright 2007 Royal Society of Chemistry).

must be compensated for by increasing the separation between adjacent atoms. Such an elongation of bond length will cause internal lattice strain, as well as a disordered region at the boundary (see Figure 6b and c).<sup>[52,53]</sup> Due to the fan-out configuration, the defected region will keep increasing in area as the decahedral seed is enlarged laterally, making the total free energy of the system go up. As a result, multiply twinned seeds are only favored by thermodynamics at relatively small sizes. With this in mind, it is not hard to appreciate the simulation results by Ferrando and co-workers, in which icosahedrons were found to be stable at small sizes, decahedrons at medium sizes, and Wulff polyhedrons at large sizes for an fcc metal.<sup>[54]</sup> Of course, the crossover points are highly dependent on the metal (see Table 2). This critical dependence on size suggests that the population of different seeds is not controlled by thermodynamics alone-it is also sensitive to reaction kinetics, a parameter that can be experimentally manipulated!

**Table 2:** Crossover sizes expressed as the number of atoms (N) for different types of nanocrystals of various metals.<sup>[a]</sup>

Metal	$N_{lh oDh}^{[b]}$	$N_{Dh  o TO}^{[c]}$
Cu	1000	> 30 000
Ag	< 300	20 000
Pd	< 100	6500
Pt	<100	6500
Au	<100	500

[a] Modified with permission from ref. [54b], copyright 2002 American Institute of Physics. [b] The transition from icosahedron (Ih) to decahedron (Dh). [c] The transition from decahedron (Dh) to truncated octahedron (TO) or Wulff's polyhedron.

## 3.2. Kinetic Control

When multiply twinned seeds are relatively small, the extra strain energy caused by twinning can be compensated by maximizing the surface coverage with {111} facets and thus achieving the lowest total free energy.<sup>[55]</sup> If these seeds expand in size rapidly, however, theoretical analysis indicates that the low surface energy of {111} facets can no longer remedy the excessive strain energy, resulting in their transformation into single crystals.<sup>[54-56]</sup> This analysis indicates that multiply twinned seeds need to be confined to relatively small sizes in order to increase their yields. Experimentally, this condition can be achieved by keeping the rates of atomic generation and/or addition sufficiently low. When the generation of metal atoms is slow, multiply twinned seeds will prevail over single-crystal counterparts because they can be kept at small sizes for a long period

of time. Under the same reduction kinetics, singly twinned seeds may also appear, albeit in lower quantities than the multiply twinned ones due to the presence of {100} facets with a higher energy. Taken together, it is possible to control the population of seeds containing different numbers of twin defects by varying the reduction or decomposition rate of a precursor, which is the essence of kinetic control.

If the decomposition or reduction becomes considerably slow, the atoms tend to form nuclei and seeds through random hexagonal close packing (rhcp), together with the inclusion of stacking faults.<sup>[57]</sup> This type of synthesis has been known as kinetically controlled and the seed typically takes a shape deviated from those favored by thermodynamics (i.e, a higher energy structure). In one case, inclusion of stacking faults and/ or twin planes can lead to the formation of a plate-like seed (Figure 6d). Completely different from the polyhedral seeds, a plate-like seed is covered by {111} facets at the top and bottom surfaces, together with stacking faults and/or twin defects along the vertical direction (Figures 6e and f). Due to a relatively large surface area (as compared to a polyhedral seed of the same volume) and the lattice strain energy caused by defects, the total free energy of a plate-like seed is extremely high regardless of its coverage with {111} planes. As a result, formation of plate-like seeds can never be favored in terms of thermodynamics. To obtain plate-like seeds in solution, both nucleation and growth must deviate from a thermodynamically controlled pathway. In practice, structures characteristic of kinetically controlled syntheses can be achieved by: 1) substantially slowing down precursor decomposition or reduction,<sup>[58]</sup> 2) using a weak reducing agent,<sup>[59]</sup> 3) coupling the reduction to an oxidation process,<sup>[60]</sup> or 4) taking advantage of Ostwald ripening.<sup>[61]</sup> The key is to

## 68 www.angewandte.org

C 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

ensure an extremely low concentration of metal atoms in solution so the nuclei will not be able to grow autocatalytically into polyhedral structures. Instead, the atoms will add to the edges of a planar cluster to generate a plate-like seed.

### 3.3. Oxidative Etching

The distribution of single-crystal versus twinned seeds can be further manipulated through the use of oxidative etching, in which zero-valent metal atoms are oxidized back to ions.[62] Since most syntheses are conducted in air, O<sub>2</sub> is present in the reaction solution throughout the entire process. If a ligand for the metal ion is also present in the same solution, a combination of the ligand and O2 can result in a powerful etchant for both the nuclei and seeds. As shown in Figures 6 a-c, the defect zones in twinned seeds are much higher in energy relative to the single-crystal regions and thus are most susceptible to an oxidative environment, with their atoms being attacked by the etchant, oxidized, and dissolved into the solution. In contrast, single-crystal seeds are more resistant to oxidative etching as there are no twin boundary defects on the surface. By taking advantage of this selectivity, the population of different seed types in the reaction solution can be manipulated controllably. For example, in the polyol synthesis of Ag nanocrystals, all twinned seeds can be removed from the solution by adding a trace amount of Cl<sup>-</sup> to the reaction (Figure 7).<sup>[62]</sup> As a result, single-crystal seeds and nanocrystals will prevail. By replacing Cl<sup>-</sup> with a less corrosive anion, Br<sup>-</sup>, it is possible to selectively eliminate only the multiply twinned seeds, leaving behind a mixture of single-crystal and singly twinned seeds in the solution.<sup>[63]</sup> As will be illustrated in Section 4, these seeds can grow into nanocrystals with drastically different shapes.

Oxidative etching has already been validated for a number of noble metals, including Ag, Pd, and Rh.<sup>[62-64]</sup> In these examples, both  $O_2$  and a ligand are required in order to observe oxidative etching. For example, when a polyol synthesis for Ag nanocrystals is performed under argon, the multiply twinned seeds formed in the early stage of the reaction will grow quickly to form pentagonal nanowires (see Section 5.2). Likewise, if no Cl<sup>-</sup> is added, multiply twinned seeds will be formed which quickly evolve into quasi-spherical particles within 1 h. Only when both O<sub>2</sub> and Cl<sup>-</sup> (or another ligand) are present, will single-crystal seeds be obtained in high yields. Based upon the same mechanism, multiply twinned seeds can be saved by: 1) removing  $O_2$  from the reaction system by bubbling an inert gas through,<sup>[64a,65]</sup> 2) blocking oxygen adsorption to the seeds through the selection of suitable capping agents (e.g., citrate),<sup>[66]</sup> or 3) diminishing the role of oxidative etching by scavenging oxygen in the solution with a redox pair (e.g., Fe<sup>III/II</sup> or Cu<sup>II/I</sup> salts).[65,67]

It is worth pointing out that in many cases the counter ions of metal precursors or the miniscule amounts of ionic impurities present in the chemical reagents can facilitate oxidative etching and have a profound impact on the population of different types of seeds. For example, Na<sub>2</sub>PdCl<sub>4</sub>, a commonly used precursor for synthesizing Pd



**Figure 7.** Details of a polyol synthesis of Ag nanocrystals in which AgNO<sub>3</sub> and PVP serve as the Ag precursor and capping agent, respectively. The reaction was performed in air and 0.06 mm NaCl was added. Reaction times: a, b) 10 min; c, d) 2 h; e, f) 44 h. a, c, e) Photographs of the reaction solution, in which the yellow color indicates the presence of Ag nanocrystals. b, d, f) TEM images of the Ag nanocrystals produced at each time. Single-crystal and twinned nanocrystals are labeled as *sc* and *tw*, respectively. As the reaction proceeded, the twinned nanocrystals were removed due to oxidative etching, but the single-crystal species remained and accumulated in the solution because of their higher resistance to oxidative etching (modified with permission from ref. [62], copyright 2004 American Chemical Society).

nanocrystals, contains the Cl<sup>-</sup> needed for oxidative etching.<sup>[64a]</sup> Also, in polyol syntheses based on ethylene glycol, Cl<sup>-</sup> may be present at sufficiently high concentrations (typically on the ppm level) to facilitate oxidative etching.<sup>[65]</sup> Additionally, due to its synthesis and storage in steel vessels, ethylene glycol can be contaminated with trace Fe-containing species. Both Fe<sup>II</sup> and Fe<sup>III</sup> ions have been shown to influence oxidative etching by coupling to O<sub>2</sub> and the reductant. Knowledge of such impurities and their effects is essential to the reproducibility and scale-up of shape-controlled syntheses of metal nanocrystals.

## 4. Evolution from Seeds to Nanocrystals

Once a seed is formed, it can grow in size through the addition of metal atoms; however, observation of crystal growth on the atomic level is not easy (or even possible), especially when the crystal growth occurs in solution. From chemical deposition studies, it is known that when atoms add

to a surface, the adatoms diffuse around on the surface until they meet a step site where they can be incorporated. The overall growth of a crystal is controlled by the competition between a decrease in bulk energy (which favors growth) and an increase in surface energy (which favors dissolution). It is this dynamic interplay of growth and dissolution that dictates the evolution of seeds into nanocrystals. Thanks to developments in electron microscopy, it is now possible to resolve the internal structures and shapes of seeds and nanocrystals produced at different stages of a synthesis. As a result, a oneto-one correlation between the initial seeds and final nanocrystals has been established for a number of noble metals.<sup>[24–26]</sup> Since this kind of study can only be performed ex situ for a very limited set of samples, it is still difficult to fully reveal the details involved in a typical growth process.

The right half of Figure 5 summarizes the correlation that has been established between different types of seeds and the final nanocrystals of an fcc metal. In general, from singlecrystal seeds, octahedrons, cuboctahedrons, or cubes will be produced depending on the relative growth rates along the  $\langle 111\rangle$  and  $\langle 100\rangle$  directions.  $^{[50a]}$  If uniaxial growth is somehow induced, the cuboctahedral and cubic seeds will grow into octagonal rods and rectangular bars, respectively.<sup>[26]</sup> From singly twinned seeds, right bipyramids enclosed by {100} facets,<sup>[63a,68]</sup> a nanocrystal consisting of two right tetrahedrons symmetrically placed base-to-base, will be produced. Interestingly, these seeds also can evolve into nanobeams when uniaxial growth is initiated.<sup>[69]</sup> From multiply twinned seeds, icosahedrons, decahedrons, and pentagonal nanorods (or nanowires) can be produced,<sup>[24-26]</sup> depending on whether the {100} planes on the side surface are stabilized or not.<sup>[70]</sup> Finally, when the seeds contain stacking faults, they will grow into thin plates with the top and bottom faces being {111} facets and the side surfaces being enclosed by a mix of {100} and {111} facets.<sup>[57-61]</sup> Because of the six-fold symmetry of an fcc system, these seeds typically become thin plates with a hexagonal cross-section. As the growth is continued, the final products can also take a triangular shape by eliminating the {111} facets from the side surfaces.<sup>[59b,60]</sup>

Nature seldom stops short on possibilities! It should be emphasized that Figure 5 illustrates only the generic shapes that are observed from seeds produced under typical experimental conditions. As will be discussed in the following sections, it is quite possible that the final products adopt a shape very different from these generic ones due to surface capping effects, defect structures, crystal overgrowth, and the presence of exotic seeds.

### 4.1. Surface Capping

One way in which the final nanocrystal may be driven to adopt a shape different from those in Figure 5 is through the introduction of a selective capping agent. It is well-documented in catalysis literature that the chemisorption of atomic or molecular species from the gas phase onto a metal nanoparticle can cause drastic morphological changes.<sup>[71]</sup> For example, in a paper published in 1986, Harris reported that quasi-spherical Pt nanocrystals evolved into nanocubes when exposed to  $H_2$  gas contaminated with a trace amount of  $H_2S^{[72]}$  It was proposed that {100} facets were formed preferentially over {111} facets in the  $H_2S$ -rich environment because the former surface interacted more strongly with sulfur. In solution, this kind of chemisorption or surface capping can have a profound impact on the shape displayed by a nanocrystal. Generally speaking, the binding affinity of a capping agent can vary from one crystal facet to another. Such preferential capping can effectively hinder the growth of a particular facet, thus providing a means for controlling the relative surface areas of different facets.

The capping agent may simply be a byproduct liberated during a synthesis. For example, decomposition of metal carbonyl compounds during a synthesis liberates CO, which can bind strongly to many metal surfaces.<sup>[73]</sup> Such adsorbed CO can effectively inhibit or block metal addition, resulting in a synthetic "dead zone", a regime with low supersaturation in which crystal growth essentially ceases.<sup>[74]</sup> Such hindered growth induced by an adsorbate is analogous to surface poisoning in catalysis, and as CO often preferentially adsorbs onto specific facets, those facets become selectively poisoned. It is also worth pointing out that many nanocrystal surfaces can catalyze the oxidation of CO to  $CO_2$  in the presence of  $O_2$ and that the generated CO<sub>2</sub> can easily desorb from such surfaces. During this catalytic process, the surface atoms might migrate across the surface causing additional morphological changes.<sup>[75]</sup> On the other hand, the catalytic oxidation of CO can be poisoned with the addition of sulfur, and the adsorption of sulfur (or even  $O_2$ ) on the metal surface might have an effect similar to CO on nanocrystal growth.<sup>[76]</sup> Gold is an exceptional case in which site poisoning is unlikely.<sup>[77]</sup> Yet, in general, the final shape of a metal nanocrystal is determined by the interplay of all these possible interactions.

The capping agent can also be added purposely to a solution-phase synthesis to control the shape of a nanocrystal. Through its chemical interaction with a metal surface, the presence of a capping agent can change the order of free energies for different crystallographic planes, and thus their relative growth rates. The plane with a lower addition rate will be exposed more on the nanocrystal surface. For example, PVP is a polymeric capping agent whose oxygen atoms bind most strongly to the {100} facets of Ag and Pd.<sup>[70]</sup> This preferential capping can drive the addition of metal atoms to the other crystal facets when crystal seeds are suitably large. Thus, for single-crystal seeds terminated with only {111} and {100} facets, metal atoms will add preferentially to the poorly passivated {111} facets; these adatoms then migrate to the face edges, resulting in an elongation of the {100} facets and the formation of nanocubes with sizes  $> 25 \text{ nm.}^{[78]}$  Bromide can have a similar effect as an ionic capping agent; however, owing to its much smaller size, it is capable of selectively adsorbing onto the {100} facets of Ag, Au, Pd, and Pt nanocrystals with edge lengths <25 nm to induce the formation of smaller nanocubes, rectangular nanobars, and octagonal nanorods.<sup>[26]</sup> In a manner similar to that of singlecrystal seeds, for multiply twinned seeds with a decahedral profile, nanorods or nanowires with a pentagonal crosssection will form if their side {100} surfaces can be stabilized by Br<sup>-</sup> or PVP.<sup>[68,70]</sup> Likewise, from singly twinned seeds, right bipyramids or nanobeams will form if their {100} surfaces can be stabilized by Br<sup>-</sup> or PVP.<sup>[63a,68]</sup> It is worth pointing out that, in addition to being added intentionally, the Br<sup>-</sup> can come as an impurity in many chemical reagents or a counter ion in many commonly used ionic surfactants such as cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CH<sub>3</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>15</sub>N<sup>+</sup>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Br<sup>-</sup> or CTAB).<sup>[79]</sup> In contrast to PVP and Br<sup>-</sup>, citrate ions have been found to bind most strongly to {111} facets, at least for Pd, thus favoring the formation of octahedrons, icosahedrons, and decahedrons.<sup>[66,80]</sup>

The use of a capping agent to dictate the shape of a nanocrystal should be considered as a thermodynamic means of controlling shape as it makes some facets thermodynamically more favorable by reducing their interfacial free energies through chemisorption. Despite the importance of surface capping in controlling the shape of a nanocrystal, its explicit roles and mechanisms are poorly defined and a full understanding is still elusive. One technical barrier is the lack of experimental tools capable of resolving the molecular structure of a capping agent (especially, a polymeric one) on a nanocrystal surface. Although a number of spectroscopic methods-e.g., X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS), FTIR, and Raman-have been employed to confirm the presence of a capping layer on the surface of nanocrystals,<sup>[81,82]</sup> none of them are capable of resolving the configuration and packing of the capping molecules. This situation is expected to change in the near future as new tools such as SPM and second harmonic generation (or SHG)-based methods are applied to address this problem.<sup>[83]</sup> Computational studies also can greatly enhance our understanding of surface capping. For example, it was recently found that the binding of citric acid to a Ag(111) surface releases  $13.8 \text{ kcalmol}^{-1}$  binding energy compared to 3.7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for a Ag(100) surface.<sup>[84]</sup> This huge difference in binding energy was attributed to citric acid adopting different molecular symmetries on the Ag(100) and Ag(111) surfaces. The roughly three-fold symmetry of citric acid matches that of the Ag(111) surface and results in four Ag-O bonds. Also, migration of a hydrogen atom within citric acid bound to the Ag(111) surface activates the electrons of the methylene-carboxy oxygens, providing additional binding affinity towards the (111) surface. In contrast, citric acid forms only two Ag-O bonds with the Ag(100) surface because of a geometry mismatch. Similar analyses could help identify capping agents suitable for stabilizing other crystal facets; however, due to the involvement of polyvalency,<sup>[85]</sup> it is believed that polymeric capping agents work differently than small molecules with only a few binding sites.

## 4.2. Twin Defects and Stacking Faults

Since fcc metals have a cubic crystal structure, there is no intrinsic driving force for them to grow into one-dimensional (1-D) or two-dimensional (2-D) nanocrystals. Obviously, these two classes of highly anisotropic shapes will be obtained only when the cubic symmetry of the lattice is somehow broken. One way to accomplish this symmetry break is to incorporate twin defects or stacking faults into the nanocrystals. For metals, two major types of such nanocrystals have been reported: five-fold twinned nanorods or nanowires (1-D system)<sup>[68,70,79]</sup> and nanoplates (2-D system).<sup>[57-61]</sup> It has been proposed that capping agents play an important role in directing the anisotropic growth either through preferential adsorption on specific crystal facets (e.g., PVP on {100} and citrate on {111})<sup>[70]</sup> or through reaction confinement within micelles assembled from surfactants.<sup>[25,86]</sup> Although these two mechanisms can explain how capping agents assist the formation of anisotropic nanocrystals, they fail to address some other experimental observations. For example, both nanorods and nanoplates can also be obtained by thermal evaporation in vacuum where no capping agent is present.[87] Consequently, one needs to consider alternative growth mechanisms. As both of these anisotropic nanocrystals include twin defects or stacking faults, they provide a break to cubic symmetry naturally.

Stacking faults commonly occur in close-packed lattices, which consist of hexagonally packed atomic planes with sixfold symmetry. For a ccp lattice, the stacking sequence of these layers should be ABCABCABC; however, stacking faults can be introduced, disrupting the stacking sequence for one or two layers (e.g., ABCABABC). A twin defect is a special case in which the stacking faults create a mirror image.<sup>[88]</sup> Among the fcc metals, Ag and Au have the lowest energy barriers for incorporating stacking faults, so planar defects can be readily included in their crystals. Simulations suggest that the presence of such planar defects introduce self-propagating ledges which can serve as active sites for crystal growth.<sup>[89]</sup>

When a single planar defect (e.g., a twin or a stacking fault) is involved, hexagonal plates can form in the early stage of growth due to the six-fold symmetry of an fcc lattice. As proposed by Lofton and Sigmund, the presence of a planar defect can cause the six side faces, where the defect plane ends, to form alternating concave- and convex-type surfaces (see Figure 8a).<sup>[52b]</sup> Because each atomic site only has three nearest atomic neighbors on the convex-type surface, the stabilization energy for attaching atoms to this surface is relatively low. As a result, the atoms on this surface tend to be dissolved into solution again, creating a high-energy barrier for the addition of atoms. In contrast, the concave-type surface creates a reentrant groove, a self-perpetuating ledge that increases the number of nearest neighbors for an adatom and thus the stabilization energy. In this case, atomic addition becomes favorable. Taken together, in a crystal with a single planar defect, the fast addition of metal to the concave sides can cause those very faces to grow out of existence, leading to a triangular plate whose side faces are bounded by three convex sides that do not favor atomic addition.

The preference of atomic addition on the concave structure can also be understood using the concept of chemical potential which is defined as the Gibbs free energy per atom. The chemical potential of an atom on any curved surface can be expressed by the Gibbs-Thomson formula [Eq. (3)] where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are two principal radii of curvature,  $\Delta \mu$  is the change in chemical potential, and  $\Omega$  is the atomic volume.



**Figure 8.** a) Hexagonal plate with a single twin plane, which contains concave-type (A) and convex-type (B) faces. The concave-type surface serves as the primary site for atomic addition, facilitating the transformation of hexagonal plates into triangular plates. b) Decahedron seed (left) and five-fold twinned rod (right). The five variants in the decahedron are separated by {111}-type twin planes. In the five-fold twinned rod, the side faces are {100} and the end faces are {111} facets, respectively. Twin planes are delineated with red lines (modified with permission from ref. [52b], copyright 2005 Wiley-VCH).

$$\Delta \mu = \gamma \Omega (1/R_1 + 1/R_2) \tag{3}$$

For a convex surface, the curvature is positive, thus the chemical potential of an atom on such a surface is higher than that on a flat surface. In contrast, the chemical potential is quite low on a concave surface. As atoms prefer the site with the lowest chemical potential, atomic addition will only occur on concave-type sides.

For the growth of five-fold twinned nanorods, multiple twin defects are involved. It has been proposed that the nanorods grow from decahedral seeds along the axis parallel to the twin planes (Figure 8b).<sup>[52a]</sup> If a decahedron is viewed as an assembly of five tetrahedrons joined together by twin planes, each vertex is equal to a point where a concave-type face grows out, leaving five edges and two axial points as convex-type faces. In this case, a decahedral seed should grow into a large decahedron instead of a five-fold twinned nanorod, but this case is not observed in a typical synthesis. Therefore, another factor must be responsible for the anisotropic growth. In principle, when atoms in a decahedron are located far from the central axis, the lattice strain will become extremely high. This strain energy will be greatly increased when a decahedral seed grows laterally. In contrast, elongation of the decahedron along the axis parallel to the twin planes does not cause any increase in strain energy. As a result, decahedral seeds preferentially grow along the axial direction into five-fold twinned nanorods and then nanowires. As another requirement for this type of growth, there must be a capping agent in the solution that can stabilize the newly formed {100} side faces through chemisorption.

### 4.3. Shape Evolution during Crystal Growth

Crystallographers call the characteristic shape of a crystal (or the relative area of its faces) its "habit".<sup>[90]</sup> A primary factor determining the habit of a crystal is the relative rates at which different crystal planes grow. Consequently, during crystal growth, the habit of a crystal can change if there is a mix of different facets on the surface. In fact, crystal growth is a very dynamic process! For example, consider an imaginary 2-D octagonal crystal with alternating fast and slow growing edges. As Figure 9 illustrates, continued crystal growth will



**Figure 9.** Shape evolution during the successive stages of growth for an imaginary 2-D crystal. a) Rapid addition to the y-edges (relative to the x-edges) results in the elongation of the x-edges and the eventual disappearance of the y-edges, and b) vice versa. The length of an arrow is directly proportional to the growth rate. When the crystal is enclosed by a single set of planes, the shape will become stable over growth time unless the surface is modified again due to etching, Ostwald ripening, and/or capping.

result in an elongation of the slow growing edges at the expense of the faster growing ones, producing a square, faceted with the slow growing edges. In 3-D, if the fast growing edges correspond to the corners of a truncated cube, the final crystal shape will then be a cube faceted by the slow growing planes. In contrast, if in 3-D the fast growing edges correspond to the faces of a truncated cube, the final crystal shape will be an octahedron faceted by those slow growing planes. Such a dynamic evolution can occur to selectively enlarge one set of crystallographic facets at the expense of others on a nanocrystal, yielding new shapes. For example, Yang and co-workers have shown that an fcc single crystal can evolve from a cube to a cuboctahedron and then to an octahedron, with an increasing ratio of {111} to {100} facet areas.<sup>[91]</sup> As Figure 10 shows, when metal atoms add to the {100} faces of a nanocube, they migrate to the edges of the face resulting in the elongation of the {111} facets. As this process continues, the cubes will be transformed into cuboctahedrons and eventually octahedrons. This shape evolution process has also been known as overgrowth. Interestingly, as discussed in Section 4.1, the introduction of a capping agent to a synthesis can alter the growth rates of the different facets, thus dramatically altering the final nanocrystal shape.

### 4.4. Seeded Growth: Epitaxial versus Non-Epitaxial

With regards to nanocrystal growth, pre-formed nanocrystals with well-defined facets can be added to a synthesis



**Figure 10.** Overgrowth process of Ag nanocrystals, in which Ag atoms are continuously deposited onto the  $\{100\}$  facets of a Ag nanocube to eventually result in an octahedron enclosed by  $\{111\}$  facets (modified with permission from ref. [67a], copyright 2006 Wiley-VCH).

and serve as primary sites for nucleation (in this case, heterogeneous) and crystal growth. If, during crystal growth, the added metal atoms continue with the same crystal structure as the seed, then it is an epitaxial process. This condition is achieved when the seeds have the same chemical identity as the growth atoms, and this approach has been used most commonly to grow Au nanorods from Au seeds (see Section 5.3).<sup>[92]</sup> Amazingly, the twin structure of the seed is transferred to the entire nanocrystal via epitaxial growth, although the final nanocrystal shape may deviate from that of the initial seed due to the factors outlined in Sections 4.1 and 4.3.

Epitaxial growth also can be achieved when the seeds and added atoms are chemically different; however, in this case, it is more accurately referred to as heteroepitaxial growth. Heteroepitaxy has long been used in gas-phase deposition to prepare functional heterostructures or junctions but had not been explored in the solution phase until recently.<sup>[93]</sup> As a prerequisite for heteroepitaxial growth, there must be a close lattice match between the seed and the deposited atoms (e.g., Pd and Pt have a lattice mismatch of only 0.77%). As discussed in more detail in Sections 5.1 and 5.4, this approach can be used to prepare bimetallic core-shell nanocrystals (Figures 11 and 12), in which the core consists of the initial seed used for heteroepitaxial deposition.<sup>[94]</sup> When there is a large lattice mismatch between the seed and the deposited atoms (e.g., Au and Pt have a lattice mismatch of 4.08%), heteroepitaxial growth is unfavorable due to high strain energy. As a result, non-conformal growth ensues, giving rise to shapes not necessarily predicted from the structure of the seed (see Section 5.3). Very recently, Xie and co-workers considered this approach more fully and found that even with large lattice mismatches, conformal growth was possible, with Au/Pd core-shell cubes (lattice mismatch 4.71%) being produced; they suggested that, in addition to minimal lattice mismatch (<5%), the metal bond energies of the two components were important for conformal growth.<sup>[94b]</sup>



**Figure 11.** Electron microscopy characterization of the binary Pt/Pd core-shell nanocrystals obtained through the heteroepitaxial deposition of Pd on cubic Pt seeds: a, b) cube; c, d) cuboctahedron; and e, f) octahedron (modified with permission from ref. [94a], copyright 2007 Nature Publishing Group).



