Tetrahedron Letters 52 (2011) 7079-7082

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters





Molybdenum oxide/bipyridine hybrid material $\{[MoO_3(bipy)][MoO_3(H_2O)]\}_n$ as catalyst for the oxidation of secondary amines to nitrones

Marta Abrantes ^{a,*}, Isabel S. Gonçalves ^b, Martyn Pillinger ^b, Carolina Vurchio ^c, Franca M. Cordero ^c, Alberto Brandi ^{c,*}

^a Centro de Química Estrutural, Complexo Interdisciplinar, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal ^b Department of Chemistry, CICECO, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal ^c Department of Chemistry 'Ugo Schiff', University of Florence, Via della Lastruccia 13, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 September 2011 Revised 11 October 2011 Accepted 14 October 2011 Available online 18 October 2011

Dedicated to Professor Alfredo Ricci for his many years of commitments to innovative chemistry

Keywords:

Inorganic–organic hybrid materials Oxomolybdenum catalysts Oxidation Secondary amines Nitrones

ABSTRACT

The inorganic–organic hybrid material { $[MoO_3(bipy)][MoO_3(H_2O)]$ _n (bipy = 2,2'-bipyridine) can be used as a water-tolerant catalyst for the oxidation of secondary amines under mild conditions using either urea hydrogen peroxide (UHP) or *tert*-butylhydroperoxide (TBHP) as the oxidant. Under optimized reaction conditions (2 mol % catalyst, 3–4 equiv TBHP, CH₂Cl₂ as the solvent, 40 °C), the corresponding nitrones were obtained with different efficiency depending on the nature of the cyclic or acyclic amine used. © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The chemistry of Mo^{VI} is very prominent in industrial processes, as proven by the large variety of reactions (oxidation, ammoxidation, metathesis, and hydrocarbon dehydrogenation) which are carried out over Mo^{VI} catalysts.¹ Since the chemistry of Mo^{VI} is largely dominated by complexes containing the *cis*-dioxomolybdenum(VI) structural unit, a very large number of these complexes have been investigated as catalysts.²

Recently, some of us have been developing soft chemistry routes for the synthesis of new molybdenum(VI) oxide inorganic–organic hybrid materials.³ The exploration of metal oxide-based hybrid materials is of contemporary interest, not only because of their hierarchical structures but also because of their potentially fascinating properties in the fields of catalysis, sorption, electrical conductivity, magnetism, and optics. Many inorganic–organic hybrids combining an optimal trade-off of properties are synthesized by nature using soft synthetic conditions.⁴ Therefore, the synthesis of inorganic– organic hybrids by soft chemistry methods is very appealing because it paves the way to the vast field of biological applications. Last, but not least, soft chemistry routes possess added value in the context of sustainable chemistry.



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the structure of **1** containing two onedimensional polymers formulated as $[MoO_3(bipy)]_n$ and $[MoO_3(H_2O)]_n$, which are interconnected by O-H...O hydrogen bonds (not shown).

^{*} Corresponding authors. Tel.: +351 218419264; fax: +351 218464455 (M.A.); tel.: +390 554573485; fax: +390 554573572 (A.B.).

E-mail addresses: marta.abrantes@ist.utl.pt(M. Abrantes), alberto.brandi@unifi.it (A. Brandi).

Tal	ble 1			
Op	timization	of the	reaction	condition



Entry	Solvent	Mol % 1	Oxidant ^a	Temp (°C)	Time (h)	Conv ^b (%)	Yield ^c (%)
1	MeOH	2	UHP	25	8	94	65
2	MeOH	3	UHP	20	8	96	67
3	MeOH	2	UHP	40	6	96	50
4	CH ₃ CN	2	UHP	40	3	98	46
5	CH_2Cl_2	2	UHP	25	15	98	54
6	CH_2Cl_2	2	TBHP	20	720	>98	61
7	CH_2Cl_2	2	TBHP	40	5	97	64

^a UHP 3 mol equiv; TBHP 4 mol equiv.

^b Conversion based on recovered amine after flash chromatography.

