ARTICLES

## Chiral metal-containing ionic liquid: Synthesis and applications in the enantioselective cycloaddition of carbon dioxide to epoxides

SONG YingYing, JIN QianRu, ZHANG SuLing, JING HuanWang<sup>\*</sup> & ZHU QianQian

State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry; College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

Received January 11, 2011; accepted January 22, 2011

A series of novel chiral metal-containing ionic liquids (CMILs) consisting of the cation of crown ether-chelated potassium/ sodium and the anion of chiral amino acids were designed and synthesized. These new CMILs were used to catalyze the enantioselective cycloaddition of epoxides and carbon dioxide incorporating with the salenCo(OOCCCl<sub>3</sub>) to generate corresponding chiral cyclic carbonates under mild conditions. These new catalysts can be recycled at least five times without significant loss of activity and enantioselectivity.

chiral metal-containing ionic liquids, epoxides, carbon dioxide, enantioselective cycloaddition, chiral cyclic carbonates

## 1 Introduction

Ionic liquids have attracted increasing interests in both academy and industry in view of their particular properties such as excellent thermal and chemical stability, lower vapor pressure and good tunable solubility [1-10]. Their applications have significantly increased in the last decade exemplifying in material synthesis, separation sciences, and reaction media, etc. [5]. Especially, they can be used as catalysts in organic synthesis [11–16]. Even though some ionic liquids have been used as catalysts in the coupling of CO<sub>2</sub> and epoxides [17-21], chiral ionic liquids (CIL) used in enantioselective cycloaddition reactions was only reported once by our group [22]. Due to the fact that few literatures reported enantiomerically pure cyclic carbonates [22-31], new methodologies for the enantioselective cycloaddition of CO<sub>2</sub> to epoxides are still desired in terms of the atom economy and environmentally benign characteristics of this reaction.

Recently, we have designed and synthesized a series of

novel chiral salts consisting of natural amino acid anions and crown ether-chelated alkali metal cations. Because the metal-containing ionic liquids (MILs) are different from the classical ionic liquids, we defined MILs as the type of salts with melting points lower than 200 °C. As continuation of our efforts for the synthesis of chiral cyclic carbonates, we report, herein, a new efficient catalyst system of (salen) Co(OOCCCl<sub>3</sub>) and chiral metal-containing ionic liquid (CMIL) for the enantioselective cycloaddition reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> to terminal epoxides (Scheme 1).



 $\label{eq:Scheme 1} Scheme 1 \quad \text{Enantioselective cycloaddition of CO}_2 \text{ to terminal epoxides}.$ 

chem.scichina.com www.springerlink.com

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author (email: hwjing@lzu.edu.cn)

<sup>©</sup> Science China Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011

## 2 Experimental

## 2.1 Materials

Propylene oxide (PO) was distilled from CaH<sub>2</sub>. Other epoxides were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. Enantiomeric excess of the resulting cyclic carbonates was determined by chiral GC analysis (Supeclo-DEX series (225) chiral column; injection temperature = 250 °C; detection temperature = 250 °C; 180 °C isothermal) using a Varian CP-3800 gas chromatograph with N<sub>2</sub> as the carry gas. Elemental analyses were carried out on Carioel elemental analyzer.

## 2.2 Preparation of chiral metal-containing ionic liquids

The preparation of CMILs is a simple reaction. [18-C-6K] [L-Thr] was chosen as model to illustrate the preparation procedure. The synthesis of [18-C-6K][L-Thr] was carried out by mixing the 1.1:1:1 molar ratio of L-Threonine, KOH and 18-C-6 in water. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and then concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a mixture of ionic liquid and superabundant L-threonine. The mixture was then dispensed into ethanol to precipitate out the unreacted L-threonine. The filtrate was concentrated and dried under vacuum at 80 °C to obtain the desired chiral MIL [18-C-6K][L-Thr] as a white solid. All remaining CMILs were performed employing a procedure analogous to that used to synthesize [18-C-6K] [L-Thr].

#### Characterization of CMILs

[18-C-6K][L-amino acid anion] HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for [18-C-6K] 303.1204; found: 303.1209. [15-C-5Na][L-amino acid anion] HRMS (ESI): *m/z*: calcd for [15-C-5Na] 243.1203; found: 243.1200.

## $[18-C-6K][L-Thr]\cdot 2.5H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  1.25 (d, 3H, *J*=6.6 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.17 (d, 1H, *J*=6.0 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.72 (s, 24H, 6×(CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 4.00 (m, 1H, C<u>H</u>OH) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  19.3, 61.9, 69.4, 69.9, 180.1 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 41.19, H 7.99, N 3.00; found: C 41.19, H 7.57, N 2.99.

## [15-C-5Na][L-Thr]

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  1.17 (d, 3H, *J*=6.3 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.08 (d, 1H, *J*=5.1 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.64 (s, 20H, 5 × (CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 3.92 (m, 1H, C<u>H</u>OH) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  19.4, 61.8, 69.0, 179.4 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 46.53, H 7.81, N 3.88; found: C 46.65, H 7.94, N 3.80.

## $[18-C-6K]_2[L-Asp]\cdot 4H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  2.65 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.7 Hz, COOCH(H)), 2.83 (dd, 1H, *J* = 3.3 Hz, COOCH(H)), 3.68 (s,

48H,  $6 \times (CH_2CH_2O)$ ), 3.88 (dd, 1H, J=4.2, 3.6 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  36.9, 52.5, 69.9, 174.3, 177.5 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 42.26, H 7.51, N 1.73; found: C 42.34, H 7.24, N 2.03.

