



Magnetism of Co-doped titania thin films prepared by spray pyrolysis

A. Manivannan, M. S. Seehra, S. B. Majumder, and R. S. Katiyar

Citation: Applied Physics Letters **83**, 111 (2003); doi: 10.1063/1.1590744 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1590744 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/apl/83/1?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Influence of excess Fe accumulation over the surface of FePt nanoparticles: Structural and magnetic properties J. Appl. Phys. **113**, 134303 (2013); 10.1063/1.4796091

Nature of magnetism in Co- and Mn-doped ZnO prepared by sol-gel technique J. Appl. Phys. **99**, 08M110 (2006); 10.1063/1.2166598

Magnetic properties of Co-doped ZnO nanoparticles prepared by vaporization-condensation in a solar reactor J. Appl. Phys. **97**, 10D311 (2005); 10.1063/1.1848440

Room temperature ferromagnetic and ultraviolet optical properties of Co-doped ZnO nanocluster films J. Appl. Phys. **97**, 10D307 (2005); 10.1063/1.1846991

Study of diluted magnetic semiconductor: Co-doped ZnO Appl. Phys. Lett. **81**, 4020 (2002); 10.1063/1.1517405



This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP. 143.167.195.254 On: Mon. 07 Apr 2014 21:24:35

Magnetism of Co-doped titania thin films prepared by spray pyrolysis

A. Manivannan^{a)} and M. S. Seehra

Department of Physics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 26506-6315

S. B. Majumder and R. S. Katiyar

Department of Physics, University of Puerto Rico, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00931-3343

(Received 18 November 2002; accepted 21 May 2003)

Co-doped TiO₂ (anatase) films prepared by spray pyrolysis at 500 °C are investigated for the possible existence of room temperature ferromagnetism (RTF). These films were characterized by x-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy at room temperature, followed by studies of the temperature and magnetic field dependence of the magnetization by squid magnetometry. For Co doping of upto 10 at. %, no phase other than anatase could be detected, and there is no RTF in these films. Instead, the magnetic susceptibility χ fits the modified Curie–Weiss law $\chi = \chi_0 + C/(T + \theta)$, with $\theta \approx 5$ K and magnitude of *C* consistent with Co²⁺ paramagnetism in Ti_{1-x}Co_xO_{2-x}. Only for $T \leq 5$ K, a hysteresis loop with coercivity $H_c = 200$ Oe is observed. These observations suggest Co²⁺ paramagnetism, with exchange interaction becoming important for $T \leq 5$ K in the case of 10% Co/TiO₂ films. © 2003 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1590744]

Because of the increasing demand of the information technology field for faster data processing and larger charge storage capacity, the field of spintronics is growing rapidly.¹ For this purpose, metal-ferromagnetic-semiconductor based heterostructures have been studied extensively. However, it remains difficult to transfer electron spin across the ferromagnetic-semiconductor interfaces mainly due to their dissimilar electronic conductivities.^{2–4} Another promising approach is to integrate ferromagnetic semiconductors with existing semiconductors.⁵⁻⁶ For example, for Mn-doped GaAs coherent transfer of electron spins has been demonstrated.⁵ The Curie temperatures T_C of most of these materials are however below RT requiring additional research to increase T_C above RT.⁷ Matsumoto *et al.* reported the room-temperature ferromagnetism (RTF) properties in epitaxially grown Co-doped TiO₂ thin films using pulsed laser deposition.⁸ The RTF behavior was also confirmed in Co-doped TiO₂ thin films prepared by oxygen plasma assisted molecular beam epitaxy,9 and reactive cosputtering techniques.¹⁰ The origin of RTF of these films, however, remains poorly understood. For example, Matsumoto et al.8 commented that precipitated magnetic Co clusters in TiO₂ matrix could be responsible for the observed RTF although no evidence for Co clusters was confirmed from the x-ray diffraction (XRD) or transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements. This possibility was also raised by Park et al. who in a recent study have provided evidence for the presence of Co clusters from magnetic study.¹⁰ The presence of such clusters has also been reported by Mitani et al.¹¹ Both Matsumoto et al. and Park et al. claimed that Co doping (up to 12 at. %) systematically dissolves in TiO₂ lattice. Chambers et al.,9 on the other hand, ruled out the possibility of Co inclusion in anatase TiO₂ lattice and concluded that the local coordination of Co in $Ti_{1-x}Co_xO_2$ films is similar to that in CoTiO_3 . The RTF properties were observed in those films where the local coordination of Co was similar to that in CoTiO_3 . On the other hand, films with substantial Co outdiffusion to the near-surface regions [with Co valence state +2 or +3 as revealed by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis] were essentially nonferromagnetic.