**Figure 12.** Electron microscopy characterization of the binary Pd/Pt core-shell nanoplates obtained through the heteroepitaxial growth of Pt shells on Pd nanoplate seeds. a–d) STEM images and the corresponding cross-sectional compositional line profiles of Pd/Pt core-shell nanoplates for a, b) hexagonal and c, d) triangular plates. e, f) HRTEM images taken from the side faces of the Pd/Pt core-shell nanoplates, which show the continuous lattice fringes from the Pd core (lattice spacing: 2.22 Å) to the Pt shell (lattice spacing: 2.29 Å) (modified with permission from ref. [94c], copyright 2008 American Chemical Society).

## 5. Case Studies of Different Metals

The first example of the shape-controlled synthesis of metal nanocrystals was demonstrated by El-Sayed and coworkers with the preparation of Pt nanocubes and tetrahedrons.<sup>[95]</sup> Shortly thereafter, Au nanorods,<sup>[96]</sup> FePt nanocubes,<sup>[97]</sup> Ag nanoplates,<sup>[98]</sup> and Ag nanocubes<sup>[78a]</sup> were demonstrated. Since these early examples, there have been many reports of other metals being prepared as shapecontrolled nanocrystals and of other shapes. Here, we survey this work so to highlight the principles outlined in Sections 1-4, beginning our discussion with Pd because the largest number of shapes has been generated for this noble metal. As we hope to convey, Pd is an ideal model system for understanding the synthesis of metal nanocrystals with wellcontrolled shapes, and the principles that direct Pd nanocrystal growth can be easily extended to other fcc metals and alloys.

## 5.1. Pd

Palladium is most interesting for its extraordinary capability to absorb H<sub>2</sub>. Incredibly, it can absorb up to 900 times its own volume of H<sub>2</sub> at room temperature and atmospheric pressure, making it an efficient and safe storage medium for H<sub>2</sub>. When it is finely divided, Pd also can serve as a good catalyst for hydrogenation and dehydrogenation reactions as well as cracking. In organic chemistry, a large number of carbon-carbon bond forming reactions such as Heck or Suzuki coupling are facilitated by catalysts based on Pd<sup>0</sup> or its compounds.<sup>[99]</sup> The largest use of Pd today is probably in catalytic converters, which convert up to 90% of the harmful gases (including hydrocarbons, CO and NO) from auto exhaust into less harmful substances such as CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>. All of these applications could be greatly enhanced with the use of Pd nanocrystals enclosed by the same appropriate facet.[100]

The predominant shape of Pd nanocrystals is the Wulff polyhedron when the sample is prepared by relatively fast reduction or decomposition. Experimentally, Na<sub>2</sub>PdCl<sub>4</sub> is the most commonly used precursor for the reduction route because of its stability in air and good solubility in a variety of solvents. In this case, no additional Cl- is needed to initiate oxidative etching as this anion will be released from the salt precursor during reduction.  $Pd(NO_3)_2$  is also commercially available and could be used as a precursor to Pd; however, it is hygroscopic and tends to hydrolyze to Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub>.<sup>[101]</sup> In general, alcohols, glycols, and hydrazine can all serve as the reductant source to ensure fast reduction of various Pd precursors.<sup>[60,102]</sup> Among these options, ethylene glycol offers a unique example as it serves as both the solvent and primary reductant at the temperatures commonly used for Pd nanocrystal synthesis. For a typical synthesis conducted in air at 110°C with Na<sub>2</sub>PdCl<sub>4</sub> as a precursor, the product sampled after 5 min contained 90% Wulff polyhedrons (ca. 8 nm in size) and 10% multiply twinned particles (MTPs, including decahedrons and icosahedrons).<sup>[60a]</sup> When the reaction was prolonged to 3 h, all the MTPs were eliminated due to oxidative etching, leaving behind only Wulff polyhedrons (Figure 13 a),<sup>[64a]</sup> and if allowed to continue beyond 3 h, even these single-crystal structures were subjected to oxidative etching. As a result, it is difficult to grow Pd nanocrystals larger than 10 nm using this method. Additionally, because PVP is too big to have a significant capping effect on very small nanocrystals, these Pd nanocrystals < 10 nm in size cannot evolve into perfect cubes, but rather maintain their Wulff polyhedral structure.



Figure 13. Electron microscopy images of single-crystal Pd nanocrystals: a) Wulff polyhedrons prepared in ethylene glycol with PVP as a capping agent (modified with permission from ref. [64a], copyright 2005 American Chemical Society); b) slightly truncated nanocubes prepared in ethylene glycol with PVP as a capping agent and Fe<sup>III</sup> species as an etchant (modified with permission from ref. [78b], copyright 2005 American Chemical Society); c) nanocubes prepared with PVP as a reductant in water and in the presence of KBr; d) nanobars prepared in a mixture of 90.9% water and 9.1% ethylene glycol, in the presence of KBr; e) nanorods prepared in a mixture of 72.7% ethylene glycol and 27.3% water, in the presence of KBr (modified with permission from ref. [64d], copyright 2007 American Chemical Society); f) octahedrons prepared with citric acid as a reducing agent and capping agent at a high concentration of Pd precursor (modified with permission from ref. [80], copyright 2007 Wiley-VCH).

While the above work illustrates the power that oxidative etching can have in controlling the population of twinned structures in solution, the preparation of single-crystal Pd nanocrystals encased with only one type of facet (e.g., cubes as compared to Wulff polyhedrons) is desirable, particularly for applications in catalysis. To achieve this goal, two approaches have been explored: 1) modulation of reduction/oxidation conditions during the polyol synthesis and 2) use of a capping agent much smaller than PVP. In the first approach, Pd nanocubes with edge lengths up to 50 nm were prepared by adding Fe<sup>III</sup> species to a polyol synthesis at 90 °C. In this case, the addition of such species was found to enhance the oxidation of Pd<sup>0</sup>, thus effectively reducing the number of seeds formed during the nucleation stage.<sup>[78b]</sup> At the same concentration of precursor, the presence of fewer seeds means a larger size for the final product. Using this approach, the final size of the Pd nanocrystals could be controllably increased simply by increasing the concentration of the Fe<sup>III</sup> species. Due to the capping effect of PVP, these larger single-crystalline nanocrystals adopted a cubic shape, with some slight corner truncation (Figure 13b).

Considering the second approach, Br<sup>-</sup> was added as a smaller capping agent and found to promote the development of {100} facets, allowing for the generation of Pd nanocubes 8 nm in edge length (Figure 13 c).<sup>[64d]</sup> This synthesis was carried out in water with PVP as a reductant, and both XPS and EDX analyses suggest that Br<sup>-</sup> is capable of chemisorbing onto the {100} facets of very tiny Pd nanocrystals. In this way, the selective chemisorption of Br<sup>-</sup> alters the order of surface energies for different facets, allowing for nanocubes, not Wulff polyhedrons, to be produced at small sizes.

During the course of this work, it was also found that Brcould initiate anisotropic growth for cubic nanocrystals if the reduction rate was enhanced by introducing ethylene glycol as a reductant.<sup>[64d]</sup> In one example, Pd nanobars enclosed by {100} facets and with a square cross-section were synthesized from a reaction containing ethylene glycol, water, PVP, and KBr (see Figures 13d and e). The aspect ratio of the nanobars was observed to increase with an increase in the concentration of ethylene glycol. In a second example, Pd nanoneedles with a rectangular cross-section of decreasing area were prepared in water with ascorbic acid and sodium citrate as coreductants and CTAB as a capping agent.<sup>[103]</sup> How and why such anisotropic growth occurs are yet to be fully understood; however, localized oxidative etching in the presence of Brappears to be involved. As the chemisorbed Br<sup>-</sup> laver prevents the further addition of Pd atoms to the Pd nanocrystal, the surface has to be activated in some way to continue the growth process. For a cubic nanocrystal, localized oxidative etching could selectively activate only one of its six faces for atomic addition. Then, if enough Pd atoms can be supplied to the etched site by accelerated reduction, atomic addition will surpass the removal of atoms caused by etching at that face, breaking cubic symmetry and eventually leading to the formation of a nanobar. In this case, a relatively high reduction rate, achieved by having a high concentration of ethylene glycol in water, is needed to provide sufficient Pd atoms for continuous growth. For a Wulff polyhedral nanocrystal, selective activation of an octagonal face by localized oxidative etching leads to a nanorod whose side surfaces are a mix of both {100} and {110} facets. As for the formation of Pd nanoneedles, the exact mechanism still needs to be resolved. We believe that the Brfrom CTAB may play a critical role, in addition to the capping effect of the surfactant.

In addition to single-crystal seeds, the Pd system is rich in twinned structures.<sup>[104]</sup> As discussed in the beginning of this section, the retention of twinned seeds produced during polyol reduction is difficult to achieve due to the intrinsically corrosive environment. Yet, in a recent study, we found that citric acid or citrate ions could protect such seeds from oxidative etching.<sup>[66,80]</sup> There are two possible mechanisms for explaining this observation: 1) they can compete with oxygen adsorption and thus reduce the amount of oxygen immobilized on the Pd surfaces or 2) they can react with and exhaust the adsorbed oxygen. Regardless of the mechanism, it becomes possible to stabilize twinned Pd nanocrystals enclosed by {111} facets—decahedrons and icosahedrons and even single-crystal octahedrons, presumably due to the strong binding of citrate to the {111} facets.

The key to producing one of these nanocrystal shapes to the exclusion of the others is to control the population of the various seed types in a synthesis. Amazingly, using a simple water-based system in which only Na<sub>2</sub>PdCl<sub>4</sub>, PVP, and citric acid were added, we were able to achieve these various seeds and tune their yields simply by controlling the concentration and reduction rate of the precursor.<sup>[66,80]</sup> It has been shown by simulation that icosahedral, decahedral, and Wulff polyhedral clusters are favored for Pd at small (N < 100), medium (100 <N < 6500), and large sizes (N > 6500), respectively.<sup>[54]</sup> Thus, to generate Wulff polyhedral seeds, and eventually octahedrons, a high atomic concentration is required because the number of Pd atoms in a seed is highly dependent on the atomic concentration in the solution. Two factors affect the atomic concentration: 1) the reduction rate and 2) the concentration of precursor. As citric acid is a mild reducing agent, the Pd precursor concentration has to be kept relatively high in this synthesis. When this condition is met, Pd octahedrons are produced in over 90% yields (Figure 13 f). The same protocol can be used to generate Pd decahedrons in high yields. In this case, the concentration of citric acid or citrate ions has to be increased to ensure sufficient capping of the {111} facets, thus reducing the surface energy of the {111} facets and compensating for the extra strain energy caused by twinning. For these reasons, decahedral seeds can be stabilized effectively and grow into large decahedrons (Figure 14a) even though the number of atoms might be above the favorable medium range for decahedral seed generation. Finally, when the atomic concentration is significantly lowered by reducing the Pd precursor concentration, icosahedral seeds become favorable due to the slow addition of Pd atoms. As a result, Pd icosahedrons become the major product (Figure 14b).<sup>[66,80]</sup>

Besides citric acid, ascorbic acid may be used as a reducing agent. When it was used at 80 °C in the presence of Br<sup>-</sup>, anisotropic growth of decahedral seeds was induced, resulting in pentagonal nanorods with their side {100} faces presumably stabilized by Br<sup>-</sup>.<sup>[68]</sup> Although there is modest oxidative etching in this system, these five-fold twinned nanorods can be stable for a long period of time. In the same synthesis, right bipyramids were also formed from singly twinned seeds, with their {100} facets being stabilized with Br<sup>-</sup>. In general, the product typically consisted of 30% five-fold twinned nanorods with length up to 150 nm, 17% MTPs, 18% singly twinned right bipyramids, and 35% nanocubes (Figure 14c).



Figure 14. Electron microscopy images of Pd nanocrystals with twin defects: a) decahedrons prepared with citric acid as a reducing agent and capping agent at high concentrations for both Pd precursor and citric acid (modified with permission from ref. [80], copyright 2007 Wiley-VCH); b) icosahedrons prepared with citric acid as a reducing agent and capping agent at a low concentration of Pd precursor (modified with permission from ref. [80], copyright 2007 Wiley-VCH); c) five-fold twinned nanorods (as a mixture with cubes) prepared with ascorbic acid as a reductant in water and in the presence of bromide; d) single-twinned right bipyramids (as a mixture with cubes) prepared with ascorbic acid as a reductant in water and in the presence of bromide (modified with permission from ref. [68], copyright 2007 Elsevier); e) triangular nanoplates prepared in ethylene glycol and in the presence of FeCl<sub>3</sub> and HCl (modified with permission from ref. [60], copyright 2005 American Chemical Society); f) hexagonal nanoplates prepared with PVP as a reductant in water (modified with permission from ref. [59b], copyright 2006 American Chemical Society).

When the reaction temperature was increased to 100 °C, oxidative etching was greatly enhanced in this system. Thus, multiply twinned structures were rarely found in the final product, although right bipyramids were still present up to 30 % in the final product distribution (Figure 14 d).

When the reduction of the Pd precursor is greatly slowed down, Pd nanoplates will be produced in high yields, indicating a kinetically controlled reaction. Such nanoplates can have a triangular or hexagonal cross-section, and as was observed in some of these syntheses, hexagonal plates can evolve into triangular plates as their sizes are increased. Such a transformation likely occurs by the mechanism proposed by Lofton and Sigmund (see Section 4.2).<sup>[52b]</sup> Many different approaches have been developed to slow down the generation of Pd atoms.<sup>[58-60,105]</sup> In polyol syntheses, the net reduction can be slowed through the introduction of Fe<sup>III</sup> species and the O<sub>2</sub>/

Cl<sup>-</sup> pair, both oxidative etchants for Pd<sup>0</sup>.<sup>[60]</sup> For the O<sub>2</sub>/Cl<sup>-</sup> pair, etching can be enhanced by adding an acid. For Fe<sup>III</sup> species, adjusting their concentration allowed the reduction rate to be precisely controlled so to generate triangular or hexagonal Pd nanoplates (see Figure 14e for triangular nanoplates). As a second approach, the reduction rate can be kept low by using a mild reducing agent (e.g., PVP, formate, methanol, or glycolate) at low temperatures. For example, the chain ends of commercially available PVP have been found to be terminated with a hydroxy group using <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy.<sup>[59a]</sup> Such a polymer, just as with longchain alcohols, can serve as a mild reducing agent for kinetically controlled syntheses including those of Pd nanoplates (Figure 14 f).<sup>[59b]</sup> In practice, controlling the ratio of PVP to precursor as well as the molecular weight of PVP can provide further control of the reduction rate. As a third approach, Pd atoms can be slowly generated through decomposition of a Pd-octanedionate complex in the presence of various tetraalkylammonium salts to form hexagonal Pd nanoplates in high yields.<sup>[58a]</sup> Finally, a RNA-mediated synthesis of Pd nanoplates has been reported.<sup>[105]</sup> In this case, the RNA sequence was found to be critical to the formation of plate-like morphology, and it was suggested that the RNA provided a critical folding motif for interaction with the precursor complex that directed the formation of nanoplates. Interestingly, by changing the RNA sequence, Pd nanocubes could be obtained through a similar reaction. It is worth pointing out that the sugar unit in RNA contains hydroxy groups, which might also be involved in reducing the Pd precursor.

Recently, it has been shown that polyhedral Pd nanocrystals with edge lengths > 30 nm could be obtained by heteroepitaxial seeded growth, in which preformed Pt nanocubes (such as those discussed later in Section 5.4) were added to a synthesis and served as sites for nucleation and the controlled overgrowth of Pd nanocrystals.<sup>[94a]</sup> The final products exhibited a well-defined core-shell Pt/Pd structure made possible by the minimal lattice mismatch between Pt and Pd. By controlling the concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> present during the synthesis, the growth rates along the  $\langle 100 \rangle$  and  $\langle 111 \rangle$  directions could be varied to produce octahedrons, cuboctahedrons, and cubes (Figure 11). In these cases, the NO<sub>2</sub> was generated in situ from the decomposition of HNO<sub>3</sub> in the presence of HCl. The generated NO<sub>2</sub> can then dissociate on the Pd surface, giving NO and atomic oxygen; the NO will desorb while the atomic oxygen should remain on the surface and modulate the growth rates of different facets.

### 5.2. Ag

Historically, silver has most widely been used in jewelry/ metal-craft and photography (where photosensitive Ag halides are reduced).<sup>[9]</sup> More recently, Ag, particularly in nanoparticle form, has been finding use as a catalyst in various oxidation and oxidative coupling reactions (e.g., formaldehyde production from methanol and air).<sup>[106]</sup> In fact, Ag nanoparticles are the only practical catalyst available today for converting ethylene to ethylene oxide, an important industrial precursor. Silver nanostructures also have been the source of extensive research for their widespread use as substrates for SERS, optical labeling, and near-field optical probing.<sup>[15]</sup> Experimentally, AgNO<sub>3</sub> is the most commonly used precursor when preparing Ag nanocrystals via the reduction route because of its good solubility in polar solvents. This compound, however, is highly sensitive to light, and as discussed in Section 2.2, this sensitivity can have a significant effect on the nature of Ag species in solution. As a result, cautions must be taken in the storing and handling of this precursor.

Like other fcc metals, icosahedral, decahedral, and Wulff polyhedral seeds and their corresponding nanocrystals are the thermodynamically favored products. Yet, according to simulations, the size window that favors both Ag icosahedrons and decahedrons is much broader than with other metals (Table 2).<sup>[54]</sup> As a result, multiply twinned seeds typically predominate under most reaction conditions. Of course, the multiply twinned seeds can be selectively removed by oxidative etching just as in the Pd system (see Figure 7).<sup>[62]</sup> In practice, however, oxidative etching with the  $Cl^{-}/O_{2}$  pair is a slow process for the Ag system, with single-crystal products only being produced after a couple days of reaction. This oxidative etching process can be enhanced by the introduction of a protonic acid (either by directly adding HCl or through the transformation from  $H_2$ ).<sup>[107]</sup> In these cases, single-crystal Ag nanocubes could be produced in less than half day. It should be pointed out that Ag cuboctahedrons (Figure 15a) and perfect nanocubes (Figure 15b) are only observed once the Ag nanocrystals have grown past 20 nm, at which point PVP as a capping polymer can promote the formation of {100} facets. At smaller sizes, the single crystals adopt a Wulff polyhedral (or spherical) profile so to minimize their overall surface area. While oxidative etching has proven to be an extremely powerful tool for controlling the population of twinned seeds in solution, the slowness of this process in the Ag system hinders large-scale synthesis.

Recently, we reported a very rapid route to Ag nanocubes in which oxidative etching was not necessarily involved.<sup>[108]</sup> In this case, sulfide or hydrosulfide is added to ethylene glycol at a ppm level prior to the introduction of PVP and AgNO<sub>3</sub>. Upon AgNO<sub>3</sub> addition, Ag<sub>2</sub>S clusters or nanocrystallites are formed, which can serve as primary sites for nucleation by catalyzing the reduction of Ag<sup>+</sup>.<sup>[109]</sup> In a related study, Yang and co-workers also produced single-crystal Ag nanocubes in a matter of minutes, in this case by adding trace CuCl<sub>2</sub> to a 1,5pentanediol-based polyol synthesis.<sup>[67a]</sup> While the role of the Cu salt was not discussed in their publication, it presumably facilitates single-crystal seed formation through a similar mechanism. Interestingly, they also showed that their Ag nanocubes could grow in size to become truncated octahedrons (Figure 15c) and then octahedrons (Figure 15d). Although PVP was used in this synthesis, it seems unable to reduce the surface energy of {100} facets below that of the {111} facets and could be due to not enough PVP being present during synthesis.

Besides PVP, the addition of  $Br^-$  to a polyol synthesis can also promote the formation of {100} facets and thus Ag nanocubes (Figure 15 e).<sup>[110]</sup> Under the right conditions,  $Br^-$ 



Figure 15. Electron microscopy images of single-crystal Ag nanocrys tals: a) cuboctahedrons prepared in ethylene glycol with PVP as a capping agent (modified with permission from ref. [62], copyright 2004 American Chemical Society); b) nanocubes prepared in ethylene glycol with PVP as a capping agent (modified with permission from ref. [78a], copyright 2002 American Association for the Advancement of Science); c) truncated octahedrons prepared in 1,5-pentanediol in the presence of PVP and Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions; d) octahedrons prepared in 1,5pentanediol in the presence of PVP and Cu<sup>2+</sup> ions (modified with permission from ref. [67a], copyright 2006 Wiley-VCH); e) nanocubes prepared by a modified silver mirror reaction in the presence of Br with glucose as a reducing agent (modified with permission from ref. [110b], copyright 2005 American Chemical Society); f) nanobars prepared in ethylene glycol in the presence of PVP and  $\mathrm{Br}^-$  (modified with permission from ref. [63b], copyright 2007 American Chemical Society).

can even induce anisotropic growth to transform Ag nanocubes into nanobars with rectangular cross-sections (Figure 15 f).<sup>[63b]</sup> While the mechanism for such growth is not fully understood, it is believed to be analogous to the case of Pd nanobars in which Br<sup>-</sup> chemisorption and localized oxidative etching are involved. The function of Br<sup>-</sup> in the synthesis of Ag nanocrystals, however, is much more complicated than that of Pd because Ag is much more chemically active. For example, it has been observed that the addition of Br<sup>-</sup> to a polyol synthesis of Ag can induce oxidative etching even though Br- is less corrosive than Cl-. At high Br- concentrations (60 µm, Ag:Br 1700:1), all twinned seeds are removed; however, decreasing the concentration of Br<sup>-</sup> by 50% removes only the most reactive multiply twinned seeds from the solution. As a result, a mixture of single-crystal and singly twinned seeds remain, which can grow into Ag nanocubes and right bipyramids, respectively (Figure 16a).<sup>[63a]</sup>

77



Figure 16. Electron microscopy images of Ag nanocrystals with twin defects: a) singly twinned right bipyramids prepared in ethylene glycol in the presence of PVP and Br<sup>-</sup> (modified with permission from ref. [63a], copyright 2006 American Chemical Society); b) singly twinned nanobeams prepared in ethylene glycol in the presence of PVP and Br<sup>-</sup> (modified with permission from ref. [69], copyright 2006 American Chemical Society); c) five-fold twinned nanorods prepared in ethylene glycol with PVP as a capping agent (modified with permission from ref. [65], copyright 2005 American Chemical Society); d) nanoplates prepared by light-induced conversion of Ag nanospheres (modified with permission from ref. [115a], copyright 2003 Nature Publishing Group); e) nanoplates prepared in water with PVP as a reductant (modified with permission from ref. [59a], copyright 2006 Wiley-VCH); f) nanobelts formed by refluxing an aqueous dispersion of Ag colloids (modified with permission from ref. [61b], copyright 2003 American Chemical Society).

Interestingly, the singly twinned seeds can also be induced into anisotropic growth by doubling the  $AgNO_3$  concentration and reducing the reaction temperature, producing 1-D Ag nanocrystals with a single twin plane running down their long axes. These unique Ag nanocrystals have been referred to as nanobeams (Figure 16b).<sup>[69]</sup>

As with the singly twinned seeds, anisotropic growth of decahedral Ag seeds can be induced to facilitate the formation of 1-D nanocrystals such as nanorods and nanowires. In these cases, however, the resulting crystal will have a pentagonal cross-section (Figure 16c).<sup>[111–113]</sup> Although early work indicated that small nanoparticles of Pt or Ag were needed to promote anisotropic growth,<sup>[111]</sup> recent studies have shown that such seeds are not necessary at all. Only AgNO<sub>3</sub>, ethylene glycol, and PVP are needed!<sup>[70]</sup> PVP stabilizes the {100} side faces of nanowires, and the internal twin defects of the initial seeds induce the 1-D growth. In order to obtain

multiply twinned seeds (and thus nanowires) in high yields, oxidative etching has to be blocked during the synthesis. This requirement has been achieved in the Ag system by simply bubbling an inert gas through the reaction solution<sup>[62]</sup> or through the addition of redox active metal salts, for example, Fe<sup>II</sup>/Fe<sup>III</sup> or Cu<sup>I</sup>/Cu<sup>II</sup>, which increases the rate of Ag deposition relative to oxidation.<sup>[65,114]</sup>

Besides those structures built upon thermodynamically favored Wulff polyhedrons, it is possible to switch to kinetically favored structures. This condition can be met by slowing down the generation of Ag atoms, just as in the Pd system.<sup>[115-117]</sup> The resulting Ag crystals are plate-like, similar to those prepared by Mirkin and co-workers in 2001 in which Ag nanoparticles were photo- or thermally transformed into nanoplates (Figure 16d) over a long period of time through an Ostwald ripening mechanism.<sup>[98]</sup> To prepare Ag nanoplates by slowing down reduction, a mild reducing agent such as HOterminated PVP, ascorbic acid, or glycylglycine is often used (Figure 16e).<sup>[59]</sup> Alternatively, the precursor reduction can be slowed down by complexing the Ag<sup>+</sup> ions with a strongly coordinating polymer such as polyacrylamide (PAM) whose amino groups can form complexes with Ag<sup>+</sup> ions.<sup>[58b]</sup> According to the Nernst equation, the redox potential of the Ag<sup>+</sup>/Ag pair should be greatly reduced due to formation of such a complex, which can in turn greatly reduce the reduction rate and favor the formation of nanoplates through kinetically controlled growth.

Finally, Ag nanobelts (Figure 16 f) have been observed, being prepared through a thermal transformation in a manner similar to the early nanoplate work.<sup>[61b]</sup> The growth mechanism responsible for this class of nanostructures remains unresolved; however, the total free energy of a nanobelt should be as high as that of a nanoplate due to the internal strain caused by twinning and their generally large surface areas. These properties imply that the nanobelts are kinetically controlled products. It would be interesting to develop a kinetically-controlled reduction route to such structures in high yields.