^c Isolated yield.

Our work unraveled that hybrid molybdenum oxide-based materials bearing organonitrogen ligands, like $[MoO_3(bipy)]_n$ and $\{[MoO_3(bipy)][MoO_3(H_2O)]\}_n$ (1) (Fig. 1), can be synthesized by soft chemistry routes and are potentially interesting catalysts for the epoxidation of nonfunctionalized olefins.^{3,5,6} Several other related monometallic molybdenum(VI) oxide based hybrid materials containing organonitrogen ligands directly coordinated to the oxide substructure have been described,⁷ but the catalytic potential of these systems has yet to be fully explored. In the present work we have focused on further investigating the catalytic potential of the hybrid material **1** by applying it in the direct oxidation of secondary amines to nitrones.

Nitrones are highly versatile 1,3-dipoles capable of reacting regio- and stereospecifically with a variety of dipolarophiles generating up to three stereocentres in a single reaction step.⁸ This reaction allows the access to the important class of isoxazolidine compounds. Nitrones are also of interest because they undergo nucleophilic additions to afford N,N-disubstituted hydroxylamines. One of the reasons for the success of the synthetic application of nitrones is that, contrary to the majority of other 1,3-dipoles, most nitrones are stable compounds that do not require in situ formation. Their utility is well demonstrated in the total synthesis of several natural products including alkaloids, aza-, and amino sugars, in which they are key synthons. Last, but not least, nitrones are used as spin trap agents.⁹

Catalytic oxidation of secondary amines with active oxygen compounds is considered as one of the most attractive methods for the generation of nitrones.^{10,11} Quantitative oxidation of secondary amines occurs upon treatment with M^{VI} polyperoxo complexes (PPC) of general formula $[C_5H_5N(CH_2)_{15}CH_3]_3[PO_4[MO(O_2)_2]_4]$ (M=Mo, W).¹² However, to the best of our knowledge only one catalytic system making use of molybdenum was described in the literature for the oxidation of secondary amines to nitrones. Na₂MoO₄ was successfully used for the direct oxidation of secondary amines in the presence of the oxidant UHP (urea hydrogen peroxide).^{10a}

Dibenzylamine (**2**) was used as the model substrate to study the best conditions for the oxidation of secondary amines to nitrones (Table 1). UHP and *tert*-butylhydroperoxide (TBHP) were used as stoichiometric cooxidants together with compound **1**, used in 1–3 mol %. Use of 2 mol % of **1** resulted in the best compromise between reaction time and total yield.

The reactions usually reached a conversion of 96–98% based on the recovery of amine (2–4%) that is observed in a protonated form (deshielded signals of protons adjacent to the nitrogen atom: $\Delta \delta = 0.12-0.70$ ppm) in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the final crude

reaction mixture. Likely, the protonated amine is protected from oxidation by catalyst 1. Attempts to run the reaction in the presence of a base (Na₂CO₃, K₂CO₃, NaOAc) led to incomplete oxidation and formation of sizable amounts of N-benzylbenzaldimine besides nitrone 3. The cooxidants, used in moderate excess (3-4 mol equiv), gave similar results. UHP requires polar solvents, such as MeOH or CH₃CN, for its higher content of water. In less polar CH₂Cl₂ longer reaction times are necessary that result in a higher decomposition of the nitrone. TBHP can be used with similar efficiency in CH₂Cl₂ or CHCl₃. Under the same conditions, temperature affects the reaction rate (Table 1, entries 1 and 6 vs 3 and 7, respectively). Small amounts of benzaldehyde, the product of hydrolysis of the nitrone, can be observed in the crude reaction mixture, particularly when UHP is used as the cooxidant. Reactions with TBHP in CH₂Cl₂ are preferred, because these can provide more anhydrous conditions. To test the scope of catalyst **1** in this reaction the oxidation was extended to other acyclic and cyclic secondary amines, using both cooxidants (procedure A and B)¹³ whose results are reported in Table 2.

