Copper iodide nanoparticles-catalysed cyanation of aryl halides using non-toxic K_4 [Fe(CN)₆] in the presence of 1,2-bis(5-tetrazolyl)benzene as an efficient ligand

Mehdi Maham^a and Siavash Bahari^{b*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Aliabad Katoul Branch, Islamic Azad University, Aliabad Katoul, Iran ^bYoung Researchers and Elite Club, Ahar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Ahar, Iran

Cyanation of aryl bromides were carried out with K_4 [Fe(CN)₆] in the presence of catalytic amounts of a copper salt and 1,2-bis(5-tetrazolyl)benzene as a ligand under thermal conditions. This method has the advantages of high yields, simple methodology and easy work up.

Keywords: 1,2-bis(5-tetrazolyl)benzene, aryl bromide, cyanation, CuI nanoparticles, K_4 Fe(CN)₆, aryl nitriles

Nitriles are valuable intermediates in the construction of various pharmaceutical compounds, agrochemicals and dyes¹ and are also useful reagents in synthetic organic chemistry, since they can be easily transformed into other functional groups such as nitrogen-containing heterocycles, aldehydes, acids and acid derivatives.^{2–13}

The earliest published methods for the preparation of nitriles were reactions including the following: (1) reaction of aryl halides with stoichiometric amounts of CuCN;¹⁴ (2) the diazotisation of anilines and subsequent Sandmeyer reaction;^{15,16} (3) the transition metal-catalysed cyanation of aryl halides using KCN, NaCN, Me₃SiCN and Zn(CN)₂ as cyanating agents.¹⁷⁻²¹

However, there are several factors in some of these methods which limit their applications. In many cases, the reagents are toxic, hazardous, sensitive to moisture, expensive or inaccessible and cannot be stored. Among cyanation agents in the synthesis of nitriles, CuCN and $Zn(CN)_2$ lead to heavy metal waste. Most of the other methods for the synthesis of nitriles have disadvantages such as long reaction times, formation of side products, use of expensive catalyst involve several steps and give low yields. Thus, the development of an efficient and versatile method for the synthesis of nitriles is an active ongoing research area.

Due to safety considerations, we must avoid methods which use toxic, hazardous, moisture sensitive and expensive reagents. Among the reagents for the synthesis of nitriles, $K_4Fe(CN)_6$ has received attention because it is not explosive or flammable and it is inexpensive. It can be easily stored and is neither moisture sensitive and nor very volatile. It is used in the food industry for metal precipitation and it is cheaper than KCN and NaCN. Beller and co-workers reported the synthesis of aryl nitriles using potassium hexacyanoferrate (II).²²

Several syntheses of aryl nitriles have been reported using $K_4Fe(CN)_6$ in the presence of palladium catalysts,^{23–25} while the less expensive copper catalysts have received less attention. The high cost of palladium salts restricts their applications, especially in large-scale process. For this reason, copper catalysts have been developed.

Among various ligands for the transition copper-catalysed cyanation of aryl halides with $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$, diamines have been widely investigated,^{26–29} while less expensive nitrogencontaining heterocycles such as tetrazoles have received scant attention. Recently, new types of nonphosphine ligands, such as heterocyclic carbenes, imines, imidazoles, and 1,2,4-triazoles, have emerged as alternatives for the carbon–carbon bond forming reactions.²⁸ Although heterocyclic carbenes show good to excellent catalytic activities with palladium, they easily undergo decomposition at higher temperature.²⁹ Nitrogenbased ligands are sensitive towards acidic conditions. There is a continuing need for simple and versatile synthetic ligands and catalysts for this type of reaction. Thus, the development of a new system for the synthesis of aryl nitriles still remains an active research area.

