

# Enantioselective Bromolactonization of Deactivated Olefinic Acids

Xiaojian Jiang,\*<sup>,†</sup> Shenghui Liu,<sup>†</sup> Si Yang,<sup>†</sup> Mei Jing,<sup>†</sup> Lipeng Xu,<sup>†</sup> Pei Yu,<sup>†</sup> Yuqiang Wang,<sup>†</sup> and Ying-Yeung Yeung\*<sup>,‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Institute of New Drug Research and Guangzhou Key Laboratory of Innovative Chemical Drug Research in Cardiocerebrovascular Diseases, Jinan University College of Pharmacy, Guangzhou 510632, People's Republic of China

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Chemistry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong, China

#### **Supporting Information**

ABSTRACT: A novel enantioselective bromolactonization of  $\alpha_{\beta}$ -unsaturated ketones using bifunctional amino-urea catalysts has been developed. The scope of the reaction is evidenced by 23 examples of halolactones bearing various functionalities with up to 99% yield and 99:1 er. Unlike typical urea catalysts that require electron-deficient substituents to enhance the hydrogen bond strength, it is interesting to realize that electron-rich ureas are essential for high enantioselectivity in this case. Moreover, experimental data reveals that the halolactone compounds exhibit considerable anti-inflammatory effects on LPS-induced RAW 264.7 cells.



atalytic enantioselective halocyclization of olefinic substrates is an important approach to achieve various useful heterocyclic building blocks.<sup>1</sup> Various mono- and bifunctional organocatalysts have been widely applied in the asymmetric halocyclization of diverse olefinic substrates.<sup>2-7</sup> Activated or unactivated olefins are typically used in these reactions.

A separated class of substrates is deactivated olefins, which are less studied. Deactivated olefins such as  $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated enones are relatively inert toward electrophilic halogenation reactions, attributed to the relatively low reactivity of the electron-deficient olefin as a  $\pi$ -donor in the  $\alpha_{\mu}\beta$ -unsaturated enone system. For example, olefinic acid 1 was capable of performing the bromolactonization reaction with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) at room temperature, allowing for an efficient synthesis of bromolactone 2 in the absence of catalyst (Scheme 1, eq 1). In contrast, bromolactonization of  $\alpha_{\beta}$ -unsaturated ketone 3a under the identical conditions was found to be sluggish (Scheme 1, eq 2).

Sporadic studies were documented, which a metal-catalyzed system can be applied to the halogenation of deactivated olefins. Representative research works on the transition metal-catalyzed haloamidation and related reactions of  $\beta$ -substituted enones reported by Feng et al. have been documented.<sup>6a,8</sup> It has been proposed that the reaction might go through a haliranium intermediate or a Michael addition mechanism.<sup>1t</sup> However, to the best of our knowledge, asymmetric organocatalytic halocyclization of deactivated olefins with nucleophile attacking the  $\alpha$ -carbon remains unknown. Herein, we report the halocyclization of deactivated olefinic substrates using a nonclassical urea organocatalyst that bears an electron-donating substituent. The resulting halolactone compounds were found to exhibit significant anti-inflammatory effect on LPS-induced RAW 264.7 cells.

© XXXX American Chemical Society

Scheme 1. Bromolactonization of Deactivated Olefinic Acids Catalyzed by Electron-Rich Urea Organocatalyst



At the initial stage of investigation, enone substrate 3a was examined with toluene as the reaction media. Chiral catalysts including (DHQ)<sub>2</sub>PHAL (5), BINOL-derived phosphoric acid 6, and L-proline- and cinchona alkaloid-derived S- and Othiocarbamate catalysts 7-9 were examined, <sup>1</sup> but the yields were moderate with negligible enantioselectivity. However, cinchona alkaloid derived-ureas 10a, 11a, and 12 were found to be effective in promoting the cyclization of 3a. In particular, urea 10a gave the desired product 4a with er 62:38 (Figure 1).

