Chemoselective Silylative Reduction of Conjugated Nitriles under Metal-Free Catalytic Conditions: β-Silyl Amines and Enamines**

Narasimhulu Gandhamsetty, Juhyeon Park, Jinseong Jeong, Sung-Woo Park, Sehoon Park, and Sukbok Chang*

Dedicated to Professor Mahn-Joo Kim on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract: The $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed silylative reduction of conjugated nitriles has been developed to afford synthetically valuable β -silyl amines. The reaction is chemoselective and proceeds under mild conditions. Mechanistic elucidation indicates that it proceeds by rapid double hydrosilylation of the conjugated nitrile to an enamine intermediate which is subsequently reduced to the β -silyl amine, thus forming a new $C(sp^3)$ -Si bond. Based on this mechanistic understanding, a preparative route to enamines was also established using bulky silanes.

Lunctional-group-containing organosilanes are known to be highly useful as synthetic intermediates in organic synthesis^[1,2] and as monomeric units for crosslinking in industrial polymer chemistry.^[3] One convenient approach to those compounds is to utilize hydrosilylation of olefins containing functional groups,^[4] thus allowing selective installation of C(sp³)-Si bonds in the molecular backbone.^[3a,5] Since carbon-silicon bonds are readily converted into hydroxy groups under oxidative conditions, we anticipated that 1,namino alcohols (n=2 or 3), which are key components in synthetic and medicinal chemistry,^[6] could be accessed by selective hydrosilylation of (conjugated) nitriles followed by oxidation. Several preparative methods of hydrogenation or hydrosilylation are available for the reduction of nitriles to primary amines.^[7] In contrast, tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane $[B(C_6F_5)_3]$ and its derivatives have been used in the reduction of olefins, imines, ethers, carbonyls, and N-heteroarenes with either hydrosilanes^[5a,c,8] or hydrogen.^[9] In spite of the broad substrate scope of the boron catalysis, reduction of (conjugated) nitriles still remains little explored. Along these lines, Stephan and co-workers reported an elegant example showing that frustrated Lewis pairs (FLP) generated in situ from phosphine and borane can hydrogenate nitrile-boron

 [*] Dr. N. Gandhamsetty, J. Park, J. Jeong, Dr. S.-W. Park, Dr. S. Park, Prof. Dr. S. Chang Center for Catalytic Hydrocarbon Functionalizations Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon 305-701 (Korea) and Department of Chemistry, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), Daejeon 305-701 (Korea) E-mail: sbchang@kaist.ac.kr
 [***] This work was supported financially by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R010-D1).
 Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201502366. adducts.^[10] While conjugated nitriles are known to be partially reduced to α -silylated nitriles under metal-catalyzed conditions,^[11] a chemoselective reduction to silylated amines is unprecedented to the best of our knowledge.

Recently, we developed a silylative reduction of quinolines to form a new C(sp³)–Si bond, β to the nitrogen atom of tetrahydroquinoline products, with excellent chemo-, regio-, and stereoselectivity.^[12] In this context, we anticipated that conjugated nitriles would undergo silylative reduction to afford β -silyl amines, a synthetic equivalent to β -amino alcohols (Scheme 1). Described herein is the successful



Scheme 1. Silylative reduction of conjugated nitriles.

realization of this transformation and mechanistic elucidation to reveal a stepwise pathway which offers an additional opportunity to establish a facile route to isolable enamine compounds.

Table 1: Optimization of reduction of conjugated nitriles.^[a]