## $[15-C-5Na]_2[L-Asp] \cdot H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  2.60 (dd, 1H, *J*=9.0, 9.3 Hz, COOCH(H)), 2.82 (dd, 1H, *J*=3.6 Hz, COOCH(H)), 3.73 (s, 40H, 5 × (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 3.85 (dd, 1H, *J*=3.9 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  35.3, 51.3 69.5, 173.3, 177.0 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 45.35, H 7.45, N 2.20; found: C 45.24, H 7.18, N 2.03.

## $[18-C-6K][L-Val] \cdot 0.5H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  0.82 (d, 3H, *J*=8.4 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.92 (d, 3H, *J*=6.9 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.94 (m, 1H, C<u>H</u>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 3.09 (d, 1H, *J*=5.1 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.65 (s, 24H, 6×(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  17.1, 19.2, 31.6, 61.9, 70.0, 182.1 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 47.64, H 8.23, N 3.27; found: C 47.49, H 7.93, N 3.25.

## [15-C-5Na][L-Val]·0.8H<sub>2</sub>O

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  0.89 (m, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.97 (m, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.96 (m, 1H, C<u>H</u>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 3.10 (d, 1H, *J*=8.1 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.75 (s, 20H, 5 × (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  16.8, 19.0, 31.3, 61.6, 69.1, 181.4 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 48.20, H 8.52, N 3.75; found: C 47.95, H 8.43, N 3.61.

## $[18-C-6K]_2[L-Cys]\cdot 4H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  2.94 (dd, 1H, *J*=7.8, 7.5 Hz, SCH(H)), 3.17 (dd, 1H, *J*=4.8 Hz, SCH(H)), 3.62 (dd, 1H, *J*=4.5 Hz, NHC<u>H</u>), 3.72 (s, 24H, 6×(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  43.6, 55.0, 69.9, 180.6 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 39.29, H 7.25, N 3.05; found: C 39.39, H 7.43, N 3.28.

## $[15-C-5Na]_{2}[L-Cys]\cdot 2.5H_{2}O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  2.93 (dd, 1H, *J*=7.5, 7.8 Hz, SCH(H)), 3.15 (dd, 1H, *J*=4.5, 4.2 Hz, SCH(H)), 3.62 (dd, 1H, *J*=4.8, 4.5 Hz, NHC<u>H</u>), 3.73 (s, 20H, 6×(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  42.1, 54.6, 69.2, 178.9 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 36.49, H 6.70, N 5.32; found: C 36.55, H 6.81, N 5.42.

#### $[18-C-6K][L-Ala] \cdot H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  1.25 (d, 3H, *J*=6.9 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.62 (m, 1H, CH), 3.67 (s, 24H, 6×(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  17.1, 51.6, 70.0, 183.7 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 43.99, H 7.88, N 3.42; found: 43.99, H 7.51, N 3.22.

#### [15-C-5Na][L-Ala]

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  1.27 (d, 3H, J=6.9 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>),

3.37 (dd, 1H, J=7.2, 5.7 Hz, CH), 3.72 (s, 20H, 5×(CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  16.7, 50.6, 69.3, 176.8 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 47.12, H 7.91, N 4.23; found: C 47.09, H 7.79, N 4.33.

## $[18-C-6K][L-Lys] \cdot 0.6H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  1.40 (m, 2H, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>-C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>), 1.64 (m, 4H, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>), 2.89 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.2, 7.5 Hz, C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>), 3.30 (t, 1H, *J* = 6.9 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.71 (s, 24H, 6 × (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  22.2, 28.6, 33.9, 39.78, 55.8, 69.9, 182.8 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 47.06, H 8.38, N 6.10; found: C 46.98, H 8.35, N 6.17.

## $[15-C-5Na][L-Lys] \cdot 0.5H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  1.39 (m, 2H, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>-C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>), 1.62 (m, 4H, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>), 2.88 (t, 2H, *J* = 6.9, 7.2 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>), 3.30 (t, 1H, *J* = 6.3 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.72 (s, 20H, 5×(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  22.0, 27.3, 33.07, 39.4, 55.5, 69.4, 181.3 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 48.35, H 8.62, N 7.05; found: C 48.07, H 8.77, N 6.89.

#### $[18-C-6K]_2[L-Glu] \cdot 0.5H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  2.05 (m, 2H, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H<sub>2</sub></u>), 2.33 (t, 2H, *J*=7.5 Hz, COO-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.67 (m, 1H, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.74 (s, 48H, 6 × (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  27.2, 33.6, 54.8, 69.8, 174.7, 181.2 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 45.77, H 7.42, N 1.84; found: C 45.94, H 7.44, N 2.00.

#### $[15-C-5Na]_{2}[L-Glu]\cdot 0.5H_{2}O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  2.08 (m, 2H, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H<sub>2</sub>)</u>, 2.33 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.5, 7.2 Hz, COOCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.62 (m, 1H, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.70 (s, 40H, 5×(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  27.4, 33.6, 54.8, 69.0, 175.3, 181.5 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 46.87, H 7.55, N 2.19; found: C 46.76, H 7.47, N 2.39.

#### $[18-C-6K][L-Met]\cdot 1.8H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  1.89 (m, 2H, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H<sub>2</sub>)</u>, 2.14 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.58 (t, 2H, *J*=7.5, 8.1 Hz, SCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.36 (dd, 1H, *J*=6.0, 5.1 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.70 (s, 24H, 6×(CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  14.2, 29.8, 33.9, 55.3, 69.8, 182.1 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 42.18, H 7.83, N 2.89; found: C 42.20, H 7.64, N 2.74.