Next, ureas with different substituents were evaluated. Typically, it is believed that urea performs as a dihydrogenbond catalyst and an electron-withdrawing substituent, which

```
Received: April 9, 2018
```



Figure 1. Study on effect of the substituent on the urea catalyst.

should enhance the hydrogen-bond strength and could lead to a better selectivity.<sup>2,9</sup> Unexpectedly, both urea catalysts 10c and 10d, which have electron-donating substituents gave better enantioselectivity than that of the relatively electron-deficient urea 10b. Further examination of different catalysts indicated that the enantioselectivity increased with the electron-donating and steric effects; the enantioselectivity increased significantly with the urea catalyst bearing a 2,4-dimethoxyphenyl (10e) or a 2-methyl-4-methoxyphenyl (10f) group. However, substitution at the meta-position (urea 10g, 10j) failed to return a higher enantioselectivity. Changing the 4-methoxy to a 4-ethoxy substitution generally improved the enantioselectivity (10h and 10i). Finally, a 88:12 er was obtained with catalyst 10k. The antipode of 4a could be obtained with comparable efficiency when the pseudoenantiomeric quinidine-derived urea 11b was used. A survey on the halogen source, solvent, and reaction temperature revealed that the use of NBS in toluene at 15 °C was optimal.<sup>10</sup>

With the optimized conditions in hand, the substrate scope was examined. In general, the reactions proceeded with excellent yield and good-to-excellent enantioselectivity (Table 1). The

# Table 1. Substrate Scope<sup>*a*</sup>



22<sup>b</sup> 4h, 4-MeO-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> 48 98 94:6 23<sup>6</sup> ent-4h, 4-MeO-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> 36 97 7:93 24<sup>*d*</sup> 36 4v, 4-MeO-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> 98 91:9 <sup>a</sup>Reactions were carried out with substrate 3 (0.2 mmol), catalyst 10k (0.03 mmol), and NBS (0.26 mmol) in PhMe (8 mL) at 15 °C in the absence of light. The yield was isolated yield, and the er was determined by chiral HPLC. <sup>b</sup>1 mmol scale. <sup>c</sup>Catalyst 11b was used instead of 10k. dNIS was used instead of NBS. The corresponding iodolactone was obtained instead of bromolactone.

60

60

60

60

60

98

99

99

99

99

95:5

96:4

96:4

>99:1

>99:1

reaction worked well with substrates bearing electron-donating substituents at the *para-, meta-,* or *ortho*-positions of the aryl systems (entries 1–10). In particular, the 4-methoxyphenyl substituted substrate **3h** gave the desired product **4h** in er 94:6 (entry 8). Diminished enantioselectivity was encountered for substrate **3k**, presumably due to the presence of a sterically congested substituent (entry 11). The reaction was also found to be compatible with electron-deficient substrates **3l–3o** (entries 12–15). Thiophene-containing substrate **3p** gave the corresponding product **4p** smoothly, and the thiophene remained

17

18

19

2.0

21

4q

4s

4r

4t

411

intact (entry 16). Substrates bearing alkyl side-chains including methyls (3q, 3r), cyclopentyl (3s, 3t), and cyclohexyl (3u) returned excellent enantioselectivity (entries 17–21), attribute to the crucial role of the Thorpe–Ingold effect.<sup>11</sup> The reaction was found to be readily scalable, and comparable enantiose-lectivity was obtained (entry 8 vs 22). The antipode of 4 could be obtained by employing the pseudoenantiomeric catalyst 11b (entry 8 vs 23). Iodolactonization of 3 could be achieved by replacing NBS with *N*-iodosuccinimide (NIS) as the halogen source (entry 24). The absolute configuration of lactone 4 was determined on the basis of an X-ray crystallographic study on a single crystal of 4u.<sup>10</sup>

The synthetic utility was demonstrated by the transformation using lactone **4h**. As illustrated in Scheme **2**, heating **4h** at 60 °C





in ethanoic sodium hydrogen carbonate gave the chiral ether derivative **16** in a yield of 99%. However, treatment of **4h** with NaN<sub>3</sub> led to the formation of the azide derivative **17**. More importantly, no erosion of enantioselectivity was observed in both reactions. To investigate the potential use of these products, we evaluated the effects of **4h**, **16**, **17**, and *ent*-**4h** on the production of ROS and NO in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. The results show that **4h** considerably reduced the production of ROS and NO, while the effects of **16** and **17** were less significant,<sup>10</sup> indicating that **4h** could be a potent antiinflammatory agent.<sup>12</sup> Elaboration of these compounds is underway in order to develop potential lead compounds.