Oxidation of less activated dibutylamine **4** occurred with similar efficiency as dibenzylamine **2** only with TBHP as the cooxidant (Table 2, entries 1 and 2). The same is valid for non-symmetrical ethylbenzylamine **6** (Table 2, entries 3 and 4). With TBHP it gave a mixture of regioisomeric nitrones **7a** and **7b** in 4:1 ratio with the major one deriving from the more favorable oxidation of benzylic methylene (entry 3, Table 2). The catalyst appears to be slightly more selective than other oxidizing systems with the same amine **6**.¹⁴

In the oxidation of acyclic amines only the *Z* nitrones were isolated, and the structures assigned on the basis of their NMR signals and comparison with the literature data. In the case of dibutylamine **4** the formation of *E*-5 isomer (CD₃OD, δ CH=N–O 7.19 ppm, t, *J* = 5.9 Hz) was observed in reactions carried out in the NMR tube. Complete isomerization to the *Z*-5 isomer (CD₃OD, δ CH=N–O 6.66 ppm, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz) occurred during the work-up. In the case of the other acyclic nitrones **3** and **7**, the possible formation of the corresponding kinetic *E*-isomers¹⁵ could not be confirmed by the analysis of NMR spectra of the reaction mixture, because of overlapping aromatic proton signals.

Oxidation of tetrahydroisoquinoline (**8**) affords the expected nitrone **9** besides the aromatized isoquinoline N-oxide **10** with both cooxidants (entries 5 and 6, Table 2). Running the reaction in MeOH with UHP (Procedure B) as the cooxidant at rt gives a higher ratio of **9** versus **10**, likely for the milder reaction conditions. Oxidations of other cyclic amines gave erratic results. 2,6-Dimethylpiperidine **11** (entry 7, Table 2) gave the best results in the oxidation, even on a

7081

Table 2					
Oxidation of acyclic and cyclic amines to nitrones b	y TBHP	(procedure A)) or UHP	(procedure B)	catalyzed by 1 ^a

Entry	Amine	Procedure	Time (h)	Conv ^b (%)	Products	Yields ^c (%)
1 2		A (TBHP) ^d B (UHP)	5 6	90 87	^N^+ ~~ 0^− 5	54 20
3 4		A (TBHP) ^d B (UHP)	7 5	79 —	$ \begin{array}{c} $	7a 7b 43 10 23 0
5 6	NH 8	A (TBHP) ^e B (UHP)	8 7	>98 91	7b 7b N^+ 9	9 10 57 28 63 13
7	N H 11	A (TBHP) ^d	5	>98	10 N+ O ⁻ 12	83
8 9		A (TBHP) ^f B (UHP)	48 8	>98 —	N+ 0 ⁻ 14	45 60
10 11	HO,, NH RO 15 R = TBDMS 17 R = t-Bu	A (TBHP) ^d A (TBHP) ^d	17 4	93 74	HO,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	29 27

^a Reaction conditions: Procedure A; Procedure B (see Note 13).

^b Conversion based on recovered amine after flash chromatography.

^c Yields of nitrones after purification by flash chromatography.

^d 3.2 mol equiv TBHP (in two portions).

^e 4 mol equiv TBHP.

^f 3 mol equiv TBHP.

larger scale (4.7 mmol). In contrast, 2-methylpiperidine **13** (entries 8 and 9, Table 2) gave nitrone **14** in moderate yield (45%) with TBHP as the cooxidant, and only slightly better with UHP in MeOH. No trace of the regioisomeric nitrone is observed. The silyloxy or *tert*-butoxy substituted pyrrolidines **15** and **17** afforded the corresponding nitrones **16** and **18**, respectively, in poor yield (29-27%), probably due to O-deprotection under the oxidation.

In summary, this work has successfully extended the catalytic application of the hybrid material **1** to the oxidation of secondary amines to nitrones under mild reaction conditions. As noted above, the catalytic potential of molybdenum oxide/organonitrogen hybrid materials has hardly been addressed, and in the case of **1** was limited to the epoxidation of olefins. The present findings should stimulate further studies of these materials as catalysts in organic synthesis.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Portuguese Science Foundation (FCT), POCI 2010, OE, and FEDER for funding through the project PTDC/QUI/65427/2006, and to Italian Ministry of University and Research for funding through the project PRIN 2008BRXNTY.

