www.rsc.org/obc

# Synthesis and photophysical evaluation of charge neutral thiourea or urea based fluorescent PET sensors for bis-carboxylates and pyrophosphate

Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson,\*<sup>a</sup> Anthony P. Davis,<sup>a,b</sup> John E. O'Brien<sup>a</sup> and Mark Glynn<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland. E-mail: gunnlaut@tcd.ie; Fax: 00 353 1 671 2826; Tel: 00 353 1 608 3459

<sup>b</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol, UK BS8 1TS

Received 15th June 2004, Accepted 27th September 2004 First published as an Advance Article on the web 9th November 2004

The synthesis of the fluorescent photoinduced electron transfer (PET) chemosensors 1-3 for bis-anions such as bis-carboxylates and pyrophosphate in organic solvents is described herein. These sensors are based on the receptor-spacer-fluorophore-spacer-receptor motif where the receptors are charge neutral aromatic thiourea or urea receptors and the fluorophore is anthracene. The anion recognition was evaluated using <sup>1</sup>H NMR as well as absorption and fluorescence spectroscopy in DMSO. For simple anions such as acetate or fluoride, the recognition was shown to be through hydrogen bonding of the corresponding anion to the receptors. This gave rise to only minor changes in the absorption spectra, but significant changes in the fluorescence emission spectra, which was substantially (70-95%) quenched. Analysis of these recognition events implied a 1:2 (sensor : anion) binding and ideal PET behaviour for ions such as AcO<sup>-</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>. For F<sup>-</sup>, the luminescent quenching indicated a 1 : 1 binding, but we deduced that this was due more to complete quenching of the excited state after the addition of one equivalent of the anion. For all of the anions, the quenching contributed to enhanced efficiency of PET from the receptors to the excited state of the fluorophore. In the case of the bis-anions (ambient), such as di-carboxylates, similar fluorescence quenching was observed. However, here either a 1:1 or a 1:2 binding was observed depending on the length of the spacer separating the two carboxylate moieties and the nature of the receptor. Whereas both pyrophosphate and malonate gave rise to a 1 : 1 binding, glutarate gave rise to  $\sim$ 1 : 2 binding for the thiourea sensors 1 and 2. However, for the urea based sensor 3, the binding was found to be 1:1 for all the bis-anions. For such a 1:1 binding we propose that the anion most likely bridges the fluorophore moiety. This was also evident from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) spectrum where the anthracene resonances were significantly affected. By simply modifying the electronic structure of the receptor, the sensitivity of the recognition process could also be modified; e.g. compound 1, bearing the trifluoromethyl substituent, showed stronger binding to the bis-anions than 2, which possessed a simple phenyl moiety.

# Introduction

The sensing and monitoring of ions and molecules is currently of major interest, as anions are important from industrial (such as fertilisers), biological/medicinal and environmental points of view.1 Unlike cationic recognition and sensing,2 which is now a well-established area of research, anion recognition has been much less explored. However, recently a large number of excellent examples of anion receptors and luminescent sensors for anions have been produced and several reviews have appeared over the last few years.<sup>3</sup> Major challenges in anion sensing are; they exhibit a variety of structures and conformations, they are often highly hydrated and they may be pH dependent, making it difficult to detect them in an aqueous environment.<sup>4</sup> Consequently, structurally complicated and synthetically demanding receptors have been developed, which may not be realistic for use in practical anion sensors applicable to, for example, in vitro or in vivo monitoring.5

We have recently focused our research towards the development of structurally simple sensors for anion recognition; developing lanthanide luminescent sensors, where coordinated unsaturated Tb(III) complexes<sup>6</sup> were used to detect carboxylates such as salicylic acid in water at pH 7; as well as using charge neutral PET (photoinduced electron transfer) anion sensors, which employ thiourea based receptors.<sup>7</sup> For the latter, recognition occurs through hydrogen bonding between the thiourea protons and the anion. This causes the fluorescence of the fluorophore to be quenched by electron transfer from the anion–receptor complex.<sup>8</sup> We have demonstrated this by employing anions such as  $AcO^-$ ,  $H_2PO_4^-$  and  $F^-$  in DMSO, whereas anions such as  $ClO_4^-$ ,  $Cl^-$ ,  $Br^-$  and  $I^-$  were not detected, presumably due to the simple nature of the receptor. However, the success of our original idea led us to extend our approach to anion sensing to the detection of anions that have more than one binding site, such as bis-carboxylates and phosphates such as pyrophosphate, *etc.*<sup>9,10</sup> Such fluorescence sensing is of great biological and medicinal relevance as many dicarboxylates take part in metabolic processes together with pyrophosphate, which itself is essential for energy formation as it is the product of the hydrolysis of ATP under cellular conditions. Herein we present the full results from these investigations.<sup>11</sup>

Our approach, which we call second-generation PET anion sensors, are based on the *receptor–spacer–fluorophore–spacer–receptor* motif shown in Fig. 1, originally developed by de Silva and coworkers for the sensing of bis-ammonium species and for mimicking logic gates.<sup>12</sup> Here however, we have simply used two anion receptors that are connected in a linear fashion *via* the two spacers to an anthracene fluorophore through the 9 and 10 positions. This design gave the two thiourea based fluorescent sensors 1 and 2, and the urea analogue 3.