Based on the results shown in Figure 1, it appears that the reaction might not go through a classical dihydrogen bond activation mechanism and the bulky, electron-donating substituent in 10k might offer steric and/or effect(s) in the enantiodetermining step. Some control experiments were performed in order to shed light on the mechanistic picture. Upon mixing urea 18 with NBS, in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, the <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals corresponding to NBS and 18 disappeared, and new signals corresponding to succinimide appeared. Concurrently, a white precipitate was formed. HRMS analysis on the white precipitate returned a m/zpeak at 228.9974, which might correspond to the species 18-Br (Scheme 3).<sup>10</sup> However, no observable interaction was detected between urea 18 and enone 3a on the basis of the NMR study. Although a more detailed study is required to elucidate a clearer mechanistic picture, a plausible reaction mechanism is depicted in Scheme 3 on the basis of the reaction observations. Instead of acting as a dihydrogen-bond catalyst, we postulate that the electron-rich urea 10k might mainly serve as a Lewis base in activating the electrophilic halogen to give species 10k-Br.<sup>13</sup> Since efficiency of the electrophilic halogenation was high as reflected by the reaction rate and yield, the olefin moiety in substrate 3 appears to be more electron-rich than expected; this could be a result of inefficient  $\pi$ -conjugation between the carbonyl and the olefinic groups. We suspect that one of the possible transition states might involve dual interactions between the putative species 10k-Br and the enone moiety in the substrate: (1) the electrophilic Br in 10k-Br might interact with the olefin to form the bromiranium ion; (2) the N–H group of the urea might hydrogen-bond to the carbonyl oxygen of the



enone. These interactions might distort the geometry in such a way that the carbonyl group could not effectively conjugate with the olefin. However, an intensive study is underway in order to gain a better understanding of this new class of catalysts.

In summary, we described a catalytic asymmetric halolactonization of deactivated olefinic acids. A range of keto-lactones were furnished in good-to-excellent enantioselectivity and yield. Instead of classical electron-deficient ureas, electron-rich urea organocatalyst was found to be necessary to achieve high enantioselectivity. Moreover, the lactone compounds were found to be potent anti-inflammatory agents.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.8b01125.

Experimental details and spectroscopic and analytical data for new compounds (PDF)

### Accession Codes

CCDC 1816471 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif, or by emailing data\_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Authors**

\*E-mail: chemjxj2015@jnu.edu.cn. \*E-mail: yyyeung@cuhk.edu.hk.

# ORCID 🔍

Xiaojian Jiang: 0000-0002-1355-3611 Ying-Yeung Yeung: 0000-0001-9881-5758

# Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (Grant No. 2017B050506006), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21502068), and ITSP-Guangdong-Hong Kong Technology Cooperation Funding Scheme (Grant No. GHP/008/17GD) for financial support.

# REFERENCES

 (1) For selective reviews, see: (a) Cheng, Y. A.; Yu, W. Z.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 2333. (b) Tan, C. K.; Yu, W. Z.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Chirality 2014, 26, 328. (c) Murai, K.; Fujioka, H. Heterocycles 2013, 87, 763. (d) Tan, C. K.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 7985.
(e) Chemler, S. R.; Bovino, M. T. ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 1076.
(f) Denmark, S. E.; Kuester, W. E.; Burk, M. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10938. (g) Hennecke, U. Chem. - Asian J. 2012, 7, 456.
(h) Castellanos, A.; Fletcher, S. P. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 5766. (i) Tan, C. K.; Zhou, L.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Synlett 2011, 2011, 1335. (j) Zheng, S.; Schienebeck, C. M.; Zhang, W.; Wang, H.-Y.; Tang, W. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2014, 3, 366. (k) Sakakura, A.; Ishihara, K. Chem. Rec. 2015, 15, 728.

(2) Urea catalyzed asymmetric halocyclizations: (a) Veitch, G. E.; Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7332. (b) Zhang, W.; Zheng, S.; Liu, N.; Werness, J. B.; Guzei, I. A.; Tang, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3664. (c) Zheng, S.; Schienebeck, C. M.; Zhang, W.; Wang, H.-Y.; Tang, W. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2014, 3, 366. (d) Zhang, W.; Liu, N.; Schienebeck, C. M.; Decloux, K.; Zheng, S.; Werness, J. B.; Tang, W. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 7296.

(3) (a) Denmark, S. E.; Beutner, G. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1560. (b) Denmark, S. E.; Burk, M. T. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2010, 107, 20655. (c) Denmark, S. E.; Collins, W. R. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3801. (d) Denmark, S. E.; Kalyani, D.; Collins, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 15752. (e) Chen, F.; Tan, C. K.; Yeung, Y.-Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 1232. (f) Ke, Z.; Tan, C. K.; Chen, F.; Yeung, Y.-Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5627. (g) Ke, Z.; Tan, C. K.; Liu, Y.; Lee, K. G. Z.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Tetrahedron 2016, 72, 2683. (h) Cheng, Y. A.; Yu, W. Z.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12102. (i) Jiang, X.; Tan, C. K.; Zhou, L.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7771. (j) Tay, D. W.; Leung, G. Y. C.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 5161. (k) Zhao, Y.; Jiang, X.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8597. (1) Tan, C. K.; Le, C.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5793. (m) Chen, J.; Zhou, L.; Tan, C. K.; Yeung, Y.-Y. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 999. (n) Tan, C. K.; Zhou, L.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2738. (o) Zhou, L.; Tan, C. K.; Jiang, X.; Chen, F.; Yeung, Y.-Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 15474. (p) Zhou, L.; Chen, J.; Tan, C. K.; Yeung, Y.-Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9164. (q) Chen, J.; Zhou, L.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 3808. (r) Zhou, L.; Tay, D. W.; Chen, J.; Leung, G. Y. C.; Yeung, Y.-Y. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4412.