## $[15\text{-}C\text{-}5Na][L\text{-}Met]\text{+}H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  1.89 (m, 2H, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H<sub>2</sub>)</u>, 2.14 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.86 (t, 2H, *J*=7.5, 8.1 Hz, SCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.37 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.7, 5.4 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.72 (s, 20H, 5 × (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  14.1, 29.6, 33.2, 55.0, 69.2, 181.2 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 44.00, H 7.88, N 3.42; found: C 43.69, H 7.67, N 3.65.

#### [18-C-6K][L-Trp]

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ 3.01 (dd, 1H, J=7.8, 7.5 Hz, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H(H)</u>), 3.23 (dd, 1H, J = 4.8 Hz, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H</u>(<u>H</u>)), 3.56 (s, 24H, 6 × (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 3.64 (1H, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H)</u>, 7.59 (m, 3H, Ar<u>H</u>, α-H), 7.45 (d, 1H, J=8.1 Hz, ArH), 7.68 (d, 1H, J=9.0 Hz, ArH) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ 29.6, 56.3, 69.7, 110.0, 111.8, 118.8, 119.2, 121.8, 124.4, 127.3, 136.3, 180.8 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 54.53, H 6.96, N 5.53; found: C 54.49, H 6.74, N 5.57.

#### [15-C-5Na][L-Trp]

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ 3.07 (dd, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H(H)</u>), 3.25 (dd, 1H, J = 4.8 Hz, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)-C<u>H(H)</u>), 3.69 (s, 20H, 5×(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 3.71 (1H, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H)</u>, 7.21 (m, 3H, ArH, α-H), 7.51 (d, 1H, J=8.4 Hz, ArH), 7.73 (d, 1H, J=8.1 Hz, ArH) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ 29.6, 56.2, 69.4, 110.0, 111.8, 118.7, 119.2, 121.9, 124.4, 127.3, 136.3, 180.8 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 56.49, H 7.00, N 6.27; found: C 56.12, *H* 6.87, N 6.43.

## $[18-C-6K][L-Leu]\cdot 1.5H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  0.92 (m, 6H, CH(C<u>H</u><sub>3</sub>)C<u>H</u><sub>3</sub>), 1.46 (m, 2H, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>), 1.68 (m, 1H, C<u>H</u>(CH<sub>3</sub>)CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.29 (dd, 1H, *J* = 6.0, 5.7 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.71 (s, 24H, 6 × (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  21.6, 22.7, 24.6, 44.1, 54.7, 69.9, 183.8 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 46.94, H 8.53, N 3.04; found: C 46.94, H 8.41, N 2.66.

## $[15-C-5Na][L-Leu]\cdot 1.2H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  0.95 (m, 6H, CH(C<u>H</u><sub>3</sub>)C<u>H</u><sub>3</sub>), 1.45 (m, 2H, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>), 1.69 (m, 1H, C<u>H</u>(CH<sub>3</sub>)CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.32 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.7, 6.0 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.74 (s, 20H, 5 × (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  21.4, 22.5, 24.5, 43.8, 54.5, 69.1, 183.5 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 48.65, H 8.78, N 3.55; found: C 48.47, H 8.56, N 3.40.

## $[18-C-6K][L-Phe] \cdot 0.8H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  2.90 (dd, 1H, *J*=7.2, 7.5 Hz, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H(H)</u>), 3.07 (dd, 1H, *J*=5.1, 5.4 Hz, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)-C<u>H(H)</u>), 3.58 (t, 1H, *J*=5.7, 5.4 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.69 (s, 24H,  $6 \times$  (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 7.36 (m, 5H, ArH) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  40.5, 57.5, 69.9, 126.9, 128.8, 129.6, 138.2, 181.4 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 52.33, H 7.44, N 2.91; found: C 52.12, H 7.21, N 3.05.

## $[15-C-5Na][L-Phe]\cdot H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  2.84 (dd, 1H, J = 7.2 Hz, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H(H)</u>), 2.98 (dd, 1H, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H(H)</u>), 3.52 (t, 1H, J = 5.7, 6.6 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.66 (s, 20H, 5 × (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 7.28 (m, 5H, ArH) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  40.0, 57.3, 69.2, 126.9, 128.9, 129.5, 138.1, 181.1 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 53.64, H 7.58, N 3.29; found: C 53.99, H

## 7.29, N 3.58.

## [18-C-6K][L-His]

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  2.79 (dd, 1H, J = 8.4 Hz, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H(H)</u>), 2.94 (dd, 1H, J = 5.1, 5.4 Hz, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)-C<u>H(H)</u>), 3.48 (dd, 1H, J = 5.1, 5.4 Hz Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.64 (s, 24H,  $6 \times$  (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 6.89 (s, 1H, 5-<u>H</u>), 7.64 (s, 1H, 2-H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  31.9, 56.1, 69.8, 118.1, 133.4, 135.9, 181.7 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 47.25, H 7.05, N 9.18; found: C 47.10, H 7.20, N 9.40.

## [15-C-5Na][L-His]

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  2.90 (dd, 1H, *J*=7.5, 7.8 Hz, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)C<u>H(H)</u>), 3.04 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.4 Hz, CH(NH<sub>2</sub>)-C<u>H(H)</u>), 3.60 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.1, 5.4 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.75 (s, 20H, 5×(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 6.98 (s, 1H, 5-<u>H</u>), 7.72 (s, 1H, 2-H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  31.0, 55.8, 69.1, 117.7, 133.2, 136.0, 179.9 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 46.26, H 7.28, N 10.12; found: C 46.39, H 7.57, N 10.25.