Even though these are structurally simple sensors, by simply altering the nature of the receptor site, *e.g.* by incorporating electron withdrawing substituents such as CF<sub>3</sub>, we hoped to be able to 'tune' the selectivity and sensitivity of anion recognition.<sup>8</sup> This would be due to a modulation of the strength of the hydrogen bonding interactions between the thiourea/urea N–H and the anions, resulting in a receptor–anion complex which would be substantially affected and would subsequently affect



Fig. 1 The receptor-spacer-fluorophore-spacer-receptor model used and the corresponding sensors 1-3.

the PET quenching of the fluorophore.13 To the best of our knowledge, these chemosensors are the first examples of charge neutral fluorescent PET sensors that show ideal PET behaviour for bis-anions.13,14

### **Results and discussion**

## Synthesis of 1-4

The chemosensors 1-3 were prepared from the corresponding isothiocyanates (5, 6 and 7) and 9,10-diaminomethylanthracene 4 in  $CH_2Cl_2$  by stirring at room temperature (Scheme 1). The products were formed as precipitates that were isolated by filtration, washed with cold CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and recrystallised from CHCl<sub>3</sub>, giving 1-3 in ca. 80% yield. The isothiocyanates used in the synthesis of 1 and 2 were 4-(trifluoromethyl)-phenyl-5 and phenyl-isothiocyanate 6 respectively, whereas for 3, 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl-isocyanate 7 was used. All the sensors were characterised using conventional methods, with the excep-

Br R 8 ii  $NH_2$ NCX 1: R =CF<sub>3</sub>, X =S NH2 2: R =H, X =S 4 3: R =CF<sub>3</sub>, X =O Ŕ 5: R =CF<sub>3</sub>, X =S 6: R =H, X =S 7: R =CF<sub>3</sub>, X =O

Scheme 1 The synthesis of sensors 1-3. i) 1,3,5-Trioxane, HBr, CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H; tetradecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide; *ii*) hexamethylenetetramine in anhydrous CHCl<sub>3</sub> followed by HCl, ethanol, H<sub>2</sub>O; iii) CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> room temperature.

tion of MS-analysis due to fragmentations (see Experimental section). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (400 MHz) of 1-3, when recorded in either DMSO- $d_6$  or CDCl<sub>3</sub>, showed that the sensors were all highly symmetrical, as is evident from Fig. 2 (for 2), where only two resonances for the thiourea protons are observed at 9.36 and 8.06 ppm respectively. The latter is observed as a triplet due to the coupling of the methylene spacer, which is observed as a doublet at 5.75 ppm (the NMR of 1 is discussed later).



Fig. 2 The <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz) of 2.

The synthesis of the starting material 4 was more troublesome than expected. A preparation described previously employed the classical Gabriel synthesis.<sup>15</sup> We, however, found that the first step in this synthesis resulted in the formation of the insoluble bis-phthalimide anthracene derivative and the conversion of this intermediate to 4 was extremely poor. More successful was an alternative method (Scheme 1) which involved the initial synthesis of 9,10-bisbromomethylanthracene 8 in one step from anthracene (75% yield) using a method derived by Altava et al.16a This involved reacting anthracene with 48% HBr and 1,3,5trioxane, in glacial acetic acid in the presence of a catalytic amount of tetradecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide. The desired product was removed by filtration and dried over P2O5 followed by recrystallisation from toluene. From 8, 4 could be formed in ca. 85% yield as a crude product that could be used without further purification. This synthesis involved the treatment of 8 with hexamethylenetetramine in anhydrous CHCl<sub>3</sub> under an inert atmosphere, followed by refluxing the resulting solution for five hours before the off-white precipitate formed was isolated by filtration.<sup>16b</sup> The resulting solid was then treated with a 20:4: 5 mixture of EtOH, H<sub>2</sub>O and concentrated HCl and heated at 70 °C for twelve hours, causing the HCl salt to redissolve. Upon cooling the mixture to room temperature a yellow precipitate was formed which was filtered and washed with 10% KHCO<sub>3</sub> and then extracted into CHCl<sub>3</sub>. As stated earlier, the quality of this crude material was sufficient for use in the synthesis of 1-3.

#### Photophysical evaluation of 1-3 using monodentate anions

The ground and the excited state properties of 1-3 were investigated in DMSO. The absorption spectrum for 1, shown in Fig. 3, exhibits the same fine structure as is commonly observed for anthracene at 400, 379 and 359 nm respectively, with an extinction coefficient  $\varepsilon = 4 \times 10^5$  cm<sup>-1</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>. For comparative purposes the absorption spectrum for 9,10-dimethyl-anthracene (DMA) is superimposed on the spectrum of 1 in Fig. 3. DMA spectra has peaks at 397, 375 and 356 nm respectively, illustrating that the two thiourea receptors in 1 have a slight but significant influence on the absorption bands, which become red-shifted. In comparison, the fluorescence emission spectra of 1 consisted of three bands at 409, 430 and 455 nm when excited at 379 nm (with quantum yield of fluorescence,  $\Phi_{\rm F} = 0.047$ )<sup>17</sup>. These were significantly more red-shifted than those observed for DMA, which had emission bands at 395, 419 and 444 nm. However, a  $\Phi_{\rm F} = 0.87$  was measured under the same conditions for DMA, indicating significant quenching of the excited state of 1 which

Downloaded on 09 May 2012



Fig. 3 The absorption spectra of  $\mathbf{1}$  (solid) and DMA (dashed) in DMSO.

we assign to photoinduced electron transfer (PET) quenching taking place from the receptors in 1 to the excited state of the anthracene. For 2 ( $\lambda_{abs} = 400$ , 379 and 360 nm and  $\lambda_F = 409$ , 431 and 454 nm), the quantum yield was measured to be 0.11, which is substantially higher than that determined for 1, suggesting that the rate of PET is somewhat less in the free sensor of 2 in comparison to that observed for 1.

The effect on the absorption and the fluorescence emission spectra was recorded in the presence of several anions, using tetrabutyl ammonium (TBA) salts in DMSO. The absorption spectrum of **1** in the presence of increasing concentrations of TBAOAc (in DMSO) is shown in Fig. 4. As can be seen from this titration, there are only minor changes observed for the anthracene absorption, indicating that insignificant ground state interactions occur between the two receptors and the fluorophore, as no  $\pi$ - $\pi$  or n- $\pi$  interactions occur owing to the presence of the covalent spacers. Unfortunately, these changes were so small that we were unable to obtain a reliable binding profile from these results.