(4) Selected recent endeavors on the development of asymmetric Otype halocyclization: (a) Han, X.; Dong, C.; Zhou, H.-B. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1275. (b) Murai, K.; Nakajima, J.; Nakamura, A.; Hyogo, N.; Fujioka, H. Chem. - Asian J. 2014, 9, 3511. (c) Murai, K.; Shimizu, N.; Fujioka, H. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 12530. (d) Arai, T.; Sugiyama, N.; Masu, H.; Kado, S.; Yabe, S.; Yamanaka, M. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 8287. (e) Wilking, M.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Hennecke, U. Synlett 2014, 25, 1701. (f) Nakatsuji, H.; Sawamura, Y.; Sakakura, A.; Ishihara, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6974. (g) Murai, K.; Nakamura, A.; Matsushita, T.; Shimura, M.; Fujioka, H. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 8448. (h) Wang, Y.-M.; Wu, J.; Hoong, C.; Rauniyar, V.; Toste, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 12928. (i) Paull, D. H.; Fang, C.; Donald, J. R.; Pansick, A. D.; Martin, S. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11128. (j) Dobish, M. C.; Johnston, J. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 6068. (k) Denmark, S. E.; Burk, M. T. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 256. (1) Müller, C. H.; Rösner, C.; Hennecke, U. Chem. - Asian J. 2014, 9, 2162. (m) Wilking, M.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Hennecke, U. Chem. - Eur. J. 2016, 22, 18601. (n) Aursnes, M.; Tungen, J. E.; Hansen, T. V. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 8287.

(5) Selected recent endeavors on the development of asymmetric Ntype halocyclization: (a) Huang, H.; Pan, H.; Cai, Y.; Liu, M.; Tian, H.; Shi, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. **2015**, *13*, 3566. (b) Huang, D.; Liu, X.; Li, L.; Cai, Y.; Liu, W.; Shi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, *135*, 8101. (c) Lozano, O.; Blesslet, G.; del Campo, T. M.; Thompson, A. L.; Giuffredi, G. T.; Bettati, M.; Walker, M.; Borman, R.; Gouverneur, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2011**, *50*, 8105. (d) Huang, D.; Wang, H.; Xue, F.; Guan, H.; Li, L.; Peng, X.; Shi, Y. Org. Lett. **2011**, *13*, 6350.

(6) Selected recent endeavors on the development of asymmetric haloaminocyclization: (a) Cai, Y.; Zhou, P.; Liu, X.; Zhao, J.; Lin, L.;

Feng, X. Chem. - Eur. J. 2015, 21, 6386. (b) Xie, W.; Jiang, G.; Liu, H.; Hu, J.; Pan, X.; Zhang, H.; Wan, X.; Lai, Y.; Ma, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 12924. (c) Tripathi, C. B.; Mukherjee, S. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3368.

(7) Other selected examples: (a) Chen, Z.-M.; Zhang, Q.-W.; Chen, Z.-H.; Li, H.; Tu, Y.-Q.; Zhang, F.-M.; Tian, J.-M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 8818. (b) Li, H.; Zhang, F.-M.; Tu, Y.-Q.; Zhang, Q.-W.; Chen, Z.-M.; Chen, Z.-H.; Li, J. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 1839. (c) Müller, C. H.; Wilking, M.; Rühlmann, A.; Wibbeling, B.; Hennecke, U. Synlett **2011**, *2011*, 2043. (d) Nicolaou, K. C.; Simmons, N. L.; Ying, Y.; Heretsch, P. M.; Chen, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, *133*, 8134. (e) Kawato, Y.; Ono, H.; Kubota, A.; Nagao, Y.; Morita, N.; Egami, H.; Hamashima, Y. Chem. - Eur. J. **2016**, *22*, 2127.