References and notes

- 1. Chisole, G. P.; Maitlis, P. M. *Metal-catalysis in Industrial Organic Processes*; Royal Society of Chemistry Publishing: Cambridge, 2008.
- (a) Sanz, R.; Pedrosa, M. R. Curr. Org. Chem. 2009, 6, 239–263; (b) Jeykumar, K.; Chand, D. K. J. Chem. Sci. 2009, 121, 111–123; (c) Kühn, F. E.; Santos, A. M.; Abrantes, M. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2455–2475.
- (a) Amarante, T. R.; Neves, P.; Coelho, A. C.; Gago, S.; Valente, A. A.; Paz, F. A. A.; Pillinger, M.; Gonçalves, I. S. Organometallics **2010**, *29*, 883–892; (b) Abrantes, M.; Amarante, T. R.; Antunes, M. M.; Gago, S.; Paz, F. A. A.; Margiolaki, I.; Rodrigues, A. E.; Pillinger, M.; Valente, A. A.; Gonçalves, I. S. Inorg. Chem. **2010**, *49*, 6865–6873.
- Sanchez, C.; Belleville, P.; Popalld, M.; Nicole, L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 696– 753.
- 5. *Catalyst preparation.* The complex MoO₂Cl₂(bipy) was prepared as described previously (see Ref.⁶). A mixture of MoO₂Cl₂(bipy) (0.86 g, 2.42 mmol) and water (85 mL) in a round bottomed flask was refluxed under air (atmospheric pressure) for 8 h. After cooling to room temperature, the resultant solid was filtered, washed with water, acetone and diethyl ether, and dried at 100 °C. Yield: 96% (0.54 g). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₀Mo₂N₂O₇ (462.08): C, 25.99; H, 2.18; N, 6.06. Found: C, 25.87; H, 2.12; N, 5.99. The FT-IR spectrum (KBr disc) was in agreement with that reported previously^{3b}: v = 3224 m (v(OH)), 3121w, 3086w, 2961w, 2925, 2851w, 1684 m (δ (OH₂)), 1608 m, 1601 m, 1576w, 1566w, 1497 m, 1475 m, 1444 m, 1316 m, 1261w, 1179w, 1159w, 1103w, 1028 m, 1017 m, 956s (v(O=Mo)), 930s (v(O=Mo)), 916s (v(O=Mo)), 870s (v(O=Mo)), 757s, 683s,br (v(Mo–O-Mo)), 655 m, 636sh, 509s,br (v(OMo₃)), 436w, 428w, 423w, 415w, 401 m cm⁻¹.