## 5.3. Au

The synthesis of Au nanocrystals with well-defined shapes appears to be one of the most popular subjects of research over the past decade, as judged from the total number of publications per year on this subject. This trend, in large part, is due to the unique and often tunable properties of Au nanocrystals that include LSPR, biocompatibility, and easy surface modification. When combined together, Au nanocrystals represent an ideal platform for chemical and biological sensing as well as applications in nanomedicine. For example, Au nanoparticles can readily adsorb protein molecules onto their surfaces, causing a shift in the LSPR of the nanoparticles.<sup>[13]</sup> When coated with specific antibodies, these immuno-Au nanocrystals can be used to probe the presence and position of antigens on cell surfaces as well as to potentially deliver therapeutic agents selectively.<sup>[14]</sup> Most recently, Au nanoparticles were discovered to display unique catalytic properties for a variety of oxidation reactions; however, the performance of these Au catalysts is highly dependent on the size of the nanocrystal.<sup>[118]</sup>

There have been many demonstrated methods for controlling the shape of Au nanocrystals, and as with the Pd and Ag systems, the selection of reductant, reaction conditions, and stabilizer are all critical to forming a particular shape. As expected, strong reducing agents, as typified by polyol reduction, facilitate the synthesis of thermodynamically favored polyhedral Au nanocrystals.<sup>[119]</sup> There are, however, notable differences. For example, in contrast to the Ag and Pd systems, the binding of PVP to Au does not appear sufficiently strong to promote the formation of {100} facets and is probably due to differences in surface reactivity. As a result, single-crystal and multiply twinned Au nanocrystals enclosed by {111} facets—octahedrons (Figure 17a), truncated tetrahedrons (Figure 17b), icosahedrons (Figure 17c), and decahedrons (Figure 17d)—predominate as compared to {100}



Figure 17. Electron microscopy images of Au nanocrystals: a) octahedrons prepared with PVP as a capping agent in polyethylene glycol 600 (PEG 600) (modified with permission from ref. [120b], copyright 2007 Wiley-VCH); b) truncated tetrahedrons prepared with PVP as a capping agent in tetraethylene glycol (modified with permission from ref. [120e], copyright 2008 American Chemical Society); c) icosahedrons prepared in ethylene glycol with a low concentration of Au precursor (modified with permission from ref. [91], copyright 2004 Wiley-VCH); d) decahedrons prepared in diethylene glycol with a high concentration of PVP (modified with permission from ref. [120e], copyright 2008 American Chemical Society); e) truncated nanocubes prepared in 1,5-pentanediol in the presence of  $\mathsf{Ag}^+$  ions with PVP as a capping agent; f) nanocubes prepared in 1,5-pentanediol in the presence of Ag<sup>+</sup> ions with PVP as a capping agent (modified with permission from ref. [121b], copyright 2006 American Chemical Society).

encased crystals that typify the Pd and Ag systems.<sup>[91,120]</sup> Interestingly, when Ag<sup>+</sup> or Br<sup>-</sup> ions are present during reaction, truncated (Figure 17e) or perfect Au nanocubes (Figure 17 f) result.<sup>[91,121]</sup>

As observed in the Pd and Ag systems, when the reduction rate is substantially lowered, Au nanoplates will be produced, and this kinetic control can be achieved by using a mild reductant such as phenylenediamine, an extract from the plant lemongrass (Cymbopogon flexuosus), PVP, or glucose. Such kinetic control can also be achieved by performing the synthesis at room temperature. The nanoplates usually have hexagonal or triangular profiles (Figures 18 a and b).<sup>[59b,122]</sup> Au nanoplates may also be prepared by reducing the concentration of Au precursor in a synthesis. In this case, the concentration of the generated metal atoms is never high enough to facilitate seeds favored by thermodynamics. Instead, the Au atoms appear to aggregate into small clusters, which then can agglomerate into larger nanocrystals.<sup>[123]</sup> As a result of this aggregate assembly, twin defects or stacking faults are introduced, which favor Au nanoplate formation. Finally, as in the Ag case, photo-induced Ostwald ripening of Au nanoparticles results in Au nanoplates.<sup>[124]</sup> Yet, no matter which method is used, the resulting Au nanoplates have a hexagonal or triangular cross-section depending on the reaction rate and/or time.<sup>[125]</sup> As a special case of plate-like morphology, Au nanobelts have also been prepared. In one example, HAuCl<sub>4</sub> was reduced with ascorbic acid in a mixed surfactant system containing sodium dodecylsulfonate (SDSn) and CTAB.<sup>[126]</sup> The reaction was performed below room temperature to ensure sufficiently slow reduction, while



**Figure 18.** Electron microscopy images of anisotropic Au nanocrystals: a) hexagonal nanoplates prepared with *ortho*-phenylenediamine as a reductant (modified with permission from ref. [122b], copyright 2004 Wiley-VCH); b) triangular nanoplates prepared with *Sargassum sp.* as a reductant (modified with permission from ref. [122], copyright 2005 American Chemical Society); c) nanobelts prepared with ascorbic acid as a reducing agent and SDSn and CTAB as surfactants (modified with permission from ref. [126], copyright 2008 American Chemical Society); d) five-fold twinned nanorods prepared by a seeding process with CTAB as a capping agent (modified with permission from ref. [79a], copyright 2001 American Chemical Society).

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 60-103

the belt-like morphology was attributed to a cooperative effect between the mixed surfactants (Figure 18c). With glucose as a reductant, Au nanobelts have also been prepared under sonication.<sup>[127]</sup>

While the preceding paragraphs reiterate the importance of reduction kinetics for shape control, seeded growth, as pioneered by the Murphy group, has proven to be extremely powerful in the Au system.<sup>[79,128]</sup> In this method, small Au nanoparticles are first prepared by fast reduction with a strong reducing agent. These seeds are then used to initiate further nanocrystal growth when additional precursor is added and then slowly reduced in the presence of a surfactant or polymeric stabilizer. Most commonly, HAuCl<sub>4</sub> is reduced with ascorbic acid in the presence of citrate-capped twinned Au seeds and CTAB to produce anisotropic Au nanorods and nanowires. These 1-D nanostructures have a pentagonal cross-section with end surfaces being terminated by {111} facets; the side surfaces are poorly defined but are enclosed by either {100} or {110} facets, or both (Figure 18d).<sup>[129]</sup> Interestingly, when CTAB-capped single-crystal seeds are used and Ag<sup>+</sup> ions are added to the synthesis, 1-D singlecrystal nanorods enclosed by {110} side facets and {100} end facets were observed (Figure 19a).<sup>[128,130]</sup> In this case, the Ag<sup>+</sup> is thought to be reduced preferentially on the {110} facets due to an underpotential deposition process. Strong CTAB binding to the deposited Ag via Br- can then prevent Au deposition to the {110} faces, resulting in anisotropic growth. Besides Br<sup>-</sup> and Ag<sup>+</sup> ions, the concentrations of Au seeds and Au precursor can be manipulated to tune the nanocrystal shape. For example, when the concentration of seeds relative



**Figure 19.** Electron microscopy images of various Au nanocrystals prepared by a seeding process: a) nanorods prepared in the presence of Au seeds and Ag<sup>+</sup> ions and with CTAB as a capping agent (modified with permission from ref. [128d], copyright 2005 American Chemical Society); b) multipods formed through an overgrowth mechanism (modified with permission from ref. [131a], copyright 2004 American Chemical Society); c) dog bone-shaped nanocrystals formed through an overgrowth mechanism (modified with permission from ref. [128d], copyright 2005 American Chemical Society); d) dumbbell-shaped nanocrystals formed through an overgrowth mechanism (modified with permission from ref. [128d], copyright 2005 American Chemical Society); d) dumbbell-shaped nanocrystals formed through an overgrowth mechanism (modified with permission from ref. [131c], copyright 2005 Wiley-VCH).

to Au atoms is low, overgrowth of Au nanocrystals is possible.<sup>[121,131]</sup> For Au nanocrystals with truncated cubic or octahedral shape, such overgrowth can lead to the formation of branched structures, including monopods, multipods (Figure 19b), and flower-like structures.<sup>[131a,b,f]</sup> For Au nanorods, such overgrowth leads to dog bone (Figure 19c), dumbbell (Figure 19d), and bipyramid nanostructures.<sup>[131c-e]</sup>

As a variant of these seeded growth methods, Yang and co-workers extended their heteroepitaxial method to prepare Au nanorods.<sup>[94a]</sup> In this example, single-crystal Pt nanocubes were used to seed Au nanocrystal growth. In contrast to their Pt/Pd work discussed in Section 5.1, the lattice mismatch between Pt and Au is much greater (4.08 % mismatch versus 0.77 % for Pt/Pd), giving rise to non-conformal growth. As a result, Au nanorods with incorporated Pt nanocubes are produced in high yield.<sup>[94a]</sup>

Besides these seeding methods, single-crystal Au nanorods and nanowires can be synthesized by electrochemical<sup>[96]</sup> and photochemical methods.<sup>[132]</sup> Interestingly, in both cases, Br<sup>-</sup> is necessary. In the seeded growth of Au nanorods, Br<sup>-</sup> is also present, originating from the CTAB. It has been speculated that CTAB might form micelles to induce and direct 1-D growth; however, a recent study revealed that the organic tail of CTAB was not necessary for Au nanorod formation.<sup>[64d]</sup> These observations, in combination with the examples of 1-D growth in the Pd and Ag systems, suggest that Br<sup>-</sup> might be more critical to 1-D growth than the alkyl chain of CTAB. Besides Au rods and wires, polyhedral Au nanocrystals including decahedrons, cubes, and octahedrons have been prepared using seeded growth processes.<sup>[133]</sup>

As an interesting example of 1-D Au nanocrystal growth, very recently, we and others reported the synthesis of ultrathin Au nanowires with diameters  $< 2 \text{ nm.}^{[134]}$  These structures formed through a unique growth process in which a polymeric strand of oleylamine–AuCl complex is first formed through aurophilic interaction when mixing AuCl and olyelamine in hexane.<sup>[134a]</sup> The resulting complex can best be described as a linear chain composed of a Au<sup>1</sup>...Au<sup>1</sup> backbone that is surrounded by oleylamines (Figure 20a). By slowly reducing the strands, with the aid of Ag nanoparticles, ultrathin Au nanowires are produced (Figure 20b). Interestingly, a HRTEM study reveals that these wires grow along the <111 > direction, which is likely due to their unique growth mechanism (Figure 20c).

### 5.4. Pt

Platinum is an extremely valuable metal due to its exceptional and unique properties as a catalyst for CO oxidation in catalytic converters, the  $H_2/O_2$  reaction in fuel cells, nitric acid production, and petroleum cracking, among others.<sup>[7e]</sup> It can also be used as a stable electrode material. As Pt is particularly expensive, there is a real incentive to reduce the amount of Pt required in processes where it is essential. To this goal, much research has been devoted to size reduction of Pt catalysts so to maximize the surface area. Researchers also have emphasized the improved selectivity of Pt nanocatalysts to which the exposure of crystal facets more intrinsically



**Figure 20.** a) Formation of a polymeric strand of oleylamine–AuCl complex; b) TEM image of ultrathin Au nanowires with an average diameter of 1.8 nm obtained by reducing the oleylamine–AuCl complex with 10 nm Ag nanoparticles in hexane; c) HRTEM image showing (111) growth direction for most of the nanowires (modified with permission from ref. [134a], copyright 2008 American Chemical Society).

active for a particular process would be beneficial. In these ways, the shape-controlled synthesis of Pt nanocataysts would be very beneficial.

In contrast to the Pd, Ag, and Au cases, twinned structures of Pt rarely form.<sup>[135]</sup> This probably arises from the high internal strain energy associated with Pt twinned crystals.<sup>[136]</sup> Since the first publication of Pt cubes, tetrahedrons, and truncated octahedrons by the El-Saved group in 1996, much effort has been devoted to the synthesis that involves the reduction of K<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>4</sub> by H<sub>2</sub> in the presence of sodium polyacrylate as a capping agent.  $^{\left[95,\,137\right]}$  It was observed that the Pt tetrahedrons produced initially evolved into truncated octahedrons and eventually cubes through the deposition of Pt atoms on the exposed {111} facets.<sup>[58b]</sup> This selective atomic addition to {111} facets seems to be related to the different capping abilities at various facets.<sup>[58b]</sup> The concentration of the capping agent has also been shown to influence this evolution in shape.<sup>[95]</sup> In related work, Pt nanocubes (Figure 21a) and cuboctahedrons (Figure 21b) were prepared by reducing K<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>4</sub> with H<sub>2</sub> generated in situ from NaBH<sub>4</sub> decomposition. In these studies, tetradecyltrimethylammonium bromide (TTAB) was used as a surfactant. Interestingly, switching to a milder reducing agent, ascorbic acid, led to the formation of Pt nanodendrites (Figure 21 c).

More recently, the polyol reduction method was used to synthesize Pt nanocrystals in the presence of PVP and  $Ag^+$  as an ionic mediator.<sup>[138]</sup> In these studies, it was found that, just as in the seeded growth of Au nanorods, the addition of  $Ag^+$  can



Figure 21. Electron microscopy images of Pt nanocrystals with different shapes: a) nanocubes prepared in the presence of TTAB with a high concentration of NaBH<sub>4</sub>; b) cuboctahedrons prepared in the presence of TTAB with a low concentration of NaBH4 (modified with permission from ref. [144a], copyright 2007 American Chemical Society); c) nanodendrites prepared with ascorbic acid as a reducing agent (modified with permission from ref. [143b], copyright 2004 American Chemical Society); d) tetrapods prepared by a polyol process using Fe<sup>III</sup> species to reduce the number of seeds at the nucleation stage (modified with permission from ref. [135b], copyright 2005 Wiley-VCH); e) nanowires prepared by a polyol process using Fe<sup>III</sup> species to slow down the reduction (modified with permission from ref. [135a], copyright 2004 American Chemical Society); f) nanowire network prepared in a twophase water-chloroform system in the presence of CTAB with NaBH<sub>4</sub> as a reducing agent (modified with permission from ref. [144b], copyright 2007 American Chemical Society).

affect the final nanocrystal shape. Again, Ag<sup>+</sup> enhances crystal growth along the (100) axis, but in this case facilitating the formation of Pt octahedrons. Like the case of Au, overgrowth on truncated octahedrons of Pt can lead to the formation of branched structures. This phenomenon has only been observed at a low concentration of seeds and high concentration of Pt atoms. To meet these criteria, two strategies have been employed. In the first system, oxidative etching by Fe<sup>III</sup> species was coupled to a polyol reduction process to keep the initial concentration of Pt seeds extremely low.<sup>[135b]</sup> Then, by continuously bubbling N<sub>2</sub> through the reaction, oxidative etching was blocked so that a high concentration of Pt atoms could be generated and persist. In this way, Pt cuboctahedral seeds could grow into tetrapods through the overgrowth on their {111} corners (Figure 21 d). The second system relied on reduction with H<sub>2</sub>, and a high concentration of Pt precursor was used to ensure the

81

necessary overgrowth.<sup>[139]</sup> Since these initial reports, a number of related methods have been used to synthesize branched Pt nanostructures, including the very recent demonstration by El-Sayed and co-workers who used tetrahedral Pt seeds to grow single-crystal star-like Pt nanostructures with up to thirty arms.<sup>[140]</sup>

Single-crystal Pt nanowires can be obtained under the same conditions as in the aforementioned polyol synthesis for Pt tetrapods; however, the reaction must be conducted in air, not  $N_2$  (Figure 21 e).<sup>[135a]</sup> In this case, precursor reduction is kept slow for the entire reaction. An HRTEM study of these Pt nanowires revealed that they grow along the  $\langle 111 \rangle$  axis, just like the ultrathin Au nanowires formed by reduction of oleylamine-AuCl complexes. The mechanism for Pt nanowire growth along the  $\langle 111 \rangle$  axis remains mysterious, although autocatalytic nucleation might be involved.[35] Mainly, with such slow reduction, the concentration of Pt atoms in the solution could be too low to form nuclei. Instead, two Pt precursor complexes (i.e., [PtCl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> or its water-substituted form [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]) might form a dimer as described in Section 2.1, on which more Pt complexes could be attached to form a long-chain complex. After reduction, this long chain would be converted into a Pt nanowire. Due to this unique mechanism, the growth direction might be different from that of Au and Pd nanorods. Interestingly, Pt nanorods and nanowires with this same structure have been grown on a number of different supports including silica/polymer beads, metal gauzes, ceramic nanofibers, and silicon wafers, which should facilitate their application in catalysis.<sup>[141]</sup> In addition, Pt nanorods and nanowires have been synthesized using a  $\gamma$ irradiation method<sup>[142]</sup> and a Pd-seeding process,<sup>[141a]</sup> respectively. Finally, in related work, interconnected Pt nanowires were synthesized in a two-phase water-chloroform system containing CTAB. Pt precursor reduction and nanocrystal growth were confined within a worm-like micellular network formed within droplets of chloroform, and this confinement was thought to contribute to the unique shape (see Figure 21 f).<sup>[143,144]</sup>

Besides the conventional nanocrystals, unconventional Pt nanocrystals covered by high-index facets have been prepared. In principle, the possible unconventional shapes include: tetrahexahedron covered by {hk0}, trapezohedron by  $\{hkk\}$ , trisoctahedron by  $\{hhl\}$ , and hexoctahedron by  $\{hkl\}$ (h > k > l). For example, the tetrahexahedron (THH) with  $O_h$ symmetry is bound by 24 high-index planes of  $\{hk0\}$ , which can be considered as a cube with each face capped by a square-based pyramid. In general, it has been a grand challenge to synthesize these types of nanocrystals because of their high surface energies. Recently, a breakthrough in the synthesis of such nanocrystals was achieved by putting a square wave potential (a pulse sequence that alternates between reducing and oxidizing potentials at a rate of 10 Hz) on 750 nm polycrystalline Pt spheres (deposited on a substrate of glassy carbon) in the presence of ascorbic acid and sulfuric acid (Figure 22).<sup>[145]</sup> The resulting THH nanocrystals were enclosed by {730}, {210}, and/or {520} facets, as revealed by HRTEM. In this system, the adsorption and desorption of oxygen on Pt induced by the square wave potential was responsible for this unconventional shape, emphasizing the



**Figure 22.** a) Electrochemical preparation of Pt tetrahexahedrons (THHs) from nanospheres: under the influence of the square-wave potential, Pt THHs can nucleate and grow at the expense of spherical particles; b) low-magnification SEM image of the Pt THHs; c, d) high-magnification SEM images of a Pt THH along different orientations, clearly showing the shape of the THH; e) geometrical model of an ideal THH; f) high-magnification SEM image of a Pt THH, showing the imperfect vertices as a result of unequally sized neighboring facets (modified with permission from ref. [145], copyright 2007 American Association for the Advancement of Science).

significance surface capping by gaseous species may play in directing or controlling the shape of a nanocrystal. As high-index facets contain many dangling bonds and atomic steps, surface Pt atoms can easily form Pt–O bonds so to protect such facets. In contrast, {111} and {100} facets are so compact that oxygen has to diffuse into and out of the lattice. As a result, these ordered surface structures would be destroyed.<sup>[146]</sup>

While many Pt nanocrystals of controlled-shapes have been demonstrated, as the previous examples illustrate, there is a general preference for Pt to adopt shapes based on singlecrystal seeds. In fact, it has proven extremely difficult to achieve Pt plate-like structures through the strategy based upon kinetic control. For example, when we employed PVP as a mild reducing agent just as in the Ag and Pd nanoplate syntheses, only 15% of the product consisted of plate structures and they all were quite small (edges < 10 nm).<sup>[59b]</sup> This observation was attributed to the higher internal strain expected for Pt twinned structures. Very recently, however, we have demonstrated that Pt nanoplates with large lateral dimensions (edges > 50 nm) could be obtained by using Pd nanoplates as seeds for heteroepitaxial deposition of Pt (see Figure 12 in Section 4.4).<sup>[94c]</sup> In this approach, the Pd nanoplates were synthesized by reducing a Na2PdCl4 precursor with PVP as a reductant (as discussed in Section 5.1), which then served as seeds for the nucleation of Pt atoms formed by reducing H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub> with citric acid. The product consists of the core being a hexagonal or triangular Pd nanoplate and the shell being made of a thin, uniform Pt shell, demonstrating the layer-by-layer epitaxial growth of Pt on Pd surface. The close lattice match between Pd and Pt and the low reduction rate associated with the mild reducing power of citric acid are instrumental in achieving the conformal epitaxial growth of Pt shells on Pd nanoplates.

As an interesting variant of the plate-like morphology, Yang and co-workers reported the synthesis of planar Pt tripods (Figure 23) from Pt seeds containing a single twin in the (111) plane (the same defect structure that characterizes the Ag and Pd nanoplates).<sup>[147-149]</sup> In this work, Pt(acac)<sub>2</sub> was reduced in the presence of adamantanecarboxylic acid, hexadecylamine, and various 1,2-alkanediols. A detailed electron microscopy study revealed preferential nucleation and growth from activated trough sites present on the twinned seed. In addition to the planar tripod structures, mono-, biand various 3-D multipods of Pt could be formed, with their structures being attributed to the twin structures of the various seed crystals (Figure 23).



**Figure 23.** Pt nanocrystals formed from seeds with different numbers of twin planes: a) zero, b) single, c) five, and d) multiple. Twin planes are delineated with red lines. Scale bars are 20 nm if not labeled in the images (modified with permission from ref. [149], copyright 2007 American Chemical Society).

## 5.5. Cu

Similar to Ag and Au, Cu nanocrystals can take most of the shapes that have been observed for Pd.<sup>[150–158]</sup> Due to its high reactivity, however, a strong reducing agent such as N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> needs to be used in combination with an elevated reaction temperature to reduce a typical precursor (e.g., cupric sulfate, nitrate, or acetate) to Cu atoms. In addition, the synthesis has to be performed under the protection of an inert gas such as Ar or N<sub>2</sub> to avoid oxidation of the Cu nanocrystals by the O<sub>2</sub> in air. These complications are probably the primary reasons why solution-phase syntheses of Cu nanocrystals have not been widely explored despite the technological advances (e.g., as catalysts for water-gas shift<sup>[159]</sup> and gas detoxification reactions)<sup>[160]</sup> that could potentially benefit from Cu nanocrystals having controllable shapes.

In addition to the less interesting, irregular shapes, Cu nanocrystals may adopt nanocube or five-fold twinned nano-

rod structures. For example, Cu nanocubes of  $100 \pm 25$  nm in edge length have been reported from a modified polyol process, in which CuSO<sub>4</sub> was reduced with ascorbic acid in the presence of PVP as a capping agent.<sup>[151a]</sup> In this synthesis, the authors propose that the PVP was responsible for the termination of Cu nanocubes with {100} facets; however, it is worth pointing out that we recently tried this synthesis and only obtained Cu<sub>2</sub>O nanocubes, not Cu nanocubes.<sup>[151b]</sup> Alternatively, hydrazine has been used to reduce CuCl<sub>2</sub> dispersed in aqueous media containing dodecyl benzene sulfonic acid sodium (DBS) as a capping agent.<sup>[151c]</sup> In this case, Cu nanocubes of  $50 \pm 6$  nm in edge length were reported. Strange enough, the authors provide an electron diffraction pattern taken along the <111> direction, rather than the most convenient (100) direction. The yellow color described in this paper is also indicative of Cu<sub>2</sub>O, rather than Cu. In a third report, Cu nanocubes of 75-250 nm in size were prepared using a seed-mediated growth process in which no capping agent was involved.<sup>[151d]</sup> Again, the results described in this report need to be double checked and confirmed. We have a strong feeling that the synthesis of Cu nanocubes has yet to be achieved in spite of the claims in the literature.

Regarding the synthesis of five-fold twinned nanorods, three solution-phase methods have been reported so far. In the first method, Pileni and co-workers used hydrazine to reduce copper(II) bis(2-ethylhexyl)sulfosuccinate, Cu-(AOT)<sub>2</sub>, in a mixture of isooctane and water.<sup>[152a]</sup> By adjusting the percentage of water to achieve an interconnected network of cylinders, the yield of Cu nanorods could reach 38 %, while other particles were MTPs (Figure 24 a). The second system used octyl ether as the solvent while 1,2-hexadecanediol was used to reduce Cu(acac)<sub>2</sub> at temperatures higher than 190 °C. Oleic acid and oleyl amine served as capping agents.<sup>[152b]</sup> The growth of rod structures was attributed to an interplay



**Figure 24.** a) TEM image of five-fold twinned Cu nanorods prepared by reducing copper(II) bis(2-ethylhexyl)sulfosuccinate  $(Cu(AOT)_2)$  with hydrazine in a mixture of isooctane and water (modified with permission from ref. [152a], copyright 1997 American Chemical Society). b) SEM image of Cu nanowires with a circular cross-section prepared by reducing Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> with hydrazine in the presence of sodium hydroxide and ethylenediamine.<sup>[158]</sup> between the two capping agents; however, tight control over the product shape distribution was never achieved with this system. Finally, the third method was based upon polyol reduction in which ethylene glycol served as both the solvent and source of reductant. PVP was used as a capping agent, just as in the synthesis of Ag nanowires.<sup>[152c]</sup> Although no detailed mechanism was proposed for the growth of these nanorods, they should evolve from multiple twinned seeds, just like the cases of other noble metals.<sup>[153]</sup> This hypothesis is supported by mechanistic studies conducted in the vapor phase, where pentagonal Cu nanowires were grown on solid supports using an MOCVD method.<sup>[154]</sup>

In addition to cubic and rod-like nanocrystals, Cu nanoplates have also been reported.<sup>[155]</sup> As with the previously discussed metals, the formation of Cu nanoplates requires extremely slow reduction. In one case, Cu(CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was reduced by hydrazine in acetonitrile at 80 °C under an inert atmosphere.<sup>[155a]</sup> The hexagonal plates were ca. 27 nm in dimension (from edge to edge) and displayed an LSPR peak around 575 nm. In another demonstration, an aqueous solution of  $[Cu(CN)_2]^-$  was exposed to  $\gamma$ -irradiation to produce Cu nanoplates encased by the expected {111} top and bottom facets.<sup>[155b]</sup> It should be pointed out that there are many publications reporting the synthesis of Cu nanocrystals; however, most of these studies do not provide detailed structural characterization of the products so it is difficult to address mechanistic issues.<sup>[156]</sup> Many of them also seem to be limited in value in terms of shape control. However, we did come across a remarkably simple procedure for generating Cu nanowires with an unusual, circular cross-section.<sup>[157]</sup> In a typical synthesis, aqueous Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and NaOH solutions were mixed to form Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> precipitates, followed by the addition of ethylenediamine (EDA, a coordination ligand for  $Cu^{2+}$ ) and hydrazine (a reductant). After heating in a water bath at 60°C for 15 min, Cu nanowires of 90-120 nm in diameter were formed in high yields. We have been able to reproduce this work in our own laboratory (Figure 24b).<sup>[158]</sup> These Cu nanowires could be further employed as a sacrificial template to generate Au, Pd, and Pt nanotubes through a galvanic replacement reaction.

