

Article

Donor-Acceptor Fluorophores for Energy Transfer Mediated Photocatalysis

Jingzhi Lu, Brian Pattengale, Qiuhua Liu, Sizhuo Yang, Wenxiong Shi, Shuzhou Li, Jier Huang, and Jian Zhang

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.8b07271 • Publication Date (Web): 02 Oct 2018

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on October 2, 2018

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Donor-Acceptor Fluorophores for Energy Transfer Mediated Photocatalysis

Jingzhi Lu,† Brian Pattengale,‡ Qiuhua Liu,†.§ Sizhuo Yang,‡ Wenxiong Shi,#,¤ Shuzhou Li,*,# Jier Huang,*,‡ and Jian Zhang*,†,⊥

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Nebraska–Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588, United States

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201, United States

[§]School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hunan University of Science and Technology, Xiangtan 411201, China

*School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798

[®]State Key Laboratory of Hollow Fiber Membrane Materials and Processes, Key Lab of Fiber Modification & Functional Fiber of Tianjin, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin, 300387, China

¹Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

ABSTRACT: Triplet-triplet energy transfer (EnT) is a fundamental activation pathway in photocatalysis. In this work, we report the mechanistic origins of triplet excited state of carbazole-cyanobenzene donor-acceptor (D-A) fluorophores in EnT based photocatalytic reactions and demonstrate the key factors that control the accessibility of ³LE (locally-excited triplet state) and ³CT (charge transfer triplet state) via a combined photochemical and transient absorption spectroscopic study. We found that the energy order between ¹CT (charge transfer singlet state) and ³LE dictates the accessibility of ³LE/³CT for EnT, which can be effectively engineered by varying solvent polarity and D-A character to depopulate ³LE and facilitate EnT from the chemically more tunable ³CT state for photosensitization. Following the above design principle, a new D-A fluorophore with strong D-A character and weak redox potential is identified, which exhibits high efficiency for Ni(II)-catalyzed cross-coupling of carboxylic acids and aryl halides with a wide substrate scope and high selectivity. Our results not only provide key fundamental insight on the EnT mechanism of D-A fluorophores but also establish its wide utility in EnT-mediated photocatalytic reactions.

INTRODUCTION

The past decade has witnessed a renaissance of photocatalysis in synthetic organic chemistry.¹ Through visible light sensitization, small molecules are activated under mild conditions with high tolerance to functional groups. One popular activation strategy is photoredox catalysis, by which the photocatalyst (PC, also referred as photosensitizer), upon visible light excitation, initializes two sequential single electron transfer (ET) processes to turnover and promote the transformation of reactant(s) to product(s).² A second fundamental activation pathway that does not involve any charge separation is energy transfer (EnT), which occurs directly from the electronically excited state (ES) of PC (*PC) to substrate and initializes its subsequent transformation.³ Building on the early studies,⁴ the reaction scope of EnT-mediated photocatalysis has been recently expanded to cycloaddition,⁵ isomerization,⁶ and cross-coupling,⁷ to name a few. In several cases, the combination of EnT with other activation modes such as Lewis acid⁸ and hydrogen-bond⁹ catalysis can also realize excellent enantioselectivity.

One important character for a good PC, regardless the activation pathways, is a sufficiently long-lived ES, which is usually enabled by spin interconversion. While a near unity of Φ_{ISC} (ISC = intersystem crossing) can be often achieved when heavy transition metals (e.g. Ru or Ir) are

incorporated in PCs,¹⁰ this strategy induces concerns of potential metal contamination. As a result, metal-free organic PCs that can achieve efficient ISC have been become the most attractive alternatives.¹¹ Organic PCs with high $\Phi_{\rm ISC}$ have been reported after incorporating carbonyls and heavy halogens,¹² and have been used in synthetic photochemistry for EnT-based reactions.¹³ Very recently, we have also developed metal-free PCs based on donoracceptor (D-A) fluorophores for organic synthesis.¹⁴ The rationale is inspired by the common design principle in thermally-assisted delayed fluorescence (TADF), where a sterically hindered D-A dyad usually exhibits a small singlet(¹S)-triplet(³T) energy gap ($\Delta E_{\rm ST}$) that increases both down-conversion and up-conversion ISC.¹⁵

