

Selective tert-Butyl Ester Deprotection in the Presence of Acid Labile Protecting Groups with Use of ZnBr₂

Ramesh Kaul, Yann Brouillette, Zohreh Sajjadi, Karl A. Hansford, and William D. Lubell*

Département de chimie, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succursale Centre Ville, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3JC

lubell@chimie.umontreal.ca

Received May 25, 2004

Abstract: Chemoselective hydrolysis of *tert*-butyl esters in the presence of other acid-labile groups has been explored by employing α-amino esters and ZnBr₂ in DCM. Although N-Boc and N-trityl groups were found to be labile, PhF protected amines were compatible with these Lewis acid deprotection conditions such that a variety of N-(PhF)amino acids were prepared in good yields from their corresponding tert-butyl esters.

The acid labile protecting groups, such as the tert-butyl ester and tert-butyloxycarbonyl (Boc) amine protecting groups, are commonly used in amino acid, peptide and natural product synthesis. 1,2 When such protecting groups are used ensemble, their selective deprotection often becomes a desirable step for an effective synthesis sequence. Typically, strong protic acids,1 such as HCl, H₂SO₄, and TFA, are not selective under aqueous conditions and effect cleavage of all acid labile protection. On the other hand, in organic solvents, such acids have demonstrated practical selectivity. For example, the N-Boc group can be specifically removed in the presence of a tert-butyl ester by using 1 M HCl in ethyl acetate,3 as well as by using concentrated H2SO4 in tert-butyl acetate.4 These protocols have proven effective for the selective deprotection of the amine of a variety of N-(Boc)amino *tert*-butyl esters. Recently, the opposite selectivity was reported and it was claimed that tert-butyl esters could be selectively cleaved in the presence of an *N*-Boc group by using Lewis acids such as CeCl₃·7H₂O-NaI in acetonitrile,5 and ZnBr2 in DCM.6 Previously, reports have also claimed that ZnBr₂ in DCM could mediate selective N-Boc deprotection from secondary amines in the presence of *N*-Boc protected primary amines.⁷

Exploring one of these protocols for the synthesis of (3S,6R,10S)-3-N-(Boc)amino quinolizidin-2-one-10-carboxylic acid,⁸ we treated the corresponding *tert*-butyl ester⁹ **1a** with 500 mol % of ZnBr₂ in DCM at room temperature for 12 h. After aqueous workup as described,⁶ TLC analysis of the crude product showed a baseline material corresponding to the free amino acid indicating that both the N-(Boc)amino and tert-butyl ester groups were cleaved. The quinolizidinone amino acid 2a was then recovered as its N-Boc derivative by treating the aqueous solution with Boc anhydride and sodium bicarbonate.

In our hands, this failure provoked a more detailed investigation of the use of ZnBr₂ in DCM for the selective removal of tert-butyl esters in the presence of acid labile protecting groups. In particular, we examined the ZnBr₂ conditions on substrates bearing Boc, trityl, and 9-(9-phenylfluorenyl) (PhF) amino protecting groups as well as allyl esters and tert-butyl ethers. A series of *N*-protected amino *tert*-butyl esters were treated under the same standard conditions, namely, the substrates were dissolved in DCM and exposed to 500 mol % of ZnBr₂ with stirring at room temperature for 24 h (12 h in the case of N-(Boc)glycine tert-butyl ester 1b for comparison with the earlier report).6

N-(Boc)glycine tert-butyl ester 1b had been reported to be selectively converted to its respective acid without loss of Boc protection with use of ZnBr₂ in DCM for 12 h.6 Revisiting this claim, N-(Boc)glycine tert-butyl ester **1b** was treated with ZnBr₂ under the identical conditions. After 12 h, both the N- and C-terminal protecting groups were lost and baseline material was detected by TLC. Treatment of the aqueous phase from the reaction workup with 1.5 equiv of 9-fluorenylmethyl succinimidyl carbonate (Fmoc-OSu) for 12 h provided N-(Fmoc)glycine in 80% overall yield from **1b**. Similarly, *N*-(Boc)-, N-(trityl)-, and N-(PhF)alanine *tert*-butyl esters (**1c**-**e**) were treated with ZnBr₂ in DCM and both the Boc and trityl groups were cleaved along with the tert-butyl ester as demonstrated by TLC (4:1:1 ⁿBuOH:AcOH:H₂O). Free alanine was similarly recovered as its Fmoc derivative by treatment of the aqueous phase as described above for **2b**. On the other hand, *N*-(PhF)alanine *tert*-butyl ester **1e** was selectively hydrolyzed to its corresponding N-(PhF)amino acid **2e** in 75% yield.