**Fig. 4** The changes (uncorrected for dilution) in the absorption spectra of **1** in DMSO upon addition of  $AcO^-$  ([ $AcO^-$ ] = 0–58 mM).

In contrast to these results, the changes in the fluorescence emission spectra were very different, as can be seen in Fig. 5, upon excitation of the anthracene fluorophores at 378 nm. From these changes it can be seen that emission was substantially quenched, *ca.* 70% at 430 nm, upon anion recognition at the receptor sites and the subsequent formation of the electron rich anion–receptor complexes. These changes are due to an enhanced photoinduced electron transfer (PET) rate from the anion bound receptors to the excited state of the anthracene. Furthermore, the addition of 10 mM of AcO<sup>-</sup> to a solution of DMA did not affect the  $\Phi_F$  of DMA; hence the emission was not quenched by either electron transfer or other dynamic processes, confirming that the quenching of 1 was indeed due to the recognition of the anion on the receptor site, with concomitant enhancements in PET quenching. This process was reversible, as upon addition of competitive hydrogen bonding solvents, such as ethanol or water, the emission was restored (*switched on*), indicating that the anion–receptor complexes were broken and hence reversible.



**Fig. 5** The changes in the fluorescence emission spectra of **1** in DMSO upon addition of  $AcO^-$  ([ $AcO^-$ ] = 0–58 mM). The emission is *switched* off upon ion recognition.

As these are monodentate anions we expected them to bind in the ratio of 1:2 (sensor : anions) as each receptor can recognise the acetate. This was indeed found to be the case as can be seen in Fig. 6 for the changes in fluorescence at 430 nm, where the emission is *switched off* over *ca*. four logarithmic units, from  $-\log[Ac^-] 2-6$ . In contrast to our earlier work, a 1 : 1 binding occurs over two concentration units. We thus conclude that this binding is 1 : 2 (see later in Fig. 14). It is also important to notice that no other significant spectral changes occur in the emission spectrum and, as a result, the presence of any red- or blueshifts, or exiplex or eximer emission formation is not observed. Hence, only the intensity, and subsequently the quantum yield, of fluorescence is modulated upon anion sensing. Similar results were observed using H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>. From these results it is clear that the sensor is behaving like an ideal PET sensor.<sup>13,14,18</sup>



**Fig. 6** The changes in the fluorescence emission spectra of **1** at 430 nm in DMSO upon addition of AcO<sup>-</sup>. From these changes it can be deduced that the recognition of the anion occurs in a two-step process over four logarithmic concentration units.

To confirm the selectivity of these sensors towards linear anions such as acetates, we also titrated the sensors with other anions. Upon addition of spherical anions such as  $Cl^-$  or  $Br^-$ , no significant quenching was observed excluding quenching by the heavy atom effect. Moreover, no quenching was observed upon addition of  $ClO_4^-$ . To investigate the selectivity of 1 for  $AcO^-$  over  $Cl^-$ , a known amount of  $AcO^-$  was added to a solution of 1 with a 40 mM background concentration of  $Cl^-$ . Whereas in the presence of  $Cl^-$  the emission was *switched on*, it was quenched upon the addition of AcO<sup>-</sup> clearly confirming that **1** was selectively binding AcO<sup>-</sup> over Cl<sup>-</sup>. The same results were obtained for **2** when studied under identical experimental conditions.

Even though these spherical anions did not give rise to any change in either the ground or the excited states, the titration of 1 or 2 with F<sup>-</sup> was very different as the fluorescence emission was almost fully quenched (ca. 98%) after F<sup>-</sup> recognition. This was due to its small size and high charge density, which makes the hydrogen bonding between the receptors and the anion strong and, hence, the efficiency of the PET process is enhanced. However, the 1 : 2 binding was not so clear in this case as for the acetate or the phosphate titration. This could possibly be due to effective quenching of the excited state by only one of the receptor-F<sup>-</sup> complexes, prior to the binding of the second F<sup>-</sup>. Such an effect has recently been shown by Lippard et al.<sup>19</sup> to occur in PET chemosensors for Zn(II), e.g. the sensor had two receptors but only 1:1 binding was confirmed by luminescence, as the emission was fully switched on after one equivalent of Zn(II). Here, a similar effect is possible, e.g. the emission is fully quenched by F<sup>-</sup> after only one equivalent. We do not believe that the changes observed in the fluorescence spectra are due to deprotonation of one or more of the thiourea protons as could possibly occur. We base this on the fact that we have recently developed naphthalimide based sensors that show dual fluorescence/colorimetric changes by F<sup>-</sup>, where the former was caused by hydrogen bonding recognition at a thiourea receptor and the colorimetric changes were due to deprotonation of an aryl amine by F<sup>-</sup>.<sup>7b</sup> Similar results were seen for 3 ( $\lambda_{abs} = 398$ , 377 and 358 nm and  $\lambda_{\rm F}$  = 404, 427 and 453 nm) as observed for 1, upon titrations with these anions in DMSO. The changes for the titration of the latter using AcO<sup>-</sup> is shown in Fig. 7. Analysis of these changes indeed gave rise to a 1 : 2 binding as seen previously for 1 and 2, where the changes occurred from *ca*. -log[Ac<sup>-</sup>] of 1.8-4.8 (see later in Fig. 15 and Fig. 16). However, here the quenching is only ca. 60% as the urea-acetate complex is somewhat less effective in PET processes, most likely due to weaker binding as the urea protons are less acidic than the thiourea protons of 1.