### 5.6. Rh, Ir, and Ru

These three metals are prominent catalysts for a broad range of industrial applications that include petroleum refining processes and the Monsanto acetic acid process.<sup>[161]</sup> They are also commonly used as catalysts for the hydrogenation of unsaturated compounds.<sup>[162]</sup> It is expected that controlling the shape of their nanocrystals will provide a great opportunity to maximize their performances in these applications. However, attempts to synthetically and systematically control their shapes have met with limited success.<sup>[64c, 163–166]</sup> So far, Rh is the most successful among these three metals, with nanocubes, tetrahedrons, nanobars, and multipods having been obtained, in addition to other irregular shapes. Of these shapes, Rh nanocubes and multipods are probably the most well-known, being first prepared by Tilley and co-workers using a polyol process to reduce RhCl<sub>3</sub> in the presence of

PVP.<sup>[164a]</sup> As shown in Figures 25 a and b, Rh nanocubes and multipods could be selectively obtained at 190 °C and 140 °C, respectively. Intermediate structures were obtained in



**Figure 25.** TEM images of Rh nanocrystals with different shapes: a) nanocubes prepared by a polyol process at 190 °C (modified with permission from ref. [164a], copyright 2005 American Chemical Society); b) multipods prepared by a polyol process at 140 °C (modified with permission from ref. [64c], copyright 2006 Wiley-VCH); c) tetrahedrons prepared through the decomposition of [Rh<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]; d) nanorods prepared through the decomposition of [Rh(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>] (modified with permission from ref. [164d], copyright 2007 Wiley-VCH).

between those temperatures (Figures 25 a and b). Similar to the synthesis of other metal multipods, it is believed that these Rh multipods also arise from the overgrowth of cubic or octahedral seeds. By keeping the reaction temperature low, the number of seeds formed in solution could be kept extremely low, while simultaneously allowing the concentration of Rh atoms to increase with time. These conditions appear ideal for overgrowth along the  $\langle 111 \rangle$  direction and therefore multipods are produced. Increasing the temperature limits overgrowth as more seeds are formed in the nucleation stage. As a result, single-crystal Rh nanocubes are produced, as facilitated by PVP capping. In a recent study, it was demonstrated that Rh nanocrystals of different shapes could be obtained at the same temperature (120°C) by varying the rate at which the RhCl<sub>3</sub> precursor was added to a seeded growth process.<sup>[164b,c]</sup> Son and co-workers have considered a thermal decomposition route to Rh nanocrystals in which various Rh-containing organometallic compounds were decomposed in oleylamine.<sup>[164d]</sup> Interestingly, different shapes evolved from different precursors. For example, Rh tetrahedrons (Figure 25 c) were produced from [Rh<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>] at 190°C. Yet, Rh nanorods (Figure 25 d) were obtained when  $[Rh(C_5H_8O_2)_3]$  was used as the precursor. While the mechanistic details from these systems still need to be elucidated, it is believed that both the decomposition rate and capping properties of the released organic byproducts play important roles in determining the final shape of the nanocrystals.

In contrast to Rh, the shape-controlled synthesis of Ir and Ru nanocrystals remains a grand challenge. To date, the most uniform and best-defined shape for Ir is single-crystal quasinanospheres, prepared by reducing [Ir(methylcyclopentadienyl)(1,5-cyclooctadiene)] with hexadecanediol in dioctyl ether in the presence of oleic acid and oleylamine as capping agents.<sup>[165e]</sup> For Ru, the products prepared from both polyol reduction and aqueous systems do not have well-defined shapes.<sup>[166]</sup> It is anticipated that shape control can be achieved for these metals by taking advantage of the mechanistic understanding and protocols established for the Pd, Ag, Au, and Pt systems.

### 5.7. Fe, Co, and Ni

Iron, cobalt, and nickel are best known for their ferromagnetic properties.<sup>[167]</sup> Much effort has been devoted to the synthesis of their nanocrystals so that the magnetic moment of the entire nanocrystal can be made to align when a magnetic field is applied. Their synthesis is also of interest because of their potential superparamagnetism.<sup>[6]</sup> Various precursors either have been decomposed or reduced in aqueous or organic media so to prepare nanocrystals of these metals. As with the previously discussed metals, the shape of the resultant nanocrystals can be controlled by adjusting precursor concentration and reduction/decomposition rates as well as through the selection of specific capping agents. Often, however, the solvents and precursors used for these systems differ drastically from those used to prepare Pd, Ag, Pt, and Au nanocrystals, making direct comparisons difficult. It is also worth noting that the resultant nanocrystals tend to be covered by their native oxides because Fe, Co, and Ni are easily oxidized even in well-controlled inert environments.

For the synthesis of Fe nanocrystals, iron pentacarbonyl,  $[Fe(CO)_5]$ , is commonly used as a precursor,<sup>[168]</sup> with simple Fe nanoparticles being reported as early as 1979 from the thermal decomposition of  $[Fe(CO)_5]$ . Since this initial report, a number of similar approaches have been developed to produce Fe nanocrystals with sizes in the range of 2-20 nm (Figure 26 a).<sup>[168f]</sup> In a special case, 2 nm body-centered cubic (bcc)  $\alpha$ -Fe nanoparticles were transformed into nanorods. This transformation was achieved by refluxing the particles in pyridine with the assistance of didodecyldimethylammonium bromide (DDAB). While the exact mechanism responsible for this transformation remains elusive, the irreversible binding of DDAB to the central region of growing nanoparticles is believed to play a vital role. Besides  $[Fe(CO)_5]$ ,  $[Fe{N(SiMe_3)_2}]$  has proven to be a good precursor for generating Fe nanoparticles.<sup>[169a]</sup> Iron nanocubes of ca. 7 nm in size have been prepared through the decomposition of  $[Fe{N(SiMe_3)_2}]$  with hexadecylamine (HDA) and oleic acid or hexadecylammonium chloride (HDAC) as capping agents in mesitylene at 150 °C (Figure 26b). Most recently, an Fe<sup>II</sup>-oleate complex has been used as a precursor for Fe nanocubes with edge lengths of ca. 20 nm.<sup>[169b]</sup> In addition to the thermal decomposition of organometallic compounds, Fe nanocrystals can be prepared by reduction of Fe-containing salts, although extreme care must be taken to exclude



Figure 26. Electron microscopy images of Fe, Co, and Ni nanocrystals with different shapes: a)  $\alpha$ -Fe nanoparticles prepared by thermal decomposition of [Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub>] (modified with permission from ref. [168 f], copyright 2005 American Chemical Society); b) Fe nanocubes prepared by thermal decomposition of  $[Fe{N(SiMe_3)_2}_2]$  (modified with permission from ref. [169a], copyright 2004 American Association for the Advancement of Science); c)  $\epsilon\text{-Co}$  nanoparticles prepared by reducing CoCl<sub>2</sub> with LiBEt<sub>3</sub>H (modified with permission from ref. [171b], copyright 1999 American Institute of Physics); d) hcp-Co nanodisks prepared by rapid decomposition of [Co2(CO)8] (modified with permission from ref. [171h], copyright 2002 American Chemical Society); e) hcp-Co nanorods prepared by decomposition of [Co( $\eta^3$ - $C_8H_{13}$ )( $\eta^4$ - $C_8H_{12}$ )] (modified with permission from ref. [173b], copyright 2003 Wiley-VCH); f) fcc-Ni nanoplates prepared by decomposition of  $[Ni(cod)_2]$  in the presence of  $[Fe(CO)_5]$  (modified with permission from ref. [176], copyright 2006 Institute of Physics Publishing).

oxygen.<sup>[170]</sup> Using this approach, the synthesis of Fe nanowires was also reported; in this case the anisotropic growth was attributed to the introduction of 1,10-phenanthroline as a coordinating ligand.

Unlike Fe, Co can crystallize in hexagonal close packed (hcp)-, fcc-, or  $\varepsilon$ -phase.<sup>[171]</sup> As a result, initial work on preparing Co nanocrystals emphasized phase control, not shape control. In particular, the  $\varepsilon$ -phase is a cubic phase with the space group of  $p4_132$ , which appears to be metastable under normal conditions but very sensitive to the reaction conditions.<sup>[171c]</sup> It can be completely transformed into the fcc-phase when heated to 500 °C. For example, Sun and Murray developed a solution-based route to  $\varepsilon$ -Co nanoparticles in which superhydride (LiBEt<sub>3</sub>H) solution is injected into an octyl ether solution containing CoCl<sub>2</sub> at 200 °C with oleic acid and trialkylphosphine as the capping agents (Figure 26c).<sup>[171b]</sup> Raising the reaction temperature to 300 °C facilitated the

85

formation of hcp-Co nanoparticles. Besides the reduction of  $CoCl_2$ , monodispersed Co nanoparticles in the  $\epsilon$ -phase were synthesized through the rapid pyrolysis of  $[Co_2(CO)_8]$  in the presence of a mixture of surfactants that consisted of oleic acid, lauric acid, and TOP.<sup>[172]</sup>

From this early work, it became apparent that the reaction temperature was the critical parameter to controlling the phase of Co nanoparticles produced. In the literature, however, all the examples of non-spherical Co nanocrystals involve hcp-Co as the major product. For example, Alivisatos and co-workers prepared hcp-Co nanodisks through the rapid decomposition of  $[Co_2(CO)_8]$  in the presence of linear alkylamines (Figure 26 d).<sup>[171h]</sup> In this case, the observed plate-like morphology cannot be attributed to kinetically controlled synthetic conditions. Rather, the amino group of the alkylamine is thought to inhibit the growth of {001} faces on Co nanocrystals, thus facilitating the disc-like shape. Decomposition of  $[Co(\eta^3-C_8H_{13})(\eta^4-C_8H_{12})]$  under H<sub>2</sub> in anisole has been used to generate hcp-Co nanorods (Figure 26e).<sup>[173]</sup> Interestingly, this reaction was performed in the presence of oleic acid and a number of different long-chain alkyl amines and simply changing the length of the alkyl chain was found to affect the dimensions of the final nanorods. Finally, Wang and co-workers generated polyhedral Co nanocrystals by modifying the method pioneered by Sun and Murray.<sup>[171d]</sup> From their synthesis, a mixture of shapescubic-like, hexagonal-like, and cubic-were observed. Detailed high resolution TEM analysis revealed that these polyhedron had {221} and {310} facets.

Ni nanocrystals with an fcc-phase also have received much attention for their magnetic properties.[174-177] A typical procedure for synthesizing Ni nanocrystals is to use [Ni(cod)<sub>2</sub>] (cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) as a precursor, which decomposes at temperatures as low as 60°C. A number of different capping agents (e.g., HAD or TOPO) and solvent systems have been considered.<sup>[174]</sup> Usually such products need to be protected from oxidation by purging the reaction solution with H<sub>2</sub>. From this work, a high-yield synthesis of Ni nanorods has been reported, with growth along the  $\langle 111 \rangle$  direction. Nanoparticles can also be prepared by the reduction of Nicontaining salts in the presence of capping agents, although so far there are few examples of non-spherical shapes.<sup>[175]</sup> As an exception, hexagonal and triangular Ni nanoplates (Figure 26 f) were recently prepared.<sup>[176]</sup> In this synthesis, [Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub>] was added and found to slow down the reduction of Ni-formate. Since Ni is an fcc metal, it is believed that this slow reduction process is responsible for the plate-like morphology that typifies kinetically controlled syntheses.

## 5.8. Metals with Low Melting Points

Metals with melting points below 400 °C (e.g., Bi, Cd, In, Pb, Sb, and Sn) tend to form nanocrystals with a spherical shape if the syntheses are performed at temperatures close to their melting points.<sup>[178,179]</sup> When the reaction temperatures are kept significantly below their melting points, the nanocrystals can also take a variety of non-spherical shapes. It is worth pointing out that the strategies or mechanisms dis-

cussed in Sections 2–4 for shape control may not be applicable to these metals because, with the exception of Pb, none of them crystallize in the fcc lattice: Bi (rhombohedral), Cd (hexagonal), In (tetragonal), Sb (rhombohedral), and Sn (tetragonal).

Among these metals, Bi and Pb are the most widely studied due to their thermoelectric and superconducting properties, respectively. Similar to the noble metals, a typical synthesis begins with the decomposition or reduction of a precursor compound, forming nuclei which then grow into nanocrystals. For those nanocrystals prepared by a thermal decomposition method, often the reaction temperature has to be kept above the melting point of the metal. Consequently, the "nanocrystals" remain in a liquid state throughout the entire synthesis and assume a spherical shape so to minimize their surface area and thus the total interfacial free energy. When the reaction is quenched (typically by pouring the hot mixture into a cold bath), the liquid "nanocrystals" solidify into nanospheres with smooth surfaces. Figure 27 a shows a typical sample of Bi nanospheres synthesized using this approach.[178d]



**Figure 27.** Electron microscopy images of Bi nanocrystals with different shapes: a) nanospheres prepared using a microemulsion-based approach (modified with permission from ref. [178a], copyright 2006 Wiley-VCH); b) nanocubes, c) triangular nanoplates, and d) nanobelts prepared through hydrothermal routes (modified with permission from ref. [183e], copyright 2006 American Chemical Society).

In addition to the decomposition of a compound or reduction of a salt precursor, nanocrystals of low-melting metals can be prepared by directly breaking large droplets of the molten metals under a shear force.<sup>[178a]</sup> In general, when a liquid filament is dispersed in another liquid, it may experience Rayleigh instability and become undulated with a finite wavelength.<sup>[180]</sup> The fastest-growing wavelength of the undulation is dependent on the diameter of the filament, the viscosities of the two liquids, and their interfacial energies.<sup>[181]</sup> As the instability proceeds, the amplitude of the wave will increase, and the filament will break into small droplets. By this mechanism, large drops of a molten metal can be

elongated and broken into small, uniform droplets. These small liquid droplets can then be transformed into nanocrystals with a spherical shape when the sample is quenched.

Non-spherical nanocrystals of Bi have been prepared by a number of methods including hydrothermal reactions,  $\gamma$ -irradiation, and microwave treatments.<sup>[182–185]</sup> Among these methods, the hydrothermal route seems to be the most productive as it has generated Bi nanocubes, triangular nanoplates, and nanowires (Figures 27 b–d). From this work, it was found that adjusting the concentration of capping agent during a synthesis can change the shape of the Bi nanocrystals; however, the hydrothermal process itself is inherently complex and a systematic study of the mechanisms behind the various shapes is difficult due to the use of an autoclave.

At temperatures below the melting point, Pb nanowires with rectangular cross-sections have been synthesized by decomposing lead acetate in ethylene glycol in the presence of PVP (Figure 28a).<sup>[186]</sup> Although Pb has an fcc crystal structure, the growth of Pb nanowires occurs through a mechanism different from other fcc metals, probably owing to its low melting point. The growth mechanism for Pb nanowires is more or less similar to the solution-liquid-solid (SLS) model which was originally developed for generating singlecrystal semiconductor nanowires in the solution phase (Figure 28b).<sup>[187]</sup> As shown in Figure 28c, each Pb nanowire is composed of three segments: root, stem, and tip. In the initial stage of reaction, Pb atoms produced from the decomposition of lead acetate condense into nanoscale droplets, after which some grow and evolve into micrometer-sized crystals. The micrometer-sized crystal can function as the root to initiate the nucleation and growth of a nanowire. Because of its low melting point, atomic addition to



**Figure 28.** a) SEM image of Pb nanowires prepared by a polyol process in the presence of PVP; the inset shows the cross-section of a broken nanowire; b) growth of a Pb nanowire with three components: root, stem, and tip. The Pb nanodroplets in the solution phase serve as the source of atoms for growth; c) TEM image of an individual Pb nanowire and the SAED patterns (insets) taken from its root and stem, respectively; d) SEM image of Pb nanoplates prepared by increasing the concentration of PVP (modified with permission from ref. [186b], copyright 2004 American Chemical Society).

the reactive root (usually at the sharp corners or thin ends) may form a small droplet at the tip. Once the size of the droplet at the tip has reached a critical value, Pb atoms start to crystallize at the interface between the tip and the root to form a stem. As more Pb atoms are driven to the tip, the stem continues to grow into a uniform nanowire until all of the Pb source droplets are depleted. This growth occurs at the expense of nanoscale Pb droplets remaining in the solution phase and can be thought of as an Ostwald ripening process. Both TEM and electron diffraction studies reveal that the root has a plate-like morphology (Figure 28 c), and by increasing the concentration of PVP, Pb nanoplates are obtained instead of nanowires (see Figure 28 d).<sup>[186]</sup>

In the case of In, [InCp] ( $Cp = C_3H_5^{-}$ ) has been explored as a precursor to synthesize In nanospheres and nanowires in THF through UV exposure in the presence of a long-chain amine.<sup>[188]</sup> There is no explicit discussion of the mechanism, but it was suggested that both the planar structure of [InCp] and a templating effect from the long-chain amine were instrumental to nanowire formation. The introduction of  $[Sn(NMe_2)_2]_2$  to the reaction facilitated the growth of  $\beta$ -In<sub>3</sub>Sn nanowires. Most recently, Schaak and co-workers reported the room temperature synthesis of In nanoparticles with welldefined shapes.<sup>[189]</sup> By controlling the addition rate of NaBH<sub>4</sub>/ tetraethylene glycol to a solution containing isopropyl alcohol, InCl<sub>3</sub>, and PVP, the shape of In nanoparticles could be tuned from high aspect ratio nanowires to octahedrons and truncated octahedrons.

In the case of Sb, nanowires and fractal nanostructures have been obtained by reducing  $Sb^{3+}$  with Zn powder or NaBH<sub>4</sub> at room temperature.<sup>[190]</sup> Sb nanowires have also been prepared by aging a mixture of Sb nanoparticles and a precursor compound.<sup>[191]</sup> As a unique feature of this system, fractural growth was frequently observed due to the involvement of non-equilibrium growth.<sup>[191,192]</sup>

## 5.9. Alloys

Transition metals can form various alloys and intermetallic compounds. Often these multi-metal materials have very unique properties that make them attractive for magnetic, catalytic, optical, and electronic applications. With so many examples of these materials being prepared as nanocrystals, it is difficult to include all cases in this Review, thus we will take FePt alloys as a representative example. FePt alloys have received a great deal of attention in recent years, partly due to their high magnetocrystalline anisotropy.<sup>[97,193-195]</sup> FePt allovs are known to have either a chemically disordered fccstructure or a chemically ordered face-centered tetragonal (fct) structure, as shown in Figure 29a.<sup>[194]</sup> The fully ordered fct-FePt can be considered as alternating atomic layers of Fe and Pt stacked along the c axis and it has a higher magnetocrystalline anisotropy than fcc-FePt. Also, it has a high coercivity, a thin domain wall of 2.8-3.3 nm, and a small minimal grain size of 2.9-3.5 nm.<sup>[97]</sup> FePt alloys also are much less sensitive to oxidation than either pure Fe or other Pt-free magnetic metals. This unique combination of properties makes fct-FePt nanoparticles potentially useful for applica-



**Figure 29.** a) Unit cell of chemically disordered fcc- and chemically ordered fct-FePt (modified with permission from ref. [6b], copyright 2006 Wiley-VCH); b) TEM image of truncated fcc-FePt nanocubes prepared by simultaneous reduction of  $[Pt(acac)_2]$  and thermal decomposition of  $[Fe(CO)_5]$  (modified with permission from ref. [97], copyright 2000 American Association for the Advancement of Science); c) TEM image of Pt@Fe\_2O\_3 core-shell nanostructures prepared by a two-step process (modified with permission from ref. [193 f], copyright 2003 American Chemical Society).

tions in ultrahigh density magnetic storage as well as a precursor to advanced magnetic materials.

The first synthesis of monodispersed FePt nanoparticles was demonstrated by Sun and co-workers by the simultaneous reduction of  $Pt(acac)_2$  and thermal decomposition of  $[Fe(CO)_5]$  in a mixture of oleic acid and oleylamine.<sup>[97]</sup> The composition of the FePt alloyed nanoparticles could be controlled by adjusting the molar ratio of the two precursors. As prepared, these FePt nanocrystals had the chemically disordered fcc crystal structure and took a truncated cubic shape (Figure 29b); however, they could be transformed to the chemically ordered fct-structure by annealing at 500 °C. The annealed nanocrystals appeared rounded. Since this work, both  $Fe(acac)_2$  and  $FeCl_3$  have been shown to be suitable Fe sources for the synthesis of FePt alloy nanoparticles.<sup>[193e,j]</sup> In these cases, the FePt alloys were formed through the co-reduction of the Fe and Pt precursor salts.

The formation of fct-FePt nanoparticles does not always require the two-step process: synthesis of fcc-FePt and then annealing. A direct synthesis of fct-FePt nanocrystals was realized with a solution-phase method, in which Fe(acac)<sub>2</sub> and Pt(acac)<sub>2</sub> were used as precursors in the presence of tetraethylene glycol.<sup>[195a]</sup> X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis showed that the products are partially in the fct-phase. Most recently, a synthesis of partially ordered fct-FePt nanoparticles using a stoichiometric mixture of Na<sub>2</sub>[Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub>] and Pt(acac)<sub>2</sub> in tetracosane at 389 °C was reported.<sup>[195b]</sup> In the product, site ordering of the constituent metals reached as high as 73 %. As the fcc-to-fct phase transition occurs around 400 °C, the improved crystalline order of these samples was attributed to the higher reaction temperature.  $^{[193b,c]}$ 

FePt nanoparticles have also been produced by sequentially reducing  $Pt(acac)_2$  then thermally decomposing  $[Fe(CO)_5]$ .<sup>[193f]</sup> In this case, Pt nanocrystals first were formed in solution, on which a layer of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was coated to form Pt@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> core–shell nanocrystals (Figure 29c). These core–shell particles could be transformed into fct-FePt alloy nanocrystals by annealing the sample under an Ar(95%)/H<sub>2</sub>(5%) environment.

## 6. Stability of the Shape

Extensive studies have shown that the shape of a metal nanocrystal can undergo dynamic (and sometimes reversible) changes in response to variations in temperature and the surrounding environment. Such behavior has been extensively studied by the catalysis community and can be attributed to the interfacial free energy density being strongly dependent on both temperature and the adsorption of molecules on the surface of a nanocrystal.<sup>[196]</sup> As a result, any variation in these two parameters may induce the formation of new facets and/or changes to the relative areas of existing facets. As discussed in Section 4.1, surface capping can have a profound effect on the final shape assumed by a growing nanocrystal; however, until recently few studies looked at the stability of the novel nanocrystal shapes after formation. As capping agents are likely to remain on the surface of a nanocrystal and stabilize its shape, their removal (or reduction in coverage) could lead to changes in the shape of a nanocrystal. Such changes could alter the properties of a given nanocrystal, while also potentially providing an easy way to access new nanocrystal shapes if controlled appropriately.

In fact, recent work indicates that metal nanocrystals can undergo such shape evolution in solution. For example, when Ag nanocubes with sharp corners are heated in ethylene glycol containing a small amount of PVP, the characteristically sharp corners become truncated, generating nanocrystals with a rounded profile (Figure 30a).<sup>[197]</sup> In this case, the PVP adsorbed on the surfaces of a Ag nanocube is gradually released into the solution until equilibrium is established. As a result, the {100} facets with higher energies become exposed to the solvent and the more stable {111} planes develop at the expense of the {100} facets so to minimize the total interfacial free energy. Through a similar mechanism, Ag nanobars with sharp corners and edges can be transformed into nanorice with a rounded profile.<sup>[64d]</sup> In a third demonstration, Ag nanoplates with triangular or hexagonal cross-sections evolved into circular disks (Figure 30b) when aged in deionized water at room temperature for one month.<sup>[58b]</sup> As indicated by HRTEM study, the nanoplates kept their {111} planes as their top and bottom faces while their cross-sections became rounded to get rid of the sharp corners.

In addition to Ag, similar shape changes have been observed in the Pd system. For example, Pd nanocubes capped with  $Br^-$  were transformed into cuboctahedrons with a rounded profile when aged in the reaction solution for two



**Figure 30.** Electron microscopy images of metal nanocrystals after aging: a) Ag nanocubes aged at 160 °C for 5 min in an ethylene glycol solution containing 1 mm HCl in the presence of 0.1 mm PVP (modified with permission from ref. [197], copyright 2007 American Chemical Society); b) Ag nanoplates aged in water for 1 month at room temperature (modified with permission from ref. [58b], copyright 2007 Royal Society of Chemistry); c) Pd nanocubes and d) Pd singlecrystal nanorods aged in the reaction solution for 2 weeks at room temperature (modified with permission from ref. [64d], copyright 2007 American Chemical Society). The insets in panel (a–d) show TEM images of the corresponding nanocrystals before the aging process, at the same magnification as in (a–d).

weeks at room temperature (Figure 30c).<sup>[64d]</sup> In this case, the Br<sup>-</sup> was desorbed from the nanocube to expose the otherwise protected surface, thus altering the interfacial free energy density. As a result, the sharp corners become truncated to form {111} facets with a lower energy. The same occurrence has been observed with anisotropic Pd nanocrystals. For example, Pd nanorods, whose anisotropic growth is thought to be induced by localized oxidative etching of a specific facet on a cuboctahedral seed, experience a gradual increase in diameter and decrease in length, causing the shape to move toward that of cuboctahedron (Figure 30d).<sup>[64d]</sup> These results demonstrate that anisotropic nanocrystals slowly transform into their thermodynamically favorable shape even if they can be rapidly formed under specific reaction conditions. Such effects have also been observed in Au nanorod systems, with recent work by Stucky and co-workers showing that singlecrystal Au nanorods can be shortened with the addition of HCl to such aging processes.<sup>[198]</sup>

The shape transformation of a metal nanocrystal can be considered as a special example of Ostwald ripening, which is guided by both thermodynamics and kinetics. In terms of driving force, shape transformation occurs because of a need to minimize the total interfacial free energy at a fixed volume. The kinetics is most likely determined by the surface diffusion of atoms, which can be expedited by heating, stirring, and oxidative etching. The observations from these types of studies then can be immediately applied to the synthesis of metal nanocrystals with controlled shapes. For instance, starting with pre-synthesized Wulff polyhedrons of Ag or Pd, the capping effect of various compounds, polymers, and ions can be quickly screened for inducing the formation of a specific set of facets. One only needs to mix the polyhedrons with a specific compound, polymer, or ionic species in ethylene glycol and then reflux the suspension until a new equilibrium shape is reached! Such a study is also technologically important because it will allow for the identification of conditions under which the nanocrystal shape (and thus the catalytic activity and selectivity, for example) can be preserved.