On investigation of the scope of the deprotection of tertbutyl esters in the presence of PhF amino protection, the use of no less than 500 mol % of ZnBr2 and longer reaction times (24 h) provided good conversion and yield (Table 1). In DCM, ZnBr₂ forms a suspension. Although ZnBr₂ is readily soluble in THF, no reaction was observed in THF. Coordination of ZnBr₂ by the Lewis basic THF may likely compete with ester complexation and thereby prevent hydrolysis.

A series of functional groups were shown to be compatible with these hydrolysis conditions including olefins (2f) and methyl (2g and 2h) and allyl esters (2n and 2o) as

⁽¹⁾ Green, T. W.; Wuts, P. G. M. Protecting Groups in Organic Synthesis; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1999; pp 65–67 and 404–

⁽²⁾ Bodanszky, M.; Bodanszky, A. The Practice of Peptide Synthesis, 2nd Ed.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Germany, 1994.

⁽³⁾ Gibson, F. S.; Bergmeier, S. C.; Rapoport, H. J. Org. Chem. **1994**,

⁽⁴⁾ Lin, L. S.; Lanza, T.; Laszlo, Stephen E. de.; Truoung, Q.; Kamenecka, T.; Hagmann, W. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 7013.

⁽⁵⁾ Marcantoni, E.; Massaccesi, M.; Torregiani, E. J. Org. Chem. **2001**, 66, 4430.

⁽⁶⁾ Wu, Y-Q.; Limburg, D. C.; Wilkinson, D. E.; Vaal, M. J.; Hamilton, G. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 2847.

(7) Nigam, S. C.; Mann, A.; Taddei, M.; Wermuth, C. G. *Synth. Commun* **1080**, *10*, 2120.

Commun. 1989, 19, 3139.

⁽⁸⁾ Halab, L.; Becker, J. A. J.; Darula, Z.; Tourwé, D.; Kieffer, B. L.; Simonin, F.; Lubell, W. D. *J. Med. Chem.* **2002**, *45*, 5353.

TABLE 1. N-Protected Amino Acids 2 from N-Protected Amino tert-Butyl Esters 1

Entry	<i>tert</i> -Butyl Ester 1		Yield (%)	Entry	tert-Butyl Ester 1	Acid 2	Yield (%)
а	BocHN O CO ₂ t-Bu	H_3N N O $C\bar{O}_2$	75 ^a	j	PhFN—CO ₂ t-Bu	PhFN	О ₂ Н ₈₀
b	BocHN CO ₂ t-Bu	$H_3\overset{+}{N}\overset{\frown}{CO_2}$	80 ^b	k	OH OH	OH.	
С	BocHN CO ₂ t-Bu	H_3N CO_2	73 ^b	t-B	N CO₂t-Bu PhF uO,	PhF	O ₂ H 14
d	TrtHN CO ₂ t-Bu	H_3N CO_2	80 ^b	1	N CO ₂ t-Bu	N C	CO ₂ H 74
е	PhFHN CO ₂ t-Bu	PhFHN CO ₂ F	ı 75	m ($O = \bigcup_{N \in CO_2 t\text{-Bu}} O = \bigcup_{N \in CO_2 t$	ON PhF	:O ₂ H 26
f	PhFHN CO ₂ t-Bu	PhFHN CO ₂ H	78	<i>t</i> -Bu n	PhF O ₂ C O NHFmoc	HO ₂ C O	76
g	PhFHN CO ₂ f-Bu	PhFHN CO ₂ H		t-BuO₂ o	C O HO NHFmoc	O ₂ C O	80
h	CO ₂ Me PhFHN CO ₂ t-Bu	PhFHN CO ₂ H	₂ Me 75	p	t-BuO ₂ C_NHF	PhF RO ₂ C	NHPhF
i	PhFHN CO ₂ t-Bu	PhFHN CO ₂ H	D ₂ <i>t</i> -Bu H 85 ^c	<i>t</i> -Bı	ıO₂C NHPhF	2p, R = t 2p, R = t	

