Acta Crystallographica Section C

Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

Three substituted 4-pyrazolylbenzoates: hydrogen-bonded supramolecular structures in one, two and three dimensions

Jaime Portilla,^a Ernesto G. Mata,^b Manuel Nogueras,^c Justo Cobo,^c John N. Low^d and Christopher Glidewell^e*

^aGrupo de Investigación de Compuestos Heterocíclicos, Departamento de Química, Universidad de Valle, AA 25360 Cali, Colombia, ^bCONICET–Facultad de Ciencias Bioquímicas y Farmacéuticas, Universidad Nacional de Rosario, Rosario, Suipacha 531, S2002LRK, Argentina, ^cDepartamento de Química Inorgánica y Orgánica, Universidad de Jaén, 23071 Jaén, Spain, ^dDepartment of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Meston Walk, Old Aberdeen AB24 3UE, Scotland, and ^eSchool of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, Fife KY16 9ST, Scotland Correspondence e-mail: cg@st-andrews.ac.uk

Received 15 November 2006 Accepted 22 November 2006 Online 12 December 2006

The molecules of ethyl 4-(5-amino-3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)benzoate, $C_{13}H_{15}N_3O_2$, are linked by two independent $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds into a chain of edge-fused and alternating $R_4^2(8)$ and $R_2^2(20)$ rings. A combination of $N-H\cdots N$ and $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds links the molecules of methyl 4-(5-amino-3-*tert*-butyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)benzoate, $C_{15}H_{19}N_3O_2$, into sheets of alternating $R_2^2(20)$ and $R_6^6(32)$ rings. In 4-(5-amino-3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)benzoic acid monohydrate, $C_{11}H_{11}N_3O_2\cdot H_2O$, the molecular components are linked into a three-dimensional framework structure by a combination of five independent hydrogen bonds, two of $O-H\cdots N$ type and one each of $O-H\cdots O$, $N-H\cdots O$ and $N-H\cdots N$ types.

Comment

As precursors for the synthesis of pyrazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]-benzotriazepines, which are useful as drugs, agrochemicals and dye intermediates (Tachibana & Kaneko, 1989), we have synthesized several 4-(5-aminopyrazol-1-yl)benzoates by construction of the pyrazole ring from 4-hydrazinobenzoic acid and 3-aminocrotononitrile, and report here the structures of three substituted 4-pyrazolylbenzoic acid derivatives, namely ethyl 4-(5-amino-3-methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-1-yl)benzoate, (II), methyl 4-(5-amino-3-methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-1-yl)benzoate, (II), and 4-(5-amino-3-methyl-1*H*-pyrazol-1-yl)benzoic acid monohydrate, (III) (Figs. 1–3).

The intramolecular geometries of compounds (I)–(III) present no unexpected features; the pyrazole rings all exhibit marked bond fixation, and the dihedral angles between the two rings in (I)–(III) are 30.1 (2), 34.2 (2) and 46.5 (2)°,

respectively. The principal points of interest in the structures of compounds (I)–(III) are the different modes of supramolecular aggregation, leading to hydrogen-bonded structures in one, two and three dimensions, respectively.

The supramolecular structure of compound (I) is simple. Amino atom N45 in the molecule at (x, y, z) acts as a hydrogen-bond donor, via H45A and H45B, to the O11 atoms in the molecules at (-x, 1-y, 1-z) and (x, y, 1+z), respectively (Table 1). Propagation by translation and inversion of these two hydrogen bonds then generates a chain of edge-fused centrosymmetric rings running parallel to the [001] direction, with $R_2^2(20)$ (Bernstein $et\ al.$, 1995) rings centred at $(0,\frac{1}{2},n+\frac{1}{2})$ (where n represents zero or an integer), and $R_4^2(8)$ rings centred at $(0,\frac{1}{2},n)$ (n = zero or integer) (Fig. 4). There are no direction-specific interactions between adjacent chains; in particular $C-H\cdots\pi$ (arene) hydrogen bonds and aromatic $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions are both absent.




Figure 1 A molecule of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.




Figure 2 A molecule of (II), showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.




Figure 3 The independent molecular components of (III), showing the atom-labelling scheme and the $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bond within the selected asymmetric unit. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

The molecules of compound (II) are linked by a combination of N-H \cdots O and N-H \cdots N hydrogen bonds (Table 2); this may be contrasted with compound (I), where N-H \cdots N hydrogen bonds were absent. The molecules are linked into sheets, and the formation of the sheet is readily analysed in terms of a dimeric building block. Amino atom N45 in the molecule at (x, y, z) acts as a hydrogen-bond donor, via H45A, to atom O11 in the molecule at (1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z), so generating by inversion a dimeric unit characterized by an $R_2^2(20)$ motif. In addition, the N45 atoms in the molecules at (x, y, z) and (1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z), which are components of the $R_2^2(20)$ dimer centred at $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, act as hydrogen-bond donors, via H45B, to the ring atoms N42 of the molecules at $(1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$ and $(x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z)$, respectively, which are themselves components of the dimers centred at $(\frac{1}{2}, 1, 0)$ and





Figure 4 A stereoview of part of the crystal structure of (I), showing the formation of a chain of alternating $R_2^2(20)$ and $R_4^2(8)$ rings along [001]. For the sake of clarity, H atoms bonded to C atoms have been omitted.