## 7. Properties and Applications Enabled by Shape-Controlled Synthesis

The synthesis of metal nanocrystals with well-controlled shapes and sizes has been motivated by both the potential enhancements new materials may bring to industrial applications and the prospect for new technology development. It is well-known that changing the shape of a metal nanocrystal can profoundly alter its properties and thus its performance in a given application. In the following sections, we look at the optical, catalytic, electronic, and magnetic properties of metal nanocrystals, with an emphasis on how these properties can be predictably tuned by shape-controlled syntheses to enhance performance or bring about new opportunities in applications as diverse as chemical sensing and data storage. It is our hope that this discussion will motivate further explorations into the shape-dependant properties of metal nanocrystals as well as lead to the identification of new metal nanocrystals worth targeting synthetically.

## 7.1. Optical Properties and Applications

Under the irradiation of light, the free electrons in a metal are driven by the alternating electric field to collectively oscillate in phase with the incident light. Known as surface plasmon resonance (SPR),<sup>[199]</sup> this collective oscillation enables effective scattering and absorption of light under a resonant condition and gives metal (especially, Ag and Au) colloids their brilliant colors. Associated with electron oscillation, polarization of surface charges also occurs on the metal surface when excited by light. Unlike bulk metals, the induced charges of a nanoparticle cannot propagate as a wave along the flat surface but are confined to and concentrated on the particle surface, in which case it is called localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR).<sup>[199]</sup> LSPR creates intense local electric fields within a few nanometers of the particle surface. As a direct application of LSPR, this near-field effect enhances the Raman scattering cross-sections of molecules adsorbed on a nanoparticle surface, providing a "fingerprint" spectrum rich with chemical information. Since its first demonstration by Fleischman and Van Dyune in the 70's, this technique has been widely know as SERS.<sup>[200]</sup>

It has been known for nearly 100 years that the shape of a nanocrystal affects its interaction with light. To demonstrate how shape can affect the absorption and scattering of light, a series of simulated spectra were obtained using Mie's theory for a Ag nanosphere or by the discrete dipole approximation (DDA) method for other Ag nanocrystals.<sup>[16d]</sup> The simplest shape is also the most symmetric: the sphere. Figure 31 a



**Figure 31.** Calculated UV-visible extinction (black), absorption (red), and scattering spectra (blue) of Ag nanocrystals, illustrating the effect of shape on spectral characteristics: a) sphere, b) cube, c) tetrahedron, d) octahedron, e) triangular plate, and f) circular plate (modified with permission from ref. [16e], copyright 2006 American Chemical Society).

shows the extinction, absorption, and scattering spectra for a 40 nm Ag sphere dispersed in water. Two resonance peaks were found in the spectra: a strong dipole resonance at 410 nm and a weak quadrupole resonance as a shoulder at 370 nm. At this size, the resonance is mostly due to light absorption. As a result, the nanosphere primarily absorbs blue light, giving Ag colloids a yellow appearance. In the spectra, the dipole resonance arises from the polarization of electron density across the sphere, giving the sphere itself a dipole moment that reverses sign at the same frequency as the incident light.<sup>[16]</sup> The weak quadrupole resonance, which is characterized by two parallel dipoles of opposite sign, arises from the non-uniformity of the incident light across the sphere as a result of energy losses.

In principle, the charge separation that results from the polarization of electron density relative to the lattice of positive ions provides the main restoring force for electron oscillation and largely determines the frequency and intensity of the resonance peak for a given metal. Thus, variations in nanocrystal size, shape, and dielectric environment can affect the surface polarization and alter the spectral profile of the resonance. For simple geometrical shapes, both corner sharpness and shape symmetry can alter the surface polarization and thus the LSPR peak. Considering corner sharpness, recent calculations of the near-fields around nanoparticles show that surface charges are accumulated at sharp corners.<sup>[201]</sup> As a result of this enhanced charge separation, the restoring force for electron oscillation will be reduced, which can manifest as a red-shift in the spectra when all other things are comparable.<sup>[202]</sup> For example, Figure 31b shows the spectra calculated for a 40 nm cube. Compared to the 40 nm sphere, the most intense peak is red-shifted by ca. 100 nm. Red-shifts also are observed for the tetrahedron (Figure 31c) and octahedron (Figure 31 d), with the tetrahedron having the most red-shifted resonance peak because it has the sharpest corners. Yet, varying the degree of corner sharpness cannot explain all of the spectral features illustrated in these examples.

As it turns out, shape symmetry can alter the plasmon resonance too, with the number of peaks observed correlating with the number of ways in which the electron density can be polarized (i.e., lower symmetry means more peaks).<sup>[203]</sup> Additionally, shape symmetry affects the intensity of the resonance peak. When the surface charges on a nanoparticle are separated by mirror symmetry (without the mirror plane bisecting through a vertex), the effective dipole moment will be very large, and the greater the effective dipole moment is the greater the intensity of the dipole resonance. For example, when considering a Ag nanocube in which charge accumulation occurs at the corners of the cube, a strong dipole will result as indicated by its  $O_h$  symmetry in which the corners are always directly opposite one another. In contrast, even though charge accumulation occurs at the corners of a tetrahedron, a strong dipole will not result as indicated by its  $T_d$  symmetry in which the corners are opposite a face.

The LSPR spectra of nanocrystals with 2-D anisotropy (e.g., triangular nanoplates) are also interesting to consider because large charge separation can occur when polarization occurs along their edges. Considering Ag nanoplates, their LSPR peaks tend to be further into the red than other Ag nanocrystals because of their sharp corners (Figure 31 e). Interestingly, if the corners of the nanoplates are snipped, the main dipole peak will blue-shift.<sup>[204]</sup> In fact, as Figure 31 f shows, getting rid of the sharp corners and forming a circular disc results in a blue-shift of ca. 100 nm. Yet, the circular symmetry of the disc provides this structure with an effective dipole moment greater than that of a triangular plate. As a result, the intensity of its LSPR peak is much greater.

It is worth pointing out that many of these simulated spectra have been validated by synthesizing the corresponding shapes and measuring their absorbance spectra. For example, the plasmon resonance of 1-D nanostructures has been experimentally investigated by a number of research groups.<sup>[128,205]</sup> In contrast to spheres, 1-D nanostructures display two dipole resonances: one transverse resonance (polarized along the short axis) and one longitudinal resonance. When the diameter is held constant, increasing the length will red-shift the position of the longitudinal resonance while the transverse resonance will remain unaltered. Figure 32 shows LSPR spectra recorded from Ag nanobars with different aspect ratios,<sup>[63b]</sup> which are consistent with theoretical prediction. Interestingly, when the Ag nanobars



**Figure 32.** a) SEM images of individual Ag nanobars and the corresponding normalized LSPR spectra. The longitudinal plasmon peak red-shifts with increasing aspect ratio for the nanobars. b) LSPR (scattering) spectra calculated using the DDA method for Ag nanobars 100, 150, and 200 nm in length, keeping width = 55 nm and height = 50 nm. c) SEM images of individual nanorice with the corresponding normalized LSPR spectra. d) Plot of longitudinal plasmon peak location versus aspect ratio. The peaks of both nanobars and nanorice red-shift with increasing length, but on average the peaks of nanobars are 80 nm red-shifted from nanorice (modified with permission from ref. [63b], copyright 2007 American Chemical Society).

are transformed into nanorice through corner and edge truncation (see Section 6), both the transverse and longitudinal resonances blue-shift relative to those of the nanobars. This observation reiterates the role corner sharpness plays in determining the peak position. From these examples, the design rules for identifying metal nanocrystals with specific LSPR properties can be summarized as: 1) the number of resonant peaks is determined by the number of ways in which the electron density of a nanoparticle can be polarized, 2) the position of LSPR peaks can be tuned by altering corner sharpness and/or shape anisotropy, and 3) the intensity of LSPR peaks is affected by shape symmetry.

The ability to tailor the plasmonic features of Ag and Au nanocrystals is enabling the research community to enhance the sensitivity of SERS, a useful technique for molecular sensing. Although each organic molecule has a distinct Raman spectrum, signal enhancement is needed for detection of low-concentration analytes. The intense local electric fields generated by LSPR can enhance the Raman signal of molecules adsorbed onto the metal nanocrystal by many orders in magnitude. As the intensity and position of LSPR peaks can be fine-tuned by shape control, significant Raman signal enhancement can be achieved by simply selecting nanocrystals with an appropriate shape. To illustrate this point, Ag nanocubes with sharp and rounded corners were used as SERS substrates with 1,4-benzenedithiol (1,4-BDT) as the probe molecule and a 785 nm laser for SERS measurement.<sup>[206]</sup> Compared to nanocubes with sharp corners, the LSPR peak for the nanocubes with rounded corners was slightly blue-shifted. The Raman signals from 1,4-BDT was enhanced by ca. 49000 times when Ag nanocubes with sharp corners were used as the substrate and by ca. 27000 times when Ag nanocubes with rounded corners were used. While a greater SERS enhancement from those particles with LSPR closer to the excitation wavelength would be expected, such a significant difference in the enhancement factors is more likely explained by the difference in shape. Mainly, the sharp corners on the nanocubes likely provide greater localized electric field enhancement than the rounded ones.

When a nanoparticle is deposited from a solution onto a solid support, it will lose its rotational freedom in threedimensional space. If the nanoparticle is not a perfect sphere, the direction of laser polarization will become a critical factor in determining the efficiency of charge separation and thus the enhancement factor. We recently discovered this angular dependence while measuring the SERS spectra of supported Ag nanocubes.<sup>[207]</sup> Figure 33 (left panel, a-c) shows an SEM image of a Ag nanocube with sharp corners and the corresponding SERS spectra from 1,4-BDT adsorbed on the cube at different azimuthal angles relative to the polarization of the excitation laser. When the cube was orientated with a diagonal axis (corner to corner) parallel to the laser polarization (a and c), the Raman peak from 1,4-BDT at 1565 cm<sup>-1</sup> was much stronger than when the cube was oriented with one of the faces parallel to the polarization of the laser (b). We also conducted the same experiment on a highly truncated cube (Figure 33, right panel, d-f). It is clear that the SERS spectra for the truncated cube (d-f) was essentially unaffected by the direction of laser polarization while the sharp cubes (a-



**Figure 33.** The normalized SERS spectra of 1,4-BDT adsorbed on a Ag nanocube with sharp corners (left panel, a-c) and a highly truncated Ag nanocube (right panel, d-f), at various angles relative to the polarization of the excitation laser. Each SEM image shows the nanocube used and the arrows indicate the polarization directions of incident laser. The scale bar applies to both images. The broad peak at 900–1000 cm<sup>-1</sup> from the underlying silicon substrate was used as the reference for normalization (modified with permission from ref. [207], copyright 2007 American Chemical Society).

c) showed drastic variations as their orientations were changed. Because the nanocubes adopt random orientations when deposited on a substrate and the polarization of the laser is fixed, significant variations in the SERS intensity can be observed. These results clearly demonstrate that extra cautions must be taken in order to achieve reproducible and meaningful SERS measurements when nanoparticles are immobilized on substrates. In general, optical studies have to be correlated with high-resolution imaging in order to draw any conclusion about the enhancement factor.

The optimization of LSPR features through shape control is leading to good SERS substrate design for coinage metals (Ag, Au, and Cu) and may also result in a diversification of SERS substrate materials. For instance, the ubiquitous application of Pd in heterogeneous catalysis makes the extension of SERS to Pd attractive; however, the SERS enhancement factors of Pd substrates have been low due to the absorption/scattering of light by Pd only in the UV region. Recently, we successfully prepared Pd nanocrystals with their LSPR bands in the visible region by controlling their shapes; this achievement now enables their use as SERS substrates.<sup>[208]</sup> As these examples illustrate, it is critical to control the morphology of metal nanocrystals if Raman enhancement is to be maximized for sensing applications.

### 7.2. Catalytic Properties and Applications

Catalysis has long relied on noble metals to facilitate a wide variety of chemical transformations.<sup>[7]</sup> On the most basic level, nanocrystals of noble metals are attractive for use as catalysts because of their high surface-to-volume ratios which can minimize the costs associated with their usage. Such nanocrystals have been employed to catalyze oxidation, cross-coupling, electron-transfer, and hydrogenation reactions, among others, with industrial-scale catalytic applications for specific metals stated in Section 5. Yet, as the demands for noble metals are increasing with the advent of emerging technologies like fuel cells, the need for catalysts that are both more active and selective is increasing.<sup>[209]</sup> Nanocatalysts with well-controlled shapes could help meet this growing demand.

Both the reactivity and selectivity of a catalyst can be tailored by controlling the shape of a nanocrystal. As a first approximation, shape determines which crystal facets comprise the surface of a nanocrystal. For example, consider a tetrahedron and a cube made of an fcc metal: all the exposed facets of a tetrahedron are {111} and all the exposed facets of a cube are {100}. Assuming no surface reconstructions, the {111} faces are represented by a hexagonal array of metal atoms while the {100} faces are represented by a square array of metal atoms. Such a difference can give rise to different catalytic activity and selectivity. For example, from studies with single crystals, the Pt(111) surface was found to be three to seven times more active than the Pt(100) surface for aromatization reactions.<sup>[210]</sup> Also, shape determines the number of atoms located at the edges or corners, which can have a profound effect on catalytic performance.<sup>[211]</sup>

Recently, El-Sayed and co-workers studied the effect shape had on the catalytic performance of Pt nanocrystals.<sup>[18a]</sup>

In one study, they considered samples of Pt tetrahedrons, cubes, and nearly spherical cuboctahedrons for the electrontransfer reaction between  $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-}$  and  $S_2O_3^{2-}$  ions to form  $[Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}$  and  $S_4O_6^{2-}$ . From electron microscopy analysis, they estimated that for tetrahedrons, cuboctahedrons, and cubes of 5 nm in size 35%, 13%, and 6% of the surface atoms, respectively, were located at either corners or edges. When used as catalysts, they found that the average rate constant increased exponentially as the percentage of surface atoms at corners and edges increased. In a second study, they looked at the Suzuki cross-coupling reaction between phenylboronic acid and iodobenzene to form biphenyl.<sup>[18a]</sup> While similar shape effects were expected, they found little difference in performance. Analysis of the catalysts after use revealed that the well-defined nanocrystal shapes were lost during catalysis, reiterating the need to understand effects on shape-stability as discussed in Section 6.

Using a similar approach, Somorjai and co-workers recently looked at the shape effects of cubic and cuboctahedral Pt nanocrystals by considering the hydrogenation of benzene.<sup>[144a]</sup> Regardless of shape, a threefold increase in turnover rate was measured as compared to bulk single crystals; however, differences in selectivity were observed. Specifically, when cuboctahedrons bound by both {111} and {100} facets were used as catalysts, both cyclohexane and cyclohexene were produced. Yet, when cubic nanocrystals, bound by only {100} facets, were used as catalysts, only cyclohexane was produced. These results are consistent with the product selectivity obtained from bulk Pt(111) and Pt(100) single crystals and illustrate how nanocrystals with well-defined shapes can be used to improve the selectivity of a catalyst. Additionally, Somorjai and co-workers found that for cubic nanocrystals the apparent activation energy for cyclohexane production was  $10.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  while for cuboctahedral nanoparticles the apparent activation energies for cyclohexane and cyclohexene production were  $8.3 \pm 0.2$ and  $12.2 \pm 0.4$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These activation energies are significantly lower than those obtained with Pt single crystals, and these differences were attributed to either the increase of corner and edge sites available for reaction or a change in the electronic structure of the Pt nanocrystals as compared to larger single crystals.

In related work, Sun and co-workers recently considered Pt nanocubes, truncated cubes, and polyhedrons as catalysts for the oxygen reduction reaction in a  $H_2SO_4$ -containing medium.<sup>[212]</sup> They found that the measured current density was four times greater when Pt nanocubes were used as compared to the systems where either polyhedral or truncated cubic Pt nanocrystals were used. This difference in activity was attributed to the different adsorption rates of sulfates on Pt(100) and Pt(111) facets<sup>[213]</sup> and illustrates the enhancement nanocrystals of different shapes may bring to fuel cell applications.

Given the importance of edge and corner sites to catalysis, there has been a growing interest in preparing metal nanocrystals with high-index planes that have a greater percentage of unsaturated atomic steps, edges, and kinks which can serve as intrinsically more active sites for catalysis. Fundamental studies on the single-crystal surfaces of bulk Pt have shown that high-index planes exhibit much higher catalytic activity than that of common and stable, low-index planes such as {111} and {100}.<sup>[209]</sup> As discussed in Section 5.4, Pt THHs with high-index {730}, {210}, and/or {520} surfaces have recently been prepared, providing a unique opportunity to study their catalytic performance.<sup>[145]</sup> In an initial study, it was found that the Pt THHs were up to 360 % more efficient per unit surface area for the electro-oxidation of ethanol and up to 200 % more efficient for formic acid electro-oxidation as compared to a commercial 3.2 nm Pt/C catalyst.

From the results discussed in this section, it becomes clear that maximization of surface, edge, and corner sites should be the criteria for designing superior nanocatalysts. In the selection of nanocatalysts, the stability of nanoparticles and the capping agents on their surfaces are also worth noting. In many cases, the surface atoms of nanocatalysts are so active that their size and shape change during the catalytic reaction.<sup>[18b]</sup> Such changes can drastically alter their performance and lifetime. Additionally, capping agents might deactivate catalytic sites, with recent work demonstrating that Pt nanoparticles prepared with TTAB exhibit much higher activity than PVP-capped Pt nanocrystals.<sup>[143d]</sup> These issues regarding catalyst stability and poisoning will need to be addressed for shape-controlled nanocrystals to be fully implemented as industrial catalysts.

#### 7.3. Electronic Properties and Applications

As integrated circuits become smaller, the incentive to incorporate metal nanocrystals as interconnects and transport materials increases. In Section 5, a number of metal nanocrystals were discussed that could be attractive for use in electronic applications. For example, Ag has the highest electrical and thermal conductivity of any metal, making 1-D Ag nanocrystals such as Ag nanowires or nanobeams (see Section 5.2) promising nanoscale conduits for electricity or heat. In a recent study, we looked at the electrical properties of Ag nanobeams.<sup>[69]</sup> In particular, the resistivity and currentcarrying ability were measured for a collection of nanobeams with different lengths and widths. It was found that for a nanobeam with a diameter of 20 nm the resistivity was only twice that of bulk silver (with the resistivity decreasing with increasing diameter, as expected). This result illustrates that Ag nanobeams, despite their diminished sizes, largely preserve the unparalleled electrical conductivity of bulk Ag. Additionally, it was found that the maximum current that the nanobeams could support increased with increasing beam cross-section, with a maximum current density of ca.  $1.8 \times$  $10^8 \,\mathrm{A\,cm^{-2}}$  being obtained. This value is comparable to the highest current densities reported for multi-walled carbon nanotubes (ca. 10<sup>9</sup> A cm<sup>-2</sup>).<sup>[214]</sup> While these results exemplify the potential for nanoscale building blocks of Ag in electronic applications, they do not reveal any shape-dependant properties. As resistivity depends on the nature of electron scatter from the metal surface, it is possible that shape could influence the conduction properties of a metal nanocrystal. Unfortunately, studies of this nature have not been conducted. At least, shape-controlled synthesis allows the generation of 1-D nanocrystals, to which electrodes can be easily applied for transport measurements.

#### 7.4. Magnetic Properties and Applications

With their diminished sizes and single-domain magnetism, ferromagnetic nanoparticles (e.g., Co, Ni, Fe) have garnered a significant amount of attention recently for their potential use as high-density storage media for magnetic memory devices.<sup>[10]</sup> Yet, attempts to use such materials are complicated by the so-called "superparamagnetic limit" in which the miniaturization of magnetic particles is coupled with a reduction in the magnetic energy barrier for flipping spin orientation.<sup>[215]</sup> It thus becomes desirable to overcome this limit as well as to understand the factors that govern magnetic phenomena on the nanoscale so that such nanomaterials may be integrated appropriately. For magnetic recording media, it is advantageous to have high magnetizations and coercive fields while maintaining small particle size.

As with the other properties of metals, changing the size and shape of a ferromagnetic metal nanocrystal can have a profound impact on its fundamental magnetic properties, with superparamagnetism representing one of the fascinating new properties discovered by preparing these metals as nanocrystals of suitably small size.<sup>[216]</sup> In a superparamagnetic crystal, the thermal energy (*kT*) is sufficient to switch the magnetic spin direction (i.e., from a spin-up to a spin-down state), resulting in a net magnetization of zero. The transition temperature from ferromagnetism to superparamagnetism (i.e., the blocking temperature,  $T_b$ ) is dependent on the size of the nanocrystal according to Equation (4) where  $K_u$  is the magnetic anisotropic constant and V is the volume of the nanocrystal.<sup>[217]</sup>

$$T_{\rm b} = K_{\rm u} V/25 \, k \tag{4}$$

Thus, it is expected that as the size of a nanocrystal decreases, the ferromagnetic-to-superparamagnetic transition will occur at increasingly lower temperatures. Using a superconducting quantum interference device (SOUID), Cheon and co-workers validated this relationship by analyzing the size-dependent magnetic properties of monodisperse Co nanocrystals similar to those discussed in Section 5.7.<sup>[218]</sup> As expected, the  $T_{\rm b}$  was found to gradually increase with increasing nanocrystal size, with  $T_{\rm b} > RT$  for particles with diameters > 10.3 nm only. Additionally, magnetic coercivity  $(H_{\rm c})$  is affected by nanocrystal size. Outside of the superparamagnetic regime where  $H_{\rm c}$  is zero, sufficiently small nanocrystals still possess a single magnetic domain in which all magnetic spins can align unidirectionally. Within this regime, the magnetic coercivity increases with increasing nanocrystal size according to Equation (5) where  $m_s$  is the saturation magnetization value.[217]

$$H_{\rm c} = 2 K_{\rm u} / m_{\rm s} [1 - 5 (kT / K_{\rm u} V)^{1/2}]$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

It is important to note that above a critical nanocrystal size this trend breaks down due to the formation of multiple

magnetic domains. Cheon and co-workers also validated this relationship using monodisperse Co nanoparticles, and as expected,  $H_c$  was found to increase with increasing size within the single magnetic domain regime.<sup>[219]</sup>

These trends illustrate the potential limitations of ferromagnetic nanoparticles for magnetic storage media; however, it has been found that within the single magnetic domain regime, both  $T_b$  and  $H_c$  are dependent on the shape of a magnetic nanocrystal, providing a potentially powerful way to tune the properties of a magnetic nanoparticle. Specifically,  $K_u$  is no longer constant and varies with anisotropies in shape. This relationship can be expressed as Equation (6) where  $H_a$ is the anisotropy field,  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are the shape-dependent demagnetization factors parallel and perpendicular to the easy axis of the magnetic nanocrystal, and  $M_s$  is the saturation magnetization of bulk materials.<sup>[217]</sup>

$$K_{\rm u} = [H_{\rm a} + (N_1 - N_2)M_{\rm s}]M_{\rm s}/2 \tag{6}$$

Shape effects have been studied most extensively in Co nanorods and nanowires prepared either by electrodeposition or template methods.<sup>[220]</sup> For example, Co nanorods of three lengths (14, 3.8, and 0.75 µm) were prepared while keeping the diameter constant.<sup>[220a]</sup> Hysteresis curve measurements at 300 K with the sample held perpendicular to the applied field (the easy axis of magnetization is along the axis of these nanorods) showed that the saturation magnetization increased, with greatly enhanced coercivities, as the nanorod length increased. This trend was attributed to an increase in  $K_{\rm u}$ . Interestingly, recent studies have shown that the easy axis of magnetization for Co nanorods can be reoriented perpendicular to the long axis of the rod and that this reorientation can lead to drastic changes in the overall magnetic anisotropy.<sup>[220c]</sup>

Presumably, analogous materials prepared by wet-chemical methods would display similar effects, pending any anomalous surface capping effects from surfactants;[174a] however, such extensive work has not been done. As one promising example, Cheon and co-workers evaluated the magnetic properties of Co nanorods (4×20 nm) and compared them to Co nanospheres (diameter 4 nm).[219] They found that the  $T_{\rm b}$  of the Co nanorods exceeded 360 K (compared to 20 K for the nanospheres). For the low temperature (5 K) hysteresis curve measurements, the nanorods showed a larger  $H_c$  than the nanospheres: 460 versus 370 Oe (Oersted; unit of magnetic field strength). At room temperature, the nanorods maintained an  $H_c$  of ca. 35 Oe. In related work, it was recently shown that triangular and hexagonal Ni plates such as those discussed in Section 5.7 display significantly higher coercivity as compared to other Ni nanostructures, and this enhancement was attributed to the increase in anisotropic energy due to their unique 2-D structure.<sup>[221]</sup> It is anticipated that suitable magnetic nanocrystals with narrow size distribution (<5%) will be selfassembled into ordered arrays with controlled magnetic alignment so to achieve high-density information storage.

## 7.5. Nanocrystals as Building Blocks for Self-Assembly

Self-assembly provides an effective route to well-defined structures that are close to or at a thermodynamic equilibrium state.<sup>[222]</sup> The essence of self-assembly is that pre-designed building blocks spontaneously organize themselves into a relatively stable structure through non-covalent interactions. The final structure is determined by the characteristics of the building blocks, as the information that defines a selfassembly process (and thus the final structure) is often encoded into the building blocks in the form of shape and/or surface functionality. Nanocrystals are ideal building blocks for self-assembly, which can be potentially employed to fabricate functional devices and complex systems. Significantly, the shape of nanocrystals can provide a useful knob for controlling the self-assembled structures.<sup>[178d, 222-225]</sup> For instance, nanorods tend to form liquid crystalline phases, while nanospheres and nanocubes tend to form long-range ordered lattices. As limited by space, here we only illustrate two examples from our group.