The growth of tetrazole chemistry over the last 30 years has been significant,³⁰⁻³² mainly as a result of the roles played by tetrazoles in coordination chemistry as ligands and in organic synthesis as an effective substrate.^{33,34} We now describe a simple method of synthesis of aryl nitriles in high yields by the reaction of aryl bromides and K₄Fe(CN)₆ in the presence of copper salt and 2-bis(5-tetrazolyl)benzene as a ligand (2) (Scheme 1).

Several years ago Sharpless and his co-workers reported the synthesis of 1,2-bis(5-tetrazolyl)benzene (2) from the reaction between 1,2-phenylene-dinitrile (1) and sodium azide in the presence of zinc chloride as a homogeneous catalyst in water (Scheme 2).³⁵ We believe that ligand 2 can plays an important role in this reaction for the synthesis of aryl nitriles.



Results and discussion

The reaction conditions were optimised for the cyanation reaction using 4-methoxybromobenzene as a substrate, K_4 [Fe(CN)₆] as the cyanating agent, CuI nanoparticles as the catalyst and 1,2-bis(5-tetrazolyl)benzene as a ligand in the

^{*} Correspondent. E-mail: siavashbahari89@gmail.com; s-bahari@iau-ahar.ac.ir

Table 1 The cyanation reaction 4-methoxybromobenzene with $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ under different reaction conditions a

Entry	Base	KI	Solvent	Temperature/°C	Yield/% ^b
1	Cs ₂ CO ₃	0	DMF	130	<6
2	Cs ₂ CO ₃	50	DMF	130	93
3	Cs ₂ CO ₃	50	CH₃CN	Reflux	76
4	Cs ₂ CO ₃	50	MeOH	Reflux	77
5	Cs ₂ CO ₃	50	Toluene	Reflux	80
6	Cs ₂ CO ₃	50	NMP	130	90
7	KOAc	50	DMF	130	40
8	K ₂ CO ₃	50	DMF	130	79
9	Na ₂ CO ₃	50	DMF	130	85
10	Et ₃ N	50	DMF	130	29
11	NaF	50	DMF	130	35
12	-	50	DMF	130	0
13	Cs ₂ CO ₃	50	DMF	130	40°

^aReaction conditions: 4-methoxybromobenzene (1.0 mmol), $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ (0.22 mmol), KI (0.5 mmol), base (1.0 mmol), ligand **2** (0.8 mmol), Cul nanoparticles (0.3 mmol), 130 °C, 15 h, pressure tube, N_2 atm. ^bIsolated yield.

°The reaction was carried out in the absence of ligand at 130 °C, 25 h.

presence of various solvents and bases under various temperature conditions (Table 1). In our study, the optimal stoichiometry of $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ was found to be 0.22 mmol. Control experiments showed that there was no effective reaction in the absence of KI. However, addition of the KI to the mixture has rapidly increased the cyanation of aryl bromides in high yields. This result can be rationalised assuming *in situ* copper-catalysed conversion of the aryl bromide into the more reactive aryl iodide followed by the cyanation of the resulting aryl iodide (Scheme 3).²⁶ Interestingly, when the reaction was carried out in DMF, good conversion was achieved (Table 1, entry 2). Encouraged by this result,



Table 2 Copper-catalysed cyanation of various aryl bromides^a

we decided to carry out the reaction in DMF using different bases. The results indicated that base had a remarkable effect on the yield of product (Table 1, entry 12). In the absence of base, the reactions did not proceed even after a long reaction time (15 h). Among the various bases tested, Cs_2CO_3 was an effective base (Table 1, entry 2). The best result was obtained with aryl bromide (1.0 mmol), **2** (0.8 mmol), CuI nanoparticles (0.3 mmol), KI (50 mol%), and Na₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol), which gave the product in a good yield (93%).

The scope of this catalyst was then investigated for the cyanation reaction under the above optimised reaction conditions using a variety of aryl bromides (Table 2). In all cases, the reactions were carried out at 130 °C with DMF as solvent. Different aryl halides bearing either electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents were converted to the corresponding aryl nitriles.

