First Synthesis of Xerulin, an Inhibitor of the Biosynthesis of Cholesterol

Konrad Siegel, Reinhard Brückner*

Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Albertstr. 21, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany Fax +49-761-2036100; E-mail: reinhard.brueckner@organik.chemie.uni-freiburg.de *Received 15 April 1999*

Dedicated to Professor Armin de Meijere (Georg-August-Universität Göttingen) at the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Abstract: Starting from L-ascorbic acid, crotonaldehyde, (trimethylsilyl)acetylene and the stannylated alcohol **15**, the title compound **4** was synthesized for the first time. L-Ascorbic acid was elaborated into phosphonium bromide **19** with a high degree of *Z*-stereoselectivity while the other starting materials were combined for obtaining the unsaturated aldehyde **5**. A Wittig reaction between this aldehyde and the ylide derived from bromide **19** provided xerulin (**4**) along with a mixture of isomers which was readily separable.

Key words: but enolides, but yrolactones, C–C coupling, β -elimination, Wittig reaction

Steglich, Anke, *et al.* isolated and elucidated structurally three unique γ -alkylidenebutenolides from *Xerula melanotricha* Dörfelt (Scheme 1): xerulin (4), dihydroxerulin (3), and xerulinic acid (which is xerulin with a COOH instead of the CH₃ group).¹ Xerulin (4) and dihydroxerulin (3) arose as inseparable 90:10 – 65:35 mixtures. They inhibited the biosynthesis of cholesterol in HeLa S3 cells (ID₅₀ = 1 µg/g) without being cytotoxic.



Scheme 1

Recently, we described the first synthesis of dihydroxerulin (3).² It began with stereoselective preparations of phophorus ylide 1 and lactone aldehyde 2 and ended with a Wittig reaction between these entities. Irrespective of whether it was effected in the presence or absence of LiBr,

it delivered up to 30% of the desired *trans*, *Z* isomer **3** along with up to 25% of a mixture of at least two stereoisomers. This lack of stereocontrol implies that phophorus ylide **1** is neither "stable" (creating preferentially a *trans*-

temp., 4 h - b) BuLi (1.0 equiv), THF, -78 °C, 5 min, 0 °C, 10 min, -

78 °C, I_2 (1.0 equiv), room temp., 10 min; utilized without work-up immediately thereafter. – c) MeLi (2.2 equiv), THF, -78 °C, 20 min, r.t., 10 min, I_2 (1.0 equiv), -78 °C \rightarrow r.t., 1 h – d) Me₃Si-C=C-I (1.1 equiv), Pd(dba)₂ (2 mol-%), AsPh₃ (8 mol-%), **15** (1.0 equiv), THF, r.t., 3 h – e) K₂CO₃ (1.0 equiv), MeOH, r.t., 14 h. – f) Pd(dba)₂ (5

mol-%), CuI (15 mol-%), THF/iPr₂NH (5:8, v:v), r.t., 15 min. – g) Dess-Martin periodinane (1.1 equiv), CH₂Cl₂ (not dry), r.t., 5 min.



C=C bond) nor "unstable" (creating preferentially a *cis*-C=C bond) but "semistable" which quality almost excludes that a high degree of stereocontrol can be exerted.³ As a consequence, when we tried to synthesize the next representative of Steglich's and Anke's *Xerula* metabolites, namely xerulin (4), and chose to rely again upon a Wittig reaction for establishing the $C^6=C^7$ bond, we decided to switch roles. We introduced the acyclic portion of the target molecule as an aldehyde **5** and the heterocyclic portion as a phosphorus ylide **6** and describe in the following how this was accomplished.