(8) Selected examples of asymmetric halogenation of  $\alpha$ ,β-unsaturated ketones: (a) Zhou, P.; Lin, L.; Chen, L.; Zhong, X.; Liu, X.; Feng, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2017**, 139, 13414. (b) Cai, Y.; Liu, X.; Zhou, P.; Kuang, Y.; Lin, L.; Feng, X. Chem. Commun. **2013**, 49, 8054. (c) Cai, Y.; Wang, W.; Shen, K.; Wang, J.; Hu, X.; Lin, L.; Feng, X. Chem. Commun. **2010**, 46, 1250.

(9) For selected examples of dihydrogen-bond (thio)urea catalyzed reactions, see: (a) Miyaji, R.; Asano, K.; Matsubara, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 6766. (b) Yoneda, N.; Hotta, A.; Asano, K.; Matsubara, S. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 6264. (c) Miyaji, R.; Asano, K.; Matsubara, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 119. (d) Fukata, Y.; Asano, K.; Matsubara, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12160. (e) Miyaji, R.; Asano, K.; Matsubara, S. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3658. (f) Fukata, Y.; Miyaji, R.; Okamura, T.; Asano, K.; Matsubara, S. Synthesis 2013, 45, 1627. (g) Fukata, Y.; Asano, K.; Matsubara, S. Chem. Lett. 2013, 42, 355. (h) Okamura, T.; Asano, K.; Matsubara, S. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5076. (i) Asano, K.; Matsubara, S. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1620. (j) Asano, K.; Matsubara, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 16711. (k) Zhu, J.-L.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, C.; Zheng, A.-M.; Wang, W. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 9813. (1) Connon, S. J. Chem. - Eur. J. 2006, 12, 5418. (m) Okino, T.; Hoashi, Y.; Takemoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12672. (n) Okino, T.; Hoashi, Y.; Furukawa, T.; Xu, X.; Takemoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 119. (o) Vakulva, B.; Varga, S.; Csámpai, A.; Soós, T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1967. (p) Hamza, A.; Schubert, G.; Soós, T.; Pápai, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13151. (q) Lippert, K. M.; Hof, K.; Gerbig, D.; Ley, D.; Hausmann, H.; Guenther, S.; Schreiner, P. R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2012, 5919. (r) Banik, S. M.; Levina, A.; Hyde, A. M.; Jacobsen, E. N. Science 2017, 358, 761. (s) Klausen, R. S.; Kennedy, C. R.; Hyde, A. M.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 12299. (t) Kennedy, C. R.; Lehnherr, D.; Rajapaksa, N. S.; Ford, D. D.; Park, Y.; Jacobsen, E. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 13525. (u) Lehnherr, D.; Ford, D. D.; Bendelsmith, A. J.; Kennedy, C. R.; Jacobsen, E. N. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 3214.

(10) The details present in the Supporting Information. CCDC 1816471 contains supplementary crystallographic data for compound **4u**.

(11) For selected examples of Thorpe–Ingold effect, see: (a) Fürstner, A.; Langemann, K. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, *61*, 8746. (b) Ringer, A. L.; Magers, D. H. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, *72*, 2533. (c) Bachrach, S. M. J. Org. Chem. **2008**, *73*, 2466. (d) Beesley, R. M.; Ingold, C. K.; Thorpe, J. F. J. Chem. Soc., Trans. **1915**, *107*, 1080.

(12) Reduction of ROS and NO is beneficial to anti-inflammatory effect in some treatments. For references, see: (a) Keong, Y. Y.; Arifah, A. K.; Sukardi, S.; Roslida, A. H.; Somchit, M. N.; Zuraini, A. Med. Princ. Pract. 2011, 20, 142. (b) Lee, I.-T.; Yang, C.-M. Biochem. Pharmacol. 2012, 84, 581. (c) Sakurai, H.; Kohsaka, H.; Liu, M.-F.; Higashiyama, H.; Hirata, Y.; Kanno, K.; Saito, I.; Miyasaka, N. J. Clin. Invest. 1995, 96, 2357. Preliminary studies of ROS and NO reduction on anti-inflammatory effect without a positive control: (d) Bak, M.-J.; Truong, V. L.; Kang, H.-S.; Jun, M.; Jeong, W.-S. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longevity 2013, 2013, 1. (e) Li, W.; Huang, X.; Yang, X.-W. Fitoterapia 2012, 83, 318. (f) Nishanth, R. P.; Jyotsna, R. G.; Schlager, J. J.; Hussain, S. M.; Reddanna, P. Nanotoxicology 2011, 5, 502.

(13) The attempt to generate pure **10k-Br** was unsuccessful. A comprehensive study is underway to elucidate a more detailed mechanism.