In this work, we have synthesized a series of Co-doped TiO_2 thin films (up to 10 at. % Co content) on commercial glass substrates by spray pyrolysis.¹² We do not observe RTF behavior in these films which apparently is in contradiction to the earlier-mentioned studies. It is argued that the possible reason for this contradiction could be due to the nature of Co substitution in host TiO₂ lattice.

To prepare these films, calculated amounts of titanium acetylacetonate and cobalt nitrate, codissolved in ethanol, were sprayed over the glass substrates kept at 400 °C using nitrogen gas. These films were then annealed at 500 °C for 3 h in air for organic removal as well as crystallization. The deposited films had a thickness in the range of 80–190 nm. The nature of phase formation and structural properties of these films were analyzed by x-ray diffraction in conjunction with the micro-Raman spectroscopy. Magnetic measurements on these films were carried out in the range of 1.7-300 K using a commercial superconducting quantum interference device magnetometer. The surface composition and the chemical states of the constituent elements were analyzed using a XPS.

As revealed by XRD, all the films were polycrystalline and crystallized into the anatase crystal structure with no significant shift of the Bragg lines with Co doping. No metallic Co precipitation or any other Co–Ti phase/(s) were detected in XRD upto 10 at. % Co doping. The ionic radius of Co²⁺ is close to that of Ti⁴⁺ ions with equivalent coordination number. Therefore, the lattice spacing does not change significantly even if Co dissolves in TiO₂ lattice and forms

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; electronic mail: amanivan@wvu.edu



FIG. 1. Micro-Raman spectra of (a) 0.0 (undoped), (b) 1.0, (c) 5.0, and (d) 10.0 at. % Co-doped TiO_2 thin films.

solid solution without any secondary phase precipitation (at least within the detection limit of XRD). The local Co induced structural modification of TiO_2 host was also analyzed by micro-Raman spectroscopy. Figure 1 shows the micro-Raman spectra of TiO_2 thin films with various Co content. All the observed bands are identified from the tetragonal anatase phase. The presence of any other vibration mode/(s) from secondary phase/(s) (e.g., metallic Co or various Co–Ti oxide species) were not detected in any of these spectra.

Our XPS studies showed the core levels of Ti $2p_{1/2}$ and Ti $2p_{3/2}$ to be approximately at 463.90 and 458.44 eV (after carbon correction), respectively, which are assigned to the Ti⁴⁺ in anatase TiO₂, assuming the 0.76 eV shift (in Ref. 13, the Ti $2p_{3/2}$ position is reported at 459.2 eV) could be due to the retained strain in thin films. Figure 2 shows the Co 2plevel core spectra of 10 at. % Co-doped TiO₂ thin film, the Co $2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ peaks being at 780.85 and 796.78 eV, respectively, with a peak separation of 15.93 eV. Comparing with results in Ref. 13, it is ruled out that in our films Co is in metallic state in anatase TiO₂ (metallic Co $2p_{3/2}$ has a peak position at 778.3 eV). Instead our result indicates that Co is in +2 valence state and not bonded to oxygen as CoO since Co $2p_{3/2}$ in CoO has a peak position at 780.4 eV and the observed peak in the present study appeared at 780.85



FIG. 2. XPS spectra of Co 2*p* peaks from 10 at % Co-doped titania, see text for arrows.