The acyclic portion of xerulin was assembled from crotonaldehyde (7), (trimethylsilyl)acetylene (9), and the stannylated pentadienol 15⁴ (Scheme 2).⁵ Crotonaldehyde (7) was C_1 -elongated to the dibromodiene 8 (81%) which was subjected to a Fritsch-Buttenberg-Wiechell rearrangement under the Corey-Fuchs conditions⁶. The resulting lithioalkyne was quenched with iodine⁷ furnishing the iodopentenyne 11 in 67% yield. Commercial (trimethylsilyl)acetylene (9) was oxidized by successive treatment with BuLi and iodine⁷ giving a THF solution of the corresponding iodoalkyne 10. It was used as such for a $Pd(dba)_2$ catalyzed coupling with the stannylalcohol 15⁴ providing the unsaturated alcohol 12 in 53% overall vield.⁸ Desilvlation in basic methanol⁹ furnished the terminal alkyne 13 (82%). It was combined with iodoalkyne 11 in a Pd(dba)₂/CuI-catalyzed Cadiot-Chodkiewicz reaction¹⁰ providing the desired diyne 14 and almost no self-coupling products.11 The sequence of Scheme 2 was terminated by a Dess-Martin oxidation¹² leading to the desired aldehyde 5^{13} in 81% yield.



Scheme 3. a) PPh_3 (2.5 equiv), CBr_4 (2.5 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C, 30 min. – b) $P(OMe)_3$ (excess), 80 °C, 2 h – c) PPh_3 (1.0 equiv), MeCN, r.t., 14 h.

Potential precursors of the heterocyclic moiety of xerulin were synthesized from the γ -(hydroxyethylidene)butenolide **16** (96% *Z*; Scheme 3) which we had made accessible from L-isoascorbic acid for synthesizing dihydroxerulin.² The OH group of compound **16** was replaced by Br¹⁴ giving the brominated butenolide **17** in 72% yield (97% *Z*).



<3% other isomer(s)

Condi-	Base	19:Base:5	Solvent	т	Yield 4	Yield cis-4 +	
tions				[°C]	[%]	isomer(s) [%]	
1	NEt ₃	2.0 : 2.0 : 1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-78 - 20	19	20	
2	DBN	2.0 : 2.0 : 1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-78 - 20	0	0	
3	KOtBu	2.0 : 2.0 : 1	THF	-78 - 20	6	5	
4	KHMDS	2.0 : 2.0 : 1	THF	-78 - 20	12	12	
5	dimsyl-Na	2.0 : 2.0 : 1	DMSO	20	0	0	
6	BuLi	1.1 : 1.1 : 1	THF	-78 - 20	12	12	
7	BuLi	2.0 : 2.0 : 1	THF	0 - 20	26	27	
8	K ₂ CO ₃	1.5 : 1.4 : 1	CH_2CI_2	20	19	20	
9	K ₂ CO ₃	2.0 : 2.0 : 1	CH_2CI_2	50	16	16	
10	K ₂ CO ₃	5.0 : 5.5 : 1	CH_2CI_2	50	28	27	
11	K ₂ CO ₃	5.0 : 5.0 : 1	CH_2CI_2	90	7	7	

Scheme 4

Heating this compound in trimethylphosphite led to the butenolide-containing phosphonate **18** (95% *Z*) in 95% yield while an S_N reaction with triphenylphosphine provided quantitatively the corresponding phosphonium salt **19** (96% *Z*) at room temperature. Configurational assignments of these species are based on the high-field shifted 300 MHz ¹H-NMR resonances of protons 3-H (always) and 5-H (except in **19**) in *Z*- compared with *E*-isomers (Table 1);¹⁵ we had observed similar shift differences in γ -alkylidenebutenolides earlier.^{2,15}

Table 1 Stereochemically relevant 300 MHz ¹H-NMR shifts of γ -alkylidenebutenolides 16-19

	Ziso	mer	E isomer				
	δ(3-Η)	δ(5-H)	δ(3-H)	δ(5-H)			
16	7.39 ²	5.48 ²	7.83 ²	5.91 ²			
17	7.41	5.55	7.73	6.00			
18	7.36	5.28	7.62	5.67			
19	7.56	5.86	8.80	5.57			

Table 2 ¹H NMR shifts and vicinal coupling constants of natural xerulin (4), synthetic xerulin, and the synthetic butenolide *cis*-4 (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$)