While these examples demonstrate the large potentials of using D-A fluorophores as metal-free PCs for EnTmediated organic synthesis, the mechanistic origins of EnT process remain unclear. Typically, the triplet state (³T) of organic D-A fluorophores has two main characters, namely, local excitation (³LE) within a particular molecular subunit (either D or A) and charge-transfer (³CT) transition from donor-based HOMO to acceptor-based LUMO. Recent experimental¹⁶ and theoretical¹⁷ studies have revealed that ³LE mediates the efficient ISC from ¹CT to ³CT via spinorbit¹⁸ as well as spin-vibronic coupling.¹⁹ It then raises a question whether both ³CT and ³LE can be utilized for EnT. If so, how can one modulate their accessibility for the synthetic purpose?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

Herein, we report a systematic study of the photocatalytic applications of Cz-CN (Cz = carbazole, CN = cyanobenzene) based D-A fluorophores. Using the well-known Z/Eisomerization of stilbene as a prototypic reaction and optical femtosecond (fs-TA) and nanosecond (ns-TA) transient absorption spectroscopy, we found that indeed both ³LE and ³CT are amenable for the EnT-based reactions and the energy order between ¹CT and ³LE dictates their accessibility. Since the energy level of ³LE is relatively fixed and not significantly affected by reaction media, the tunability of D-A fluorophores is best realized via adjusting the energy level of ³CT, and its accessibility can be achieved by decreasing solvent polarity or increasing the D-A CT character of the fluorophores. Finally, the validity of this design principle was further tested and applied in the identification of new D-A PCs for Ni(II)-catalyzed cross-coupling of carboxylic acids with aryl halides.

Scheme 1. Chemical Structures of Cz-CN Donor-Acceptor (D-A) Fluorophores Used in This Study



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We chose eleven Cz-CN based D-A fluorophores of which the number and position of donor and acceptor are systematically changed, including five benzonitrile (BN) derivatives where the BN core is coupled with two, three (×2), four, and five Cz, as well as six dicyanobenzene derivatives where two and four Cz are coupled with terephthalonitrile (TPN), phthalonitrile (PN), and isophthalonitrile (IPN), respectively (Scheme 1). Except 3,5-2CzBN, most Cz-CN fluorophores exhibit appreciable visible light absorption (> 380 nm, see Supporting Information, Figure S1), indicating the generality of D-A fluorophores for visible light photocatalysis. The CT nature of ES of D-A fluorophores was clearly indicated by the sensitive solvatochromism in fluorescence spectra (Figure S2): the more polar solvent DMF results in a larger Stokes shift than the less polar solvent toluene due to the stronger interaction between the CT nature of PC and the dipole of polar solvents, consistent with previous observations.²⁰ Moreover, as the D-A interaction increases by increasing the number of either Cz or CN, both absorption and emission peaks are red-shifted (Figure S2).

Photosensitized E/Z isomerization of stilbene was used to evaluate the performance of D-A fluorophores (Figure 1a).²¹ This energetically uphill reaction is based on different triplet-triplet EnT efficiency of PC to the two isomers. In general, if the triplet energy (E_T) of PC is smaller than that of the *Z*-isomer but larger than that of the *E*-isomer, EnT is more thermodynamically favorable to the *E*-isomer, resulting in accumulation of *Z*-isomer and a higher E/Z isomerization efficiency (defined by Z/E ratio). Provided that the "*photostationary state*" is reached by sufficient light irradiation, the other photophysical properties of PCs such as molar absorptivity and quantum yield become insignificant. As such, it is convenient to benchmark the photostatlytic efficiencies solely on the basis of E_T .

The isomerization reaction was conducted in a DMF solution of *E*-stilbene (0.2 M) and PC (0.7 mol%) using white light irradiation (26 W compact fluorescence lamp, CFL) under argon atmosphere at room temperature (Figure 1a). Figure 1b illustrates the correlation between the Z/E ratio and the energy of the lowest triplet state (E_{T} , see Supporting Information Table S3 for details). In general, D-A fluorophores with a large E_T (> 2.7 eV) result in lower Z/E ratios. Specifically, with an $E_{\rm T}$ of 2.34 eV that is larger than $E_{\rm T}$ of *E*-stilbene (2.2 eV^{22}) but smaller than E_T of *Z*-stilbene (2.5 eV²²), 4CzTPN shows the highest isomerization efficiency (Z/E = 8.5), which is followed by 4CzPN ($E_T = 2.45$ eV, Z/E = 8.1), 4CzIPN ($E_T = 2.53$ eV, Z/E = 6.7), and 5CzBN $(E_{\rm T} = 2.68 \text{ eV}, Z/E = 4.0)$. However, 2CzPN $(E_{\rm T} = 2.63 \text{ eV})$ and 2CzTPN (E_T = 2.48 eV) do not follow the trend and give an essentially the same efficiency ($Z/E \approx 2$) as those with a large E_T (Figure 1b).