TABLE I. Composition of undoped and Co-doped TiO₂ films.

Film	O:Ti	O:Ti	Co:Ti	Co:Ti
composition	(nominal)	(expt.)	(nominal)	(expt.)
TiO ₂	2:1	2.6:1		
Ti _{0.9} Co _{0.1} O _{1.9}	2.1:1	2.85:1	0.11:1	0.10:1

eV. The shift of 0.45 eV indicates that there is indeed an inclusion of Co in TiO₂ lattice forming solid solution of composition $\text{Ti}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x\text{O}_{2-x}$. The splitting of Co $2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ peaks (marked by arrows) could be due to the Ar sputtering done for surface cleaning prior to the XPS measurement. The oxygen 1*s* band for undoped TiO₂ films is observed at about 529.3 eV, (not shown) which corresponds to oxygen in TiO₂.¹² The shoulder at the higher binding energy (~532 eV) corresponds to oxygen in either adsorbed water molecule or OH group at the film surface (not shown).

As shown in Table I, the atomic content ratio of O:Ti is higher than the nominal composition due to the presence of adsorbed water and the Co:Ti ratio is quite close to the expected nominal composition. This is in contrast to the observation made by Chambers *et al.*⁹ who have reported Co affluence at the surface of their films.

The results of our magnetic studies on the 10% Codoped TiO₂ sample are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. In order to avoid the background signal from the glass plate, the thinfilm material was carefully scrapped-off the glass plate and the magnetic data measured is shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Prior to scrapping-off the material, the magnetic studies on the thin films was also done and the data were similar to those in Figs. 3 and 4 except for the background diamagnetic signal from the glass plate. The temperature variation of the magnetic susceptibility χ in zero field cooled (ZFC) and field cooled (FC) cases showed some bifurcation of the data below about 6 K (Fig. 3). The solid line in Fig. 3 is fit to the modified Curie–Weiss law: $\chi = \chi_0 + C/(T + \theta)$ with $\chi_0 = 1.2(0.1) \times 10^{-5}$ emu/g Oe, $C = 1.4(0.1) \times 10^{-3}$ emu K/g Oe, and $\theta = 5(1)$ K. Using $C = N\mu^2/3k_B$, with N



FIG. 3. Temperature variation of the magnetic susceptibility χ for the 10% Co-doped film for FC and ZFC cases in H = 2000 Oe. The solid line is fit to the equation $\chi = \chi_0 + C/(T + \theta)$. The inset shows the data for the low tem₅ to IP perature region.



FIG. 4. Magnetization vs magnetic field for 10% Co/TiO₂ at 5 and 30 K. The inset shows the data for the low field regions at 5 K. The lines connecting the data are for visual aid.

=number of magnetic ions/g, k_B =Boltzmann constant, and μ =magnetic moment, yield $\mu \approx 3.0(0.1) \mu_B$ for the Co²⁺ ions substituting for Ti⁴⁺ ions in the anatase unit cell for 10% Co doping, assuming the composition Ti_{1-x}Co_xO_{2-x}. This magnitude of μ is somewhat lower than the expected value of μ =3.87 μ_B for 10 at. % Co²⁺ with spin S=3/2 but the difference can easily be explained assuming the actual concentration of Co in the thin film is somewhat less than the nominal 10% value. The Curie–Weiss temperature θ =5 K represents antiferromagnetic exchange interaction between the Co²⁺ ions. The bifurcation of the FC and ZFC data below 6 K may be a manifestation of this interaction.

The field dependence of the magnetization at T=5 and 30 K (Fig. 4) shows that the hysteresis is not observed at 30 or 300 K (not shown). However, at 5 K, M vs H is nonlinear and in the inset of Fig. 4, we have plotted the low-field region of the loop showing coercivity $H_c \approx 200$ Oe and the remanant magnetization of about 0.02 emu/g.