	2-H	3-H	5-H	6-H	7-H	8-H	9-H	10-H	11-H	16-H	17-H	18-H ₃
natural 4 ¹	6.17	7.35	5.88	6.81		6.37 - 6.54	1	6.76	5.75	5.60	6.33	1.83
synthetic 4	6.19	7.38	5.90	6.83	6.49	6.44	6.40	6.78	5.77	5.62	6.35	1.84
cis- 4	6.20	7.42	6.27	6.62	6.34	6.78	6.45	6.77	5.78	5.60	6.33	1.83
	J _{2,3}	-	J _{5,6}	J _{6,7}	J 7,8	J _{8,9}	J _{9,10}	J _{10,11}	-	J _{16,17}	J _{17,18}	
natural 4 ¹	5.5	-	11.8	14.5	-	-	10.5	15.5	-	15.8	6.8	
synthetic 4	5.3	-	11.7	14.2	10.7	14.2	10.5	15.3	-	15.8	7.0	
cis- 4	5.3	-	12.0	11.6	11.9	14.0	11.4	15.5	-	15.7	6.9	

Exploratory Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reactions between lithiated phosphonate **18** and aldehyde **5** failed to provide even traces of xerulin. Gratifyingly, Wittig reactions between aldehyde **5** and the ylide derived from butenolide **19** gave the desired olefin under various conditions (Scheme 4). A suspension of K₂CO₃ in CH₂Cl₂ gave up to 55% olefin (entry 10), BuLi in THF 53% (entry 7), and NEt₃ in CH₂Cl₂ 39% (entry 1). KHMDS as a base was mediocre (\rightarrow 24% yield; entry 4), KOtBu poor (\rightarrow 11% yield; entry 3) and DBN as well as dimsyl-Na did not lead to products (entries 2, 5).

Unfortunately, irrespective of the reaction conditions the Wittig reactions of Scheme 4 were non-stereoselective. Each of them furnished almost equal amounts of the desired *trans*-olefin **4** (\equiv xerulin; up to 28% yield)¹⁶ and its isomer *cis*-**4**.¹⁷ However, the latter was easily separable by chromatography on silicagel (petroleum ether / *tert*-butylmethylether 7:1 \rightarrow petroleum ether / *tert*-butylmethylether / dichloromethane 4:1:0.2 \rightarrow 2:1:19; **4** eluted first, *cis*-**4** second).

The 500 MHz ¹H-NMR spectrum of synthetic xerulin in CDCl₃ was inaccordance with the data of the natural product (Table 2).¹ In addition, it allowed a computer analysis of the previously unresolved multiplet of 7-H, 8-H and 9-H.¹⁶ This analysis revealed a coupling constant $J_{8,9} = 14.2$ Hz and thereby confirmed the suspected¹ *trans*-configuration of the C⁸=C⁹ bond. In compound *cis*-4, the *cis*-configuration of the newly formed C⁶=C⁷ bond was deduced from $J_{6,7} = 11.6$ Hz and the Z-configuration of the C⁴=C⁵ bond from $\delta_{3-H} = 7.42$; the latter value is smaller than $\delta \approx 7.9$ expected^{2,15} for an *E* isomer. The Z-configuration of the C⁴=C⁵ bond in compound *cis*-4 is underlined by the close resemblance of $\delta_{3-H,cis-4} = 7.42$ with $\delta_{3-H, natural xerulin} = 7.35$ and $\delta_{3-H, synthetic xerulin} = 7.38$.

In summary, xerulin (4) has been synthesized by a convergent route and was obtained in pure form for the first time. The only reason why this synthesis cannot be called 'stereoselective' is that the terminating Wittig reaction did not show more stereocontrol than the Wittig reaction – of opposite polarity – used for synthesizing dihydroxerulin (3). We are currently looking for modified syntheses of these compounds **4** which allow to construct the $C^6=C^7$ bond with stereocontrol.

Acknowledgement

We are indebted to the *Fonds der Chemischen Industrie* (stipend for K. S.) and the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* ("Sonderforschungsbereich 416" at the Georg-August-Universität Göttingen) for financing this project, to the *Degussa AG* for donating PdCl₂, and to the *Schering AG* for a gift of triflic anhydride.