As discussed in Section 5.8, metals with low melting points (e.g., Bi and Pb) can be easily processed as monodispersed colloidal spheres with diameters in the range of 100-600 nm and size variations well below 5%. These metal nanospheres could be further coated with uniform, conformal shells made of a dielectric material such as silica. Because of their spherical shape and uniform size, the as-synthesized spheres self-assembled into 3-D metallodielectric photonic crystals.<sup>[178d]</sup> As shown by computational studies, crystalline lattices consisting of metal spheres or dielectric-coated metal spheres may exhibit complete photonic band gaps extending over the entire optical regime. Figure 34 shows the reflectance spectra taken from two typical crystals obtained from Pb and Pb@SiO<sub>2</sub> colloidal spheres, and their corresponding top-view SEM images are shown as the insets. Both crystals have an fcc structure with their (111) planes oriented parallel to the surfaces of the supporting substrates. A strong reflection band at 775 nm was observed for the crystals based on Pb colloidal spheres, while the Pb@SiO<sub>2</sub> crystals displayed additional peaks at 415 and 530 nm in the visible region. These results suggest that large photonic band gaps could be obtained from this system to cover optical wavelengths from the visible up to the near infrared region.

In a recent study, we demonstrated the self-assembly of Ag nanocubes into specific structures by modifying their side faces with hydrophobic and hydrophilic self-assembled monolayers (SAMs).<sup>[226]</sup> In terms of monodispersity and availability, Ag nanocubes are unrivaled as a new class of building blocks for self-assembly. The nanocube itself has a nonspherical shape, and significantly, one can control the structures of resultant assemblies by functionalizing the six faces of a nanocube with hydrophobic and hydrophilic SAMs in different schemes. When one side of each cube is functionalized with a hydrophobic, octadecanethiol (ODT) SAM and all others are functionalized with a hydrophilic, mercaptohexadecanoic acid (MHA) SAM, the nanocubes tended to form dimers, as shown in Figure 35 a. When two opposing faces of each cube were functionalized with the ODT and the remaining four faces with the MHA, linear



**Figure 34.** Reflectance spectra obtained from crystalline lattices of a) Pb and b) Pb $@SiO_2$  nanospheres with the incident light oriented perpendicular to their (111) planes. The insets in both figures show the corresponding top-view SEM images for the two crystalline lattices. Both scale bars in the insets are 200 nm (modified with permission from ref. [178d], copyright 2006 Wiley-VCH).



**Figure 35.** SEM images of structures self-assembled from Ag nanocubes. The nanocubes were selectively functionalized with hydrophilic and hydrophobic thiolate SAMs and then allowed to assemble in water. The number of faces on each nanocube that were rendered hydrophobic is indicated in grey color in the bottom right corner of each panel, the remaining faces on the nanocube were hydrophilic. All nanocubes used in this study had a mean edge length of  $97 \pm 6$  nm, as determined from 123 cubes (modified with permission from ref. [226], copyright 2008 Wiley-VCH).

chains of three or more cubes could be readily found during SEM characterization (Figure 35b). When the Ag nanocubes were functionalized in an inverse manner, such that four of their sides were modified with ODT and the other two were modified with an MHA, they tended to form 2-D sheets as shown in Figure 35c. These sheets were typically square or rectangular with lengths of 500 nm and had a structure similar to computer simulations of nanocubes with strong face-toface interactions. When the entire surface of each Ag nanocube was covered by ODT, the building blocks were hydrophobic and aggregated shortly after being dispersed into water. The formed structures are shown in Figure 35 d: a 3-D lattice of the Ag nanocubes. Over time the size of these structures appeared to grow but ultimately plateaued at 1.2  $\mu$ m in length (ten to twelve nanocubes along the edge). The cubic nature of the final structures suggests that the assembly occurred equally in all three dimensions

## 8. Summary and Outlook

The last decade has witnessed significant progress in the shape-controlled synthesis of metal nanocrystals. Thanks to the efforts of many research groups, a rich variety of nanocrystal shapes have been achieved for a large number of different metals (Table 1). Controlling the shape of a nanocrystal may initially seem like a scientific curiosity, but its goal goes far beyond aesthetic appeal. As showcased in Section 7, the shape of a metal nanocrystal determines not only its intrinsic physical and chemical properties but also its relevance for optical, catalytic, electronic, and magnetic applications. In discussing the various systems, we strived to elaborate on the plausible nucleation and growth mechanisms involved; however, a quantitative description of crystal nucleation and growth is still challenging. For example, there is little knowledge of the actual nature of the nuclei involved in the formation of nanocrystals. Such information would be invaluable in correlating experimental results with synthetic conditions. Additionally, there is no conclusive account of the roles played by solvent molecules, capping agents, and the trace impurities present in all commercial chemical reagents. Yet, as illustrated in Section 5, all of these species influence the outcome of a synthesis. Because of these unanswered questions, what is presented in this Review should be considered as a collection of protocols, working hypotheses, and guiding principles for generating metal nanocrystals of different shapes. We hope that these protocols and rules can serve as a valuable resource, allowing the production of metal nanocrystals with specific properties sought for applications in the areas of electronics, photonics, catalysis, information storage, sensing, imaging, and biomedical research. It cannot be overemphasized though that most of the conclusions drawn about metal nanocrystal syntheses are to be challenged and refined as our understanding of the nucleation and growth mechanisms is advanced.

Why is it so difficult to decipher the secrets that lead to the formation of nanocrystals with a specific shape? There is no simple answer to this question. Based on our experience, however, we believe it can be addressed from the following aspects:

a) We should never overlook the seemingly simple reaction involved in a chemical synthesis. For example, it has been assumed that in a typical polyol synthesis, acetaldehyde, derived from the dehydration of ethylene glycol, was the reductant. Our most recent results, however, indicate that at least for Ag and in the temperature range of 140 to 160 °C, the primary reductant is glycolaldehyde, being

produced via thermal oxidation of ethylene glycol by the oxygen in air.<sup>[227]</sup> With this new reaction pathway identified, it now becomes possible to explain many experimental observations that were poorly understood previously. Notable examples include the influence of reaction temperature, ionic impurities, and reaction environment. In another example, Brus and co-workers recently found that citrate, a stabilizer commonly used in the synthesis of Ag nanoplates, was directly consumed as the reaction proceeded.<sup>[228]</sup> They proposed a new mechanism that involves the coupling between oxidative etching of the seed and subsequent photoreduction of aqueous Ag<sup>+</sup> ions. The reduced Ag deposits onto a Ag nanoplate of specific size that has a cathodic photovoltage resulting from plasmon "hot hole" citrate photo-oxidation. In the future, one should consider all possible reaction pathways for the reduction or decomposition of a precursor. Then, through the identification of reaction intermediates or byproducts, it should be possible to identify with certainty which reagents or experimental parameters play pivotal roles in controlling the reduction kinetics, and thus the nucleation and growth pathway.

- b) We should never underestimate the roles of trace amounts of impurities that are present in commercial chemical reagents. For production and storage reasons, essentially all chemical reagents are contaminated with certain amounts of known or unknown impurities. As we have shown for the polyol synthesis of Ag nanocrystals, even the presence of a ppm level of Fe<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, or Cl<sup>-</sup> impurity can drastically alter the morphology of the final product. This high level of sensitivity might help explain many of the mysteries as well as the irreproducible results associated with shape-controlled syntheses of metal nanocrystals. In many cases, the unexpected effects of an impurity can be greatly amplified due to the autocatalytic nature of nanocrystal growth. Also, it should be noted that the effects of an impurity in one system could differ greatly when transferred to another system (e.g., iron salts in the Ag and Pt systems).
- c) We need to pay closer attention to the chemical composition of a reaction system. This need is particularly important for metals like Ag and Au because most of their salt precursors (e.g., AgNO<sub>3</sub> and AuCl) are sensitive to light. As we have shown for AgNO<sub>3</sub>, a freshly prepared aqueous solution of this salt can contain a significant amount of trimeric clusters. Due to the autocatalytic nature of metal nanocrystal growth, the presence of such clusters can drastically change the reduction kinetics and reaction pathway, and thus the shape of the final product.
- d) We need to pay closer attention to the gaseous species from air (including  $O_2$ ,  $N_2$ , CO, and water vapor) and reaction by-products. These species may influence both the reduction kinetics of a precursor and the growth rates of different crystallographic planes. For example, both  $O_2$ and CO (a common product of Pt-catalyzed decomposition of an organic species) have been shown to play important roles in influencing the morphology of Pt nanocrystals due to chemisorption. Unfortunately, their

presence and potential roles have been largely ignored in previous studies.

e) We need to pay closer attention to H<sup>+</sup> and OH<sup>-</sup>, two species commonly associated with a redox reaction whenever water is involved. These two species might have strong affinity towards specific crystal facets—for example, H<sup>+</sup> for the {100} facets of Ag, Au, Pd, and Pt which could contribute to shape control.

It should be pointed out that most of the available strategies for shape-controlled synthesis are built upon manipulation of the growth processes rather than nucleation. This observation is likely because very little is known about the nucleation process. To address this long-standing, fundamental problem in chemistry and physics, tools capable of capturing, identifying, and quantifying the nuclei are badly needed. Parallel to this advancement, computational methods need to proceed so that an atomistic-level understanding of the elementary steps involved in a nucleation process can be achieved. Molecular dynamic (MD) simulations are wellsuited for this purpose. With little or no a priori knowledge about the specific agglomeration reactions, ab initio MD simulations provide a useful tool for reaction sampling or identification of the key reaction conditions that control nucleus formation, a starting point for calculating the energy barriers and reaction rates of different pathways. An integration of experimental studies and theoretical modeling will greatly advance our understanding of nucleation and should offer new methods for controlling the assembly of atoms into clusters and then nanocrystals with specific shapes. From such work, the design rules for producing metal nanocrystals (as well as for other types of solid materials) with exact shapes will be developed. Only at that point, we will have a scientific basis for manufacturing nanocrystals with specific electronic, photonic, magnetic, and catalytic properties sought for a broad range of applications.

This work was supported in part by research grants from NSF (DMR-0804088 and DMR-0451788), ACS (PRF-44353-AC10), and ONR (N-00014-01-1-0976); a CAREER Award from NSF (DMR-9983893); a DARPA-DURINT subcontract from Harvard University; an AFOSR-DURINT subcontract from SUNY Buffalo; a MRSEC subcontract from the NSFfunded GEMSEC program at the UW; and a 2006 Director's Pioneer Award from NIH (5DP1D000798). Y.X. was a Camille Dreyfus Teacher Scholar, an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellow, and a David and Lucile Packard Fellow in Science and Engineering. B.L. was also partially supported by the Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of the Korea Research Foundation (KRF).

Received: May 14, 2008 Published online: December 3, 2008

B. D. Fahlman, *Materials Chemistry*, Vol. 1, Springer, Mount Pleasant, 2007, pp. 282–283.

 <sup>[2]</sup> See, for example, a) W. P. Halperin, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 1986, 58, 533; b) U. Kreibig, M. Vollmer, *Optical Properties of Metal*

*Clusters*, Springer, New York, **1995**; c) http://esi-topics.com/ nanocrystals/.

- [3] Reviews: a) M. G. Bawendi, M. L. Steigerwald, L. E. Brus, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1990, 41, 477; b) H. Weller, Adv. Mater.
  1993, 5, 88; c) A. P. Alivisatos, Science 1996, 271, 933; d) C. B. Murray, C. R. Kagan, M. G. Bawendi, Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 2000, 30, 545.
- [4] See, for example, a) K. K. Likharev, *IBM J. Res. Dev.* 1988, *32*, 144; b) V. Maheshwari, J. Kane, R. Saraf, *Adv. Mater.* 2008, *20*, 284.
- [5] See, for example, a) N. F. Mott, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1968**, *40*, 677;
  b) G. Markovich, C. P. Collier, S. E. Henrichs, F. Remacle, R. D. Levine, J. R. Heath, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1999**, *32*, 415.
- [6] Reviews: a) T. Hyeon, Chem. Commun. 2003, 927; b) S. Sun, Adv. Mater. 2006, 18, 393; c) U. Jeong, X. Teng, Y. Wang, H. Yang, Y. Xia, Adv. Mater. 2007, 19, 33.
- [7] a) L. N. Lewis, *Chem. Rev.* 1993, 93, 2693; b) G. A. Somorjai, *Chem. Rev.* 1996, 96, 1223; c) G. Ertl, *Handbook of Heterogeneous Catalysis*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008.
- [8] See, for example, a) N. M. Davey, R. J. Seymour, *Platinum Met. Rev.* **1985**, *29*, 2; b) S. Jeong, K. Woo, D. Kim, S. Lim, J. S. Kim, H. Shin, Y. Xia, J. Moon, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2008**, *18*, 679.
- [9] See, for example, a) J. F. Hamilton, Adv. Phys. 1988, 37, 359;
  b) T. Tani, Phys. Today 1989, 42, 36.
- [10] Reviews: a) A special issue on magnetic recording materials in MRS Bull., 1990, 15, 12; b) C. B. Murray, S. Sun, H. Doyle, T. Betley, MRS Bull. 2001, 26, 985.
- [11] See, for example, a) A. C. Templeton, W. P. Wuelfing, R. W. Murray, Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 27; b) S. R. Nicewarner-Peña, R. G. Freeman, B. D. Reiss, L. He, D. J. Peña, I. D. Walton, R. Cromer, C. D. Keating, M. J. Natan, Science 2001, 294, 137.
- [12] See, for example, a) S. A. Maier, M. L. Brongersma, P. G. Kik,
  S. Meltzer, A. A. G. Requicha, H. A. Atwater, *Adv. Mater.* **2001**, *13*, 1501; b) A. W. Sanders, D. A. Routenberg, B. J. Wiley,
  Y. Xia, E. R. Dufresne, M. A. Reed, *Nano Lett.* **2006**, *6*, 1822.
- [13] a) T. A. Taton, C. A. Mirkin, R. L. Letsinger, *Science* 2000, 289, 1757; b) A. G. Tkachenko, H. Xie, D. Coleman, W. Glomm, J. Ryan, M. F. Anderson, S. Franzen, D. L. Feldheim, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4700; c) X. Zhang, M. A. Young, O. Lyandres, R. P. Van Duyne, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4484; d) M. M.-C. Cheng, G. Cuda, Y. L. Bunimovich, M. Gaspari, J. R. Heath, H. D. Hill, C. A. Mirkin, A. J. Nijdam, R. Terracciano, T. Thundat, M. Ferrari, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 2006, 10, 11; e) H. Wang, D. W. Brandl, P. Nordlander, N. J. Halas, Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 53.
- [14] See, for example, a) J. Chen, F. Saeki, B. J. Wiley, H. Cang, M. J. Cobb, Z.-Y. Li, L. Au, H. Zhang, M. B. Kimmey, X. Li, Y. Xia, *Nano Lett.* **2005**, *5*, 473; b) H. Cang, T. Sun, Z.-Y. Li, J. Chen, B. J. Wiley, Y. Xia, X. Li, *Opt. Lett.* **2005**, *30*, 3048; c) X. Yang, S. E. Skrabalak, Z.-Y. Li, Y. Xia, L. V. Wang, *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*, 3798.
- [15] Reviews: a) J. L. West, N. J. Halas, Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng.
  2003, 5, 285; b) P. K. Jain, I. H. El-Sayed, M. A. El-Sayed, Nano Today 2007, 2, 18; c) S. E. Skrabalak, J. Chen, L. Au, X. Lu, X. Li, Y. Xia, Adv. Mater. 2007, 19, 3177; d) S. E. Skrabalak, L. Au, X. Lu, X. Li, Y. Xia, Nanomedicine 2007, 2, 657; e) P. Fortina, L. J. Kricka, D. J. Graves, J. Park, T. Hyslop, F. Tam, N. Halas, S. Surrey, S. A. Waldman, Trends Biotechnol. 2007, 25, 145; f) P. K. Jain, X. Huang, I. H. El-Sayed, M. A. El-Sayed, Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, DOI: 10.1021/ar7002804; g) L. Au, D. Zheng, F. Zhou, Z.-Y. Li, X. Li, Y. Xia, ACS Nano 2008, 2, 1645.
- [16] a) T. R. Jensen, L. Kelly, A. Lazarides, G. C. Schatz, J. Cluster Sci. 1999, 10, 295; b) J. P. Kottmann, O. J. F. Martin, D. R. Smith, S. Schultz, Phys. Rev. B 2001, 64, 235402; c) M. A. El-Sayed, Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 257; d) I. O. Sosa, C. Noguez, R. G. Barrera, J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 6269; e) B. J. Wiley,

S. H. Im, Z.-Y. Li, J. M. McLellan, A. Siekkinen, Y. Xia, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 15666.

- [17] See, for example, a) M. Haruta, *Catal. Today* 1997, *36*, 153;
  b) M. Valden, X. Lai, D. W. Goodman, *Science* 1998, *281*, 1647;
  c) C. T. Campbell, S. C. Parker, D. E. Starr, *Science* 2002, *298*, 811.
- [18] a) R. Narayanan, M. A. El-Sayed, J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 12663; b) A. Zecchina, E. Groppo, S. Bordiga, Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 2440.
- [19] a) L. M. Falicov, G. A. Somorjai, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1985, 82, 2207; b) A.-C. Shi, R. I. Masel, J. Catal. 1989, 120, 421.
- [20] a) G. Wulff, Z. Kristallogr. 1901, 34, 449; b) L. D. Marks, Rep. Prog. Phys. 1994, 57, 603; c) A. Pimpinelli, J. Villain, Physics of Crystal Growth, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [21] J. A. Venables, *Introduction to Surface and Thin Film Processes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000, pp. 9–11.
- [22] a) M. Faraday, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London* 1857, 147, 145;
   b) P. P. Edwards, J. M. Thomas, *Angew. Chem.* 2007, 119, 5576;
   *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, 46, 5480.
- [23] a) A. Henglein, *Chem. Rev.* 1989, *89*, 1861; b) J. H. Fendler, *Adv. Mater.* 1995, *7*, 607; c) G. Schmid, L. F. Chi, *Adv. Mater.* 1998, *10*, 515; d) S. Link, M. A. El-Sayed, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 1999, *103*, 8410.
- [24] a) B. Wiley, Y. Sun, B. Mayers, Y. Xia, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2005, *11*, 454; b) B. Wiley, Y. Sun, J. Chen, H. Cang, Z.-Y. Li, X. Li, Y. Xia, *MRS Bull.* 2005, *30*, 356; c) B. Wiley, Y. Sun, Y. Xia, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2007, *40*, 1067; d) A. R. Tao, S. Habas, P. Yang, *Small* 2008, *4*, 310.
- [25] a) C. J. Murphy, N. R. Jana, Adv. Mater. 2002, 14, 80; b) C. J. Murphy, T. K. Sau, A. M. Gole, C. J. Orendorff, J. Gao, L. Gao, S. E. Hunyadi, T. Li, J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 13857; c) C. J. Murphy, A. M. Gole, S. E. Hunyadi, C. J. Orendorff, Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 7544.
- [26] Y. Xiong, Y. Xia, Adv. Mater. 2007, 19, 3385.
- [27] D. W. Oxtoby, Nature 2000, 406, 464.
- [28] S. Auer, D. Frenkel, Nature 2001, 409, 1020.
- [29] U. Gasser, E. R. Weeks, A. Schofield, P. N. Pusey, D. A. Weitz, *Science* 2001, 292, 258.
- [30] a) A. C. Hillier, M. D. Ward, *Science* **1994**, *263*, 1261; b) Z.
   Zhang, M. G. Lagally, *Science* **1997**, *276*, 377; c) H. Bruce, M.
   Giovannini, K. Bromann, K. Kern, *Nature* **1998**, *394*, 451; d) S. T. Yau, P. G. Vekilov, *Nature* **2000**, *406*, 494.
- [31] a) S. M. Lee, W. T. Wong, J. Cluster Sci. 1998, 9, 417; b) M. G. Richmond, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 241, 273.
- [32] a) H. W. Roesky, S. Singh, K. K. M. Yusuff, J. A. Maguire, N. S. Hosmane, *Chem. Rev.* 2006, *106*, 3813; b) M. Melnik, I. Ondrejkovicova, D. Miklos, P. Segla, C. E. Holloway, *Rev. Inorg. Chem.* 2007, *27*, 67; c) Y. Sevryugina, A. Y. Rogachev, M. A. Petrukhina, *Inorg. Chem.* 2007, *46*, 7870; d) J. Hartig, A. Stößer, P. Hauser, H. Schnöckel, *Angew. Chem.* 2007, *119*, 1687; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 1658; e) C. E. Anson, A. Eichhöfer, I. Issac, D. Fenske, O. Fuhr, P. Sevillano, C. Persau, D. Stalke, J. Zhang, *Angew. Chem.* 2008, *120*, 1346; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, *47*, 1326.
- [33] V. K. LaMer, R. H. Dinegar, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1950, 72, 4847.
- [34] M. A. Watzky, R. G. Finke, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 10382.
- [35] a) L. C. Ciacchi, M. Mertig, W. Pompe, S. Meriani, A. De Vita, *Platinum Met. Rev.* 2003, *47*, 98; b) L. Colombi Ciacchi, W. Pompe, A. De Vita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, *123*, 7371; c) M. Mertig, L. Colombi Ciacchi, R. Seidel, W. Pompe, A. De Vita, *Nano Lett.* 2002, *2*, 841; d) L. Colombi Ciacchi, W. Pompe, A. De Vita, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2003, *107*, 1755.
- [36] a) Z.-Y. Huang, G. Mills, B. Hajek, J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 11542; b) L. C. Ciacchi, M. Mertig, R. Seidel, W. Pompe, A. De Vita, Nanotechnology 2003, 14, 840; c) E. E. Finney, R. G. Finke, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2008, 317, 351.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 60-103

- [37] Y. Xiong, I. Washio, J. Chen, M. Sadilek, Y. Xia, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 5005; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4917.
- [38] a) J. W. Mitchell, *Imaging Sci. J.* 1997, 45, 2; b) D. M.-K. Lam,
   B. W. Rossiter, *Sci. Am.* 1991, 265, 80.
- [39] a) J. Flad, G. Igel-Mann, H. Preuss, H. Stoll, *Chem. Phys.* 1984, 90, 257; b) P. Y. Cheng, M. A. Duncan, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1988, 152, 341; c) K. Balasubramanian, P. Y. Feng, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1989, 159, 452; d) H. Partridge, C. W. Bauschlicher, Jr., S. R. Langhoff, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1990, 175, 531.
- [40] a) D. W. Boo, Y. Ozaki, L. H. Andersen, W. C. Lineberger, J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101, 6688; b) T. Leisner, S. Vajda, S. Wolf, L. Wöste, J. Chem. Phys. 1999, 111, 1017; c) M. Hartmann, A. Heidenreich, J. Pittner, V. Bonačić-Koutecký, J. Jortner, J. Phys. Chem. A 1998, 102, 4069.
- [41] P. Fayet, F. Granzer, G. Hegenbart, E. Meisar, B. Pischel, L. Wöste, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1985**, 55, 3002.
- [42] a) S. Kéki, L. Nagy, G. Deák, M. Zsuga, L. Somogyi, A. Lévai, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2004, 15, 879; b) J. A. McLean, K. A. Stumpo, D. H. Russell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5304.
- [43] X. Li, A. E. Kuznetsov, H. F. Zhang, A. I. Boldyrev, L. S. Wang, *Science* **2001**, 291, 859.
- [44] a) J. Li, X. Li, H.-J. Zhai, L. S. Wang, *Science* 2003, 299, 864;
  b) H. F. Zhang, M. Stender, R. Zhang, C. M. Wang, J. Li, L. S. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2004, 108, 12259.
- [45] a) L. A. Peyser, A. E. Vinson, A. P. Bartko, R. M. Dickson, Science 2001, 291, 103; b) J. Zheng, R. M. Dickson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13982; c) J. T. Petty, J. Zheng, N. V. Hud, R. M. Dickson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5207.
- [46] a) A. Henglein, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, *154*, 473; b) T. Linnert,
  P. Mulvaney, A. Henglein, H. Weller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 4657; c) B. G. Ershov, E. Janata, A. Henglein, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1993**, *97*, 339.
- [47] a) L. König, I. Rabin, W. Schultze, G. Ertl, *Science* 1996, 274, 1353; b) C. Félix, C. Sieber, W. Harbich, J. Buttet, I. Rabin, W. Schultze, G. Ertl, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2001, *86*, 2992; c) Y. Watanabe, G. Namikawa, T. Onuki, K. Nishio, T. Tsuchiya, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2001, *78*, 2125; d) I. Belharouak, F. Weill, C. Parent, G. Le Flem, B. Moine, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* 2001, *293–295*, 649; e) A. V. Podlipensky, V. Grebenev, G. Seifert, H. Graener, *J. Lumin.* 2004, *109*, 135; f) M. Treguer, F. Rocco, G. Lelong, A. L. Nestour, T. Cardinal, A. Maali, B. Lounis, *Solid State Sci.* 2005, *7*, 812.
- [48] L. Qu, W. W. Yu, X. Peng, Nano Lett. 2004, 4, 465.
- [49] a) G. Schmid, *Chem. Rev.* **1992**, *92*, 1709; b) D. A. van Leeuwen, J. M. van Ruitenbeek, G. Schmid, L. J. de Jongh, *Phys. Lett. A* **1992**, *170*, 325; c) J. D. Aiken III, R. G. Finke, *J. Mol. Catal. A* **1999**, *145*, 1; d) H. Zhang, G. Schmid, U. Hartmann, *Nano Lett.* **2003**, *3*, 305.
- [50] a) Z. L. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. B 2000, 104, 1153; b) J. M. Zhang, F. Ma, K. W. Xu, Appl. Surf. Sci. 2004, 229, 34.
- [51] a) D. J. Smith, A. K. Petford-Long, L. R. Wallenberg, J. O. Bovin, *Science* **1986**, *233*, 872; b) S. Iijima, T. Ichihashi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1986**, *L56*, 616; c) N. Doraiswamy, L. D. Marks, *Surf. Sci.* **1996**, *348*, 67; d) M. J. Yacaman, J. A. Ascencio, H. B. Liu, J. Gardea-Torresdey, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **2001**, *19*, 1091; e) H. B. Liu, J. A. Ascencio, M. Perez-Alvarez, M. J. Yacaman, *Surf. Sci.* **2001**, *491*, 88.
- [52] a) P. L. Gai, M. A. Harmer, *Nano Lett.* 2002, *2*, 771; b) C. Lofton, W. Sigmund, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2005, *15*, 1197; c) J. L. Elechiguerra, J. Reyes-Gasga, M. J. Yacaman, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2006, *16*, 3906.
- [53] a) H. Hofmeister, S. A. Nepijko, D. N. Levlev, W. Schulze, G. Ertl, *J. Cryst. Growth* **2002**, *234*, 773; b) S. A. Nepijko, D. N. Levlev, W. Schulze, J. Urban, G. Ertl, *ChemPhysChem* **2000**, *1*, 140.
- [54] a) F. Baletto, R. Ferrando, *Phys. Rev. B* 2001, 63, 155408; b) F. Baletto, R. Ferrando, A. Fortunelli, F. Montalenti, C. Mottet, J.