- Kühn, F. E.; Groarke, M.; Bencze, É.; Herdtweck, E.; Prazeres, A.; Santos, A. M.; Calhorda, M. J.; Romão, C. C.; Gonçalves, I. S.; Lopes, A. D.; Pillinger, M. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2002, *8*, 2370–2383.
- (a) Hagrman, P. J.; Hagrman, D.; Zubieta, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2639-2684. and references therein; (b) Zapf, P. J.; LaDuca, R. L., Jr.; Rarig, R. S., Jr.; Johnson, K. M.; Zubieta, J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 3411-3414; (c) Xu, Y.; Lu, J.; Goh, N. K. J. Mater. Chem. 1999, 9, 1599-1602; (d) Hagrman, P. J.; LaDuca, R. L., Jr.; Koo, H.-J.; Rarig, R., Jr.; Haushalter, R. C.; Whangbo, M.-H.; Zubieta, J. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39, 4311-4317; (e) Zhou, Y.; Zhang, L.; Fun, H.-K.; You, X. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2000, 3, 114-116; (f) Cui, C.-P.; Dai, J.-C.; Du, W.-X.; Fu, Z.-Y.; Hu, S.-M.; Wu, L.-M.; Wu, X.-T. Polyhedron 2002, 21, 175-179; (g) Lu, Y.; Wang, E.; Yuan, M.; Li, Y.; Hu, C. J. Mol. Struct. 2003, 649, 191-195; (h) Zhao, B.; Chen, X.-Y.; Cheng, P.; Ding, B.; Liao, D.-Z.; Yan, S.-P.; Jiang, Z.-H. J. Coord. Chem. 2005, 58, 467-472; (i) Zhou, D.-P.; Bi, D.-Q. Z. Kristallogr. NCS 2006, 221, 503-504; (j) Kim, J.; Lim, W. T.; Koo, B. K. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2007, 360, 2187-2191; (k) Chuang, J.; Ouellette, W.; Zubieta, J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2008, 361, 2357-2364; (1) Lysenko, A. B.; Senchyk, G. A.; Lincke, J.; Lässig, D.; Fokin, A. A.; Butova, E. D.; Schreiner, P. R.; Krautscheid, H.; Domasevitch, K. V. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 4223-4231.
- (a) Merino, P.; Tejero, T. Synlett 2011, 1965–1977; (b) Brandi, A.; Cardona, F.; Cicchi, S.; Cordero, F. M.; Goti, A. Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 7808–7821; (c) Grigor'ev, I. A. In Nitrile Oxides, Nitrones Nitronates in Organic Synthesis; Feuer, H., Ed., second ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New Jersey, 2008. pp. 144–161; (d) Rück-Braun, K.; Freysoldt, H. E.; Wierschem, F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2005, 34, 507–516; (e) Martin, J. N.; Jones, R. C. F. In Synthetic Applications of 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Chemistry toward Heterocycles and Natural Products; Padwa, A., Pearson, W. H., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, United Kingdom, 2002; Vol. 59, pp 1–8; (f) Gothelf, K. V.; Jørgensen, K. A. Chem. Commun. 2000, 1449–1458; (g) Merino, P.; Franco, S.; Merchan, F.; Tejero, T. Synlett 2000, 442–454; (h) Frederickson, M. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 403–425.
- (a) Janzen, E. G. Acc. Chem. Res. **1971**, 4, 31–40; (b) Janzen, E. G.; Wang, Y. Y.; Shetty, R. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1978**, 100, 2923–2925; (c) Pou, S.; Halpern, H. J.; Tsai, P.; Rosen, G. M. Acc. Chem. Res. **1999**, 32, 155–161; (d) Tuccio, B.; Lauricella, R.; Fréjaville, C.; Bouteiller, J.-C.; Tordo, P. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 **1995**, 295–298; (e) Allouch, A.; Roubaud, V.; Lauricella, R.; Bouteiller, J.-C.; Tuccio, B. Org. Biomol. Chem **2005**, 3, 2458–2462.
- (a) Murahashi, S.-I. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2443–2465; (b) Marcantoni, E.; Petrini, M.; Polimanti, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 3561– 3562; (c) Murray, R. W.; Iyanar, K. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 8099–8102; (d) Goti, A.; Nannelli, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 6025–6028; (e) Di Furia, F.; Licini, G.; Modena, G.; Motterle, R.; Nugent, W. A. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 5175–5177.
- For reviews see: Merino, P. In Science of Synthesis Knowldedge Updates; Schaumann, E., Ed.; George Thieme: Stuttgart, 2011; pp 325–403. Vol. 4; Merino, P. In Science of Synthesis; Bellus, D., Padwa, A., Eds.; George Thieme: Stuttgart, 2004; pp 511–580. Vol. 27; (a) Revuelta, J.; Cicchi, S.; Goti, A.; Brandi,

A. Synthesis 2007, 485–504; (b) Thiel, W. R. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2003, 245, 95–106.

- (a) Tollari, S.; Bruni, S.; Bianchi, C. L.; Rainoni, M.; Porta, F. J. Mol. Catal. 1993, 83, 311–322; (b) Ballistreri, F. P.; Barbuzzi, E. G. M.; Tomaselli, G. A.; Toscano, R. M. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 6381–6387; (c) Ballistreri, F. P.; Barbuzzi, E. G. M.; Tomaselli, G. A.; Toscano, R. M. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 1996, 114, 229–236.
- 13. General procedures for the oxidation of amines.
 - *Procedure A*. To a stirred solution of amine (0.3–0.8 mmol) in dichloromethane (0.5 M) were added, at 0 °C, TBHP (3-4 mol equiv, in one or two portions) and catalyst **1** (2 mol %). The mixture was stirred at 40 °C for the necessary time (see Table 2), then the mixture was filtered through Celite and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography.