References and Notes

- D. Kuhnt, T. Anke, H. Besl, M. Bross, R. Herrmann, U. Mocek, B. Steffan, W. Steglich, J. Antibiotics 1990, 43, 1413-1420.
- (2) K. Siegel, R. Brückner, Chem. Eur. J. 1998, 3, 1116-1122.
- (3) B. E. Maryanoff, A. B. Reitz, Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 863-927.
- (4) Ref. 2 and footnote 22 therein.
- (5) All new compounds gave satisfactory ¹H-NMR spectra (300 MHz, CDCl₃), IR spectra (film), correct combustion analyses (except phosphonate **18** and xerulin, both of which, however, provided correct high resolution mass spectra).
- (6) Method: E. J. Corey, P. L. Fuchs, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1972, 3769-3972.
- (7) Procedure: L. Brandsma, *Preparative Acetylenic Chemistry*, Elsevier, Amsterdam - Oxford - New York - Tokyo, 1988, p. 152.
- (8) Method: G. J. Hollingworth, J. B. Sweeney, *Synlett* **1993**, 463-465.
- (9) Method: W. B. Austin, N. Bilow, W. S. Kellegham, K. S. Y. Lau, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2280-2286.
- (10) Method: J. Withyak, J. B. Chan, Synth. Commun. 1991, 21, 977-979.
- (11) Cf., for instance, C. Cai, A. Vasella, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1995**, 78, 2053-2064.
- (12) Method: a) D. B. Dess, J. C. Martin, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4155-4156; b) S. D. Meyer, S. L. Schreiber, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 7549-7552.
- (13) **all-trans-2,4,10-Dodecatriene-6,8-diyn-1-al** (5): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.86$ (dd, $J_{12,11} = 6.8$, ${}^{4}J_{12,10} = 1.9$, 12-H₃), 5.62 (dm_c, $J_{10,11} \approx 15.9$, 10-H), 6.15 (d, $J_{5,4} = 15.4$, 5-H), 6.23 (dd, $J_{2,3} = 15.5$, $J_{2,1} = 8.0$, 2-H), 6.40 (dq, $J_{11,10} = 15.9$, $J_{11,12} = 7.0$, 11-H), 6.87 (dd, $J_{4,5} = 15.3$, $J_{4,3} = 11.5$, 4-H), 7.10 (dd, $J_{3,2} = 15.5$, $J_{3,4} = 11.3$, 3-H), 9.59 (d, $J_{1,2} = 7.5$, 1-H).- IR (CDCl₃): v = 3035, 2915, 2825, 2745, 2195, 1680, 1645, 1605, 1445, 1290, 1215, 1160, 1115, 985, 950, 915, 750, 735, 715

cm^{-1.–} Analysis: calc for $C_{12}H_{10}O$ (170.2): C 84.68, H 5.92; found: C 84.50, H 6.10.