	$\begin{array}{ccc} Ph & & Ph_2SiH_2 & & & & \\ & & & CDCI_3, 25\ ^\circC, 24\ h \\ & & & standard conditions \end{array} Ph & & \\ \end{array}$	N ^{Si} Si
Entry	Changes from the "standard conditions"	Yield [%]
1	none	86
2	CHCl ₃ solvent in Schlenk flask	85
3	CHCl ₃ in reaction vial	82
4	3 mol% of B(C₅F₅)₃ instead of 5 mol% (36h)	81
5	6h instead of 24h	34
5	CD ₂ Cl ₂ instead of CDCl ₃	66
7	[D ₈]Toluene instead of CDCl ₃	71
8	Et ₂ SiH ₂ (4 equiv) instead of Ph ₂ SiH ₂ (30 min)	93
9	$PhMeSiH_2$ (4 equiv) instead of Ph_2SiH_2 (2 h)	73
10	PhMe ₂ SiH (4 equiv) instead of Ph ₂ SiH ₂	<1
11	Et ₃ SiH (4 equiv) instead of Ph ₂ SiH ₂	<1
12	PhSiH ₃ instead of Ph ₂ SiH ₂	29

[a] Reactions were carried out on a 0.5 mmol scale and yields were determined by $^1\rm H$ NMR spectroscopy (1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane used as the internal standard).

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1-6

© 2015 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Wiley Online Library



We commenced our optimization study from a silvlative reduction of conjugated nitriles using cinnamonitrile as a model substrate (Table 1; also see the Supporting Information for details). While the reaction was found to be facile with 4 equivalents of diphenylsilane (Ph₂SiH₂) in the presence of the $B(C_6F_5)_3$ catalyst (5 mol %) in CDCl₃ at room temperature to afford the β -silyl-*N*,*N*-disilylamine, as determined by ¹H NMR analysis (entry 1), additional reaction parameters were examined under various reaction conditions. A similar range of efficiency was observed for reactions run either in a Schlenk flask or reaction vial (entries 2 and 3). While the product yield was still satisfactory with a lower loading of the catalyst (entry 4), the efficiency turned out to be more sensitive to the reaction time (entry 5), and solvents other than chloroform were less effective (entries 6 and 7). Significantly, the silvlative reduction of cinnamonitrile was more efficient when diethylsilane (Et₂SiH₂) was employed to give the β -diethylsilyl-*N*,*N*-diethylsilylamine product, even under shorter reaction times (93%, 30 min; entry 8), whereas the use of phenylmethylsilane resulted in moderate product yield (entry 9). Since these β -diethylsilyl and β -phenylmethylsilyl products were found to be less stable than the corresponding β -diphenylsilyl analogues, the silvlative reduction of conjugated nitriles was carried out with diphenylsilane in most cases. In addition, the reaction was less effective when different types of silanes (e.g. mono- or trihydrosilanes) were employed as the reducing reagent (entries 10-12).

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, we subsequently investigated the scope with respect to the α , β unsaturated nitriles (Table 2). As described above, cinnamonitrile reacted efficiently with either diphenylsilane or diethylsilane to afford the corresponding products in good yields (1 and 2, respectively). While the former product was isolated as a hydrochloride salt, the latter one was converted in situ into its N-(p-toluenesulfonyl) derivative. It needs to be mentioned that the moderate yield of 2 (45%) was mainly the result of the low efficiency of the conversion of the crude reaction mixture into its sulfonamide. The structure of 2 was confirmed by an X-ray crystallographic analysis.

Cinnamonitrile compounds having substituents on the phenyl moiety were converted into the corresponding products in high yields irrespective of their electronic properties. For instance, substrates bearing ethyl, tert-butyl, and phenyl substituents at the para position underwent the silvlative reduction in satisfactory yields (3-5; Table 2). In contrast, the position of the substituents influenced the reaction efficiency to some extent, as seen in the formation of the products 6-8. In the case of sterically demanding substrates, such as orthomethylcinnamonitrile, the reaction with diphenylsilane (Ph₂SiH₂) was carried out at 65°C to obtain a reasonable yield of 7. Interestingly, the use of diethylsilane instead of diphenylsilane allowed the reaction of ortho-phenylcinnamonitrile to proceed at room temperature, thus giving an analogous product (8) in good yield. The present reaction conditions were compatible with a range of functional groups such as phenoxy and halides (9-13).[8c,13] Cinnamonitriles having multiple substituents also reacted smoothly to give the desired products in moderate to good yields (14-16). Again, the moderate yields of the isolated products were mainly a result of the subsequent conversion of the initially generated β -silyl-*N*,*N*-disilylamines into the corresponding N-sulfonamides. In contrast, reactions of disubstituted substrates such as β -methylcinnamonitrile were observed to be negligible under the optimized reaction conditions.