Figure 1. (a) Photosensitized E/Z isomerization of stilbene. (b) Z/E ratio at the photostationary state vs E_T of D-A fluorophores.

This deviation prompts us to hypothesize that, in certain D-A fluorophores, instead of the lowest triplet state (${}^{3}T_{1}$, presumably ${}^{3}CT$), triplet state(s) with a larger energy (${}^{3}T_{n}$), likely with a strong ${}^{3}LE$ character, are involved in EnT. Indeed, TD-DFT calculations with UB3LYP functional and 6-31g(d) basis functions have revealed the strong ${}^{3}LE$ character of the triplet states of 2CzPN (${}^{3}T_{3-4}$, 3.00-3.05 eV) and 2CzTPN (${}^{3}T_{5-7}$, 3.01-3.18 eV) (see Supporting Information S-7 for detailed computational procedure and results). This hypothesis is also consistent with the pivotal role of ${}^{3}T_{n}$ with ${}^{3}LE$ character in the TADF process by inducing an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

efficient ISC via spin-orbit coupling.¹⁸ Nevertheless, the EnT from ³LE needs to be experimentally confirmed.

Femtosecond and Nanosecond Transient Absorption Spectroscopy. In order to seek further support for the proposed EnT mechanisms, we turn to fs-TA and ns-TA spectroscopy to investigate the ES dynamics of two model D-A fluorophores, 2CzPN and 4CzIPN, since their ³CT and ³LE states have distinct spectral features.²³ Upon the excitation at 400 nm, the fs-TA spectra of both compounds show a prominent absorption band at 480 nm and a broad absorption > 600 nm (Figure 2a and 2b) that can be assigned to ¹CT absorption. At early time (< 2 ps) (insets of Figure 2a and 2b), spectral evolution in the whole spectral window involves the rising of 480 nm band and decay of the > 600 nm absorption feature, which can be attributed to the vibrational cooling from a Franck-Condon (FC) state to the relaxed S_1 state. At later times (> 2 ps), distinct growth in the ~525-700 nm and simultaneous decay at 480 nm and > 700 nm can be attributed to the ISC process from ¹CT to the triplet states (³CT/³LE). This evolution agrees well with the ns-TA spectra (Figure 2c and 2d), where ISC process continues to evolve until ~150 ns. Following the ISC process, the triplet states eventually return to the ground state with a slow time constant on a microsecond time scale. The ISC process is further supported by the ns-TA spectra at NIR region (Figure 2c and 2d), where the decay of absorption feature < 1000 nm corresponding to ¹CT is accompanied by the growth of the feature > 1000 nm that represents triplet state. According to previous report, we can further assign the spectral features at 525-700 nm and 900-1200 nm to ³LE and ³CT, respectively.²³ These results together suggest that ³LE and ³CT are both present in 2CzPN and 4CzIPN, and the proposed triplet state (T₁) is likely associated with the equilibrium between ³LE and ³CT.

We next probe the kinetics of the spectral features of ³LE and ³CT to evaluate the EnT process in the presence of *E*stilbene as the energy acceptor (quencher). Figure 3 compares the kinetic traces of 2CzPN and 4CzIPN at 660 nm (³LE) and 1000 nm (³CT) in the presence of different concentrations of *E*-stilbene (see Figure S8-S10 in Supporting Information for TA spectra). The significantly enhanced decay observed for both samples at ³LE and ³CT spectral regions in the presence of *E*-stilbene suggests that both triplet states are responsible for EnT process, supporting triple state EnT mechanism, although their relative contribution might be different between 2CzPN and 4CzIPN.



Figure 2. Femtosecond TA spectra of 2CzPN (a) and 4CzIPN (b) in visible region following 400 nm excitation. The inset shows the corresponding early time spectra. Nanosecond TA spectra of 2CzPN (c) and 4CzIPN (d) in both visible and NIR regions following 400 nm excitation. The break denotes the spectral range not covered by ns-TA experiments. The extinction coefficients obtained from global fitting model for 2CzPN (e) and 4CzIPN (f).