**Fig. 7** The changes in the fluorescence emission spectra of **3** in DMSO upon addition of  $AcO^-$  ( $[AcO^-] = 0-40$  mM). As in the case of **1**, the emission of **3** is *switched off* upon ion recognition.

### Evaluating the 1 : 2 binding of 1 using <sup>1</sup>H NMR

To confirm the 1:2 binding observed in the above investigations, for both acetate and phosphate, we conducted a <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration of **1** using various anions and observed the changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the thiourea protons in DMSO- $d_6$ . As mentioned previously, the high level of symmetry makes the

<sup>1</sup>H NMR of 1 and 2 very simple, as seen in Fig. 2 for 2. For 1, the thiourea protons appeared as two characteristic resonances at 9.68 and 8.38 ppm, respectively, Fig. 8 (top spectrum) and, as for 2 (Fig. 2), the spacer appears as a doublet. The position of the thiourea protons changed dramatically upon addition of anions such as  $AcO^{-}$  and  $H_2PO_4^{-}$  to a solution of the sensors in DMSO- $d_6$ . With either of these anions (0–5 equivalents of TBA salts), the thiourea resonances were gradually shifted downfield by 2.5–3 ppm, confirming the formation of the anion–receptor complexes through hydrogen bonding. Analysis of the change at 8.38 ppm as a function of concentration is shown in Fig. 9 for titration of  $H_2PO_4^{-}$ . These results clearly show that after only two equivalents of  $H_2PO_4^-$  the thiourea resonance stops shifting, indicating that a 1:2 binding is observed in this case (some line broadening was also observed). Similar results were seen for the titration of AcO<sup>-</sup>. However, the clear plateau after the addition of two equivalents of the anion was not as sharp. In addition to monitoring these changes, the position and characteristics of the resonances assigned to the aromatic protons and the methylene spacers were also monitored (see Fig. 8). In comparison to the changes in the two thiourea protons, these resonances did not change significantly, except for those assigned to the phenyl ring of the receptor as the anion recognition effects the electron density of the ring upon anion recognition. This indicates that there were only minor interactions between the anion-receptor complex and the anthracene moiety. From these results we conclude that for the simple monodentate anions the stoichiometry between the sensor and the anion is 1 : 2. We did not however determine the binding constant for this anion recognition event.



Fig. 8 The changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectra of 1 in DMSO- $d_6$  upon addition of  $H_2PO_4^-$  using, 0, 0.5 and 1 equivalent of the anion.



**Fig.9** The changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR resonance ( $\Delta\delta$ ) of the 8.38 ppm proton of **1** in DMSO- $d_6$  upon addition of H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (shown in equivalents).

### Photophysical evaluation of 1-3 using bidentate anions

In a similar manner to that described above, the ground state and the excited state of 1-3 were investigated in the presence of biologically important bis-anions such as glutarate, malonate, and pyrophosphate [using tris(tetrabutylammonium) hydrogen pyrophosphate] in DMSO.<sup>20</sup> The changes in the absorption spectra of 1 in the presence of pyrophosphate are shown in Fig. 10. As previously observed, there are only minor changes observed in the anthracence region upon anion recognition. In comparison to that observed in Fig. 4, the changes here are more pronounced particularly in the 358 and 378 nm transitions. Furthermore, analysis of the changes at longer wavelengths clearly showed that an isosbestic point was observed at 406 nm (Fig. 11). This indicates that the anthracence moiety experiences some perturbation in its electronic structure, most likely due to direct interactions with the anion. Similar results were observed for both 2 and 3.



Fig. 10 The changes (corrected for dilution) in the absorption spectrum of 1 upon titration with pyrophosphate.



Fig. 11 The changes in the absorption spectrum of 1 upon titration with  $H_2PO_4^-$  between 390–410 nm only.

The concomitant changes in the fluorescence emission spectra of 1 are shown in Fig. 12. Unlike that seen for the changes in the ground state, the excited state of the anthracene is substantially affected upon anion recognition, being *switched off* by *ca.* 95%. As before in the case of the mono-anions, no other significant spectral changes were observed in the emission spectra, *i.e.* no change in the emission wavelength or the formation of excimer emission bands. Similar changes were seen for 2, the quenching for 2 being *ca.* 90%. For these changes the  $\Phi_F$  values of 0.001 and 0.017 were determined after these titrations for 1 and 2 respectively. Similar changes were seen for 3 upon titration with



Fig. 12 The changes in the fluorescence spectrum of 1 upon titration with pyrophosphate, which is *switched off* upon anion recognition.

pyrophosphate. As before the emission is *switched off* similarly to that seen for the mono-anions. This efficient fluorescence quenching is due to the enhancements in the reduction potential of the thiourea receptor moieties upon anion recognition. Hence, the rate of the electron transfer from the HOMO of the receptor to the anthracene excited state is increased as  $\Delta G_{\text{ET}}$  becomes more thermodynamically favourable. As in previous cases, the emission was *switched on* again upon addition of EtOH.

The changes in the fluorescence emission of 1 upon titration with glutarate in DMSO were similar to that observed in pyrophosphate (Fig. 12). As previously demonstrated, the emission was switched off ( $\Phi_{\rm F} = 0.012$ ) upon recognition of this anion. The fluorescence emission of 1 was also switched off when using malonate ( $\Phi_{\rm F} = 0.011$ ) ([anion] = 40 mM in all cases). No other spectral changes were observed in the emission spectra. Similar results were seen using 2, where the emission was however, less affected, with  $\Phi_{\rm F} = 0.039$  and 0.035 after the addition of 40 mM of glutarate and malonate respectively. This is not surprising as the receptor is less electron deficient compared to 1 and consequently the hydrogen bonding to the anion can be predicted to be weaker. The changes in 3 were similar to those observed for 1 and 2. However, the quenching was somewhat smaller than that seen for 1 because the urea protons are less acidic than the thiourea protons. This means that by simply changing the nature of the substituent and the substituent pattern can have substantial affect on both the binding sensitivity as well as the selectivity. On each occasion the reversibility of this binding was demonstrated by adding competitive solvents to the media.