Table 2: Substrate scope in the silylative reduction of conjugated nitriles.^[a]

[a] Substrate (0.5 mmol), silane (4 equiv), and B(C₆F₅)₃ (5 mol%). Yield of isolated product given and value within parentheses is the yield of the initially formed β -silyl-*N*,*N*-disilylamine using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as an internal standard. [b] B(C₆F₅)₃ (7 mol%).

www.angewandte.org

2

© 2015 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

K These are not the final page numbers!

The scope of more challenging substrates, including conjugated aliphatic nitriles, was next examined. We were pleased to observe that acrylonitrile was efficiently reacted with diphenylsilane at 25 °C to give 2-silyl-1-propylamine, which was isolated as the HCl salt in good yield (17; Table 2). Likewise, additional derivatives bearing longer aliphatic chains also underwent the desired reaction with high efficiency (18–19). Phenyl-substituted conjugated aliphatic nitriles displayed high reactivity at room temperature, thus leading to the corresponding sulfonamide products in excellent yields (20–21).

The present silulative reduction procedure was convenient to carry out on a gram scale (Scheme 2). When 1-cyano-1butene (10 mmol) was reacted with diphenylsilane under the



Scheme 2. Synthetic applications.

optimal reaction conditions, 2-silyl-1-pentylamine was obtained as the HCl salt in 81 % yield (**19**; 2.47 g) using 3 mol % of the B(C₆F₅)₃ catalyst at 25 °C in chloroform. The reaction was also facile under neat conditions (85 %, 2.59 g). The obtained amine salt was converted into its sulfonamide derivative, which was subsequently oxidized under the Tamao conditions^[14] to deliver the β -hydroxy *N*-sulfonamide **22** in good yield (90 % in two steps). It should be mentioned that the two post reactions could be performed in one pot without isolating a tosylated intermediate.

This silulative reduction of conjugated nitriles to β silulations obviously involves three hydrosilulations across the olefinic double and cyano triple bond. A series of mechanistic experiments was performed to shed light on the mechanistic considerations (Scheme 3). When 2 equivalents of diphenylsilane were reacted with cinnamonitrile, a partially reduced compound (*N*,*N*-disilylenamine; **23**) was obtained in high yield, and characterized by NMR spectrosocopy (¹H and ²⁹Si) and mass analyses. Moreover, with the employment of Ph₂SiD₂, deuterium was observed to be incorporated (>95%) at the α - and γ -position of enamine [D₄]-**23**, but





Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1–6

© 2015 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.angewandte.org

These are not the final page numbers!

without detection at the β -position (Scheme 3 a). This result suggests that a hydrosilylation on the conjugated C=C bond does not occur under the standard reaction conditions. Instead, it is more reasonable to assume that 1,4-hydrosilylation across conjugated nitriles is operative in the initial stage. When the in situ generated enamine 23 was treated with Et₂SiH₂ (1 equiv), the (β -diethylsilyl)amino species 24 was obtained quantitatively, and thus indicated that the new C(sp³)-Si bond forms at a late stage via an enamine intermediate (Scheme 3b). Additionally, it suggests that the new C(sp³)-Si bond formation does not occur through an intramolecular migration of the N-silyl group of 23 to the β position.