## [18-C-6K][L-Ser]

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  3.46 (t, 1H, *J*=4.8 Hz NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.69 (s, 24H, 6×(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 3.77 (m, 2H, C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>OH) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  57.2, 62.9, 69.9, 177.1 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 44.21, H 7.42, N 3.44; found: C 44.61, H 7.26, N 3.57.

## [15-C-5Na][L-Ser]

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  3.45 (t, 1H, *J* = 4.5, 5.4 Hz, NH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H</u>), 3.64 (m, 1H, CH(H)OH), 3.70 (s, 20H, 5×(CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 3.76 (m, 1H, C<u>H(H)</u>OH) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  56.6, 60.2, 69.2, 173.4 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 43.82, H 7.64, N 3.93; found: C 43.70, H 7.32, N 3.77.

## [18-C-6K][L-Pro]

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  1.89 (m, 3H, 3-H, 4-H), 2.22 (m, 1H, 3-H), 3.05 (m, 1H, 5-H), 3.23 (m, 1H, 5-H), 3.68 (s, 24H, 6 × (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 3.81 (m, 1H, 2-H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  24.5, 29.9, 46.1, 61.4, 69.5, 178.4 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 48.90, H 7.72, N 3.35; found: C 48.97, H 7.79, N 3.63.

## $[15-C-5Na][L-Pro] \cdot H_2O$

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  1.87 (m, 3H, 3-H, 4-H), 2.22 (m, 1H, 3-H), 3.04 ((m, 1H, 5-H), 3.24 (m, 1H, 5-H), 3.70 (s, 20H, 5 × (CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)), 3.80 (m, 1H, 2-H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  24.7, 30.2, 46.1, 61.5, 69.1, 179.7 ppm. Elemental Anal. calcd (%): C 47.99, H 8.06, N 3.73; found: C 47.75, H 8.05, N 3.88.

#### 2.3 Enantioselective cycloaddition of CO<sub>2</sub> to epoxides

All coupling reactions were performed in a 100 mL stainless

autoclave equipped with a magnetic stir bar and pressurized with  $CO_2$  to 0.6 MPa. The autoclave was charged with propylene oxide (100 mmol), salenCo(OOCCCl<sub>3</sub>) catalyst (0.1 mmol) and CMIL (0.1 mmol). After a proper reaction time,  $CO_2$  was released to terminate the reaction. The remaining mixture was fractionally distilled under reduced pressure or recrystallized in ethanol to obtain a pure chiral cyclic carbonate.

## 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Properties of chiral metal-containing ionic liquids

The obtained CMILs have high purity and give sharp melting points (Table 1). They have demonstrated good thermal stabilities as measured by TG-DTA. Their colors range from white to orange. Two of them are ILs at room temperature (entries 4, 25). The rest are solids, in which

 Table 1
 The properties of chiral metal-containing ionic liquids (CMILs)

Entry	Ionic liquid a)	Color	$mp^{b)}{}^{\circ}C$	$T_{\rm d}{}^{\rm c)}{}^{\circ}{\rm C}$	$[a]_{D}^{20  d)}$
1	[18-C-6K][L-Thr]·2.5H <sub>2</sub> O	white	138	174	$-5^{\circ}$
2	[15-C-5Na][L-Thr]	white	125	172	$-5^{\circ}$
3	[18-C-6K] <sub>2</sub> [L-Asp]·4H <sub>2</sub> O	orange	100	173	$-4^{\circ}$
4	[15-C-5Na] <sub>2</sub> [L-Asp]·H <sub>2</sub> O	yellow	-48	138	$-1^{\circ}$
5	[18-C-6K][L-Val]·0.5H <sub>2</sub> O	yellow	130	188	+4°
6	[15-C-5Na][L-Val]·0.8H <sub>2</sub> O	white	133	142	+3°
7	[18-C-6K] <sub>2</sub> [L-Cys]·4H <sub>2</sub> O	orange	127	160	-43°
8	[15-C-5Na] <sub>2</sub> [L-Cys]·2.5H <sub>2</sub> O	orange	152	152	-44°
9	[18-C-6K] <sub>2</sub> [L-Glu]·0.5H <sub>2</sub> O	yellow	85	189	$-1^{\circ}$
10	[15-C-5Na]2[L-Glu]·0.5H2O	yellow	157	157	+1°
11	[18-C-6K][L-Lys]·0.6H <sub>2</sub> O	orange	76	183	+3°
12	[15-C-5Na][L-Lys]·0.5H <sub>2</sub> O	orange	56	162	$+2^{\circ}$
13	[18-C-6K][L-Leu]·1.5H <sub>2</sub> O	yellow	94	192	$+1^{\circ}$
14	[15-C-5Na][L-Leu]·1.2H2O	white	150	183	+1°
15	[18-C-6K][L-Trp]	brown	95	189	$-15^{\circ}$
16	[15-C-5Na][L-Trp]	brown	115	148	$-14^{\circ}$
17	[18-C-6K][L-His]	yellow	66	194	$-5^{\circ}$
18	[15-C-5Na][L-His]	yellow	113	172	$-5^{\circ}$
19	[18-C-6K][L-Ser]	yellow	55	197	-3°
20	[15-C-5Na][L-Ser]	yellow	80	222	$-4^{\circ}$
21	[18-C-6K][L-Pro]	yelow	95	182	$-37^{\circ}$
22	[15-C-5Na][L-Pro]·H <sub>2</sub> O	yellow	69	154	$-36^{\circ}$
23	[18-C-6K][L-Phe]·0.8H <sub>2</sub> O	orange	68	179	$-6^{\circ}$
24	[15-C-5Na][L-Phe]·H <sub>2</sub> O	orange	37	157	$-7^{\circ}$
25	[18-C-6K][L-Met]·1.8H2O	yellow	26	194	$-1^{\circ}$
26	[15-C-5Na][L-Met]·H <sub>2</sub> O	orange	38	143	$-2^{\circ}$
27	[18-C-6K][L-Ala]·H <sub>2</sub> O	yellow	130	196	$-1^{\circ}$
28	[15-C-5Na][L-Ala]	yellow	115	177	$-2^{\circ}$