2-Bromotoluene which is sterically hindered around the reaction site gave a high yield (Table 2, entry 2). The reactions appeared to be insensitive to steric hindrance. Apart from aryl bromides we also tested three heteroaromatic nitriles (Table 2, entries 11–13). As indicated in Table 2, it is evident that our method is reasonably general and can be applied to several types of aryl bromides. In all cases the reaction gave the corresponding aryl nitriles in good to excellent yields under the reaction conditions.

Several similar mechanisms have been reported for the palladium or copper-catalysed cyanation of aryl halides using various ligands. We believe that the tetrazole ligand **2** can coordinate with copper through the nitrogen atoms of the ring as in the case of 1,2,3-triazole pincer ligand (NCN).^{36,37} Although we have not yet fully characterised the tetrazole pincer complexes, the ligand, in combination with CuI, has been shown to catalyse the coupling reactions of aryl halides with K_4 [Fe(CN)₆] efficiently. A characteristic feature of our system is the thermal stability, which makes it possible to perform the reactions even at a temperature above 100 °C, which is necessary for less reactive substrates such as aryl chlorides and aryl bromides.

The physical data (m.p., IR, NMR) of the products were found to be identical with those reported in the literature.^{22,26,27} The formation of aryl nitriles was confirmed by IR spectra, which showed one characteristic peak for the CN stretching

Entry	Substrate	Product	Time/h	Yield/% ^b	M.p. (lit. m.p.) ^{Ref.}
1	C _s H ₅ Br	C ₆ H ₅ CN	15	91	Oil ¹⁶
2	o-MeC ₆ H ₄ Br	o-MeC ₆ H ₄ CN	15	91	Oil ³⁹
3	3,5-(Me) ₂ C ₆ H ₃ Br	3,5-(Me),C,H,CN	15	94	42-43 (42-43)40
4	<i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄ Br	p-MeOC ₆ H ₄ CN	15	93	59-60 (58-60) ¹⁶
5	<i>p</i> -COMeC ₆ H ₄ Br	p-COMeC ₆ H ₄ CN	10	92	56-59 (56-59) ³⁹
6	<i>p</i> -COOMeC ₆ H ₄ Br	p-COOMeC ₆ H ₄ CN	10	89	65-67 (65-67) ³⁹
7	p-CNC ₆ H ₄ Br	p-CNC ₆ H ₄ CN	10	87	221-225 (221-225) ¹⁶
8	1-C ₁₀ H ₇ Br	$1-C_{10}H_{7}CN$	10	89	36-38 (35-36)40
9	3,5-(t-Bu) ₂ C ₆ H ₃ Br	3,5-(t-Bu) ₂ C ₆ H ₃ CN	13	88	85-87 (85-87)39
10	<i>p</i> -CHOC ₆ H ₄ Br	p-CHOC ₆ H ₄ CN	10	86	100–102 (100–102) ³⁹
11	3-BrC₅H₄N	3-CNC₅H₄N	10	90	48-52 (48-52)39
12	2-NH ₂ ,5-C ₅ H ₃ NBr	2-NH ₂ ,5-C ₅ H ₃ NCN	20	82	160–162 (160–162) ⁴⁰
13	3-C ₉ H ₆ NBr	3-C ₉ H ₆ NCN	20	86	106–107 (105–107) ⁴⁰
14	2,4,6-(Me) ₃ C ₆ H ₂ Br	2,4,6-(Me) ₃ C ₆ H ₂ CN	35	80	52-53 (52-53) ¹⁶
15	3,5-(CF ₃) ₂ C ₆ H ₃ Br	3,5-(CF ₃) ₂ C ₆ H ₃ CN	10	89	Oil ³⁹
16	C_H_CI	C_H_CN	50	0	-

^aReaction conditions: aryl bromide (1 mmol), Cul (0.3 mmol), $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ (0.22 mmol), ligand **2** (0.8 mmol), Cs_2CO_3 (1.0 mmol), Kl (0.5 mmol), DMF (5 mL), 130 °C, pressure tube, N_2 atm. ^bYield refers to pure isolated product. band between 2225 and 2360 cm⁻¹. The ¹³C NMR spectra of the products showed signals for the nitrile carbon at $\delta = 113-119$ ppm.

