First total synthesis of (+)-koninginin D

Gang Liu and Zhiqin Wang*

Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Feng Lin Lu, Shanghai 200032, China. E-mail: wangzq@pub.sioc.ac.cn

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 17th February 1999, Accepted 29th April 1999

A total synthesis of (+)-koninginin D (1) is described; the absolute configuration of the immediate antecedent of 1 is shown to have the configuration 7R,9S,10S by X-ray diffraction analysis.

(+)-Koninginin D, a biologically active natural product, was isolated from the culture of *Trichoderma koningii* Oudem by Ghisalberti *et al.* in 1989.¹ The structure and relative stereochemistry were determined as shown in structure **1**. Since



then four congeners of koninginin D have been isolated (koninginin A, B, C and E).² In 1995 Mori and Abe³ and Xu and Zhu⁴ published the total synthesis and absolute configuration of (-)-koninginin A independently. Here we report the total synthesis of (+)-koninginin D.

Mori constructed chiral centers C_9 and C_{10} of (–)-koninginin A *via* the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation, while Xu used tartaric acid as the starting material to establish the two chiral vicinal hydroxy groups. Because koninginins A–E were isolated from a culture of the same species of microorganism, we suggested that (+)-koninginin D has the same absolute configuration at C_9 and C_{10} as that of (–)-koninginin A. Our retrosynthetic analysis of (+)-koninginin D is shown in Scheme 1.

The synthesis of **4** is shown in Scheme 2. According to the known procedure⁵ (+)-tartaric acid was transformed to diol **7**, which when treated with TsCl and $C_5H_{11}MgBr$ afforded



monoalkylated acetonide **8** in 48.5% yield. Compound **8** was transformed to the iodo compound with NaI, which when reacted with CH₂=CHMgBr in the presence of CuI gave $9.^{6}$ Ozonolysis of **9** afforded **4**. The overall yield of **4** from **7** is 30% (5 steps).

The condensation of **4** and cyclohexane-1,3-dione **5** was performed by the method described by Paquette *et al.*,⁷ giving **10** and a small amount of the Michael addition product **11** (Scheme 3)

Treatment of **10** with dilute HCl in acetone resulted in deprotection and cyclization furnishing **12**. Under the reaction of Hg(OAc)₂ in AcOH the PhS group of **12** was replaced by an AcO group, affording **13** and a small amount of **14**. X-Ray diffraction analysis revealed that the C₇ acetoxy group of **13** is *trans* to the β -alkyl group.† Since the chiral centers C₉ and C₁₀



Scheme 2 *Reagents and conditions*: i, TsCl, CH₂Cl₂, Et₃N, rt, 6 h, 95%; ii, C₅H₁₁MgBr, THF, Li₂CuCl₄, -78 °C to rt, 5 h, 51%; iii, NaI, DMF, 80 °C, 2 h, 91%; iv, CH=CHMgBr, CuI, HMPA, THF, -30 to 10 °C, 20 h, 75%; v, O₃, CH₂Cl₂, MeOH, -78 °C, 91%.



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: i, PhSH, SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂, 30–35 $^{\circ}$ C; ii, 2 M HCl, acetone, rt, 24 h, 87%; iii, AcOH, Hg(OAc)₂, rt, 5 h, 84%.



Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: i, Ac₂O, Et₃N, DMAP, rt, 2 h, 95%; ii, NBS, AlBN, CCl₄, reflux, 2 h, 69%; iii, NaI, dioxane, CaCO₃, H₂O, reflux, 48 h, 72%; iv, MeOH, Na₂CO₃, H₂O, rt, 1 h, 91%.

of 13 came from (+)-tartaric acid the absolute configuration of 13 can be assigned as 7R,9S,10S. Both of 13 and 14 treated with Ac₂O gave 15. Attempts to introduce a hydroxy group at C₄ via allylic oxidation of 15 with reagents like SeO₂, SeO₂·SiO₂, Hg(OAc)₂, Pb(OAc)₄ and AcOBu^t failed.

Allylic bromination of **15** with NBS gave **16** in fairly good yield. Nucleophilic substitution of **16** *via* the method described by Wu⁸ produced a mixture of 4β -hydroxy compounds **17** and **18**, both of which upon hydrolysis gave (+)-koninginin D **1** as a white powder, mp 140–142 °C (hot plate); $[\alpha]_{20}^{20}$ 171 (*c* 0.125, CHCl₃) [ref. mp 122–123 °C, $[\alpha]_D$ +166.9 (*c* 0.3, CHCl₃)] (Scheme 4). The H¹ NMR, C¹³ NMR and mass spectra of **1** and its triacetate **19** were identical with those of natural (+)-koninginin D and its triacetate, respectively.[‡] The overall yield of (+)-koninginin D from tartaric acid was 4.1% (15 steps).