A stereoview of part of the crystal structure of (II), showing the formation of a sheet of $R_2^2(20)$ and $R_6^6(32)$ rings parallel to (100). For the sake of clarity, H atoms bonded to C atoms have been omitted.

 $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 1)$. In a similar way, atoms N42 at (x, y, z) and (1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z) accept hydrogen bonds from atoms N45 in the molecules at $(1 - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$ and $(x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z)$, which are components of the dimers centred at $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$ and $(\frac{1}{2}, 1, 1)$ respectively. Thus, each dimer is directly linked, via N $-H \cdot \cdot \cdot$ N hydrogen bonds, to four adjacent dimers, and propagation of this interaction by the space group leads to the formation of a sheet parallel to (100) built from alternating $R_2^2(20)$ and $R_6^6(32)$ rings, where both ring types are centrosymmetric (Fig. 5). There are no direction-specific interactions between adjacent sheets, nor is there any interweaving of adjacent sheets, despite the occurrence of the large $R_6^6(32)$ rings; interweaving is prevented by the effective masking of the large rings by pairs of tert-butyl groups (Fig. 5).

Compound (III) is a stoichiometric monohydrate, and in the selected asymmetric unit (Fig. 3), the components are linked by a rather short and almost linear O—H···O hydrogen bond (Table 3). Four further hydrogen bonds link the molecular components into a single three-dimensional framework structure, whose formation is readily analysed in terms of three independent one-dimensional substructures, only one of




Figure 6

A stereoview of part of the crystal structure of (III), showing the formation of a chain of alternating $R_4^4(22)$ and $R_4^4(24)$ rings along [100]. For the sake of clarity, H atoms bonded to C atoms have been omitted.




Figure 7

Part of the crystal structure of (III), showing the formation of a C(5) chain along [010]. For the sake of clarity, water molecules and H atoms bonded to C atoms have been omitted. Atoms marked with an asterisk (*) or a hash (#) are at the symmetry positions $(\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$ and $(\frac{1}{2} - x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$, respectively.

which involves the water molecule. In the first substructure, which runs parallel to the [100] direction, water atom O1 at (x, y, z) acts as a hydrogen-bond donor, via H1A and H1B, respectively, to atom N42 at (-x, 1 - y, 1 - z) and N45 at (1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z). Propagation by inversion of these two hydrogen bonds then generates a chain of edge-fused centrosymmetric rings with $R_4^4(22)$ rings centred at $(n, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ (n = z zero or integer) and $R_4^4(24)$ rings centred at $(n + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ (n = z zero or integer) (Fig. 6).

The second substructure runs parallel to the [010] direction and consists of simple chains built from the organic component only; amino atom N45 at (x, y, z) acts as a hydrogen-bond donor, via H45A, to ring atom N42 at $(\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$, so forming a C(5) chain generated by the 2_1 screw axis along $(\frac{1}{4}, y, \frac{1}{4})$ (Fig. 7). The third substructure is also built from only the organic components, and runs along the $[10\overline{1}]$ direction; amino atom N45 at (x, y, z) acts as a hydrogen-bond donor, this time via H45B, to atom O11 at $(\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{3}{2} - y, -\frac{1}{2} + z)$, so forming a C(10) chain generated by the n-glide plane at $y = \frac{3}{4}$ (Fig. 8). The combination of the chains along [100], [010] and $[10\overline{1}]$ suffices to link all the molecules into a single three-dimensional framework structure.

Thus, rather modest changes in the peripheral substituents in compounds (I)–(III) are associated with substantial changes both in the patterns of the hydrogen bonds deployed and in the dimensionality of the resulting supramolecular structures.