To evaluate the selectivity and the sensitivity of this anion sensing, the changes at different wavelengths were monitored. The relative fluorescence changes for the anions tested for 1 are shown in Fig. 13 (Cl<sup>-</sup> and Br<sup>-</sup> are omitted as the fluorescence changes were too small), when observed at 430 nm as a function of  $-\log[anion]$ . As can be seen from these changes, all the anions give rise to sigmoidal curves. Importantly, the emission is *switched off* over two logarithmic units for pyrophosphate and malonate, which would suggest a 1 : 1 binding and a simple equilibrium. From these changes, using the equation  $\log[(I_{max} - I_F)/(I_F - I_{min})] = \log[anion] - \log\beta$ ,<sup>13,22</sup> the binding constants (log $\beta$ ) of 2.34 ( $\pm$  0.05) and 3.40 ( $\pm$  0.05) were determined, for malonate and pyrophosphate respectively, for **1**.

As stated earlier, the changes in the fluorescence were modulated over more than two logarithmic units for the monoanions.<sup>13</sup> These results are also included in Fig. 13 for **1**. Similar trends were found for **2** and **3**, as shown in Figs. 14 and 15 respectively. For the 1 : 1 binding of the bis-anions to **2**, the binding constant was measured to be  $3.07 (\pm 0.05)$  and  $2.02 (\pm 0.05)$  for pyrophosphate and malonate respectively. The gluatarte binding was also observed but not with a clear 1 : 1 binding. These



Fig. 13 The relative intensity changes in the fluorescence spectrum of 1, when measured at 430 nm, upon addition of:  $\times =$  malonate;  $\triangle =$  H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>;  $\Box =$  AcO<sup>-</sup>;  $\blacklozenge =$  pyrophosphate; \* = glutarate;  $\bigcirc =$  F<sup>-</sup>.



Fig. 14 The relative intensity changes in the fluorescence spectrum of 2, when measured at 430 nm, upon addition of:  $\times$ = malonate;  $\triangle$  = H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>;  $\Box$  = AcO<sup>-</sup>;  $\blacklozenge$  = pyrophosphate; \* = glutarate;  $\bigcirc$  = F<sup>-</sup>.



Fig. 15 The relative intensity changes in the fluorescence spectrum of 3, when measured at 430 nm, upon addition of:  $\times =$  malonate;  $\triangle =$  H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>;  $\Box =$  AcO<sup>-</sup>;  $\blacklozenge =$  pyrophosphate; \* = glutarate;  $\bigcirc =$  F<sup>-</sup>.

overall changes can be seen in Figs. 13–15, and treading the data observed using a 1 : 1 fitting gave binding constants of 3.74, 3.15 and 3.77 for **1**, **2** and **3** respectively. Hamilton *et al.* have investigated the binding of structurally similar systems, **8** and **9**.<sup>10</sup> The anion binding constants for **8** with the TBA salt of glutaric acid in DMSO- $\delta_6$  was determined as  $6.4 \times 10^2$  M<sup>-1</sup>, whereas for the thiourea system **9** a fifteen-fold increase,  $K_a = 1.0 \times 10^4$  M<sup>-1</sup>, was observed. Hence, the binding of **1** and **3** by

**Table 1**Binding constants for the proposed 1 : 1 binding to 1, 2 and $3^{ab}$ 

	1	2	3	
F <sup>-</sup> Pyroph Malon: Glutar	4 aosphate 3 ate 2 ate 3	.13 3. .40 3. .34 3. .74 3.	03 3.30 07 2.72 15 2.66 27 3.77	

<sup>*a*</sup> All measured in DMSO at room temperature and were repeated 2 or 3 times. Using data from different wavelengths gave, on all occasions, same binding constants with in 5–10% error. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined using the equation:  $\log[(I_{max} - I_F)/(I_F - I_{min})] = \log[anion] - \log\beta^{.22}$ 

glutarate is of similar magnitude to that observed for Hamilton's receptors.



For 3, the binding of the anions was similar to that seen for both 1 and 2. The changes for the binding of both the mono- and the bis-anions are shown in Fig. 15. As mentioned previously, the mono-anions gave rise to a 1 : 2 binding for AcO<sup>-</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>. It is also clear that for F<sup>-</sup> the emission is *ca*. 90% quenched after one equivalent of the anion. For malonate and pyrophosphate the binding was also determined to be in a 1 : 1 stoichiometry, with log $\beta$  values of 2.6 (± 0.05) and 2.8 (± 0.05) respectively. However, unlike that seen for either 1 or 2, the detection of glutarate gives rise to *ca*. 1 : 1 binding. These binding results are summarized in Table 1.

These results indicate that for 1 and 2 there is a clear trend, where all the anions were detected at a lower concentration for 1 in comparison to 2, with the exception of malonate. This is due to the electron withdrawing effect of the CF<sub>3</sub> substituent on the receptor, making the N-H more acidic. However, for 3 the binding is usually weaker than for either 1 or 2. Overall, the presence of the second binding site in 1-3 gives rise to the selective 1 : 1 binding of anions that can potentially bridge the anthracene moiety. We foresee this occuring in a manner as shown in Scheme 2. This is supported by the fact that an isosbestic point was observed for these titrations, whereas in the 1:2 binding of the more simple anions, such as acetate and phosphate, it was absent. We also showed that this 1:2 binding was observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR, where the resonances assigned to the anthracene fluorophore were not affected. Consequently, we evaluated the changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 1 in the presence of pyrophosphate, which had shown the best fit to 1 : 1 binding for 1–3.