a) The chemical compositions were confirmed by elemental analysis. b) Melting points were determined on an XT-4 melting point apparatus without calibration. c) Decomposition temperature ( $T_d$ ) was determined by TG-DTA heating at 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> under nitrogen. d) Solution in H<sub>2</sub>O and c = 1.0.

almost half of them have melting point lower than 100  $^{\circ}$ C and the rest have melting points higher than 100  $^{\circ}$ C but lower than 160  $^{\circ}$ C. The CMILs obtained are thermally stable up to 135 to 225  $^{\circ}$ C. Their specific rotations were also determined.

#### **3.2** The effect of CMIL on the coupling of CO<sub>2</sub> and PO

Our initial investigation showed that  $salenCo(OOCCCl_3)$ can successfully catalyze the coupling reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> and racemic PO in the presence of the CMILs such as [18-C-6K] [L-amino acid anion] or [15-C-5Na][L-amino acid anion] to form the pure chiral propylene carbonate without any byproducts. That is to say the conversion of PO equals to the yield of propylene carbonate (PC). According to our previous study [32], the salts that consist of two parts: cation (Lewis acid, electrophile) and anion (Lewis base, nucleophile), have catalytic activity under a generally harsh condition. The metal-containing ionic liquid (MIL) is a good catalyst since its cation can act as a Lewis acid center and its amino acid anion can acts as a Lewis base center In the catalyst systems, salenCo(OOCCCl<sub>3</sub>), which gives the chiral Lewis acid center and works as the main catalyst, activates the epoxides, while the chiral anion of CMILs which supplies the chiral Lewis base center for the coupling reaction and functions as the co-catalyst, attacks the activated epoxides to produce intermediates for the insertion of CO<sub>2</sub> to lead to cyclic carbonates

The results revealed that the ee values of chiral PC were significantly enhanced compared to the ee values produced by chiral catalyst (R, R)-1 combined with TBAB as the cocatalyst (Table 2, entries 1, 5, 7-18 vs. 19). According to Table 2, the most efficient catalytic system was salenCo (OOCCCl<sub>3</sub>)/[18-C-6K][L-Val]·0.5H<sub>2</sub>O, which gave the highest ee value of (S)-PC (53.8%) (entry 1). When the reaction was carried out at 0 °C, the ee value of (S)-PC augmented to 64.0% (entry 3). Other catalyst systems of salenCo (OOCCCl<sub>3</sub>)/[18-C-6K][L-amino acid anion] were also effective (Table 2, entries 5, 7-18). When the catalyst system was switched from (R,R)-1 to (S,S)-1, the enantioselectvity was decreased (entries 1, 2, 5, 6). The significant synergistic effects between chiral catalysts and cocatalysts were revealed: the cocatalyst with R,R chirality increased the ee values of (S)-PC catalyzed by (R,R)-1 and it decreased the ee values of (R)-PC catalyzed by (S,S)-1, which are consistent with our previous report [22]. Higher yields could be obtained when the reaction times were increased (entries 1, 8, 10, 14, 15, 18). It is worth noting that when chiral MIL of [18-C-6K][L-Val]·0.5H<sub>2</sub>O was used alone as catalyst, the reaction could also induce the chiral PC with 5.4% ee (entry 4) which is higher than that of 1% ee obtained by chiral IL [22].

When the cocatalyst was switched from [18-C-6K] [L-amino acid anion] to [15-C-5Na][L-amino acid anion], similar results were obtained (Table 3). The highest ee value

Table 2 The effect of [18-C-6K][L-amino acid anion] in the coupling reaction of  $CO_2$  and propylene oxide <sup>a)</sup>