Figure 8

Part of the crystal structure of (III), showing the formation of a C(10) chain along [101]. For the sake of clarity, the water molecule and H atoms bonded to C atoms have been omitted. Atoms marked with an asterisk (*) or a hash (#) are at the symmetry positions $(\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{3}{2} - y, -\frac{1}{2} + z)$ and $(-\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z)$, respectively.

organic compounds

Experimental

For the synthesis of compounds (I) and (III), 3-aminocrotononitrile (3.3 mmol) was added at ambient temperature to a stirred solution of 4-hydrazinobenzoic acid (3.3 mmol) in ethanol (6 ml). The resulting suspension was stirred for 20 min and then 5 M HCl solution (15 ml) was added. The mixture was stirred for 40 min at 368 K and, after cooling (< 263 K), the solution was made either basic or neutral, in separate experiments, using aqueous ammonia solution. From the basic solution, compound (I) was precipitated upon removal of the solvent; compound (I) was collected by filtration and recrystallized from dimethyl sulfoxide to give yellow crystals suitable for singlecrystal X-ray diffraction (yield 13%, m.p. 430-431 K). MS (70 eV) m/z (%): 245 (100, M^+), 217 (21), 200 (39), 134 (11), 122 (26). From the neutral solution, compound (III) was precipitated upon removal of the solvent; the compound was collected by filtration and recrystallized from ethanol to give yellow crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction (yield 72%, m.p. 503–504 K). MS (70 eV) m/z (%): 217 (100, M^+), 200 (28). For the synthesis of compound (II), 4,4dimethyl-3-oxopentanenitrile (3.3 mmol) was added at ambient temperature to a stirred solution of 4-hydrazinobenzoic acid (3.3 mmol) in methanol (6 ml). The resulting suspension was stirred for 20 min and then 5 M HCl solution (15 ml) was added. The mixture was stirred for 40 min at 368 K and, after cooling (< 263 K), the mixture was neutralized using aqueous ammonia solution. The intermediate 4-(5-amino-3-tert-butyl-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)benzoic acid was precipitated as a yellow solid (yield 80%, m.p. 468-469 K). A suspension of the entire batch of this intermediate in methanol (6 ml) was treated with diazomethane (3.3 mmol) at 273-283 K. Compound (II) was formed as a yellow solid, which was collected by filtration and then recrystallized from methanol to afford yellow crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction (overall yield 76%, m.p. 468-469 K). MS (70 eV) m/z (%): 273 (53, M⁺), 258 (100), 231 (83).

Compound (I)

Crystal data

$C_{13}H_{15}N_3O_2$
$M_r = 245.28$
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
a = 7.2228 (4) Å
b = 8.4433 (3) Å
c = 10.5938 (5) Å
$\alpha = 98.234 (3)^{\circ}$
$\beta = 107.609 (2)^{\circ}$
$\gamma = 97.907 (3)^{\circ}$
$V = 598.12 (5) \text{ Å}^3$

Z = 2 $D_x = 1.362 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 120 (2) KPlate, yellow $0.28 \times 0.14 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker–Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003) $T_{\min} = 0.979, T_{\max} = 0.994$ 12140 measured reflections

2748 independent reflections 1904 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.052$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.6^{\circ}$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $w = R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$ $wR(F^2) = 0.136$ S = 1.08 Δ 2748 reflections Δ 165 parameters Δ H-atom parameters constrained

$$\begin{split} w &= 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0676P)^2 \\ &+ 0.0939P] \\ \text{where } P &= (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} &= 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{max}} &= 0.23 \text{ e Å}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\text{min}} &= -0.32 \text{ e Å}^{-3} \end{split}$$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (\mathring{A}, \circ) for (I).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D $ H$ $\cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N45-H45A\cdots O11^{i}$	0.94	2.30	3.1190 (19)	146
$N45-H45B\cdots O11^{ii}$	0.94	2.11	3.0252 (18)	165

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) x, y, z + 1.

Compound (II)

Crystal data

$C_{15}H_{19}N_3O_2$	Z = 4
$M_r = 273.33$	$D_x = 1.267 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 6.1272 (2) Å	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 11.6374 (3) Å	T = 120 (2) K
c = 20.3182 (7) Å	Lath, yellow
$\beta = 98.629 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$0.48 \times 0.22 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$
$V = 1432.38 (8) \text{ Å}^3$	

Data collection

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD} & 24177 \mbox{ measured reflections} \\ \mbox{diffractometer} & 3269 \mbox{ independent reflections} \\ \mbox{q and } \omega \mbox{ scans} & 2353 \mbox{ reflections with } I > 2\sigma(I) \\ \mbox{Absorption correction: multi-scan} & R_{\rm int} = 0.050 \\ \mbox{($SADABS$; Sheldrick, 2003)} & \theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ} \\ \mbox{$T_{\rm min} = 0.971, $T_{\rm max} = 0.990$} \end{array}$

Refinement

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Refinement on } F^2 & w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0591P)^2 \\ R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042 & + 0.2591P] \\ wR(F^2) = 0.112 & where <math>P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ S = 1.03 & (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001 \\ 3269 \ \mbox{reflections} & \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.17 \ \mbox{e Å}^{-3} \\ H-atom \ \mbox{parameters constrained} & \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.34 \ \mbox{e Å}^{-3} \\ \end{array}$

 Table 2

 Hydrogen-bond geometry (\mathring{A} , $^{\circ}$) for (II).