Chem. Phys. 2002, 116, 3856; c) F. Baletto, R. Ferrando, Rev. Mod. Phys. 2005, 77, 371.

- [55] P. M. Ajayan, L. D. Marks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1998, 80, 585.
- [56] C. Cleveland, U. Landman, J. Chem. Phys. 1991, 94, 7376.
- [57] a) A. I. Kirkland, D. A. Jefferson, D. G. Duff, P. P. Edwards, I. Gameson, B. F. G. Johnson, D. J. Smith, *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A* **1993**, *440*, 589; b) V. Germain, J. Li, D. Ingert, Z. L. Wang, M. P. Pileni, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2003**, *107*, 8717.
- [58] a) P.-F. Ho, K.-M. Chi, *Nanotechnology* **2004**, *15*, 1059; b) Y. Xiong, A. R. Siekkinen, J. Wang, Y. Yin, M. J. Kim, Y. Xia, J. Mater. Chem. **2007**, *17*, 2600.
- [59] a) I. Washio, Y. Xiong, Y. Yin, Y. Xia, Adv. Mater. 2006, 18, 1745; b) Y. Xiong, I. Washio, J. Chen, H. Cai, Z.-Y. Li, Y. Xia, Langmuir 2006, 22, 8563; c) B. Lim, P. H. C. Camargo, Y. Xia, Langmuir 2008, 24, 10437.
- [60] Y. Xiong, J. M. McLellan, J. Chen, Y. Yin, Z.-Y. Li, Y. Xia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17118.
- [61] a) Y. Sun, Y. Xia, Adv. Mater. 2003, 15, 695; b) Y. Sun, B. Mayers, Y. Xia, Nano Lett. 2003, 3, 675.
- [62] B. Wiley, T. Herricks, Y. Sun, Y. Xia, Nano Lett. 2004, 4, 1733.
- [63] a) B. J. Wiley, Y. Xiong, Z.-Y. Li, Y. Yin, Y. Xia, *Nano Lett.* **2006**, *6*, 765; b) B. J. Wiley, Y. Chen, J. McLellan, Y. Xiong, Z.-Y. Li, D. Ginger, Y. Xia, *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*, 1032.
- [64] a) Y. Xiong, J. Chen, B. Wiley, Y. Xia, S. Aloni, Y. Yin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7332; b) Y. Xiong, B. Wiley, J. Chen, Z.-Y. Li, Y. Yin, Y. Xia, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 8127; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7913; c) N. Zettsu, J. M. McLellan, B. Wiley, Y. Yin, Z.-Y. Li, Y. Xia, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 1310; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1288; d) Y. Xiong, H. Cai, B. J. Wiley, J. Wang, M. J. Kim, Y. Xia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3665.
- [65] B. Wiley, Y. Sun, Y. Xia, *Langmuir* **2005**, *21*, 8077.
- [66] Y. Xiong, J. M. McLellan, Y. Yin, Y. Xia, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 804; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 790.
- [67] a) A. Tao, P. Sinsermsuksakul, P. Yang, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 4713; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4597; b) K. E. Korte, S. E. Skrabalak, Y. Xia, J. Mater. Chem. 2008, 18, 437.
- [68] Y. Xiong, H. Cai, Y. Yin, Y. Xia, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2007, 440, 273.
- [69] B. J. Wiley, Z. Wang, J. Wei, Y. Yin, D. H. Cobden, Y. Xia, *Nano Lett.* 2006, 6, 2273.
- [70] Y. Sun, B. Mayers, T. Herricks, Y. Xia, Nano Lett. 2003, 3, 955.
- [71] a) C. T. Campbell, Surf. Sci. 1997, 27, 1; b) G. A. Ozin, Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 1977, 16, 191; c) M. W. Roberts, Surf. Sci. 1994, 299, 769; d) Q. Chen, N. V. Richardson, Prog. Surf. Sci. 2003, 73, 59.
- [72] P. J. F. Harris, Nature 1986, 323, 792.
- [73] a) J. S. Spendelow, A. Wieckowski, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2004, *6*, 5094; b) T. M. Bernhardt, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* 2005, 243, 1; c) E. A. Baranova, C. Bock, D. Ilin, D. Wang, B. MacDougall, *Surf. Sci.* 2006, *600*, 3502; d) A. M. Joshi, M. H. Tucker, W. N. Delgass, K. T. Thomson, *J. Chem. Phys.* 2006, *125*, 194707.
- [74] a) S. Y. Potapenko, J. Cryst. Growth 1993, 133, 147; b) W. J. P. van Enckevort, A. C. J. F. van den Berg, J. Cryst. Growth 1998, 183, 441; c) T. A. Land, T. L. Martin, S. Potapenko, G. T. Palmore, J. J. De Yoreo, Nature 1999, 399, 442.
- [75] R. Narayanan, M. A. El-Sayed, Nano Lett. 2004, 4, 1343.
- [76] a) K. Habermehl-Cwirzen, J. Lahtinen, *Surf. Sci.* 2004, *573*, 183;
  b) R. Meyer, C. Lemire, S. K. Shaikhutdinov, H. Freund, *Gold Bull.* 2004, *37*, 72; c) B. McAllister, P. Hu, *J. Chem. Phys.* 2005, *122*, 084709.
- [77] a) C. T. Campbell, *Surf. Sci.* **1985**, *157*, 43; b) B. A. Sexton, R. J. Madix, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1980**, *76*, 294; c) X. Guo, A. Hoffman, J. T. Yates, Jr., *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *90*, 5787; d) F. Buatier de Mongeot, A. Cupilillo, V. Valbusa, M. Rocca, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1997**, *270*, 345; e) O. L. J. Gijzeman, E. H. Voogt, A. J. M. Mens, J. W. Geus, *Surf. Sci.* **1997**, *373*, 210.

## 98 www.angewandte.org

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

- [78] a) Y. Sun, Y. Xia, *Science* 2002, 298, 2176; b) Y. Xiong, J. Chen,
   B. Wiley, Y. Xia, Y. Yin, Z.-Y. Li, *Nano Lett.* 2005, 5, 1237.
- [79] a) N. R. Jana, L. Gearheart, C. J. Murphy, J. Phys. Chem. B
  2001, 105, 4065; b) N. R. Jana, L. Geraheart, S. O. Obare, C. J. Murphy, Langmuir 2002, 18, 922; c) C. J. Johnson, E. Dujardin, S. A. Davis, C. J. Murphy, S. Mann, J. Mater. Chem. 2002, 12, 1765; d) A. Gole, C. J. Murphy, Chem. Mater. 2004, 16, 3633; e) Z. Wei, A. J. Mieszawska, F. P. Zamborini, Langmuir 2004, 20, 4322; f) Z. Wei, F. P. Zamborini, Langmuir 2004, 20, 11301; g) T. H. Ha, H.-J. Koo, B. H. Chung, J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 1123.
- [80] B. Lim, Y. Xiong, Y. Xia, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 9439; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 9279.
- [81] a) J. A. Schimpf, J. B. Abreu, M. P. Soriaga, J. Electroanal. Chem. 1994, 364, 247; b) A. Carrasquillo, Jr., J.-J. Jeng, R. J. Barriga, W. F. Temesghen, M. P. Soriaga, Inorg. Chim. Acta 1997, 255, 249; c) C. A. Lucas, N. M. Marković, P. N. Ross, Phys. Rev. B 1997, 55, 7964; d) S. Zou, X. Gao, M. J. Weaver, Surf. Sci. 2000, 452, 44.
- [82] a) H. H. Huang, X. P. Ni, G. L. Loy, C. H. Chew, K. L. Tan, F. C. Loh, J. F. Deng, G. Q. Xu, *Langmuir* **1996**, *12*, 909; b) Z. Zhang, B. Zhao, L. Hu, *J. Solid State Chem.* **1996**, *121*, 105; c) F. Bonet, K. Tekaia-Elhsissen, K. V. Sarathy, *Bull. Mater. Sci.* **2000**, *23*, 165; d) Y. Gao, P. Jiang, D. F. Liu, H. J. Yuan, X. Q. Yan, Z. P. Zhou, J. X. Wang, L. Song, L. F. Liu, W. Y. Zhou, G. Wang, C. Y. Wang, S. S. Xie, J. M. Zhang, D. Y. Shen, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 12877.
- [83] G. Rupprechter, Adv. Catal. 2007, 51, 133.
- [84] D. S. Kilin, O. V. Prezhdo, Y. Xia, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2008, 458, 113.
- [85] a) M. Mammen, S. K. Choi, G. M. Whitesides, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 2908; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2754; b) R. S. Kane, AIChE J. 2006, 52, 3638; c) Y. Borodko, S. M. Humphrey, T. D. Tilley, H. Frei, G. A. Somorjai, J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 6288.
- [86] J. Gao, C. M. Bender, C. J. Murphy, Langmuir 2003, 19, 9065.
- [87] G. Bögels, H. Meekes, P. Bennema, D. Bollen, J. Phys. Chem. B 1999, 103, 7577.
- [88] a) U. Dahmen, C. Hetherington, V. Radmilovic, E. Johnson, S. Xiao, C. Luo, *Microsc. Microanal.* 2002, *8*, 247; b) A. G. Frøseth, P. M. Derlet, H. Van Swygenhoven, *Adv. Eng. Mater.* 2005, *7*, 16.
- [89] a) N.-b. Ming, I. Sunagawa, J. Cryst. Growth 1988, 87, 13; b) G.
  Bogels, H. Meekes, P. Bennema, D. Bollen, Imaging Sci. J. 2001, 49, 33; c) J. W. Lee, U. J. Chung, N. M. Hwang, D. Y. Kim, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 2005, 61, 405.
- [90] a) A. Holden, P. Singer, Crystals and Crystal Growing, Doubleday, Garden City, **1960**; b) J. W. Mullin, Crystallization, Butterworths, London, **1961**; c) J. Hulliger, Angew. Chem. **1994**, 106, 151; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. **1994**, 33, 143.
- [91] F. Kim, S. Connor, H. Song, T. Kuykendall, P. Yang, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 3759; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3673.
- [92] N. R. Jana, L. Gearheart, C. J. Murphy, Adv. Mater. 2001, 13, 1389.
- [93] a) S. A. Chambers, *Adv. Phys.* 1991, *40*, 357; b) N. N. Ledentsov,
   V. M. Ustinov, V. A. Shchulan, P. S. Kop'er, Zh. I. Alferov, D. Bimbers, *Semiconductors* 1998, *32*, 343.
- [94] a) S. E. Habas, H. Lee, V. Radmilovic, G. A. Somorjai, P. Yang, *Nat. Mater.* 2007, *6*, 692; b) F.-R. Fan, D.-Y. Liu, Y.-F. Wu, S. Duan, Z.-X. Xie, Z.-Y. Jiang, Z.-Q. Tian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, *130*, 6949; c) B. Lim, J. Wang, P. H. C. Camargo, M. Jiang, M. J. Kim, Y. Xia, *Nano Lett.* 2008, *8*, 2535.
- [95] T. S. Ahmadi, Z. L. Wang, T. C. Green, A. Henglein, M. A. El-Sayed, *Science* **1996**, 272, 1924.
- [96] Y.-Y. Yu, S.-S. Chang, C.-L. Lee, C. R. C. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. B 1997, 101, 6661.

- [97] S. Sun, C. B. Murray, D. Weller, L. Folks, A. Moser, *Science* 2000, 287, 1989.
- [98] R. Jin, Y. Cao, C. A. Mirkin, K. L. Kelly, G. C. Schatz, J. G. Zheng, *Science* 2001, 294, 1901.
- [99] See, for example, a) M. T. Reetz, E. Westermann, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 170; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 165; b) R. Franzén, Can. J. Chem. 2000, 78, 957; c) Y. Li, X. M. Hong, D. M. Collard, M. A. El-Sayed, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2385; d) S.-W. Kim, M. Kim, W. Y. Lee, T. Hyeon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7642; e) S. U. Son, Y. Jang, J. Park, H. B. Na, H. M. Park, H. J. Yun, J. Lee, T. Hyeon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5026; f) L. Yin, J. Liebscher, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 133.
- [100] G. Rupprechter, C. Weilach, *Nano Today* 2007, *2*, 20.
   [101] Y. Sun, B. Mayers, Y. Xia, *Adv. Mater.* 2003, *15*, 641.
- [101] I. Sun, D. Wayers, I. Ala, Auv. Waller. 2003, 13, 04
- [102] B. Veisz, Z. Király, *Langmuir* **2003**, *19*, 4817.
- [103] a) Y. Sun, L. Zhang, H. Zhou, Y. Zhu, E. Sutter, Y. Ji, M. H. Rafailovich, J. C. Sokolov, *Chem. Mater.* 2007, *19*, 2065; b) Y. Zhang, M. E. Grass, J. N. Kuhn, F. Tao, S. E. Habas, W. Huang, P. Yang, G. A. Somorjai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, *130*, 5868.
- [104] a) J. Turkevich, G. Kim, *Science* 1970, *169*, 873; b) J. S. Bradley,
  B. Tesche, W. Busser, M. Maase, M. T. Reetz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, *122*, 4631; c) H. P. Choo, K. Y. Liew, W. A. K. Mahmood,
  H. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2001, *11*, 2906; d) U. Schlotterbeck, C. Aymonier, R. Thomann, H. Hofmeister, M. Tromp, W. Richtering, S. Mecking, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2004, *14*, 999.
- [105] a) L. A. Gugliotti, D. L. Feldheim, B. E. Eaton, *Science* 2004, 304, 850; b) L. A. Gugliotti, D. L. Feldheim, B. E. Eaton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, 127, 17814; c) D. Liu, L. A. Gugliotti, T. Wu, M. Dolska, A. G. Tkachenko, M. K. Shipton, B. E. Eaton, D. L. Feldheim, *Langmuir* 2006, 22, 5862.
- [106] A. Nagy, G. Mestl, Appl. Catal. A 1999, 188, 337.
- [107] a) S. H. Im, Y. T. Lee, B. Wiley, Y. Xia, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 2192; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2154; b) Y. T. Lee, S. H. Im, B. Wiley, Y. Xia, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2005, 411, 479.
- [108] a) A. R. Siekkinen, J. M. McLellan, J. Chen, Y. Xia, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2006**, *432*, 491; b) S. E. Skrabalak, L. Au, X. Li, Y. Xia, *Nat. Protocols* **2007**, *2*, 2182.
- [109] a) A. I. Kryukov, N. N. Zin'chuk, A. V. Korzhak, S. Y. Kuchmii, *Theor. Exp. Chem.* **2001**, *37*, 355; b) A. I. Kryukov, A. L. Stroyuk, N. N. Zin'chuk, A. V. Korzhak, S. Y. Kuchmii, *J. Mol. Catal. A* **2004**, *221*, 209.
- [110] a) D. Yu, V. W.-W. Yam, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13200;
  b) D. Yu, V. W.-W. Yam, J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 5497.
- [111] a) N. R. Jana, L. Gearheart, C. J. Murphy, *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 617; b) Y. Sun, B. Gates, B. Mayers, Y. Xia, *Nano Lett.* 2002, 2, 165; c) Y. Sun, Y. Xia, *Adv. Mater.* 2002, *14*, 833; d) Y. Sun, Y. Yin, B. T. Mayers, T. Herricks, Y. Xia, *Chem. Mater.* 2002, *14*, 4736.
- [112] a) G.-J. Lee, S.-I. Shin, Y.-C. Kim, S.-G. Oh, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2004, *84*, 197; b) K. Aslan, Z. Leonenko, J. R. Lakowicz, C. D. Geddes, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2005, *109*, 3157; c) C. Ni, P. A. Hassan, E. W. Kaler, *Langmuir* 2005, *21*, 3334; d) Y. Gao, P. Jiang, L. Song, L. Liu, X. Yan, Z. Zhou, D. Liu, J. Wang, H. Yuan, Z. Zhang, X. Zhao, X. Dou, W. Zhou, G. Wang, S. Xie, *J. Phys. D* 2005, *38*, 1061; e) Y. Yang, L. Xiong, J. Shi, M. Nogami, *Nanotechnology* 2006, *17*, 2670; f) K.-H. Lee, K.-M. Huang, W.-L. Tseng, T.-C. Chiu, Y.-W. Lin, H.-T. Chang, *Langmuir* 2007, *23*, 1435; g) M. Tsuji, K. Matsumoto, N. Miyamae, T. Tsuji, X. Zhang, *Cryst. Growth Des.* 2007, *7*, 311; h) M. Tsuji, Y. Nishizawa, K. Matsumoto, N. Miyamae, T. Tsuji, X. Zhang, *Colloids Surf. A* 2007, *293*, 185.
- [113] a) Y. Xiong, Y. Xie, G. Du, X. Liu, X. Tian, *Chem. Lett.* 2002, 98; b) Y. Gao, P. Jiang, D. F. Liu, H. J. Yuan, X. Q. Yan, Z. P. Zhou, J. X. Wang, L. Song, L. F. Liu, W. Y. Zhou, G. Wang, C. Y. Wang, S. S. Xie, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2003, 380, 146; c) Y. Xiong, Y. Xie, C. Wu, J. Yang, Z. Li, F. Xu, *Adv. Mater.* 2003, 15, 405; d) Y. Gao, L. Song, P. Jiang, L. F. Liu, X. Q. Yan, Z. P.

C 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Zhou, D. F. Liu, J. X. Wang, H. J. Yuan, Z. X. Zhang, X. W. Zhao, X. Y. Dou, W. Y. Zhou, G. Wang, S. S. Xie, H. Y. Chen, J. Q. Li, *J. Cryst. Growth* **2005**, *276*, 606.

- [114] a) F.-K. Liu, Y.-C. Chang, P.-W. Huang, F.-H. Ko, T.-C. Chu, *Chem. Lett.* 2004, *33*, 1050; b) J.-Q. Hu, Q. Chen, Z.-X. Xie, G.-B. Han, R.-H. Wang, B. Ren, Y. Zhang, Z.-L. Yang, Z.-Q. Tian, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2004, *14*, 183.
- [115] a) R. Jin, Y. Cao, E. Hao, G. S. Métraux, G. C. Schatz, C. A. Mirkin, *Nature* 2003, 425, 487; b) T. C. Deivaraj, N. L. Lala, J. Y. Lee, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2005, 289, 402; c) J. Yang, Q. Zhang, J. Y. Lee, H.-P. Too, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2007, 308, 157; d) T. C. R. Rocha, D. Zanchet, J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 6989; e) T. C. R. Rocha, H. Winnischofer, E. Westphal, D. Zanchet, J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 2885; f) M. Maillard, P. Huang, L. Brus, Nano Lett. 2003, 3, 1611; g) C. Xue, J. E. Millstone, S. Li, C. A. Mirkin, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 8588; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8436; h) J. An, B. Tang, X. Ning, J. Zhou, S. Xu, B. Zhao, W. Xu, C. Corredor, J. R. Lombardi, J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 18055.
- [116] a) S. Chen, D. L. Carroll, *Nano Lett.* 2002, *2*, 1003; b) S. Chen,
  Z. Fan, D. L. Carroll, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2002, *106*, 10777; c) I.
  Pastoriza-Santos, L. M. Liz-Marzán, *Nano Lett.* 2002, *2*, 903;
  d) M. Maillard, S. Giorgio, M.-P. Pileni, *Adv. Mater.* 2002, *14*, 1084.
- [117] a) G. S. Métraux, C. A. Mirkin, Adv. Mater. 2005, 17, 412; b) L.
  Lu, A. Kobayashi, K. Tawa, Y. Ozaki, Chem. Mater. 2006, 18, 4894; c) J. Yang, L. Lu, H. Wang, W. Shi, H. Zhang, Cryst. Growth Des. 2006, 6, 2155; d) J. Xie, J. Y. Lee, D. I. C. Wang, Y. P. Ting, ACS Nano 2007, 1, 429.
- [118] a) B. Hvolbæk, T. V. W. Janssens, B. S. Clausen, H. Falsig, C. H. Christensen, J. K. Nørskov, *Nano Today* **2007**, *2*, 14; b) A. S. K. Hashmi, *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 3180.
- [119] R. Jin, S. Egusa, N. F. Scherer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 9900.
- [120] a) J. Zhang, Y. Gao, R. A. Alvarez-Puebla, J. M. Buriak, H. Fenniri, Adv. Mater. 2006, 18, 3233; b) C. Li, K. L. Shuford, Q.-H. Park, W. Cai, Y. Li, E. J. Lee, S. O. Cho, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 3328; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3264; c) J. Zhang, H. Liu, Z. Wang, N. Ming, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2007, 90, 163122; d) J. Xu, S. Li, J. Weng, X. Wang, Z. Zhou, K. Yang, M. Liu, X. Chen, Q. Cui, M. Cao, Q. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2008, 18, 277; e) D. Seo, C. I. Yoo, I. S. Chung, S. M. Park, S. Ryu, H. Song, J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 2469.
- [121] a) T. K. Sau, C. J. Murphy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8648;
  b) D. Seo, J, C. Park, H. Song, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14863;
  c) S. Kundu, S. Panigrahi, S. Praharaj, S. Basu, S. K. Ghosh, A. Pal, T. Pal, Nanotechnology 2007, 18, 075712.
- [122] a) S. S. Shankar, A. Rai, B. Ankamwar, A. Singh, A. Ahmad, M. Sastry, Nat. Mater. 2004, 3, 482; b) X. Sun, S. Dong, E. Wang, Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 6520; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 6360; c) Y. Shao, Y. Jin, S. Dong, Chem. Commun. 2004, 1104; d) J. E. Millstone, S. Park, K. L. Shuford, L. Qin, G. C. Schatz, C. A. Mirkin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5312; e) H. Huang, X. Yang, Colloids Surf. A 2005, 255, 11; f) M. Yamamoto, Y. Kashiwagi, T. Sakata, H. Mori, M. Nakamoto, Chem. Mater. 2005, 17, 5391; g) C. S. Ah, Y. J. Yun, H. J. Park, W.-J. Kim, D. H. Ha, W. S. Yun, Chem. Mater. 2005, 17, 5558; h) S. Porel, S. Singh, T. P. Radhakrishnan, Chem. Commun. 2005, 2387; i) B. Liu, J. Xie, J. Y. Lee, Y. P. Ting, J. P. Chen, J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 15256; j) C. Kan, X. Zhu, G. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 4651; k) H.-C. Chu, C.-H. Huo, M. H. Huang, Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 808; 1) S. P. Chandran, M. Chaudhary, R. Pasricha, A. Ahmad, M. Sastry, Biotechnol. Prog. 2006, 22, 577; m) Q. Liu, H. Liu, Q. Zhou, Y. Liang, G. Yin, Z. Xu, J. Mater. Sci. 2006, 41, 3657; n) J. Xie, J. Y. Lee, D. I. C. Wang, Y. P. Ting, Small 2007, 3, 672; o) P. Jiang, J.-J. Zhou, R. Li, Y. Gao, T.-L. Sun, X.-W. Zhao, Y.-J. Xiang, S.-S. Xie, J. Nanopart. Res. 2006,

8, 927; p) M. Gericke, A. Pinches, *Hydrometallurgy* **2006**, *83*, 132; q) C. Li, W. Cai, Y. Li, J. Hu, P. Liu, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 1546; r) N. N. Mallikarjuna, R. S. Varma, *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2007**, *7*, 686.

- [123] C. Besson, E. E. Finney, R. G. Finke, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8179.
- [124] S. Yang, T. Zhang, L. Zhang, Q. Wang, R. Zhang, B. Ding, *Nanotechnology* **2006**, *17*, 5639.
- [125] C. Li, W. Cai, B. Cao, F. Sun, Y. Li, C. Kan, L. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2006, 16, 83.
- [126] N. Zhao, Y. Wei, N. Sun, Q. Chen, J. Bai, L. Zhao, Y. Qin, M. Li, L. Qi, *Langmuir* 2008, 24, 991.
- [127] J. Zhang, J. Du, B. Han, Z. Liu, T. Jiang, Z. Zhang, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 1134; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1116.
- [128] a) B. Nikoobakht, M. A. El-Sayed, Chem. Mater. 2003, 15, 1957;
  b) T. K. Sau, C. J. Murphy, Langmuir 2004, 20, 6414; c) J. Pérez-Juste, L. M. Liz-Marzán, S. Carnie, D. Y. C. Chan, P. Mulvaney, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2004, 14, 6; d) L. Gou, C. J. Murphy, Chem. Mater. 2005, 17, 3668; e) H. M. Chen, H.-C. Peng, R.-S. Liu, K. Asakura, C.-L. Lee, J.-F. Lee, S.-F. Hu, J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 22192; g) X. Kou, S. Zhang, C.-K. Tsung, M. H. Yeung, Q. Shi, G. D. Stucky, L. Sun, J. Wang, C. Yan, J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 16377; h) X. Kou, S. Zhang, C.-K. Tsung, Z. Yang, M. H. Yeung, G. D. Stucky, L. Sun, J. Wang, C. Yan, Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 2929; i) X. C. Jiang, M. P. Pileni, Colloids Surf. A 2007, 295, 228; j) Z.-C. Xu, C.-M. Shen, C.-W. Xiao, T.-Z. Yang, H.-R. Zhang, J.-Q. Li, H.-L. Li, H.-J. Gao, Nanotechnology 2007, 18, 115608.
- [129] a) Z. L. Wang, M. B. Mohamed, S. Link, M. A. El-Sayed, *Surf. Sci.* 1999, 440, L809; b) Z. L. Wang, R. P. Gao, B. Nikoobakht, M. A. El-Sayed, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2000, 104, 5417.
- [130] C. J. Orendorff, C. J. Murphy, J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 3990.
- [131] a) E. Hao, R. C. Bailey, G. C. Schatz, J. T. Hupp, S. Li, *Nano Lett.* 2004, *4*, 327; b) J. Hu, Y. Zhang, B. Liu, J. Liu, H. Zhou, Y. Xu, Y. Jiang, Z. Yang, Z.-Q. Tian, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, *126*, 9470; c) J. H. Song, F. Kim, D. Kim, P. Yang, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2005, *11*, 910; d) X. Xu, M. B. Cortie, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2006, *16*, 2170; e) X. Kou, S. Zhang, Z. Yang, C.-K. Tsung, G. D. Stucky, L. Sun, J. Wang, C. Yan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 6402; f) B. K. Jena, C. R. Raj, *Langmuir* 2007, *23*, 4064.
- [132] F. Kim, J. H. Song, P. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 14316.
- [133] a) A. Sánchez-Iglesias, I. Pastoriza-Santos, J. Pérez-Juste, B. Rodríguez-González, F. J. G. De Abajo, L. M. Liz-Marzán, Adv. Mater. 2006, 18, 2529; b) E. Carbó-Argibay, B. Rodríguez-González, J. Pacifico, I. Pastoriza-Santos, J. Pérez-Juste, L. M. Liz-Marzán, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 9141; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8983; c) D. Seo, C. I. Yoo, J. C. Park, S. M. Park, S. Ryu, H. Song, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 775; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 763.
- [134] a) X. Lu, M. S. Yavuz, H.-Y. Tuan, B. A. Korgel, Y. Xia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8900; b) C. Wang, Y. Hu, C. M. Lieber, S. Sun, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8902; c) Z. Huo, C.-k. Tsung, W. Huang, X. Zhang, P. Yang, Nano Lett. 2008, 8, 2041.
- [135] a) J. Chen, T. Herricks, M. Geissler, Y. Xia, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
  2004, 126, 10854; b) J. Chen, T. Herricks, Y. Xia, Angew. Chem.
  2005, 117, 2645; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2589.
- [136] S. Chen, K. Kimura, J. Phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 5397.
- [137] a) T. S. Ahmadi, Z. L. Wang, A. Henglein, M. A. El-Sayed, *Chem. Mater.* 1996, *8*, 1161; b) Z. Tang, D. Geng, G. Lu, *Mater. Lett.* 2005, 59, 1567; c) S. Kinge, H. Bönnemann, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 2006, 20, 784; d) Y.-T. Yu, B.-Q. Xu, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 2006, 20, 638; e) M. Inaba, M. Ando, A. Hatanaka, A. Nomoto, K. Matsuzawa, A. Tasaka, T. Kinumoto, Y. Iriyama, Z. Ogumi, *Electrochim. Acta* 2006, 52, 1632; f) P. J. Ferreira, Y. Shao-Horn, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.* 2007, 10, B60; g) J. Ren, R. D. Tilley, *Small* 2007, 3, 1508.