In summary, we have described an efficient protocol for the synthesis of aryl nitriles using a copper salt/1,2-bis(5-tetrazolyl) benzene combination as a catalytic system. The significant advantages of this methodology are high yields, elimination of dangerous and toxic reagents and a simple work-up procedure.

Experimental

All the solvents and reagents were purchased at the highest commercial quality and used without further purification. $K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ was prepared by grinding $K_4[Fe(CN)_6].3H_2O$ to a fine powder and drying in vacuum. All reaction mixtures were stirred magnetically and were monitored by TLC using 0.25 mm E-Merck silica gel 60 F254 precoated glass plates, which were visualised with UV light and then developed by using iodine mixed with silica gel 60–120 mesh. Melting points were recorded on a Buchi R-535 apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer FT-IR 240-C spectrometer using KBr optics. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometers in CDCl₃ and DMSO using TMS as internal standard, with chemical shifts being given in ppm with respect to internal TMS and *J* values quoted in Hz.

Preparation of the catalyst

CuI nanoparticles were prepared by the reaction of Cu(dmg)₂ and KI in the autoclave with ethanol as solvent, solvothermal method. Firstly, dimethylglyoxime (0.464 g, 4 mmol) (dmgH) and Cu(OAc)₂•H₂O (0.400 g, 2 mmol) were added into absolute ethanol 50 mL of (50 mL) in sequence. This was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min to give a brown precipitate of Cu(dmg)₂. Then the collected precipitate was dispersed in 50 mL of absolute ethanol again. Secondly, KI (0.664 g, 4 mmol) was added and stirred vigorously for 2 h. After that, the mixture was transferred into 60 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. The autoclave was sealed and heated at 180 °C for 6 h, the reactor bomb was then allowed to cool to room temperature. A black precipitate was obtained which was then centrifuged and washed with ethanol and deionised water three times to ensure the removal of impurities. The final product was then dried in a vacuum oven at room temperature for 12 h. The catalyst was characterised by XRD and TEM.³⁸

Synthesis of 1,2-bis(5-tetrazolyl)benzene (2)

The nitrile (10 mmol), sodium azide (1.43 g, 22 mmol), zinc bromide (4.50 g, 20 mmol), and water (40 mL) was added to a 250 mL roundbottomed flask.³⁵ The reaction mixture was refluxed for 48 h; vigorous stirring is essential. HCl (3 N, 30 mL) and ethyl acetate (100 mL) were added, and vigorous stirring was continued until no solid was present and the aqueous layer had a pH of 1. If necessary, additional ethyl acetate was added. The organic layer was isolated and the aqueous layer extracted with 2×100 mL of ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were evaporated, 200 mL of 0.25 N NaOH was added, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min, until the original precipitate was dissolved and a suspension of zinc hydroxide was formed. The suspension was filtered, and the solid washed with NaOH (1N, 20 mL). HCl (3N, 40 mL) was added to the filtrate with vigorous stirring causing the tetrazole to precipitate. The tetrazole was filtered and washed with HCl (3N, 2×20 mL) and dried in a drying oven to furnish the tetrazole as a white or slightly coloured powder, m.p. 228-230 °C (lit.35 228-230 °C) 1H NMR: 7.87 (m, 2H), 7.80 (m, 2H).