Figure 3. Kinetic traces of ns-TA spectra in the presence of different concentrations of *E*-stilbene (quencher) for 2CzPN at 660 nm (a) and 1000 nm (c) and for 4CzIPN at 660 nm (b) and 1000 nm (d).

59 60

Table 1. Fitting Parameters for 2CzPN and 4CzIPN Obtained from Global Fitting

sample	[E-stilbene]	τ ₁ (ps)	τ ₂ (ns)	$\tau_3 \text{ or } \tau_3$ (µs)	$\tau_{EnT, 3}CT (ns)$	$\tau_{EnT, 3}LE (ns)$	
2CzPN	0 mM	1.7	25	1.8	NA	NA	S ₁ FC (1)
	4 mM			0.132, 0.127	142	137	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{S}_{1}(2) \mathbf{\bigvee} \mathbf{\tau}_{1} \\ \mathbf{\nabla}_{4} \\ \mathbf{\nabla}_{4} \\ \mathbf{\nabla}_{3} \end{array} $
	40 mM			0.025	not measured	25	
	400 mM			0.081	85	not measured	
4CzIPN	0 mM	5.7	47	1.4	NA	NA	
	4 mM			0.461, 0.600	687	1050	
	40 mM			0.076	not measured	80	Ground state
	400 mM			0.049	51	not measured	

To quantitatively evaluate EnT dynamics in these systems, global fitting is used to simultaneously fit the kinetic traces of fs- and ns-TA spectra at different wavelengths by using the same set of rate constants while varying the extinction coefficients (see Supporting Information S-8 for details). We first fit the kinetic traces of the samples in the absence of E-stilbene to obtain the time constants for intrinsic ES relaxation dynamics of 2CzPN and 4CzIPN. The best fits to these kinetic traces are shown in Figure S11-12. The resulting fitting parameters are listed in Table 1, from which we obtained the intrinsic time constants for vibrational cooling ($\tau_1 = 1/k_1$), ISC process ($\tau_2 = 1/k_2$), and triplet state lifetime ($\tau_3 = 1/k_3$) for 2CzPN and 4CzIPN. More importantly, we found that the extinction coefficients of S₁ and T₁ obtained from global fitting show excellent agreement with the corresponding ns-TA spectra (Figure 2e and 2f), which unambiguously confirms the validity of the fitting model.

The same model was used to fit the kinetic traces of the TA results in the presence of *E*-stilbene (Figure S13-S15). Because triplet EnT to E-stilbene has negligible effect on the time constants of vibrational cooling (τ_1) and ISC process (τ_2), these parameters were fixed during the fitting process. The resulting time constant representing the new lifetime of triplet state in the presence of different concentrations of quencher $(\tau_{3'})$ is also listed in Table 1, from which the EnT time constant (τ_{EnT}) was obtained according to $1/\tau_{3'} = 1/\tau_{EnT} + 1/\tau_3$. It is interesting to note that, for 2CzPN, τ_{EnT} from ³LE is slightly shorter than from ³CT in the presence of low concentrations of quencher (4 mM) but much shorter in the presence of higher concentrations of quencher (τ_{EnT} (³LE) = 25 ns with 40 mM quencher; τ_{EnT} (³CT) = 85 ns with 400 mM quencher). These results suggest that EnT process is more efficient from ³LE than from ³CT in 2CzPN, although both triplet states contribute to EnT. On the other hand, 4CzIPN shows significantly shorter τ_{EnT} from ³CT than ³LE even at low guencher concentration (4 mM), which indicates that EnT process is dominated by ³CT in 4CzIPN.

Changing Photophysical Properties of PC to Modulate the EnT Pathway. The mechanistic study highlights the importance of the depopulation of ³LE for using highly tunable ³CT of D-A fluorophores in EnT-based photocatalytic reactions. We envision that when ¹CT is lowered, the population of ³LE should become less efficient. Since for most Cz-CN D-A fluorophores the ³LE state usually has a