^a Isolated yield after reprotection with Boc. ^b Isolated yield after reprotection with Fmoc. ^c 1000 mol % of ZnBr₂.

well as tert-butyl ethers (21). On the other hand, more Lewis basic functionality such as alcohols (2k) and amides (2m) inhibited the reaction. For example, exposure of (2*S*,4*R*)-4-hydroxy-*N*-(PhF)proline *tert*-butyl ester **1k** to 500 mol % of ZnBr₂ in DCM for 24 h gave only 14% of the corresponding acid 2k along with 45% of recovered starting material. Increasing the amount of ZnBr₂ to 1500 mol % only doubled the yield (27%) of acid 2k. Similarly, the amide, (2S)-N-(PhF)pyroglutamate tert-butyl ester 1m, reacted with 500 mol % of ZnBr₂ to give acid 2m in only 26% yield; however, employment of 1000 mol % of ZnBr₂ improved the yield to 61%. In addition, in the presence of 1000 mol % of $ZnBr_2$, diamino azelate ketone 1p gave diacid 2p in only 36% yield along with monoacid 2p' in 38% yield, presumably because of catalyst inhibition by the ketone moiety.

Remarkable selectivity was observed with N-(PhF)-glutamate α , γ -di-tert-butyl ester **1i**. After treatment with 1000 mol % of ZnBr₂ in DCM for 24 h followed by aqueous workup, 1 H NMR spectral analysis showed cleavage of one tert-butyl ester group. The resulting crude monoester monoacid (**2i**) was converted to its corresponding methyl tert-butyl diester (**3**) by using diazomethane in diethyl ether. Comparison of the proton spectra of authentic

 α -tert-butyl- γ -methyl-N-(PhF)glutamate (4)¹⁰ with the

⁽¹⁰⁾ Koskinen, A. M. P.; Rapoport, H. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 1859.

resulting diester demonstrated the predominant product to be α -methyl- γ -tert-butyl-N-(PhF)glutamate (3). Relative to the singlet of γ -methyl ester **4**, the corresponding singlet for α -methyl ester **3** appeared at 0.4 ppm downfield due to the influence of the neighboring aromatic PhF group. Similarly, the α -tert-butyl ester singlet appeared at 0.2 ppm downfield of its γ -tert-butyl singlet counterpart. Integration of the methyl ester singlets at 3.2 and 3.6 ppm demonstrated that the ratio of α - to γ -methyl esters **3:4** was 18:1. γ -tert-Butyl N-(PhF)glutamate **2i** was thus produced regioselectively in 85% yield. Selectivity for the α - versus the γ -tert-butyl ester of N-(PhF)glutamate likely arises from initial coordination of ZnBr₂ by the PhF-bearing nitrogen prior to Lewis acid activation of the *tert*-butyl ester. Similar coordination of the α -amine and α -carboxylate groups by copper carbonate has been used for the selective protection of the ω -amine of Orn and Lys. 11 Moreover, complexation of the α -amine and α -carboxylate groups by copper carbonate has been used to selectively hydrolyze the α -methyl ester of aspartate α,β -dimethyl ester. 12 To the best of our knowledge, this application of ZnBr2 represents the first example of selective hydrolysis of an α -tert-butyl ester of an α -amino α , ω -di-*tert*-butyl dicarboxylate. In addition, the selective hydrolysis of tert-butyl ester 11 in the presence of α-tert-butyl ether was observed and may also be due to precoordination of ZnBr2 to the PhF nitrogen prior to ester cleavage.

Evidence that the $ZnBr_2$ ester cleavage proceeded without α -epimerization was provided from examples $\mathbf{2a}$, $\mathbf{2k}$, and $\mathbf{2p}$, which were delivered as pure diastereomers. Moreover, specific rotations of α -amino acids after *tert*-butyl ester removal compared well with those of materials obtained from independent synthesis indicating that racemization had not occurred after the $ZnBr_2$ treatment.

A probable mechanism for ZnBr₂-mediated *tert*-butyl ester hydrolysis has been proposed involving coordination

(11) Crivici, A.; Lajoie, G. Synth. Commun. 1993, 23, 49.

of zinc to both oxygens of the ester followed by decomplexation with water. 6 In the case of PhF amino esters, the alkylamine likely coordinates to the zinc prior to the coordination of α -carbonyl oxygen and cleavage of the tert-butyl ester with evolution of isobutene.