Scheme 2 The possible binding of the bis-anions by 2 in a 1 : 1 binding stoichiometry.

# Evaluating the 1 : 1 binding of pyrophosphate by 1 using $^{1}$ H NMR

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR titrations were carried out in the same manner as described for the mono-anions. As before, the position of the thiourea protons changed dramatically upon addition (greater than 3 ppm) of pyrophosphate in DMSO- $d_6$ . There was also a substantial line broadening observed at the later stage of the titration. These changes are seen in Fig. 16 for 1. By plotting the changes in the thiourea proton at 8.38 as a function of the added anion, a binding profile was observed (Fig. 17) which demonstrated the formation of 1:1 binding between the anion and the sensor. From these changes, a log $\beta$  of 3.61( $\pm$  0.05) was determined, with a clear 1:1 binding, which is in good agreement with that seen using fluorescence ( $\log\beta$  of 3.45). For such recognition to take place the anion would have to bridge the anthracene moiety in the manner we have proposed in Scheme 2. Hence, such bridging would most likely affect the resonances of the anthracene moiety. As discussed earlier, the anthracene resonances were not affected upon addition of either AcO- or  $H_2PO_4^{-}$  (Fig. 8). However, as can be seen in Fig. 16, this is not the case for pyrophosphate, where both shifts and line broadening occur upon anion recognition. Therefore, these results support the possibility that the anion bridges across the anthracene, ruling out the possibility of obtaining the 1 : 1 ratio via two sensors binding to two anions simultaneously.



**Fig. 16** The changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectra of **1** in DMSO- $d_6$  upon addition of pyrophosphate using 0, 0.5, 1 and equivalent of the anion. Unlike that seen in Fig. 8, the aromatic resonances are substantially affected upon binding.



**Fig. 17** The changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR resonance ( $\Delta\delta$ ) of the 8.38 ppm proton of **1** in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> upon addition of pyrophosphate.

As we did not obtain the formation of any excimer emission in the fluorescence spectra, it also supports the notion that a 2:2 binding is not occurring. Recently however the interactions between pyrophosphate and pyrene fluorophores have been demonstrated between the two pyrene units and pyrophosphate.<sup>21</sup>

# Conclusion

We have demonstrated the synthesis of several new PET sensors for anions. These sensors were designed to target anions flanked with two binding sites such as bis-carboxylates and pyrophosphate. The anion sensing of these compounds was demonstrated using fluorescence. For sensors 1-3 the emission was switched off upon titration with various anions. This was due to the enhancement in the PET quenching of the anthracene excited state upon anion recognition, which occurred through hydrogen bonding between the thio or the urea protons and the anions. This binding mode was confirmed by observing the changes in the thiourea protons of 1 upon titration with several anions. By monitoring the changes in the fluorescence emission spectra as a function of anion concentration, we demonstrated that for simple anions such as  $AcO^{-}$  and  $H_2PO_4^{-}$  the recognition was due to the formation of a 1 : 2 binding between the sensors and the anions. For halides such as Cl- and Br- no significant fluorescence changes occurred. However, for F- the emission was almost fully quenched after only one equivalent of the anion.

The recognition of bis-anions such as pyrophosphate, malonate and glutarate was also demonstrated. For the first two of these anions, the sensing was shown to be in the 1 : 1stoichiometry, whereas for glutarate the binding was most likely 1 : 2 for **1** and **2**. The 1 : 1 binding of pyrophosphate was also demonstrated using <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

To the best of our knowledge these are the first PET sensors for such bis-anions where the anion recognition occurs through hydrogen bonding between the anion and the charge neutral receptors, with concomitant enhancements in the quenching of the fluorophore excited state. We are currently developing other anion sensors for bis-anions with the aim of improving both selectively and the sensitivity of the sensors, as well as making this sensing process possible in aqueous environments.

# Experimental

### General

Reagents (obtained from Aldrich) and solvents were purified using standard techniques. Solvents were dried over the appropriate drying agent before use using standard procedures. Melting points were determined using a GallenKamp melting point apparatus. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Mattson Genesis II FTIR spectrophotometer equipped with a Gateway 2000 4DX2-66 workstation. Oils were analysed using NaCl plates and solid samples were dispersed in KBr and recorded as clear pressed discs. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz using a Bruker Spectrospin DPX-400 instrument. <sup>13</sup>C NMR were recorded at 100 MHz using a Bruker Spectrospin DPX-400 instrument. Fluorescent measurements were made on a Perkin Elmer LS 50B. UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded at room temperature using a Shimadzu UV-2401PC.

### Syntheses of 1-4

**9,10-Bis (aminomethyl) anthracene, 4.** 9,10-Bis-bromomethylanthracene, **8** (0.37 g, 1.02 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous CHCl<sub>3</sub> (15 mL). This solution was added drop-wise to a solution of hexamethylenetetramine (0.2848 g, 2.03 mmol) in 10 mL of anhydrous CHCl<sub>3</sub> and the resulting solution was refluxed for 5 hours with vigorous stirring. The precipitate was removed by filtration and washed several times with water, before adding to a mixture of ethanol, water and concentrated hydrochloric acid (20 : 4 : 5). This mixture was heated at 70 °C overnight. The precipitate dissolved initially and then re-precipitated out of solution after 1 hour. This precipitate was removed by filtration then dispersed in 10% KHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL) and

extracted into CHCl<sub>3</sub> (2 × 20 mL). The organic layer was then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Excess solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dried over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> overnight (0.2005 g, 83%). Mp 238 °C; CHN calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>: C, 81.32%; H, 6.32%; N, 11.85%; found C, 81.29%; H, 6.33%; N, 11.82%;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.43 (s, 4H, 15-H, 16-H), 7.55 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.0 Hz,  $J_2$  = 2.5 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.53 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.0 Hz,  $J_2$  = 2.5 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 45.6, 124.7, 126.2, 130.4, 131.7; IR (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>; 3458, 3048, 1953, 1622, 1528, 1195.