Enter	Co. cotalent	Time	Yield	PC ee	<b>v</b> b)
Entry	Co-catalyst	(h)	(%)	(%)	<b>K</b> <sub>rel</sub>
1	[18-C-6K][L-Val]·0.5H <sub>2</sub> O	5.5	43.6	53.8(S)	4.9
		7.0	49.0	48.2(S)	4.4
		8.0	50.5	46.8(S)	4.3
2 <sup>c)</sup>	[18-C-6K][L-Val]·0.5H <sub>2</sub> O	9.5	40.3	48.2(R)	3.9
3 <sup>d)</sup>	$[18\text{-}C\text{-}6K][\text{L-Val}]\text{\cdot}0.5H_2O$	21	27.2	64.0(S)	5.7
4 <sup>e)</sup>	[18-C-6K][L-Val]·0.5H <sub>2</sub> O	72	3.1	5.4(S)	1.1
5	$[18-C-6K]_2[L-Glu]\cdot 0.5H_2O$	6.5	42.4	51.1(S)	4.4
6 <sup>c)</sup>	$[18-C-6K]_2[L-Glu]\cdot 0.5H_2O$	12	41.3	48.0(R)	3.9
7	[18-C-6K][L-His]	14	37.8	50.7(S)	4.1
8	[18-C-6K][L-Lys]·0.6H <sub>2</sub> O	11	48.1	46.2( <i>S</i> )	4.1
		12	50.1	45.0(S)	4.4
9	[18-C-6K][L-Trp]	11	32.4	53.4(S)	4.2
10	[18-C-6K][L-Ser]	10	46.4	48.1(S)	4.2
		12	52.5	46.1(S)	4.3
11	[18-C-6K][L-Thr]·2.5H <sub>2</sub> O	14	35.3	54.9(S)	4.6
12	[18-C-6K][L-Phe]·0.8H <sub>2</sub> O	11	32.5	52.5(S)	4.1
		5.5 <sup>f)</sup>	51.0	45.1(S)	4.1
13	[18-C-6K][L-Leu]·1.5H <sub>2</sub> O	5.5	35.8	51.1(S)	4.0
14	[18-C-6K][L-Ala]·H <sub>2</sub> O	11	44.1	49.1(S)	4.2
		12	48.6	47.7(S)	4.3
15	[18-C-6K][L-Pro]	11	46.8	49.2(S)	4.4
		12	49.0	47.9(S)	4.3
16	[18-C-6K][L-Met]·1.8H <sub>2</sub> O	13	35.9	53.3(S)	4.4
17	$[18-C-6K]_2[L-Cys]\cdot 4H_2O$	14	34.5	52.5(S)	4.2
18	[18-C-6K] <sub>2</sub> [L-Asp]·4H <sub>2</sub> O	10	48.1	51.0(S)	4.8
		12	50.9	48.8(S)	4.7
19	TBAB	1.3	40.8	33.7(S)	2.5

a) Reaction conditions: PO 100 mmol; catalyst: (*R*,*R*)-1 0.1 mmol; cocatalyst 0.1 mmol; CO<sub>2</sub>: 0.6 MPa, T=25 °C. b)  $K_{rel}=\ln[1-c(1+ee)/\ln[1-c(1-ee)]$ , where c is the yield and ee% is the enantiomeric excess of the resulting propylene carbonate. c) Catalyst: (*S*, *S*)-1. d) T=0 °C. e) Catalyst: [18-C-6K][L-Val]·0.5H<sub>2</sub>O 1.0 g. f] PO 50 mmol.

of (*S*)-PC (58.5%) was achieved with [15-C-5Na][L-Pro]· $H_2O$  as the chiral cocatalyst (entry 10). In contrast to [18-C-6K][L-amino acid anion], the coupling reaction catalyzed by [15-C-5Na][L-amino acid anion] needs a longer period of reaction time (Table 3 vs. Table 2). For the enantioselective cycloaddition of CO<sub>2</sub> to PO catalyzed by [18-C-6K] [L-amino acid anion] or [15-C-5Na][L-amino acid anion], higher yields and shorter reaction times were presented when the amount of catalyst and cocatalyst was doubled (Table 2, entry 12; Table 3, entries 1, 2, 11, 14).

# 3.3 Cycloaddition of CO<sub>2</sub> to epoxides catalyzed by salenCo(OOCCCl<sub>3</sub>)/[18-C-6K][L-Val]·0.5H<sub>2</sub>O

To further extend the reaction scope, various epoxides were utilized as substrates in this coupling reaction using [18-C-6K][L-Val] $\cdot 0.5H_2O$  as a chiral cocatalyst (Table 4). As can be seen, the catalyst was effective for the cycloaddition of 1,2-epoxybutane to yield the corresponding chiral cyclic carbonate (CC) with a good enantioselectivity (entry 1).

**Table 3** The effect of [15-C-5Na][L-amino acid anion] in the coupling reaction of  $CO_2$  and propylene oxide <sup>a)</sup>

Entry	Co-catalyst	Time	Yield	PC ee	Κ.
		(h)	(%)	(%)	<b>A</b> <sub>rel</sub>
1	[15-C-5Na][L-His]	72	37.1	49.8(S)	3.9
		28 <sup>b)</sup>	48.2	41.2(S)	3.4
2	115 C SNally Vall O OLO	72	30.7	55.3(S)	4.4
2	$[13-C-5]$ Naj[L-Val] $(0.8H_2O)$	24 <sup>b)</sup>	50.2	46.3(S)	4.2
3	[15-C-5Na][L-Lys]·0.5H <sub>2</sub> O	80	35.3	50.0(S)	3.9
4	[15-C-5Na][L-Trp]	86	32.8	51.7(S)	4.0
5	[15-C-5Na][L-Ser]	100	34.2	52.7(S)	4.2
6	[15-C-5Na][L-Thr]	72	37.0	50.4(S)	4.8
7	[15-C-5Na][L-Phe]·H <sub>2</sub> O	67	33.4	50.9(S)	3.9
8	[15-C-5Na][L-Leu]·1.2H <sub>2</sub> O	85	33.4	54.9(S)	4.5
9	[15-C-5Na][L-Ala]	91	35.3	53.1(S)	4.3
10	[15-C-5Na][L-Pro]·H <sub>2</sub> O	72	29.4	58.5(S)	4.8
11	ILE C EN-ILE M-41 IL O	62	41.3	44.5(S)	3.5
11	[15-C-5Na][L-Met]·H <sub>2</sub> O	22 <sup>b)</sup>	48.9	41.6(S)	3.4
12	[15-C-5Na] <sub>2</sub> [L-Glu]·0.5H <sub>2</sub> O	88	35.9	53.2(S)	4.3
13	[15-C-5Na] <sub>2</sub> [L-Cys]·2.5H <sub>2</sub> O	95	35.3	50.5(S)	3.9
14		46	36.6	49.5(S)	3.9
14	[15-C-51Naj2[L-Asp]·H2O	19 <sup>b)</sup>	49.9	43.1( <i>S</i> )	3.7

a) Reaction conditions: PO 100 mmol; catalyst: (R,R)-1, 0.1 mmol; cocatalyst 0.1 mmol; CO<sub>2</sub>: 0.6 MPa, T = 25 °C. b) PO 50 mmol.