Cyanation of aryl bromides with $K_{Fe}(CN)_{c}$; general procedure

A mixture of copper salt (0.3 mmol) and **2** (0.8 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) was stirred at room temperature under a dry nitrogen atmosphere for 10 min to give a homogeneous solution. Next the aryl bromide (1 mmol), base (1.0 mmol), KI (0.5 mmol), K₄Fe(CN)₆ (0.22 mmol) and DMF (2 mL) were added and the mixture stirred at 130 °C for 10 h (Table 2). After completion (as monitored by TLC), H₂O (15 mL) was added and the organic layer was extracted with EtOAc (3×15 mL), washed with brine (15 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated

under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography. All the products are known and were characterised by IR, NMR and melting points and their spectroscopic data identical to that reported in the literature.^{22,26,27}

Benzonitrile (Table 2, entry 1): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 7.55–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.52–7.54 (m, 1H), 7.38–7.43 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 132.6, 132.0, 129.0, 118.6, 112.1.

2-Methylbenzonitrile (Table 2, entry 2): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.38–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.34–7.36 (m, 1H), 7.12–7.19 (m, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 141.5, 132.5, 132.2, 130.0, 126.1, 117.8, 112.4, 20.1.

4-Methoxybenzonitrile (Table 2, entry 4): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 7.55–7.60 (m, 2H), 6.93–6.96 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 162.6, 133.8, 119.0, 114.6, 103.7, 55.3.

4-Acetylbenzonitrile (Table 2, entry 5): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.03–8.06 (m, 2H), 7.76–7.79 (m, 2H), 2.65 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl) δ (entry) 10(2, 1207, 1222, 1285, 1177, 1172, 1172)

(100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 196.3, 139.7, 132.3, 128.5, 117.6, 116.2, 26.6. Methyl-4-cyanobenzoate (Table 2, entry 6): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 8.11–8.14 (m, 2H), 7.72–7.76 (m, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H);
¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) 165.1, 133.7, 132.1, 130.0, 117.8, 116.2, 52.6.

2-Amino-5-cyanopyridine (Table 2, entry 12): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm) 8.30 (d, *J*=2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d, *J*=8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (s, 2H), 6.50 (d, *J*=8.7 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ (ppm) 161.6, 153.3, 139.5, 119.0, 107.8, 94.7.

Quinoline-6-carbonitrile (Table 2, entry 13): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm) 9.09 (dd, J=1.9, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 8.67 (d, J=1.3 Hz, 1H), 8.50 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (dd, J=1.9, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (dd, J=4.3, 8.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ (ppm) 153.7, 148.5, 136.8, 135.1, 130.5, 130.2, 127.3, 123.1, 118.6, 109.1.

We thank the Islamic Azad University, Ahar and Aliabad Katoul Branches for partial support of this research.

Received 11 October 2013; accepted 13 March 2014 Paper 1302226 doi: 10.3184/174751914X13966206828910 Published online: 6 May 2014