9.10-Bis-trifluoromethylphenyl-thioureamethyl anthracene, 1. 9,10-Bis-aminomethyl anthracene 4 (0. 6 g, 2.54 mM) was dissolved in 30 mL of dry DCM. To this solution was added 4-trifluoromethylphenylisothiocyanate (1.03 g, 5.09 mM, 1.01 eq.). A creamy yellow precipitate was immediately formed upon addition of the isothiocyanate. However, it is believed that this precipitate was the mono-thiourea. Therefore, the reaction was allowed to stir vigorously overnight at room temperature. The resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, washed with dry  $CHCl_3$  and dried over  $P_2O_5$ , followed by recrystallisation from chloroform (0.999 g, 89% yield). Mp 256 °C; CHN calculated for  $C_{32}H_{24}F_6N_4S_2$ : C, 59.80%; H, 3.76%; N, 8.72%; found C, 59.81%; H, 3.74%; N, 8.75%;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.75 (s, 2H, 15-H, 24-H), 7.63 (d,  $J_1 = 8.5$  Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 7.70 (dd,  $J_1 = 3.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 3.0$  Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 7.82 (d,  $J_1 = 8.5$  Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.53 (dd,  $J_1 = 3.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 3.0$  Hz, 4 H, Ar-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 179.9, 139.6, 132.1, 130.0, 128.7, 126.5, 125.5, 125.1, 121.5, 122.5, 67.4; <sup>19</sup>F NMR: 60.9; MS m/z (ES): 643 ([M]<sup>+</sup>); IR (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>; 3400, 2922, 1533, 1328, 835.

9,10-Bis-trifluoromethylphenyl-ureamethyl anthracene, 2. 9,10-Bis-aminomethyl anthracene 4 (0.6 g,  $2.54 \times 10^{-3}$  mol) was dissolved in 20 mL of dry DCM. To this solution was added 4-trifluoromethylphenylisocyanate (0.95 g,  $5.09 \times 10^{-3}$  mol, 1.01 eq.). A creamy yellow precipitate was immediately formed upon addition of the isocyanate. However, it is believed that this precipitate was the mono-thiourea. Therefore, the reaction was allowed to stir vigorously overnight at room temperature. The resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, washed with dry CHCl<sub>3</sub> and dried over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, followed by recrystallisation from CHCl<sub>3</sub> (1.379 g, 89% yield). CHN calculated for  $C_{32}H_{24}F_6N_4O_2$ : C, 62.95%; H, 3.96%; N, 9.18%; found C, 63.01%; H, 3.98%; N, 9.17%;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.75 (d,  $J_1 = 3.0$  Hz, 4H, 15-H, 24-H), 7.63 (d, J<sub>1</sub> = 8.5 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 7.70 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 3.5 Hz,  $J_2 = 3.0$  Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 7.82 (d,  $J_1 = 8.5$  Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.53 (dd,  $J_1 = 3.5$  Hz,  $J_2 = 3.0$  Hz, 4 H, Ar-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 47.6, 119.3, 120.4, 124.7, 125.6, 126.2, 126.6, 129.4, 131.2, 140.4, 158.1; IR (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup> 3332, 2923, 1641, 1544, 1328

**9,10-Bis-phenyl-ureamethyl anthracene 3.** 9,10-Bis-aminomethyl anthracene **4** (0.36 g, 1.53 mM) was dissolved in 20 mL of dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. To this solution was added phenylisocyanate (362 µl, 3.05 mM, 1 eq.). The resulting solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. The resulting precipitate was removed by filtration, washed with dry CHCl<sub>3</sub> and dried over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, followed by recrystallisation from CHCl<sub>3</sub> (0.595 g, 82% yield). CHN calculated for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 75.93%; H, 5.52%; N, 11.81%; found C, 75.86%; H, 5.50%; N, 11.77%;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.75 (d,  $J_1$  = 3.0 Hz, 4H), 7.63 (d,  $J_1$  = 8.5 Hz, 4 H, 15-H, 24-H), 7.70 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.5 Hz,  $J_2$  = 3.0 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 7.82 (d,  $J_1$  = 8.5 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.53 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.5 Hz,  $J_2$  = 3.0 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H);  $\delta_{\rm c}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 47.2, 120.4, 124.2, 124.7, 126.2, 128.2, 129.9, 131.3, 138.2, 161; IR (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup> 3410, 2929, 1543, 1321, 835.

**1,10-Bis-bromomethylanthracene 8.** Anthracene (10 g, 56 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of 48% aqueous hydrobromic acid (200 mL) and glacial acetic acid (50 mL). To this solution 1,3,5-trioxane (10 g, 128 mmol, 1 eq.) and tetrade-cyltrimethylammonium bromide (0.4 g, catalytic amount) were added. The mixture was stirred and refluxed for 24 h. After

cooling, the green solid formed was filtered and washed with water and ethanol. The resulting crude product was recrystalized from toluene (15.32 g, 75%). Mp 300 °C (dec.); CHN calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>: C, 52.78%; H, 3.32%; found C, 52.79%; H, 3.30%;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.50 (s, 4H, 15-H, 16-H), 7.68 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.38 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.38 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.18 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.18 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.18 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.28 (dd,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz, 4 H,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz,  $J_1$  = 3.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 6.9 Hz