**Table 4**Coupling of  $CO_2$  and various epoxides catalyzed by salenCo- $(OOCCCl_3)/[18-C-6K][L-Val] \cdot 0.5H_2O^{a)}$ 

Entry	Epoxide	Time (h)	Yield (%)	CC ee (%)
1	1,2-epoxybutane	21	35.1	50.8( <i>S</i> )
2	epichlorohydrin	31	21.8	4.0(R)
3	1,2-epoxy-3-phenoxy propane	28	38.5 <sup>b)</sup>	3( <i>S</i> )
4	styrene oxide	48	13.5 <sup>b)</sup>	2(S)

a) Reaction conditions: epoxide 100 mmol; catalyst: (*R*,*R*)-1, 0.1 mmol; [18-C-6K][L-Val]·0.5H<sub>2</sub>O 0.1 mmol; CO<sub>2</sub>: 0.6 MPa, T = 25 °C. b) Solid product purified by recrystallization in ethanol.

Whereas, cyclic carbonates from epichlorohydrin, 1, 2-epoxy-3-phenoxypropane, and styrene oxide can be only acquired with diminishing ee values (entries 2–4) due to their larger substituted groups.

## 3.4 The recycle of salenCo(OOCCCl<sub>3</sub>)/[18-C-6K] [L-Val]·0.5H<sub>2</sub>O

Futhermore, the recycle and reuse of the catalysts were also investigated. The optimized catalyst system of salenCo (OOCCCl<sub>3</sub>)/[18-C-6K][L-Val]·0.5H<sub>2</sub>O was chosen as the model catalyst and the results are listed in Table 5. The recovery of catalysts was straightforward: the product of PC was completely distilled under vacuum and the residue was a mixture of catalyst and co-catalyst that was reoxidized under oxgen atmosphere within 1h by adding CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) and CCl<sub>3</sub>COOH (0.1 mmol). It can be seen that there was no significant loss of activity and enantioselectivity after five recycles.

Table 5 Recycling of catalyst salenCo(OOCCCl<sub>3</sub>)/[18-C-6K][L-Val] $\cdot$  0.5H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>a)</sup>

Recycle time	Time (h)	Conv (%)	PC ee (%)	K <sub>rel</sub>
Fresh	5.5	43.6	53.8( <i>S</i> )	4.9
1	5.5	42.3	54.2( <i>S</i> )	4.9
2	5.5	41.2	54.0(S)	4.8
3	5.5	40.0	53.5( <i>S</i> )	4.6
4	5.5	39.1	53.0( <i>S</i> )	4.5

a) Reaction conditions: PO 100 mmol; catalyst: (*R*,*R*)-1, 0.1 mmol; [18-C-6K][L-Val] $\cdot$ 0.5H<sub>2</sub>O 0.1 mmol; CO<sub>2</sub>: 0.6 MPa, *T*=25 °C

## 4 Conclusions

In summary, we have firstly designed and synthesized a series of novel chiral metal-containing ionic liquids (CMILs) that were used as chiral cocatalysts in the enantioselective cycloaddition of  $CO_2$  to epoxides catalyzed by salenCo (OOCCCl<sub>3</sub>). The new catalyst systems of salenCo(OOCCCl<sub>3</sub>)/ CMIL exhibit high activity under mild reaction conditions and demonstrate the synergistic effect between the chiral catalyst and cocatalyst.

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20973086).

- Sheldon R. Catalytic reactions in ionic liquids. *Chem Commun*, 2001, 23: 2399–2407
- 2 Dupont J, de Souza RF, Suarez PAZ. Ionic liquid (molten salt) phase organometallic catalysis. *Chem Rev*, 2002, 102: 3667–3692
- 3 Binnemans K. Ionic liquid crystals. Chem Rev, 2005, 105: 4148-4204
- 4 van Rantwijk F, Sheldon RA. Biocatalysis in ionic liquids. *Chem Rev*, 2007, 107: 2757–2785
- 5 Mehnert CP. Supported ionic liquid catalysis. *Chem Eur J*, 2005, 11: 50–56
- 6 Tao GH, He L, Liu WS, Xu L, Xiong W, Wang T, Kou Y. Preparation, characterization and application of amino acid-based green ionic liquids. *Green Chem*, 2006, 8: 639–646
- Feder-Kubis J, Kubicki M, Pernak J. 3-Alkoxymethyl-1-(1R,2S, 5R)-(-)-menthoxymethylimidazolium salts-based chiral ionic liquids. *Tetrahedron Asymmetry*, 2010, 21: 2709–2718
- 8 Winkel A, Wilhelm R. New chiral ionic liquids based on imidazolinium salts. *Tetrahedron Asymm*, 2009, 20: 2344–2350
- 9 Mloston G, Romanski J, Jasinski M, Heimgartner H. Exploration of 4,5-dimethyl-1*H*-imidazole N-oxide derivatives in the synthesis of new achiral and chiral ionic liquids. *Tetrahedron Asymm*, 2009, 20: 1073–1080
- 10 Rahman MBA, Jumbri K, Basri M, Abdulmalek E, Sirat K, Salleh AB. Synthesis and physico-chemical properties of new tetraethylammonium-based amino acid chiral ionic liquids. *Molecules*, 2010, 15: 2388–2397
- 11 Welton T. Room-temperature ionic liquids. solvents for synthesis and catalysis. *Chem Rev*, 1999, 99: 2071–2084
- 12 Wasserscheid P, Keim W. Ionic liquids-new "solutions" for transition metal catalysis. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2000, 39: 3772–3789
- 13 Yang HZ, Gu YL, Deng YQ and Shi F. Electrochemical activation of carbon dioxide in ionic liquid: Synthesis of cyclic carbonates at mild reaction conditions. *Chem Commun*, 2002, 274–275
- 14 Pârvulescu VI, Hardacre C. Catalysis in ionic liquids. Chem Rev,