- (14) Procedure: E. H. Axelrod, G. M. Milne, E. E. van Tamelen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 2139-2141.
- (15) F. C. Görth, A. Umland, R. Brückner, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 1998, 1055-1062.
- (16) **Xerulin** (4): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.84$ (dd, $J_{18,17}$ $= 6.9, {}^{4}J_{18,16} = 1.9, 18 \cdot H_{3}), 5.62 \text{ (dqd, } J_{16,17} = 15.8, {}^{4}J_{16,18} = 1.8,$ ${}^{7}J_{16,11} = 1.0, 16$ -H), 5.77 (d, $J_{11,10} = 15.3, 11$ -H), 5.90 (d, $J_{5,6} =$ 11.7, 5-H), 6.19 (d, $J_{2,3}$ = 5.3, 2-H), 6.35 (dq, $J_{17,16}$ = 15.8, $J_{17,18}$ = 7.0, 17-H), 6.40-6.56 (m, 7-H, 8-H, 9-H)*, 6.78 (dd, $J_{10,11}$ = 15.3, $J_{10,9} = 10.5$, 10-H), in part superimposed by 6.83 (dd, $J_{6,7}$ = 14.2, J_{6.5} = 11.9, 6-H), 7.38 (d, J_{3.2} = 5.2, 3-H). *This spectrum was simulated by the program DAVINX® under WINDOWS® as a 7-spin system comprising 5-H - 11-H. Varying the non-observable δ and J values systematically until the calculated spectrum showed the best fit with the experimental spectrum, we found $\delta_{7.\text{H}} = 6.49$, $\delta_{8.\text{H}} = 6.44$, $\delta_{9.\text{H}} = 6.40$, $J_{7,8} = 10.7$, and $J_{8,9} = 14.2$. ⁻¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 18.92$ (C-18), 72.54, 79.40, 80.66, and 83.37 (C-12, C-13, C-14, C-15), 109.94 (C-16), 112.18 (C-11), 114.72 (C-5), 118.92 (C-2), 127.78 (C-6), 135.13, 135.60, and 137.87 (C-7, C-8, C-9), 142.59 (C-3), 143.85 (C-10), 144.02 (C-17), 149.53 (C-4), 169.40 (C-1).- IR (CDCl₃): v = 3030, 2960, 2925, 2855, 2250, 2190, 1775, 1750, 1530, 1335, 1215, 1105, 1065, 995, 920, 895, 805, 765, 715 cm $^{-1}-$ UV (MeOH): λ_{max} (lg ε) = 404 (6.02), 421 (6.02) nm. m/z = 262.0993 (M^{\oplus}) ±2 mDa confirmed by HRMS (EI, 70 eV).

(17) Z-5-[(2Z,4E,6E,12E)-Tetradecatetraene-8,10diynylidene)-5H-2-furanone (cis-4): ¹H NMR [500 MHz, CDCl₃, contaminated with <10% isomer(s)]: $\delta = 1.83$ (dd, $J_{18,17} = 6.9, {}^{4}J_{18,16} = 1.9, 18 \cdot H_{3}$, 5.60 (dqd, $J_{16,17} = 15.8, {}^{4}J_{16,18}$ = 1.6, ${}^{7}J_{16,11}$ = 1.2, 16-H), 5.78 (d, $J_{11,10}$ = 15.6, 11-H), 6.20 (d, $J_{2,3} = 5.3, 2$ -H), 6.27 (d, $J_{5,6} = 12.4, 5$ -H), 6.33 (dq, $J_{17,16} =$ 15.7, $J_{17,18} = 6.9$, 17-H), superimposed by 6.34 (dd, $J_{7.6} \approx J_{7.8}$ $\approx 11.5, 7-H$), 6.45 (dd, $J_{9,8} = 14.1, J_{9,10} = 11.4, 9-H$), 6.62 (dd, $J_{6,7} \approx J_{6,5} \approx 11.6, 6$ -H), 6.77 (dd, $J_{10,11} = 15.3, J_{10,9} = 11.4, 10$ -H), superimposed by 6.78 (dd, $J_{8,9} = 13.9$, $J_{8,7} = 12.3$, 8-H), 7.42 (d, $J_{3,2} = 5.2$, 3-H).- ¹³C NMR [125.7 MHz, CDCl₃, contaminated with <10% isomer(s)]: $\delta = 19.01$ (C-18), 72.42, 79.35, 80.53, and 83.37 (C-12, C-13, C-14, C-15), 109.67 (C-5), 109.85 (C-16), 112.56 (C-11), 119.33 (C-2), 123.77 (C-6), 130.00 (C-8), 134.33 (C-7), 135.77 (C-9), 142.84 (C-3), 143.60 (C-10), 144.07 (C-17), 149.69 (C-4), 169.29 (C-1).-IR (CDCl₃): v = 2925, 2855, 2255, 1775, 1750, 1675, 1600, 1530, 1465, 1380, 1335, 1160, 1105, 1065, 995, 925, 890, 755, 720, 705, 650 cm⁻¹ – UV (MeOH): λ_{max} (lg ϵ) = 405 (6.12), 423 (6.12) nm. – $m/z = 262.0993 (M^{\oplus}) \pm 2 \text{ mDa}$ confirmed by HRMS (EI, 70 eV).

Article Identifier:

1437-2096,E;1999,0,08,1227,1230,ftx,en;G11799ST.pdf