Procedure B. To a stirred solution of amine (0.3-0.8 mmol) in methanol (0.5 M) was added at 0 °C UHP (3 mol equiv) and catalyst 1 (2 mol %). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for the necessary time (see Table 2). The reaction mixture developed a red-orange colour that disappeared at different times depending on the amine type. The reaction mixture, then, remained yellow and in some cases a white precipitate formed. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, dichloromethane was added and the slurry formed was filtered through Celite. The solvent was evaporated and the crude residue purified by flash column chromatography.

All the nitrones synthesized were known compounds and gave analytical and spectral data identical with the reported ones (see Ref.^{14a,16-18}). Spectroscopic data of nitrone **12**, that proved to be unstable even if stored at low temperature, are reported here since no literature data are available. *2.6-Dimethyl-3.4.5.6-tetrahydropyridine-N-oxide* **(12)**:

 $\begin{array}{l} R_{\rm f} 0.36~({\rm CH}_2{\rm Cl}_2/{\rm MeOH}=10:1); \ ^1{\rm H}~{\rm NMR}~({\rm CDCl}_3,~400~{\rm MHz})~\delta=3.92-3.80~({\rm m},~1{\rm H},~6-{\rm H}),~2.45-2.36~({\rm m},~2{\rm H},~3-{\rm H}),~2.06~({\rm br}~s,~3{\rm H},~C_2-CH3),~2.05-1.97~({\rm m},~1{\rm H},~5-{\rm H}),~1.83-1.60~({\rm m},~3{\rm H},~5-{\rm H}+4-{\rm H}),~1.47~({\rm d},~J=6.8,~3{\rm H},~C_6-CH_3);~^{13}{\rm C}~{\rm NMR}~({\rm CDCl}_3,~50~{\rm MHz})~\delta=145.3~({\rm s},~C_2),~62.3~({\rm d},~C-6),~31.0~({\rm t},~C-3),~30.0~({\rm t},~C-5),~19.3~({\rm q},~C-2-CH_3),~19.0~({\rm q},~C-6-CH_3),~15.6~({\rm t},~C-4);~{\rm IR}~({\rm CD}_3{\rm Cl})~\nu=2953,~2874,~2833,~1612,~1457,~1449,~1426,~1374,~1176,~1089~{\rm cm}^{-1};~{\rm MS}~({\rm El})~m/z~\%=127~(46),~112~(47),~73~(24),~55~(99),~41~(100). \end{array}$

- (a) Yamazaki, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1997**, 70, 877–884; (b) Ohtake, H.; Imada,
 Y.; Murahashi, S.-I. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1999**, 72, 2737–2754; (c) Colonna, S.;
 Pironti, V.; Carrea, G.; Pasta, P.; Zambianchi, F. Tetrahedron **2004**, 60, 569–576.
- (a) Hassan, A.; Wazeer, M. I. M.; Saeed, M. T.; Siddiqui, M. N.; Ali, Sk. A. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2000, 13, 443–451; (b) Hassan, A.; Wazeer, M. I. M.; Ali, Sk. A. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1998, 393–399.
- Murahashi, S. I.; Mitsui, H.; Shiota, T.; Tsuda, T.; Watanabe, S. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1736–1744.
- 17. Cicchi, S.; Hold, I.; Brandi, A. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5274-5275.
- Goti, A.; Cardona, F.; Brandi, A.; Picasso, S.; Vogel, P. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1996, 7, 1659–1674.