In conclusion, attempts failed to selectively remove *tert*-butyl esters in the presence of N-(Boc)amines and in contrast to an earlier report, 6 instead of the N-(Boc)amino acid, N-deprotected amino acid was produced. On the other hand, selective deprotection of *tert*-butyl esters in the presence of N-(PhF)amines with $ZnBr_2$ in DCM provided an effective means for obtaining N-(PhF)amino acids possessing a wide range of functional group diversity. Lewis basic groups, such as alcohols, amides, and ketones, may retard the reaction. Notable regiocontrol was demonstrated by the selective hydrolysis of the α -*tert*-butyl ester of α , γ -di-*tert*-butyl N-(PhF)glutamate with these conditions. This chemoselective Lewis acid hydrolysis of acid functional groups should be of general utility for the synthesis of multifunctional systems.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for the Selective Removal of *tert*-Butyl Esters. A stirred solution of N-protected amino acid *tert*-butyl ester (1 mmol) in 5 mL of dichloromethane was treated with 500 mol % of $ZnBr_2$ at room temperature, stirred for 24 h, treated with water (20 mL), and stirred again for 2 h. The organic phase was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted twice with dichloromethane (20 mL). The organic portions were dried, filtered, and evaporated to yield the corresponding acid. The resulting acids were chromatographed with 1:1 ethyl acetate/hexane containing 1% acetic acid as eluant.

(2S)-N-(PhF)azetidine-2-carboxylic acid (2j): yield 80% from 1j; mp 107.5–108.5 °C; $[\alpha]^{20}_{\rm D}$ 130.1 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); $^{1}{\rm H}$ NMR δ 7.68–7.08 (m, 13H), 3.63 (m, 1H), 3.5 (dd, 1H, J = 16.9, 8.31 Hz), 3.31 (dd, 1H, J = 9.07, 7.75 Hz), 2.04 (m, 2H); $^{13}{\rm C}$ NMR δ 172.5, 75.9, 59.5, 46.8, 20.3; HRMS calcd for C₂₃H₁₉NO₂ [M⁺] 341.1415, found 341.1406.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by grants from Fonds Québécois de la Recherche sur la Nature et les Technologies (FQRNT), Valorisation-Recherche Québec (VRQ), and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). We thank Mr. Dalbir Sekhon for mass spectral analysis. Mr. Guillaume Jeannotte and Mr. Simon Surprenant are respectively thanked for supplying *N*-(trityl)- and *N*-(PhF)alanine *tert*-butyl esters.

Supporting Information Available: General experimental details and characterization data for **1a**, **1g**, **1h**, **1m**, **1p**, **2e**, **2g**, **2h**, and **2p**, as well as ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **1d**–**f**, **1i**–**o**, **2a**, **2f**, and **2i**–**p**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO0491206

⁽¹²⁾ Dener, J. M.; Zhang, L.-H.; Rapoport, H. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1159.

⁽¹³⁾ Christie, B. D.; Rapoport, H. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1239.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Lubell, W. D.; Rapoport, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *109*, 236. (15) Lubell, W. D.; Jamison, T. F.; Rapoport, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 3511.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Humphrey, J. M.; Bridges, R. J.; Hart, J. A.; Chamberlin, A. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 2467.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Atfani, M.; Lubell, W. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 3184. (18) Lombart, H. G.; Lubell, W. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 9437.

 ⁽¹⁸⁾ Lombart, H. G.; Lubell, W. D. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 9437.
 (19) Barlos, K.; Papaioannu, D.; Theodoropoulos, D. J. Org. Chem.
 1982. 47, 1324.

⁽²⁰⁾ Gosselin, F.; Lubell, W. D. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 7463.

⁽²¹⁾ Golakoti, T.; Yoshida, W. Y.; Chaganty, S.; Moore, R. E. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 9093.

⁽²²⁾ Trzeciak, A.; Bannwarth, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 4557. (23) Lodder, M.; Wang, B.; Hecht, S. M. *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 9421.

⁽²⁴⁾ Gmeiner, P.; Feldman, P. L.; Chu-Moyer, M. Y.; Rapoport, H. J. Org. Chem. **1990**, *55*, 3068.