fairly constant value of $\sim 3.1 \text{ eV}$,²³ two strategies can be used herein to tune the energy level of ¹CT and modulate the ³LE/³CT population. (1) Changing solvent polarity. Since the absorption of Cz-CN D-A fluorophores usually red-shift in less polar solvents (Figure S2), changing solvent polarity may serve as an approach to modulate the ³LE/³CT population. Indeed, for 2CzTPN, we observed an increase of Z/E ratio from 2.7 in DMF to 7.3 in toluene. The redshift of the UV-vis spectra of 2CzTPN in toluene compared that in DMF (Figure 4a), via which the lowest-energy absorption band (1CTabs, based on the peak position) becomes lower than ³LE and causes the depopulation of the latter. (2) Increasing D-A CT character. An increase of the donor strength in D-A fluorophores is another strategy to increase the CT character and decrease the ¹CT energy. For instance, although changing solvent polarity for 2CzPN only results in a limited difference of Z/E ratio in DMF (1.9) and toluene (2.1), which is consistent with its ${}^{1}CT_{abs}$ being at the larger energy than ³LE in both solvents; replacing Cz with a stronger donor, such as 3,6-di-tertbutylcarbazoly- (^tBuCz, E_T = 2.97 eV²⁴), shifts ¹CT_{abs} below ³LE and increases the Z/E ratio to 4.6 (in toluene) in accordance with ³CT energy of 2tBuCzPN (~2.56 eV²⁵) (Figure 4b). Overall, both experiments strongly suggest that tuning the energy of ¹CT can effectively modulate the ³LE/³CT population and dramatically vary the photocatalytic efficiency of the Z/E isomerization of stilbene. In fact, upon switching solvent and increasing donor strength, the new Z/E ratios exhibit a good correlation with the E_T values, suggesting the population of ³CT and corresponding enhanced EnT (Figure S3).



Figure 4. Fine-tune the ${}^{1}\text{CT}_{abs}$ energy level to increase E/Z isomerization of stilbene by (a) changing solvent polarity and (b) increasing D-A CT character.

56

57

58 59

60

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

31

41

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58 59

60

Based on the mechanistic understanding of the EnT process, we next further demonstrate the utility of D-A fluorophores for other photoisomerization reactions that have different energetic requirements. As for β -methylstyrene with the E_T of Z- and E-isomer of 2.9 and 2.6 eV, respectively,²⁶ 5CzBN that has a $E_{\rm T}$ of 2.68 eV would be an optimal PC. To our delight, 5CzBN indeed gave rise to the highest Z/Eratio of 7.3 in DMF among the four D-A fluorophores exhibiting the dominant ³CT character (Table S7). Such catalytic activity is comparable to $Ir(ppy)_3$ (ppy = 2-phenylpyridine, $E_{\rm T}$ = 2.51 eV)^{6a} and much more efficient than conventional organic photosensitizers including benzophenone, benzil, and 9-fluorinone (Table S8). Similar results were found in the Z/E isomerization of diisopropyl fumarate ($E_T = 2.7 \text{ eV}$) to maleate (E_T = 3.1 eV);²⁷ 5CzBN gave an excellent Z/E of 32 in DMF, outperforming Ir(dF-CF₃ratio $ppy_2(dtbpy)PF_6$ (Z/E ratio = 7.3, $E_T = 2.64 \text{ eV}^{28}$) (Table S9). As expected, 4CzIPN is ineffective due to its lower E_{T} .

17 **EnT Promoted Cross-coupling of Carboxylic Acids** 18 and Aryl Halides via Nickel Complexes. We anticipate 19 the design principle of D-A fluorophores can also be applied for other EnT-mediated reactions where the organ-20 ometallic complex is the acceptor. MacMillan and coworkers recently reported the cross-coupling between 22 carboxylic acids and aryl halides promoted by Ir(ppy)₃ via 23 EnT to a nickel(II)-based catalyst.7b We predicted that a D-24 A fluorophore with an E_T larger than that of Ir(ppy)₃ (2.33) 25 eV) should provide a sufficient sensitization efficiency. 26 4CzIPN was first elected as the PC due to its appropriate $E_{\rm T}$ 27 value (2.53 eV) and good compatibility with Ni(II)-28 complexes in ET-based reactions.14b The reaction of benzo-29 ic acid (1) with 4-bromobenzotrifluoride (2) was conduct-30 ed in a mixture consisting 4CzIPN, NiCl₂·DME (DME = dimethoxyethane), dtbbpy (4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-dipyridyl), 32 and diisopropylamine (DIPA) in DMF under Ar and white CFL irradiation (Scheme 2a). Interestingly, instead of the 33 desired cross-coupling product (3), the dehalogenation 34 product trifluorotoluene (4) was obtained in 97% yield 35 (Scheme 2b). Despite the confirmed essential roles of 36 4CzIPN, light, Ni(II)-complex, and DIPA (Table S18, entries 37 2-6), the presence of triplet state quencher such as oxygen 38 and 1,1-diphenylethylene shows limited effect on the reac-39 tion yield, which strongly suggests the EnT pathway in 40 inactive (Table S18, entries 8-9). However, the oxidation product of DIPA was detected by GC-MS (Figure S18), 42 which indicates a photoredox/Ni dual catalytic cycle is 43 likely to yield the dehalogenation product with DIPA as the 44 reductant (see Figure S19 for a proposed reaction mecha-45 nism).29