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D $ H$ $\cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N45 - H45A \cdot \cdot \cdot O11^{i}$	0.93	2.22	3.1388 (16)	172
$N45 - H45B \cdot \cdot \cdot N42^{ii}$	0.92	2.34	3.2386 (17)	166

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Compound (III)

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{lll} C_{11}H_{11}N_3O_2\cdot H_2O & Z=4 \\ M_r=235.24 & D_x=1.400~{\rm Mg~m}^{-3} \\ {\rm Monoclinic}, \ P2_1/n & {\rm Mo} \ K\alpha \ {\rm radiation} \\ a=8.0166~(2)~{\rm \mathring{A}} & \mu=0.10~{\rm mm}^{-1} \\ b=7.5082~(2)~{\rm \mathring{A}} & T=120~(2)~{\rm K} \\ c=18.5507~(5)~{\rm \mathring{A}} & {\rm Block, \ yellow} \\ \beta=91.8140~(16)^\circ & 0.54\times0.36\times0.18~{\rm mm} \\ V=1116.01~(5)~{\rm \mathring{A}}^3 & & & \end{array}$

Data collection

Bruker–Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003) $T_{\min} = 0.961$, $T_{\max} = 0.982$

11603 measured reflections 2551 independent reflections 2037 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.031$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

Refinement

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Refinement on } F^2 & w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_{\rm o}^2) + (0.0673P)^2 \\ R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040 & + 0.2827P] \\ wR(F^2) = 0.121 & where <math>P = (F_{\rm o}^2 + 2F_{\rm c}^2)/3 \\ S = 1.10 & (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001 \\ 2551 \ \mbox{reflections} & \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.32 \ \mbox{e Å}^{-3} \\ 155 \ \mbox{parameters} & \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \ \mbox{e Å}^{-3} \end{array}$

Table 3 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (III).

D $ H$ $\cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D $ H$ $\cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O12-H12···O1	0.95	1.66	2.6005 (13)	172
$O1-H1A\cdots N42^{i}$	0.90	1.90	2.8002 (16)	176
$O1-H1B\cdots N45^{ii}$	0.90	2.06	2.9556 (15)	180
$N45-H45A\cdots N42^{iii}$	0.91	2.31	3.1446 (17)	152
$N45-H45B\cdots O11^{iv}$	0.91	2.01	2.9020 (15)	168

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y+1, -z+1; (ii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (iii) $-x+\frac{1}{2}$, $y+\frac{1}{2}$, $-z+\frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $x+\frac{1}{2}$, $-y+\frac{3}{2}$, $z-\frac{1}{2}$.

For compounds (II) and (III), the space groups $P2_1/c$ and $P2_1/n$, respectively, were uniquely assigned from the systematic absences. Crystals of compound (I) are triclinic; space group $P\overline{1}$ was selected and confirmed by the structure analysis. All H atoms were located in difference maps and then treated as riding atoms. H atoms bonded to C atoms were assigned standard C-H distances [0.95 (aromatic), 0.98 (CH₃) or 0.99 Å (CH₂), with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = kU_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$, where k=1.5 for methyl groups and 1.2 for other H atoms bonded to C atoms]. The H atoms bonded to N or O atoms were permitted to ride at the distances found from difference maps [N-H = 0.91–0.94 Å and O-H = 0.90 Å, with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm N})$ or $1.5U_{\rm eq}({\rm O})$.]

For all compounds, data collection: *COLLECT* (Hooft, 1999); cell refinement: *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and *COLLECT*; data reduction: *DENZO* and *COLLECT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *OSCAIL* (McArdle, 2003) and *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *OSCAIL* and *SHELXL97*

(Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *PRPKAPPA* (Ferguson, 1999).

X-ray data were collected at the EPSRC National Crystal-lography Service, University of Southampton, England. The authors thank the staff for all their help and advice. MN and JC thank the Consejería de Innovación, Ciencia y Empresa (Junta de Andalucía, Spain) and the Universidad de Jaén for financial support. JP thanks COLCIENCIAS and UNIVALLE (Universidad del Valle, Columbia) for financial support that has also supported a short stay at Instituto de Química Orgánica de Síntesis, Universidad Nacional de Rosario. EGM thanks CONICET and Universidad Nacional de Rosario for financial support.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GG3055). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.

Ferguson, G. (1999). PRPKAPPA. University of Guelph, Canada.

Hooft, R. W. W. (1999). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
McArdle, P. (2003). OSCAIL for Windows. Version 10. Crystallography
Centre, Chemistry Department, NUI Galway, Ireland.

Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. Version 2.10. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.

Tachibana, K. & Kaneko, Y. (1989). Jpn Kokai Tokkyo Koho, JP 01003187, A2; Application: JP 87-159281, 1987; Chem. Abstr. (1989), 111, 97297.