References

- 1 R.C. Larock, *Comprehensive organic transformations*. VCH, New York, 1989, p. 819.
- 2 Z. Rappoport, Chemistry of the cyano group. John Wiley & Sons, London, 1970, p. 121.
- 3 M. Nasrollahzadeh, Y. Bayat, D. Habibi and S. Moshaee, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 4435.
- 4 D. Habibi, M. Nasrollahzadeh, H. Sahebekhtiari and S.M. Sajadi, *Synlett*, 2012, **23**, 2795.
- 5 D. Habibi, M. Nasrollahzadeh, H. Sahebekhtiari and R.V. Parish, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, **69**, 3082.
- 6 M. Nasrollahzadeh, A. Ehsani and A. Rostami-Vartouni, Ultrason. Sonochem., 2014, 21, 275.
- 7 M. Nasrollahzadeh, D. Habibi, Z. Shahkarami and Y. Bayat, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, **66**, 3866.
- 8 D. Habibi and M. Nasrollahzadeh, Synth. Commun., 2010, 40, 3159.
- 9 D. Habibi and M. Nasrollahzadeh. Synth. Commun., 2012, 42, 2023
- 10 D. Habibi and M. Nasrollahzadeh, Y. Bayat, Synth. Commun., 2011, 41, 2135.
- 11 D. Habibi and M. Nasrollahzadeh, Monatsh. Chem., 2012, 143, 925.
- 12 D. Habibi, M. Nasrollahzadeh, A.R. Faraji and Y. Bayat, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, 66, 3866.
- 13 D. Habibi, M. Nasrollahzadeh and H. Sahebekhtiari, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2013, 378, 148.
- 14 G.P. Ellis and T.M. Romney-Alexander, Chem. Rev., 1987, 87, 779.
- 15 T. Sandmeyer, Berichte der Deutschen Chemischen Gesellschaft, 1884, 17,
- 2650.
 D. Habibi, S. Heydari and M. Nasrollahzadeh, J. Chem. Res., 2012, 36, 573.
- 17 N. Chatani and T. Hanafusa, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 4714.
- 18 H.E. Zieger and S. Wo, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 3838.
- 19 H.R. Chobanian, B.P. Fors and L.S. Lin, Tetrahedron Lett., 2006, 47, 3303.
- 20 R.S. Jensen, A.S. Gajare, K. Toyota and M. Yoshifujia, F. Tetrahedron Lett., 2005, 46, 8645.
- 21 M. Hatsuda and M. Seki, Tetrahedron, 2005, 61, 9908.

294 JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL RESEARCH 2014

- 22 T. Schareina, A. Zapf and M. Beller, Chem. Commun., 2004, 1388.
- 23 N.S. Nandurkar and B.M. Bhanage, Tetrahedron, 2008, 64, 3655.
- 24 Y.-N. Cheng, Z. Duan, L. Yu, Z. Li, Y. Zhu and Y. Wu, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 901.
- 25 A. Littke, M. Soumeillant, R.F. III; Kaltenbach, R.J. Cherney, C.M. Tarby and S. Kiau, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 1711.
- 26 J. Zanon, A. Klapars and S.L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 2890.
- 27 T. Schareina, A. Zapf and M. Beller, Tetrahedron Lett., 2005, 46, 2585.
- 28 D. Bourissou, O. Guerret, F.P. Gabbai and G. Betrand, <u>*Chem. Rev.*</u>, 2000, <u>100</u>, 39.
- 29 D.S. McGuiness, N. Saendig, B.F. Yates and K.J. Carvell, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 4029.
- 30 D. Habibi, M. Nasrollahzadeh, L. Mehrabi and S. Mostafaee, <u>Monatsh.</u> Chem., 2013, 144, 725.
- 31 D. Habibi, H. Nabavi and M. Nasrollahzadeh, J. Chem., 2013, Article ID 645313.

- 32 D. Habibi, M. Nasrollahzadeh and T.A. Kamali, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 3499.
- 33 H. Shahroosvand, L. Najafi, E. Mohajerani, A. Khabbazi and M. Nasrollahzadeh, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2013, 1, 1337.
- 34 H. Shahroosvand, L. Najafi, E. Mohajerani, M. Janghouri and M. Nasrollahzadeh, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 6323.
- 35 Z.P. Demko, K.B. Sharpless, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 7945.
- 36 E. Diez-Barra, J. Guerra, I. Lopez-Solera, S. Marino, J. Rodriguez-Lopez, P. Sanchez-Verdu and J. Tejeda, *Organometallics*, 2003, 22, 541.
- 37 M. Nasrollahzadeh, A. Rostami-Vartooni, A. Ehsani and M. Moghadam, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2014, 387, 123.
- 38 H.-J. Xu, Y.-F. Liang, X.-F. Zhou and Y.-S. Feng, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 2562.
- 39 Aldrich Handbook of Fine Chemicals and Laboratory Equipment. Sigma Aldrich.
- 40 J. Zanon, A. Klapars and S.L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 2890.