Therefore, in order to achieve the expected crosscoupling product, the oxidation ability of *PC needs to be weakened to suppress the ET event, which can be realized by replacing Cz with a stronger donor, such as diphenylamine (DPA). Indeed, when 4DPAIPN was then used as the PC (* $E_{1/2^{\text{ox}}}$ = +1.10 V^{14b}), the yield of product **4** decreased to 57% and the cross-coupling product 3 started to appear (17% yield) (Scheme 2b). The efficiency further increased when the even less oxidative 4DPAPN as the PC (* $E_{1/2}^{ox}$ = +0.93 V, Figure S16-S17), which afforded 3 with 51% yield and only a small amount of 4 (17% yield) (Scheme 2b).30 The EnT-promoted formation of **3** was further supported

by largely diminished product yield under air and triplet quenchers (Table S19, entries 7-8). It is noteworthy that 4DPAIPN compares favorably to conventional organic photosensitizers such as benzophenone, benzil, 9-flurenone and common organic dyes such as eosin Y, rhodamine B, and porphyrin (Table S17). After further reaction screening of ligand and Ni(II) salt, an excellent yield (91%) of the cross-coupling product was achieved when sterically hindered amine N-isopropyl-N-methyl-tert-butylamine, a 1:3 molar ratio between carboxylic acid and aryl halide, and solvent N, N-dimethylacetamide (DMAc) was used (Table S20-S23). Our result clearly shows that ET proceeds prior to EnT when both pathways are energetically favorable. Therefore, when choosing PC for EnT promoted reactions, ET has to be suppressed by careful consideration of the electrochemical potentials of all species involved.





4DPAPN gives a wide substrate scope for this reaction (Figure 5). Benzoic acid derivatives with electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents can efficiently couple with aryl bromide (3b-3c, 95% yield). Aliphatic primary, secondary and tertiary carboxylic acids are highly tolerated (3d-3j, 79%-93% yield). Remarkably, carboxylic acids with long aliphatic chain (3g, 93% yield) or bulky adamantane unit (3h, 90% yield) can be delivered to desired cross-coupling product with excellent yields. Benzyl carboxylic acid can also yield the corresponding ester in good efficiency (3d, 79% yield). On the other hand, electron-deficient aryl bromides were able to couple with carboxylic acids smoothly, which is consistent with previous report.^{7b} The reaction tolerated cyanide, carboxylic ester, trifluoromethyl, and halide, (3k-3p, 62%-85% yield). Interestingly, a good yield was also obtained despite the presence of an electron-donating group -OMe (3m, 83% vield). Para- and meta-substitution showed similar coupling efficiency (3c and 3n), while ortho-substitution completely hindered the reaction. Heterocycle such as pyridine is also well-tolerated (3q-3r, 65%-83% yield).



Figure 5. Substrate scope for EnT-mediated cross-coupling of carboxylic acids and aryl halides.

CONCLUSION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

In this study, we use photosensitized E/Z isomerization of stilbene as a prototypic reaction and demonstrate the importance of the excited state nature of carbazolecyanobenzene donor-acceptor fluorophores in the energytransfer-based photocatalytic reactions. Transient absorption spectroscopic study of model compounds 2CzPN and 4CzIPN reveals the coexistence of 3LE and 3CT and their different accessibility for EnT to E-stilbene. The advantages of D-A fluorophores as photosensitizers, namely, the tunable ³CT state energy levels, are best realized via ³LE depopulation. Increasing solvent polarity and D-A character are two effective strategies to adjust the energy order between 1CT and 3LE to enhance the accessibility of ³CT for EnT processes. Following this design principle, a new D-A fluorophore, 4DPAPN that exhibits an appropriate triplet energy is identified to bypass the photoredox process and promote the Ni(II)-catalyzed cross-coupling of carboxylic acids and aryl halides with a wide substrate scope and high selectivity. This work underscores wide applications of D-A fluorophores in EnT-mediated photocatalytic reactions.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Materials and methods, synthesis and characterization of D-A fluorophores, photocatalytic reaction procedures, computational results, transient absorption spectroscopy, and NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*lisz@ntu.edu.sg *jier.huang@marquette.edu