- [138] a) H. Song, F. Kim, S. Connor, G. A. Somorjai, P. Yang, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2005, *109*, 188; b) R. M. Rioux, H. Song, M. Grass, S. Habas, K. Niesz, J. D. Hoefelmeyer, P. Yang, G. A. Somorjai, *Top. Catal.* 2006, *39*, 167.
- [139] J. Ren, R. D. Tilley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3287.
- [140] M. A. Mahmoud, C. E. Tabor, M. A. El-Sayed, Y. Ding, Z. L. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 4590.
- [141] a) E. P. Lee, J. Chen, Y. Yin, C. T. Campbell, Y. Xia, Adv. Mater.
  2006, 18, 3271; b) E. P. Lee, Z. Peng, D. Cate, H. Yang, C. T. Campbell, Y. Xia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 10634; E. Formo, E. P. Lee, D. Campbell, Y. Xia, Nano Lett. 2008, 8, 668.
- [142] R. Krishnaswamy, H. Remita, M. Impéror-Clerc, C. Even, P. Davidson, B. Pansu, *ChemPhysChem* 2006, 7, 1510.
- [143] a) S.-Y. Zhao, S.-H. Chen, S.-Y. Wang, D.-G. Li, H.-Y. Ma, Langmuir 2002, 18, 3315; b) Y. Song, Y. Yang, C. J. Medforth, E. Pereira, A. K. Singh, H. Xu, Y. Jiang, C. J. Brinker, F. Swol, J. A. Shelnutt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 635; c) K. Niesz, M. Grass, G. A. Somorjai, Nano Lett. 2005, 5, 2238; d) H. Lee, S. E. Habas, S. Kweskin, D. Butcher, G. A. Somorjai, P. Yang, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 7988; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7824.
- [144] a) K. M. Bratlie, H. Lee, K. Komvopoulos, P. Yang, G. A. Somorjai, *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*, 3097; b) Y. Song, R. M. Garcia, R. M. Dorin, H. Wang, Y. Qiu, E. N. Coker, W. A. Steen, J. E. Miller, J. A. Shelnutt, *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*, 3650.
- [145] N. Tian, Z.-Y. Zou, S.-G. Sun, Y. Ding, Z. L. Wang, Science 2007, 316, 732.
- [146] Y. Xiong, B. J. Wiley, Y. Xia, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 7291; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7157.
- [147] a) X. Fu, Y. Wang, N. Wu, L. Gui, Y. Tang, *Langmuir* 2002, 18, 4619; b) J. E. Martin, J. P. Wilcoxon, J. Odinek, P. Provencio, J. *Phys. Chem. B* 2002, 106, 971; c) T. Herricks, J. Chen, Y. Xia, *Nano Lett.* 2004, 4, 2367; d) X. Teng, H. Yang, *Nano Lett.* 2005, 5, 885; e) N. Tian, Z.-Y. Zhou, S.-G. Sun, L. Cui, B. Ren, Z.-Q. Tian, *Chem. Commun.* 2006, 4090; f) S. Maksimuk, X. Teng, H. Yang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2006, 8, 4660.
- [148] X. W. Lou, C. Yuan, L. A. Archer, Chem. Mater. 2006, 18, 3921.
- [149] S. Maksimuk, X. Teng, H. Yang, J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 14312.
- [150] A. C. Curtis, D. G. Duff, P. P. Edwards, D. A. Jefferson, B. F. G. Johnson, A. I. Kirkland, A. S. Wallace, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1988**, *92*, 2270.
- [151] a) Y. Wang, P. Chen, M. Liu, *Nanotechnology* 2006, *17*, 6000;
  b) M. H. Kim, B. Lim, E. Lee, Y. Xia, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2008, *18*, 4069;
  c) G. Zhou, M. Lu, Z. Yang, *Langmuir* 2006, *22*, 5900;
  d) N. R. Jana, Z. L. Wang, T. K. Sau, T. Pal, *Curr. Sci.* 2000, *69*, 1367.
- [152] a) J. Tanori, M. P. Pileni, *Langmuir* 1997, *13*, 639; b) D. Mott, J. Galkowski, L. Wang, J. Luo, C.-J. Zhong, *Langmuir* 2007, *23*, 5740; c) S. I. Cha, C. B. Mo, K. T. Kim, Y. J. Jeong, S. H. Hong, *J. Mater. Res.* 2006, *21*, 2371.
- [153] I. Lisiecki, A. Filankembo, H. Sack-Kongehl, K. Weiss, M.-P. Pileni, J. Urban, *Phys. Rev. B* 2000, *61*, 4968.
- [154] a) J.-H. Wang, T.-H. Yang, W.-W. Wu, L.-J. Chen, C.-H. Chen, C.-J. Chu, *Nanotechnology* **2006**, *17*, 719; b) C. Kim, W. Gu, M. Briceno, I. M. Robertson, H. Choi, K. Kim, *Adv. Mater.* **2008**, *20*, 1859; c) H. Choi, S.-H. Park, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 6248.
- [155] a) A. C. Curtis, D. G. Duff, P. P. Edwards, D. A. Jefferson, B. F. G. Johnson, A. I. Kirkland, A. S. Wallace, *Angew. Chem.* **1988**, 100, 1588; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, 27, 1530; b) A. Henglein, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2000**, 104, 1206.
- [156] a) Z. Liu, Y. Yang, J. Liang, Z. Hu, S. Li, S. Peng, Y. Qian, J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 12658; b) X. Cao, F. Yu, L. Li, Z. Yao, Y. Xie, J. Cryst. Growth 2003, 254, 164; c) C. M. Liu, L. Guo, H. B. Xu, Z. Y. Wu, J. Weber, Microelectron. Eng. 2003, 66, 107; d) Q. Li, C. Wang, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2003, 375, 525; e) W.-T.

Yao, S.-H. Yu, Y. Zhou, J. Jiang, Q.-S. Wu, L. Zhang, J. Jiang, J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 14011; f) S. Panigrahi, S. Kundu, S. K. Ghosh, S. Nath, S. Praharaj, S. Basu, T. Pal, Polyhedron 2006, 25, 1263.

- [157] Y. Chang, M. L. Lye, H. C. Zeng, Langmuir 2005, 21, 3746.
- [158] X. Lu, Z. Peng, E. Lee, H. Yang, Y. Xia, unpublished results.
  [159] T. Ressler, B. L. Kniep, I. Kasatkin, R. Schlögl, *Angew. Chem.* 2005, 117, 4782; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, 44, 4704.
- [160] S. Vukojević, O. Trapp, J. Grunwaldt, C. Kiener, F. Schüth, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 8192; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7978.
- [161] J. Scherzer, A. J. Guia, *Hydrocracking Science and Technology*, Marcel Dekker, New York, **1996**.
- [162] F. Robert, G. Oehme, I. Grassert, D. Sinou, J. Mol. Catal. A 2000, 156, 127.
- [163] a) Y. Wang, J. Ren, K. Deng, L. Gui, Y. Tang, *Chem. Mater.*2000, *12*, 1622; b) S. Papp, Z. Szél, A. Oszkó, I. Dékány, *Chem. Mater.*2004, *16*, 1674; c) T. D. Ewers, A. K. Sra, B. C. Norris, R. E. Cable, C.-H. Cheng, D. F. Shantz, R. W. Schaak, *Chem. Mater.*2005, *17*, 514.
- [164] a) J. D. Hoefelmeyer, K. Niesz, G. A. Somorjai, T. D. Tilley, *Nano Lett.* 2005, 5, 435; b) S. M. Humphrey, M. E. Grass, S. E. Habas, K. Niesz, G. A. Somorjai, T. D. Tilley, *Nano Lett.* 2007, 7, 785; c) Y. Zhang, M. E. Grass, S. E. Habas, F. Tao, T. Zhang, P. Yang, G. A. Somorjai, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2007, *111*, 12243; d) K. H. Park, K. Jang, H. J. Kim, S. U. Son, *Angew. Chem.* 2007, *119*, 1170; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 1152.
- [165] a) C. K. Yee, R. Jordan, A. Ulman, H. White, A. King, M. Rafailovich, J. Sokolov, *Langmuir* 1999, *15*, 3486; b) P. S. Shah, S. Husain, K. P. Johnston, B. A. Korgel, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2001, *105*, 9433; c) G. S. Fonseca, A. P. Umpierre, P. F. P. Fichtner, S. R. Teixeira, J. Dupont, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2003, *9*, 3263; d) V. Mévellec, A. Roucoux, E. Ramirez, K. Philippot, B. Chaudret, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2004, *346*, 72; e) C. A. Stowell, B. A. Korgel, *Nano Lett.* 2005, *5*, 1203.
- [166] a) X. Yan, H. Liu, K. Y. Liew, J. Mater. Chem. 2001, 11, 3387;
  b) G. Viau, R. Brayner, L. Poul, N. Chakroune, E. Lacaze, F. Fiévet-Vincent, F. Fiévet, Chem. Mater. 2003, 15, 486; c) T. Tsukatani, H. Fujihara, Langmuir 2005, 21, 12093; d) R. Harpeness, Z. Peng, X. Liu, V. G. Pol, Y. Koltypin, A. Gedanken, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2005, 287, 678.
- [167] a) R. C. O'Handley, Modern Magnetic Materials: Principles and Applications, Wiley, New York, 1999; b) N. Spaldin, Magnetic Materials: Fundamentals and Device Applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [168] a) C. H. Griffiths, M. P. Ohoro, T. W. Smith, J. Appl. Phys. 1979, 50, 7108; b) X. Q. Zhao, F. Zheng, Y. Liang, Z. Q. Hu, Y. B. Xu, Mater. Lett. 1994, 21, 285; c) K. S. Suslick, M. M. Fang, T. Hyeon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11960; d) S. J. Park, S. Kim, S. Lee, Z. G. Khim, K. Char, T. Hyeon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 8581; e) D. Farrell, S. A. Majetich, J. P. Wilcoxon, J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 11022; f) D. Farrell, Y. Cheng, R. W. McCallum, M. Sachan, S. A. Majetich, J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 13409.
- [169] a) F. Dumestre, B. Chaudret, C. Amiens, P. Renaud, P. Fejes, *Science* 2004, 303, 821; b) D. Kim, J. Park, K. An, N.-K. Yang, J.-G. Park, T. Hyeon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5812.
- [170] Y. Xiong, Y. Xie, Z. Li, R. Zhang, J. Yang, C. Wu, New J. Chem. 2003, 27, 588.
- [171] a) J. P. Chen, K. M. Lee, C. M. Sorensen, K. J. Klabunde, G. C. Hadjipanayis, J. Appl. Phys. 1994, 75, 5876; b) S. H. Sun, C. B. Murray, J. Appl. Phys. 1999, 85, 4325; c) D. P. Dinega, M. G. Bawendi, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 1906; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1788; d) Z. L. Wang, Z. R. Dai, S. H. Sun, Adv. Mater. 2000, 12, 1944; e) V. F. Puntes, K. M. Krishnan, P. Alivisatos, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2001, 78, 2187; f) V. F. Puntes, K. M. Krishnan, A. P. Alivisatos, Science 2001, 291, 2115;

g) C. B. Murray, S. H. Sun, W. Gaschler, H. Doyle, T. A. Betley, C. R. Kagan, *IBM J. Res. Dev.* **2001**, *45*, 47; h) V. F. Puntes, D. Zanchet, C. K. Erdonmez, A. P. Alivisatos, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 12874; i) I. Lisiecki, P. A. Albouy, M. P. Pileni, *Adv. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 712; j) V. F. Puntes, P. Gorostiza, D. M. Aruguete, N. G. Bastus, A. P. Alivisatos, *Nat. Mater.* **2004**, *3*, 263.

- [172] Y. Lu, X. Lu, B. T. Mayers, T. Herricks, Y. Xia, J. Solid State Chem. 2008, 181, 1530.
- [173] a) F. Dumestre, B. Chaudret, C. Amiens, M.-C. Fromen, M.-J. Casanove, P. Renaud, P. Zurcher, *Angew. Chem.* 2002, *114*, 4462; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2002, *41*, 4286; b) F. Dumestre, B. Chaudret, C. Amiens, M. Respaud, P. Fejes, P. Renaud, P. Zurcher, *Angew. Chem.* 2003, *115*, 5371; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003, *42*, 5213.
- [174] a) N. Cordente, M. Respaud, F. Senocq, M. J. Casanove, C. Amiens, B. Chaudret, *Nano Lett.* 2001, *1*, 565; b) G. J. Cheng, V. F. Puntes, T. Guo, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2006, 293, 430; c) T. O. Ely, C. Amiens, B. Chaudret, *Chem. Mater.* 1999, *11*, 526.
- [175] a) D.-H. Chen, S.-H. Wu, *Chem. Mater.* 2000, *12*, 1354; b) Y. Hou, S. Gao, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2003, *13*, 1510; c) T. Bala, S. D. Bhame, P. A. Joy, B. L. V. Prasad, M. Sastry, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2004, *14*, 2941.
- [176] Y. Leng, Y. Wang, X. Li, T. Liu, S. Takahashhi, *Nanotechnology* 2006, 17, 4834.
- [177] a) W.-N. Wang, Y. Itoh, I. W. Lenggora, K. Okuyama, *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* 2004, *111*, 69; b) Z. Liu, S. Li. Y. Yang, S. Peng, Z. Hu, Y. Qian, *Adv. Mater.* 2003, *15*, 11.
- [178] a) Y. Wang, Y. Xia, *Nano Lett.* 2004, *4*, 2047; b) U. Jeong, Y. Wang, M. Ibisate, Y. Xia, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2005, *15*, 1907; c) Y. Wang, L. Cai, Y. Xia, *Adv. Mater.* 2005, *17*, 473; d) Y. Wang, M. Ibisate, Z.-Y. Li, Y. Xia, *Adv. Mater.* 2006, *18*, 471.
- [179] a) H. Yu, P. C. Gibbons, K. F. Kelton, W. E. Buhro, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9198; b) D. V. Goia, J. Mater. Chem. 2004, 14, 451.
- [180] a) L. Rayleigh, Proc. R. Soc. London 1879, 29, 71; b) S. Tomotika, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A 1935, 150, 322.
- [181] a) P. H. M. Elemans, J. M. H. Janssen, H. E. H. Meijer, *J. Rheol.* **1990**, *34*, 1311; b) J. C. Lepers, B. D. Favis, R. J. Tabar, *J. Polym. Sci. Part B* **1997**, *35*, 2271.
- [182] a) E. E. Foos, R. M. Stroud, A. D. Berry, A. W. Snow, J. P. Armistead, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 7114; b) J. Fang, K. L. Stokes, W. L. Zhou, W. Wang, J. Lin, *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 1872.
- [183] a) J. Wang, G. Cao, Y. Li, *Mater. Res. Bull.* 2003, *38*, 1645; b) Y. Gao, H. Niu, C. Zeng, Q. Chen, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2003, *367*, 141; c) J. Wang, X. Wang, Q. Peng, Y. Li, *Inorg. Chem.* 2004, *43*, 7552; d) L. Balan, R. Schneider, D. Billaud, Y. Fort, J. Ghanbaja, *Nanotechnology* 2004, *15*, 940; e) W. Z. Wang, B. Poudel, Y. Ma, Z. F. Ren, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2006, *110*, 25702.
- [184] M. Gutiérrez, A. Henglein, J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 7656.
- [185] B. Zhou, T. Ren, J.-J. Zhu, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* 2005, *19*, 2829.
  [186] a) Y. Wang, T. Herricks, Y. Xia, *Nano Lett.* 2003, *3*, 1163; b) Y.
- Wang, X. Jiang, T. Herricks, Y. Xia, J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 8631; c) X.-M. Lin, H. Claus, U. Welp, I. S. Beloborodow, W.-K. Kwok, G. W. Crabtree, H. M. Jaeger, J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 3548.
- [187] a) T. J. Trentler, K. M. Hickman, S. C. Geol, A. M. Viano, P. C. Gibbons, W. E. Buhro, *Science* 1995, 270, 1791; b) J. D. Holmes, K. P. Johnston, R. C. Doty, B. A. Korgel, *Science* 2000, 287, 1471.
- [188] a) K. Soulantica, A. Maisonnat, F. Senocq, M.-C. Fromen, M.-J. Casanove, B. Chaudret, *Angew. Chem.* 2001, *113*, 3071; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2001, *40*, 2983; b) Y. Zhang, H. Ago, J. Liu, M. Yumura, K. Uchida, S. Ohshima, S. Lijima, J. Zhu, X. Zhang, J.

*Cryst. Growth* **2004**, *264*, 363; c) Y.-J. Hsu, S.-Y. Lu, Y.-F. Lin, *Small* **2006**, *2*, 268.

- [189] N. H. Chou, X. Ke, P. Schiffer, R. E. Schaak, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8140.
- [190] Y. W. Wang, B. H. Hong, J. Y. Lee, J.-S. Kim, G. H. Kim, K. S. Kim, J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 16723.
- [191] a) B. Stegemann, C. Ritter, B. Kaiser, K. Rademann, J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 14292; b) B. Yang, Y. Wu, H. Hu, C. Li, X. Yang, Y. Qian, Mater. Chem. Phys. 2005, 92, 286.
- [192] a) J. Nittmann, H. E. Stanley, *Nature* **1986**, *321*, 663; b) E. Ben-Jacob, P. Garik, *Nature* **1990**, *343*, 523.
- [193] a) Y. Ding, S. Yamamuro, D. Farrell, S. A. Majetich, J. Appl. Phys. 2003, 93, 7411; b) N. H. Hai, N. M. Dempseya, D. Givord, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2003, 262, 353; c) C. Liu, T. Klemmera, N. Shuklaa, X. Wu, D. Weller, M. Tanaseb, D. Laughlin, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2003, 266, 96; d) S. Anders, M. F. Toney, T. Thomson, R. F. C. Farrow, J. U. Thiele, B. D. Terris, S. H. Sun, C. B. Murray, J. Appl. Phys. 2003, 93, 6299; e) S. H. Sun, S. Anders, T. Thomson, J. E. E. Baglin, M. F. Toney, H. F. Hamann, C. B. Murray, B. D. Terris, J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 5419; f) X. W. Teng, H. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 14559; g) T. Thomson, M. F. Toney, S. Raoux, S. L. Lee, S. H. Sun, C. B. Murray, B. D. Terris, J. Appl. Phys. 2004, 96, 1197; h) H. Zeng, S. Sun, R. L. Sandstrom, C. B. Murray, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2003, 266, 227; i) M. Chen, J. P. Liu, S. Sun, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8394; j) H. Zeng, J. Li, Z. L. Wang, J. P. Liu, S. Sun, Nano Lett. 2004, 4, 187.
- [194] O. Gutfleisch, J. Lyubina, K.-H. Müller, L. Schultz, Adv. Eng. Mater. 2005, 7, 208.
- [195] a) B. Jeyadevan, K. Urakawa, A. N. Hobo, S. K. Chinnasamy,
  K. Tohij, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* 2003, *42*, L350; b) L. E. M.
  Howard, H. L. Nguyen, S. R. Giblin, B. K. Tanner, I. Terry,
  A. K. Hughes, J. S. Evans, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, *127*, 10140.
- [196] a) C. Rottman, M. Wortis, *Phys. Rev. B* **1981**, 24, 6274; b) C. Jayaprakash, W. F. Saam, *Phys. Rev. B* **1984**, 30, 3916.
- [197] J. Chen, J. M. McLellan, A. Siekkinen, Y. Xiong, Z.-Y. Li, Y. Xia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14776.
- [198] C.-K. Tsung, X. Kou, Q. Shi, J. Zhang, M. H. Yeung, J. Wang, G. D. Stucky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 5352.
- [199] Y. Xia, N. J. Halas, MRS Bull. 2005, 30, 338.
- [200] a) M. Fleischmann, P. J. Hendra, A. D. McQuillan, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1974**, *26*, 163; b) D. L. Jeanmaire, R. P. Van Duyne, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1977**, *84*, 1; c) C. L. Haynes, A. D. McFarland, R. P. Van Duyne, *Anal. Chem.* **2005**, *77*, 338A.
- [201] J. P. Kottmann, O. J. F. Martin, D. R. Smith, S. Schultz, *Phys. Rev. B* 2001, 64, 235402.
- [202] A. J. Haes, C. L. Haynes, A. D. McFarland, G. C. Schatz, R. P. Van Duyne, S. Zou, *MRS Bull.* 2005, *30*, 368.
- [203] R. Fuchs, Phys. Rev. B 1975, 11, 1732.
- [204] K. L. Kelly, E. Coronado, L. L. Zhao, G. C. Schatz, J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 668.
- [205] M. Hu, C. Novo, A. Funston, H. Wang, H. Petrova, S. Zou, P. Mulvaney, Y. Xia, G. V. Hartland, *J. Mater. Chem.* 2008, 18, 1949.
- [206] J. M. McLellan, A. Siekkinen, J. Chen, Y. Xia, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2006, 427, 122.
- [207] J. M. McLellan, Z.-Y. Li, A. Siekkinen, Y. Xia, Nano Lett. 2007, 7, 1013.
- [208] J. M. McLellan, Y. Xiong, M. Hu, Y. Xia, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2006, 417, 230.
- [209] B. C. Gates, G. W. Huber, C. L. Marshall, P. N. Ross, J. Siirola, Y. Wang, *MRS Bull.* 2008, *33*, 429.
- [210] a) G. A. Somorjai, D. W. Blakely, *Nature* 1975, 258, 580;
  b) G. A. Somorjai, *Chemistry in Two Dimensions: Surfaces*, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, 1981.
- [211] G. C. Bond, Platinum Met. Rev. 1975, 19, 126.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 60-103

- [212] C. Wang, H. Daimon, T. Onodera, T. Koda, S. Sun, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 3644; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3588.
- [213] N. M. Markovic, H. A. Gasteiger, P. N. Ross, J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 3411.
- [214] a) B. Bourlon, D. C. Glattli, B. Placais, J. M. Berroir, C. Milko,
  L. Forro, A. Bachtold, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2004, *92*, 026804;
  b) B. Q. Wei, R. Vajtai, P. M. Ajayan, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2001, *79*, 1172.
- [215] a) D. Weller, A. Moser, *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 1999, 35, 4423;
  b) C. A. Ross, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* 2001, 31, 203.
- [216] Y.-W. Jun, J.-W. Seo, J. Cheon, Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 179.
- [217] D. Jales, Introduction to Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, CRC, Boca Raton, 1998.
- [218] Y. Jun, J. Choi, J. Cheon, Chem. Commun. 2007, 1203.
- [219] J.-I Park, N.-J. Kang, Y. Jun, S. J. Oh, H. C. Ri, J. Cheon, *ChemPhysChem* 2002, 3, 543.
- [220] a) M. Aslam, R. Bhobe, N. Alem, S. Donthu, V. P. Dravid, J. Appl. Phys. 2005, 98, 074311; b) M. Darques, L. Piraux, A. Encinas, P. Bayle-Guillemaud, A. Popa, U. Ebels, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2005, 86, 072508; c) M. Darques, L. Piraux, A. Encinas, IEEE Trans. Magn. 2005, 41, 3415.

- [221] Y. Leng, Y. Zhang, T. Liu, M. Suzuki, Z. Li, Nanotechnology 2006, 17, 1797.
- [222] See, for example, a) G. M. Whitesides, B. A. Grzybowski, *Science* 2002, 295, 2418; b) S. C. Glotzer, M. J. Solomon, *Nat. Mater.* 2007, 6, 557.
- [223] See, for example, a) L.-S. Li, J. Walda, L. Manna, A. P. Alivisatos, *Nano Lett.* **2002**, *2*, 557; b) S. Meuer, P. Oberle, P. Theato, W. Tremel, R. Zentel, *Adv. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 2073.
- [224] a) A. M. Kalsin, M. Fialkowski, M. Paszewski, S. K. Smoukov,
   K. J. M. Bishop, B. A. Grzybowski, *Science* 2006, *312*, 420; b) Z.
   Chen, J. Moore, G. Radtke, H. Sirringhaus, S. O'Brien, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 15702.
- [225] a) F. Li, S. A. Delo, A. Stein, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 6786; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6666; b) S. Yang, L. Gao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9330.
- [226] M. Rycenga, J. M. McLellan, Y. Xia, Adv. Mater. 2008, 20, 2416.
- [227] S. E. Skrabalak, B. Wiley, M. H. Kim, E. Formo, Y. Xia, Nano Lett. 2008, 8, 2077.
- [228] X. Wu, P. L. Redmond, H. Liu, Y. Chen, M. Steigerwald, L. Brus, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 9500.