The reaction progress was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and time-resolved IR (Figure 1). When cinnamoni-



Figure 1. Monitoring of the reaction progress by a) ¹H NMR spectroscopy and b) time-resolved IR spectroscopy.

trile (I) was treated with diethylsilane (3.3 equiv) in the presence of the $B(C_6F_5)_3$ catalyst, the triple hydrosilylation was found to proceed cleanly through an enamine intermediate (II), thus eventually leading to the β -silyl-N,N-disilylamine product III. I was consumed completely within 10 minutes at 25°C with concomitant accumulation of II. Interestingly, however, conversion of **II** into the final product III was not observed during this period of intermediate formation. Only after a full consumption of cinnamonitrile did the final hydrosilylation of II start to proceed (Figure 1a), thus suggesting that the formation of an enamine is fast while the hydrosilylation on this intermediate is much slower. A similar observation was also made by time-resolved IR (Figure 1b). A new cyano (C=N) peak at 2316 cm^{-1} was monitored during the reaction progress and it was distinct from the free cinnamonitrile peak (2226 cm^{-1}) in the absence

Angewandte Communications

of $B(C_6F_5)_3$. In accordance with the literature,^[15] the peak of 2316 cm⁻¹ was assigned to a nitrile–borane complex which is believed to be a resting species.

Based on the above observations and literature precedent indicating a stepwise process of multiple hydrosilylation of enones,^[8e,10a,12,13c,16] we propose a mechanistic pathway of the borane-catalyzed silylative reduction of conjugated nitriles (Scheme 4).^[12,17] The first hydrosilylation of the conjugated nitrile is assumed to proceed through either a [1,2]- or [1,4]addition manner, thus leading to the vinylimine $C^{[18]}$ and Nsilvl ketene imine $\mathbf{D}_{1}^{[19]}$ respectively, with the former being energetically more favorable according to DFT calculations (see the Supporting Information for details). It is noteworthy that the second hydrosilylation affords an isolable N,Ndisilylenamine intermediate E irrespective of whether it starts from C or D. The final silvlative reduction of E is believed to be slowest in the proposed catalytic cycle, thus delivering the desired product with the concomitant regeneration of B- $(C_6F_5)_3$ catalyst.

The above mechanistic understanding led us to envision that synthetically valuable enamine compounds^[20] can be obtained presumably by tuning the stoichiometry and steric bulkiness of silanes. Indeed, we were delighted to find that the use of bulky triphenylsilane as a reducing reagent resulted in the chemoselective reduction to stop at the enamine stage (Scheme 5). When cinnamonitrile was treated with Ph₃SiH



Scheme 4. Mechanistic proposal for the borane-catalyzed silylative reduction of conjugated nitriles.



Scheme 5. Partial reduction of conjugated nitriles to enamines. Reaction conditions: substrate (0.5 mmol) and silane (2.3 equiv). Yield of the isolated product is shown, with that of the product in the crude reaction mixture given within parentheses (determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as an internal standard). [a] $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (10 mol%).

(2.3 equiv) in the presence of $B(C_6F_5)_3$ catalyst (5 mol%), the *N*,*N*-disilylenamine **25** was isolated in 92% yield. Likewise, *para*-substituted derivatives of cinnamonitrile underwent partial reduction to afford the corresponding enamines, albeit in moderate yields (**26** and **27**). Substrates bearing substituents at either the *ortho* or *meta* position also underwent the reaction with high efficiency (**28–33**). Moreover, this approach was readily extended to conjugated aliphatic nitriles having a β -substituent to afford the corresponding 3-substituted enamine (**34**). However, reaction of substrates having ketone or ester groups did not afford the desired products under the present reaction conditions.

In summary, the B(C₆F₅)₃-catalyzed chemoselective and mild silylative reduction of conjugated nitriles has been developed to afford synthetically valuable β -silyl amines. Mechanistic details were elucidated to reveal that it proceeds by rapid double hydrosilylation of conjugated nitriles to an enamine intermediate, which is subsequently reduced to a β silyl amine, thus forming a new C(sp³)–Si bond. Based on this mechanistic understanding, a preparative route to enamines was also established using bulky silanes.