*jzhang3@unl.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

J.Z. acknowledges a National Science Foundation CAREER Award (DMR-1554918) for support of this research. Q.L. thanks the support from China Scholarship Council (201709480009). B.P. and J.H. acknowledge the support from National Science Foundation (DMR-1654140). S.L. and W.X.S. thank the support from Academic Research Fund Tier 1 (RG2/16). The use of NIR and nanosecond transient absorption spectroscopy at the Center for Nanoscale Materials in Argonne National Laboratory was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Award No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. The computational work was partially performed on resources of the National Supercomputing Centre, Singapore (https://www.nscc.sg).

REFERENCES

1. (a) Schultz, D. M.; Yoon, T. P., *Science* **2014**, *343*, 1239176; (b) Nagib, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C., *Nature* **2011**, *480*, 224.

2. (a) Zeitler, K., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9785; (b) Tucker, J. W.; Stephenson, C. R., *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 1617; (c) Prier, C. K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W., *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 5322.

3. Albini, A., Synthesis **1981**, 1981, 249.

4. (a) Wrighton, M.; Markham, J., *J. Phys. Chem.* **1973**, *77*, 3042; (b) Ikezawa, H.; Kutal, C.; Yasufuku, K.; Yamazaki, H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 1589.

5. (a) Lu, Z.; Yoon, T. P., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 10329; (b) Zhao, J.; Brosmer, J. L.; Tang, Q.; Yang, Z.; Houk, K. N.; Diaconescu, P. L.; Kwon, O., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 9807; (c) Lei, T.; Zhou, C.; Huang, M. Y.; Zhao, L. M.; Yang, B.; Ye, C.; Xiao, H.; Meng, Q. Y.; Ramamurthy, V.; Tung, C. H.; Wu, L. Z., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 15407; (d) Munster, N.; Parker, N. A.; van Dijk, L.; Paton, R. S.; Smith, M. D., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 9468; (e) Hormann, F. M.; Chung, T. S.; Rodriguez, E.; Jakob, M.; Bach, T., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 827.

6. (a) Singh, K.; Staig, S. J.; Weaver, J. D., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 5275; (b) Fabry, D. C.; Ronge, M. A.; Rueping, M., *Chem.-Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 5350; (c) Singh, A.; Fennell, C. J.; Weaver, J. D., *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 6796.

7. (a) Heitz, D. R.; Tellis, J. C.; Molander, G. A., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 12715; (b) Welin, E. R.; Le, C.; Arias-Rotondo, D. M.; McCusker, J. K.; MacMillan, D. W., *Science* **2017**, *355*, 380.

8. Blum, T. R.; Miller, Z. D.; Bates, D. M.; Guzei, I. A.; Yoon, T. P., *Science* **2016**, *354*, 1391.

9. Troster, A.; Alonso, R.; Bauer, A.; Bach, T., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 7808.

10. (a) Juris, A.; Balzani, V.; Barigelletti, F.; Campagna, S.; Belser, P.; von Zelewsky, A., *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *84*, 85; (b) Larsen, C. B.; Wenger, O. S., *Chem.-Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 2039.

11. Romero, N. A.; Nicewicz, D. A., *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 10075.

12. Zhao, J.; Wu, W.; Sun, J.; Guo, S., *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 5323.

13. (a) Arceo, E.; Montroni, E.; Melchiorre, P., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 12064; (b) Alonso, R.; Bach, T., *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 4368; (c) Mojr, V.; Svobodova, E.; Strakova, K.;