Notes and references

† *Crystal data* for **13**: $C_{18}H_{28}O_5$, M = 324.42, orthorhombic, a = 13.690(4), b = 25.900(3), c = 5.179(3) Å, V = 1836(1) Å³, T = 293 °C, P2,2,2 (#19), Z = 4, μ (Mo-K α) = 0.84 cm⁻¹, 2487 reflections measured, 2487 unique ($R_{int} = 0.000$) which were used in all calculations. The final $wR(F^2)$ was 0.057 [for 1140 observed reflections with $I > 2.00\sigma(I)$]. CCDC 182/1244. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/1999/1129/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

‡ Selected data for 1: $\delta_{\rm H}(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) 4.68 \text{ (dd, } J \text{ 1, 2, 1H), 4.49 (q$ like, J 4.8, 1H), 4.11 (ddd, J 2.2, 4.6, 12.1, 1H), 3.72 (q, J 6.4, 1H), 2.60 (ddd, J 4.74, 5.58, 17.00, 1H), 2.30 (m, 1H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 2.00 (m, 2H), 1.60 (m, 3H), 1.40–1.25 (m, 8H), 0.88 (t, J 6.80, 3H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 198.3, 171.4, 114.6, 77.0, 73.0, 66.7, 57.7, 34.0, 33.2, 31.8, 31.1, 29.3, 29.2, 25.4, 22.7, 14.1; m/z 298 (M+, 1.3%), 281 (32.9), 262 (20.1), 242 (7.1), 209 (6.6), 191 (8.3), 166 (29.0), 165 (100), 155 (26.0), 139 (25.2), 109 (14.2) $[C_{16}H_{24}O_4 (M - H_2O)$ requires 280.1675 found 280.1683]. For **19** $[\alpha]_D^{2\ell}$ +127.4 (c 0.58, CHCl₃); $\delta_{\rm H}(300 \,{\rm MHz}, {\rm CDCl}_3)$ 5.80 (m, 1H), 5.64 (t, J 4.90, 1H), 5.06 (td, J 3.82, 6.60, 1H), 4.14 (ddd, J 2.2, 3.7, 12.8, 1H), 2.63 (m, 1H), 2.39 (m, 1H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 1.99 (m, 1H), 1.75 (m, 1H), 1.65 (m, 2H), 1.25–1.30 (m, 9H), 0.87 (t, J 6.9, 3H); δ_C(75 MHz, CDCl₃) 194.8, 170.5, 169.8, 168.5(2), 112.2, 74.3, 73.0, 66.6, 59.7, 32.9, 31.7, 30.0, 29.3, 29.1, 26.5, 25.2, 22.6, 21.2, 20.9, 20.8, 14.1; m/z 380 (M⁺ -44, 11.3%), 365 (17.7), 322 (14.7), 321 (25.0), 305 (67.4), 279 (7.9), 262 (14.4), 245 (44.4) 233 (14.0), 191 (15.8), 165 (15.3), 149 (17), 43 (100) (C₂₂H₃₂O₈: calc. C, 62.24; H, 7.60. Found: C, 61.93; H, 7.74%).

- 1 R. W. Dunlop, A. Simon, K. Sivasihamparam and E. L. Ghisalberti, J. Nat. Prod., 1989, 52, 67.
- 2 E. L. Ghisalberti, C. Y. Rowland, J. Nat. Prod., 1993, 56, 1799; S. R. Parker, H. G. Cutler and P. R. Schreiner, *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.*, 1995, 59, 1747.
- 3 K, Mori and K. Abe, Polish J. Chem., 1994, 68, 2255; K, Mori and K, Abe, Liebigs Ann., 1995, 943.
- 4 X. X. Xu and Y. H. Zhu, Tetahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 9173.
- 5 E. Hungerbuhler and D. Seebuch Helv. Chim. Acta, 1981, 64, 687.
- 6 S. H. Kang and S. B.Lee Chem. Commu., 1998, 761.
- 7 K. Fuchs and L. A.Paquette, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 528.
- 8 R. B. Zhao and Y. L. Wu, Acta Chim. Sinica, 1989, 87.

Communication 9/01320B