2007, 107: 2615-1665

- 15 Olivier-Bourbigou H, Magna L, Morvan D. Ionic liquids and catalysis: Recent progress from knowledge to applications. *Appl Catal A: Gen*, 2010, 373: 1–56
- 16 Maltsev OV, Kucherenko AS, Chimishkyan AL, Zlotin SG.  $\alpha$ , $\alpha$  Diarylprolinol-derived chiral ionic liquids: recoverable organocatalysts for the domino reaction between  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -enals and *N*-protected hydroxylamines. *Tetrahedron Asymm*, 2010, 21: 2659–2670
- 17 Xie Y, Zhang ZF, Jiang T, He JL, Han BX, Wu TB, Ding KL. CO<sub>2</sub> Cycloaddition reactions catalyzed by an ionic liquid grafted onto a highly cross-linked polymer matrix. *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2007, 46: 7255–7258
- 18 Kim YJ, Varma RS. Tetrahaloindate(III)-based ionic liquids in the coupling reaction of carbon dioxide and epoxides to generate cyclic carbonates: H-bonding and mechanistic studies. J Org Chem, 2005, 70: 7882–7891
- 19 Li FW, Xiao LF, Xia CG, Hu B. Chemical fixation of CO<sub>2</sub> with highly efficient ZnCl<sub>2</sub>/[BMIm]Br catalyst system. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 2004, 45: 8307–8310
- 20 Wong WL, Chan PH, Zhou ZY, Lee KH, Cheung KC, Wong KY. A robust ionic liquid as reaction medium and efficient organocatalyst for carbon dioxide fixation. *ChemSusChem*, 2008, 1: 67–70
- 21 Yang ZZ, He LN, Miao CX, Chanfreau S. Lewis basic ionic liquids-catalyzed conversion of carbon dioxide to cyclic carbonate. Adv Synth Catal, 2010, 352: 2233–2240
- 22 Zhang SL, Huang YZ, Jing HW, Yao WX, Yan P. Chiral ionic liquids improved the asymmetric cycloaddition of CO<sub>2</sub> to epoxides. *Green Chem*, 2009, 11: 935–938
- 23 Lu XB, Liang B, Zhang YJ, Tian ZY, Wang YM, Bai CX, Wang H,

Zhang R. Asymmetric catalysis with CO<sub>2</sub>: Direct synthesis of optically active propylene carbonate from racemic epoxides. *J Am Chem Soc*, 2004, 126: 3732–3733

- 24 Yan P and Jing HW. Catalytic asymmetric cycloaddition of carbon dioxide and propylene oxide using novel chiral polymers of binolsalen-cobalt(III) salts. *Adv Synth Catal*, 2009, 351: 1325–1332
- 25 Jin LL, Huang YZ, Jing HW, Chang T, Yan P. Chiral catalysts for the asymmetric cycloaddition of carbon dioxide with epoxides. *Tetrahedron: Asymm*, 2008, 19: 1947–1953
- 26 Chang T, Jin LL, Jing HW. Bifunctional chiral catalyst for the synthesis of chiral cyclic carbonates from carbon dioxide and epoxides. *ChemCatChem*, 2009, 1: 379–383
- 27 Berkessel A and Brandenburg M. Catalytic asymmetric addition of carbon dioxide to propylene oxide with unprecedented enantioselectivity. Org Lett, 2006, 8: 4401–4404
- 28 Chen SW, Kawthekar RB, Kim GJ. Efficient catalytic synthesis of optically active cyclic carbonates via coupling reaction of epoxides and carbon dioxide. *Tetrahedron Lett*, 2007, 48: 297–300
- 29 Yamada W, Kitaichi Y, Tanaka H, Kojima T, Sato M, Ikeno T, Yamada T. Enantioselective incorporation of carbon dioxide into epoxides catalyzed by optically active cobalt(II) complexes. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn*, 2007, 80: 1391–1401
- 30 Sakakura T and Kohno K. The synthesis of organic carbonates from carbon dioxide. *Chem Commun*, 2009, 1312–1310
- 31 North M, Pasquale R, Young C. Synthesis of cyclic carbonates from epoxides and CO<sub>2</sub>. *Green Chem*, 2010, 12: 1514–1539
- 32 Chang T, Jing HW, Jin LL, Qiu WY. Quaternary onium tribromide catalyzed cyclic carbonate synthesis from carbon dioxide and epoxides. *J Mol Catal A: Chem*, 2007, 264: 241–247