Page 7 of 8	3 Journal of the Ame
1 2 3 4 5 6	 Nevesely, T.; Chudoba, J.; Dvorakova, H.; Cibulka, R., Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 12036; (d) Metternich, J. B.; Gilmour, R., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 11254; (e) Cai, W.; Fan, H.; Ding, D.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, W., Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 12918. 14. (a) Lu, J.; Khetrapal, N. S.; Johnson, J. A.; Zeng, X. C.; Zhang, J., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 15805; (b) Luo, J.; Zhang, J., ACS Catal. 2016, 873; (c) Luo, J.; Zhang, J., J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 9131; (d) Luo, J.; Zhang, X.; Lu, J.; Zhang, J., ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 70000
7 8	5062. 15. (a) Uoyama, H.; Goushi, K.; Shizu, K.; Nomura, H.;
9 10	 Adachi, C., <i>Nature</i> 2012, <i>492</i>, 234; (b) Kabe, R.; Adachi, C., <i>Nature</i> 2017, <i>550</i>, 384. 16. Dias, F. B.; Santos, J.; Graves, D. R.; Data, P.; Nobuyasu, R.
11 12 13	 S.; Fox, M. A.; Batsanov, A. S.; Palmeira, T.; Berberan-Santos, M. N.; Bryce, M. R.; Monkman, A. P., <i>Adv. Sci.</i> 2016, <i>3</i>, 1600080. 17. Samanta, P. K.; Kim, D.; Coropceanu, V.; Bredas, J. L., <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> 2017, <i>139</i>, 4042.
14 15	18. Baryshnikov, G.; Minaev, B.; Agren, H., <i>Chem. Rev.</i> 2017 , <i>117</i> , 6500.
16 17	19. (a) Penfold, T. J.; Gindensperger, E.; Daniel, C.; Marian, C. M., <i>Chem. Rev.</i> 2018 , <i>118</i> , 6975; (b) Penfold, T. J.; Dias, F. B.; Manlman A. P. Chem. Commun. 2019 , <i>54</i> , 2026
18 19 20	 20. (a) Ishimatsu, R.; Matsunami, S.; Shizu, K.; Adachi, C.; Nakano, K.; Imato, T., J. Phys. Chem. A 2013, 117, 5607; (b) Ishimatsu, R.; Edura, T.; Adachi, C.; Nakano, K.; Imato, T., Chem
21 22	<i>Eur. J.</i> 2016 , <i>22</i> , 4889. 21. Saltiel, I.; Hammond, G. S., <i>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</i> 1963 , <i>85</i> ,
22	2515.
24 25	
26	
27 28	
28 29	
30	
31 32	
33	
34	
35	
30 37	
38	
39	
40 41	
42	
43	
44	
45 46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51 52	
53	
54	

60

22. Herkstroeter, W. G.; McClure, D. S., J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1968**, *90*, 4522.

23. Hosokai, T.; Matsuzaki, H.; Nakanotani, H.; Tokumaru, K.; Tsutsui, T.; Furube, A.; Nasu, K.; Nomura, H.; Yahiro, M.; Adachi, C., *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3*, e1603282.

24. Chan, C.-Y.; Cui, L.-S.; Kim, J. U.; Nakanotani, H.; Adachi, C., *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2018**, *28*, 1706023.

25. Based on the E_T value of 2CzPN (2.63 eV). The difference is estimated from the reported values from D. Zhang, L. Duan, SPIE Newsroom **2017**, DOI: 10.1117/2.1201611.006797.

26. Crosby, P. M.; Dyke, J. M.; Metcalfe, J.; Rest, A. J.; Salisbury, K.; Sodeau, J. R., *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2 **1977**, 182.

27. Hammond, G. S.; Saltiel, J.; Lamola, A. A.; Turro, N. J.; Bradshaw, J. S.; Cowan, D. O.; Counsell, R. C.; Vogt, V.; Dalton, C., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, *86*, 3197.

28. Lowry, M. S.; Goldsmith, J. I.; Slinker, J. D.; Rohl, R.; Pascal, R. A.; Malliaras, G. G.; Bernhard, S., *Chem. Mater.* **2005**, *17*, 5712.

29. Corcoran, E. B.; Pirnot, M. T.; Lin, S.; Dreher, S. D.; DiRocco, D. A.; Davies, I. W.; Buchwald, S. L.; MacMillan, D. W., *Science* **2016**, *353*, 279.

30. Both 4DPAIPN and 4DPAPN can efficiently catalyze the *E/Z* isomerization of stibene, yielding the *Z/E* ratio of 8.4 and 11.1, respectively. These ratios are higher than the corresponding *Cz* substituted version, which is consistent with the increasing *D-A* character when a stronger donor DPA is used.

Table of Contents artwork