Keywords: boron \cdot conjugation \cdot hydrosilylation \cdot reduction \cdot synthetic methods

- a) E. Colvin, Silicon in Organic Synthesis II, Butterworth, Oxford, 1981, p. 325; b) E. Langkopf, D. Schinzer, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1375; c) I. Fleming, A. Barbero, D. Walter, Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2063; d) Y. Nagai, Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 1980, 12, 13; e) C. Cheng, J. F. Hartwig, Chem. Rev. 2015, DOI: 10.1021/ cr5006414.
- [2] a) J. Wang, C. Ma, Y. Wu, R. A. Lamb, L. H. Pinto, W. F. DeGrado, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 13844; b) A. K. Franz, P. D. Dreyfuss, S. L. Schreiber, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1020; c) A. K. Franz, S. O. Wilson, J. Med. Chem. 2013, 56, 388; d) S. Gately, R. West, Drug Dev. Res. 2007, 68, 156.
- [3] a) A. M. Tondreau, C. C. H. Atienza, K. J. Weller, S. A. Nye, K. M. Lewis, J. G. P. Delis, P. J. Chirik, *Science* 2012, *335*, 567;
 b) I. Ojima, *The Chemistry of Organic Silicon Compounds* (Eds.: S. Patai, Z. Rappoport), Wiley Interscience, New York, 1989, p. 1479.
- [4] a) B. Marciniec, J. Gulinski, W. Urbaniak, Z. W. Kornetka, *Comprehensive Handbook on Hydrosilylation* (Ed.: B. Marciniec), Pergamon, Oxford, **2002**, p. 3; b) B. Marciniec, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2005**, 249, 2374.
- [5] a) M. Rubin, T. Schwier, V. Gevorgyan, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 1936; b) E. M. Simmons, J. F. Hartwig, Nature 2012, 483, 70; c) A. Simonneau, M. Oestreich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11905; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 12121.
- [6] a) S. C. Bergmeier, *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56, 2561; b) O. K. Karjalainen, A. M. P. Koskinen, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 4311;
 c) D. J. Ager, I. Prakash, D. R. Schaad, Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 835;
 d) E. J. Corey, C. J. Helal, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1986; Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 2092.
- [7] a) C. Bornschein, S. Werkmeister, B. Wendt, H. Jiao, E. Alberico, W. Baumann, H. Junge, K. Junge, M. Beller, *Nat. Commun.* 2014, *5*, 4111; b) M. Perez, Z.-W. Qu, C. B. Caputo, V. Podgorny, L. J. Hounjet, A. Hansen, R. Dobrovetsky, S. Grimme, D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, DOI: 10.1002/ chem.201406356, and references therein.

www.angewandte.org

© 2015 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!



- [8] a) K. Ishihara, H. Yamamoto, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 1999, 527;
 b) J. M. Blackwell, E. R. Sonmor, T. Scoccitti, W. E. Piers, *Org. Lett.* 2000, *2*, 3921; c) V. Gevorgyan, J.-X. Liu, M. Rubin, S. Benson, Y. Yamamoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, *40*, 8919; d) M. Tan, Y. Zhang, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, *50*, 4912; e) J. M. Blackwell, D. J. Morrison, W. E. Piers, *Tetrahedron* 2002, *58*, 8247; f) R. C. Chadwick, V. Kardelis, P. Lim, A. Adronov, *J. Org. Chem.* 2014, *79*, 7728; g) M. Oestreich, J. Hermeke, J. Mohr, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, DOI: 10.1039/c4s00451e.
- [9] a) P. Spies, S. Schwendemann, S. Lange, G. Kehr, R. Fröhlich, G. Erker, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7543; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 7654; b) Y. Liu, H. Du, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12968; c) T. Mahdi, J. N. Castillo, D. W. Stephan, Organometallics 2013, 32, 1971; d) T. A. Rokob, A. Hamza, A. Stirling, I. Papai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 2029.
- [10] a) P. A. Chase, G. C. Welch, T. Jurca, D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 8050; *Angew. Chem.* 2007, *119*, 8196;
 b) P. A. Chase, T. Jurca, D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Commun.* 2008, 1701.
- [11] a) N. Komine, M. Abe, R. Suda, M. Hirano, Organometallics
 2015, 34, 432; b) A. M. Caporusso, N. Panziera, P. Pertici, E. Pitzalis, P. Salvadori, G. Vitulli, G. Martra, J. Mol. Catal. A 1999, 150, 275; c) H. Dong, Y. Jiang, H. Berke, J. Organomet. Chem.
 2014, 750, 17; d) I. Ojima, M. Kumagai, J. Organomet. Chem.
 1976, 111, 43; e) R. K. Dieter, K. Lu, S. E. Velu, J. Org. Chem.
 2000, 65, 8715; f) T. Murai, T. Sakane, S. Kato, J. Org. Chem.
 1990, 55, 449.
- [12] N. Gandhamsetty, J. Seewon, P. Sung-Woo, P. Sehoon, S. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 16780.
- [13] a) V. Gevorgyan, M. Rubin, S. Benson, J.-X. Liu, Y. Yamamoto, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6179; b) T. Robert, M. Oestreich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5216; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 5324; c) M. Yasuda, Y. Onishi, M. Ueba, T. Miyai, A. Baba, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7741.