In conclusion, we have successfully prepared Co:TiO_2 thin films by spray pyrolysis at relatively low temperature (500 °C). In this technique, the phase formation kinetics is expected to be very different from that in the physical vapor deposition techniques since in spray pyrolysis, the constituent elements are mixed at molecular level and also the an-

nealing temperature was kept relatively low to avoid any possible secondary phase segregation even in relatively higher doping content. Films so prepared were characterized by XRD, XPS, and micro-Raman studies in order to establish the solubility of Co in TiO₂ lattice up to 10 at. % Co content. These films were found to be paramagnetic at room temperature but on cooling further the magnetic susceptibility followed a Curie–Weiss law with a very low $\theta \approx 5$ K. Only for $T \leq \theta$, hysteresis loop is observed. The apparent disagreement of our results in the Co:TiO₂ films prepared by spray pyrolysis with other reported results on Co/TiO₂ films prepared by other methods (where RTF has been observed),^{8–10} is most likely due to the different nature of incorporation of Co into the anatase lattice.

A.M. would like to thank Saitoh for experimental assistance. At WVU, this research was supported by the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research and at UPR by NASA Grant No. NCC5-518.

- ¹G. Salis, Y. Kato, K. Ensslin, D. C. Driscoll, A. C. Gossard, and D. D. Awschalom, Nature (London) **414**, 619 (2001); F. J. Jedema, A. T. Filip, and B. J. van Wees, *ibid.* **410**, 345 (2001).
- ²D. K. Young, E. Johnston-Halperin, D. D. Awschalom, Y. Ohno, and H. Ohno, Appl. Phys. Lett. **80**, 1598 (2002).
- ³P. R. Hammar, B. R. Bennett, M. J. Yang, and M. Johnson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 203 (1999); J. A. C. Bland, A. Hirohata, C. M. Guertler, Y. B. Xu, and M. Tselepi, J. Appl. Phys. **89**, 6740 (2001).
- ⁴G. Schmidt and L. W. Molenkamp, J. Appl. Phys. 89, 7443 (2001).
- ⁵H. Ohno, A. Shen, F. Matsukura, A. Oiwa, A. Endo, S. Katsumoto, and Y. Iye, Appl. Phys. Lett. **69**, 363 (1996).
- ⁶L. Kronik, M. Jain, and J. R. Chelikowsky, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 041203R (2002).
- ⁷X. Chen, M. Na, M. Cheon, S. Wang, H. Luo, B. D. McCombe, X. Liu, Y. Sasaki, T. Wojtowicz, J. K. Furdyna, S. J. Potashnik, and P. Schiffer, Appl. Phys. Lett. 81, 511 (2002).
- ⁸Y. Matsumoto, M. Murakami, T. Shono, T. Hasegawa, T. Fukumura, M. Kawasaki, P. Ahmet, T. Chikyow, S. Koshihara, and H. Koinuma, Science **291**, 854 (2001).
- ⁹S. A. Chambers, S. Thevuthasan, R. F. C. Farrow, R. F. Marks, J. U. Thiele, L. Folks, M. G. Samant, A. J. Kellock, N. Ruzycki, D. L. Ederer, and U. Diebold, Appl. Phys. Lett. **79**, 3467 (2001).
- ¹⁰W. K. Park, R. J. O-Hertogs, J. S. Moodera, A. Punnoose, and M. S. Seehra, J. Appl. Phys. **91**, 8093 (2002); A. Punnoose, M. S. Seehra, W. K. Park, and J. S. Moodera, *ibid.* **93**, 7867 (2003).
- ¹¹S. Mitani, H. Fujimori, and S. Ohnuma, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 165, 141 (1997).
- ¹²A. Manivannan, S. B. Majumder, and R. S. Katiyar, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. abstract no: P5.15 (2002).
- ¹³ J. F. Moulder, W. F. Stickle, P. E. Sobol, and K. D. Bomben, *Handbook of X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy* (Perkin-Elmer, Eden Praitie, MN, 1992).