- [14] a) K. Tamao, N. Ishida, T. Tanaka, M. Kumada, *Organometallics* 1983, 2, 1694; b) R. Shchepin, C. Xu, P. Dussault, *Org. Lett.* 2010, 12, 4772.
- [15] H. Jacobsen, H. Berke, S. Doring, G. Kehr, G. Erker, R. Frohlich, O. Meyer, Organometallics 1999, 18, 1724.
- [16] a) H. Wang, R. Fröhlich, G. Kehr, G. Erker, *Chem. Commun.* 2008, 5966; b) D. J. Parks, W. E. Piers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996, *118*, 9440; c) A. Y. Houghton, J. Hurmalainen, A. Mansikka-mäki, W. E. Piers, H. M. Tuononen, *Nat. Chem.* 2014, *6*, 983; d) D. J. Parks, J. M. Blackwell, W. E. Piers, *J. Org. Chem.* 2000, *65*, 3090; e) S. Rendler, M. Oestreich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, *47*, 5997; *Angew. Chem.* 2008, *120*, 6086.
- [17] a) L. J. Hounjet, D. W. Stephan, Org. Process Res. Dev. 2014, 18, 385; b) M. Mewald, M. Oestreich, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 14079; c) G. I. Nikonov, S. F. Vyboishchikov, O. G. Shirobokov, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5488; d) K. Sakata, H. Fujimoto, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 12505; e) J. M. Blackwell, K. L. Foster, V. H. Beck, W. E. Piers, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4887.
- [18] a) D. V. Gutsulyak, A. Van der Est, G. I. Nikonov, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1384; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 1420;
 b) D. V. Gutsulyak, G. I. Nikonov, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7553; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 7715.
- [19] S. E. Denmark, T. W. Wilson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9980; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 10120.
- [20] a) R. J. P. Corriu, J. J. E. Moreau, M. Pataud-Sat, *J. Org. Chem.* 1990, 55, 2878; b) J. Hermeke, H. F. T. Klare, M. Oestreich, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2014, 20, 9250; c) J. Hermeke, M. Mewald, M. Oestreich, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, 135, 17537; d) S. J. Geier, P. A. Chase, D. W. Stephan, *Chem. Commun.* 2010, 46, 4884.

Received: March 13, 2015 Revised: March 24, 2015 Published online:

© 2015 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

einheim www.angewandte.org These are not the final page numbers!



Communications

Hydrosilylation

N. Gandhamsetty, J. Park, J. Jeong, S.-W. Park, S. Park, S. Chang* ______ IIII--IIII

```
Chemoselective Silylative Reduction of Conjugated Nitriles under Metal-Free Catalytic Conditions: \beta-Silyl Amines and Enamines
```



Triple whammy: The $B(C_6F_5)_3$ -catalyzed silylative reduction of conjugated nitriles has been developed to afford synthetically valuable β -silyl amines. Based on the mechanistic understanding, a preparative route to enamines was also established using bulky silanes. The reaction is chemoselective, has a broad scope, and proceeds under mild reaction conditions. The mechanism of the triple hydrosilylation is discussed.

6 www.angewandte.org

These are not the final page numbers!