9,10-Diaminomethylanthracene 4. The bis-bromomethylanthracene 8 (0.37 g, 1.02 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous CHCl<sub>3</sub> (15 mL). This solution was added drop-wise to a solution of hexamethylenetetramine (0.2848 g, 2.03 mmol) in 10 mL of anhydrous CHCl<sub>3</sub> and the resulting solution was refluxed for 5 hours with vigorous stirring. The precipitate was removed by filtration and washed several times with water. The precipitate was added to a mixture of ethanol, water and concentrated hydrochloric acid (20:4:5). This mixture was heated at 70°C overnight. The precipitate dissolved initially and then reprecipitated out of solution after 1 hour. This precipitate was removed by filtration then dispersed in 10% KHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL) and extracted into CHCl<sub>3</sub> ( $2 \times 20$  mL). The organic layer was then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Excess solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dried over  $P_2O_5$  overnight (0.2005 g, 83%). Mp. 238 °C; CHN calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>: C, 81.32%; H, 6.32%; N, 11.85%; found C, 81.29%; H, 6.33%; N, 11.82%;  $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.43 (s, 4H, 15-H, 16-H), 7.55 (dd,  $J_1 =$ 3.0 Hz,  $J_2 = 2.5$  Hz, 4 H, Ar-H), 8.53 (dd,  $J_1 = 3.0$  Hz,  $J_2 =$ 2.5 Hz, 4 H, Ar-H); δ<sub>c</sub> (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 45.6, 124.7, 126.2, 130.4, 131.7; IR (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup> 3458, 3048, 1953, 1622, 1528, 1195.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Enterprise Ireland, Kinerton Ltd and TCD for financial support and Dr Hazel Moncrieff and Dr Juliann Tierney for their help.

### References

- 1 (a) Chemical Sensors and Biosensors for Medical and Biological Applications, ed. U. S. Spichiger-Keller, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany,1998; (b) C. F. Mason, Biology of Freshwater Pollution, Longman, New York, 2nd edn., 1991.
- 2 (a) A. P. de Silva, B. McCaughan, B. O. F. McKinney and M. Querol, Dalton Trans., 2003, 1902; (b) K. Rurack and U. Resch-Genger, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2002, 31, 116; (c) K. Rurack, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2001, 57, 216.
- 3 (a) Special issue on anion recognition, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2003, 240;
  (b) R. Martínez-Máñez and F. Sancenón, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 4419; (c) C. Suksai and T. Tuntulani, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2003, 32, 192;
  (d) P. D. Beer and P. A. Gale, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 486; (e) P. A. Gale, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2001, 213, 79; (f) P. A. Gale, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2001, 213, 79; (f) P. A. Gale, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2001, 213, 79; (f) P. A. Gale, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2001, 219, 181; (g) V. Amendola, L. Fabbrizzi, C. Mangano, P. Pallavicini, A. Poggi and A. Taglietti, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2000, 205, 85; (i) P. D. Beer, Chem. Commun., 1996, 689.
- 4 Supramolecular Chemistry of Anions, eds. E. Bianchi, K. Bowman-James and E. Gracía-Espanña, Wiley-VCH, New York, 1997.
- 5 (a) F. P. Schmidtchen and M. Berger, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 1609; (b) J. Scheerder, J. F. J. Engersen and D. N. Reinhoudt, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 1996, **115**, 307.
- 6 (a) T. Gunnlaugsson, A. Harte, J. P. Leonard and M. Nieuwenhuyzen, Supramol. Chem., 2003, 15, 505; (b) T. Gunnlaugsson, A. Harte, J. P. Leonard and M. Nieuwenhuyzen, Chem. Commun., 2002, 2134.
- 7 (a) T. Gunnlaugsson, A. P. Davis, G. M. Hussey, J. Tierney and M. Glynn, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2004, 2, 1856; (b) T. Gunnlaugsson, P. E. Kruger, T. Clive Lee, R. Parkesh, F. M. Pfeffer and G. M. Hussey, Tetrahedron Lett., 2003, 35, 6575; (c) T. Gunnlaugsson, A. P. Davis and M. Glynn, Chem. Commun., 2001, 2556.
- 8 (a) Recent examples of luminescent anion sensing include: K. Kim, N. J. Singh, S. J. Kim, H. G. Kim, J. K. Kim, L. W. Lee, K. S. Kim and J. A. Yoon, Org. Lett., 2003, 54, 2083; (b) N. Marcotte and A. Taglietti, Supramol. Chem., 2003, 15, 617; (c) A. P. de Silva, G. D. McClean and S. Pagliari, Chem. Commun., 2003, 2010; (d) E. J. Cho, J. W. Moon, S. W. Ko, J. Y. Lee, S. K. Kim, J. Yoon and K. C Nam,

55

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 12376; (e) Y. Kubo, S. Ishihara, M. Tsukahara and S. Tokita, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 2002, 1455; (f) D. H. Lee, H. Y. Lee and J. P. Hong, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **34**, 7273; (g) S. O. Kang, S. Jeon and K. C. Nam, *Supramol. Chem.*, 2002, 14, 405; (h) K. Kim and J. T. Yoon, Chem. Commun., 2002, 770; (i) S. Sasaki, D. Citterio, S. Ozawa and K. S. Suzuki, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 2001, 2309; (j) P. E. Kruger, P. R. Mackie and M. Nieuwenhuysen, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 2001, 1079; (k) Y. Kubo, M. Tsukahara, S. Ishihara and S. Tokita, Chem. Commun., 2000, 653; (1) P. Anzenbacher Jr., K. Jursíková and J. L. Sessler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 9350; (m) H. Miyaji, P. Anzenbacher Jr., J. L. Sessler, E. R. Bleasdale and P. A. Gale, Chem. Commun., 1999, 1723; (n) H. Xie, S. Yi, X. Yang and S. Wu, New J. Chem., 1999, 23, 1105; (o) C. B. Blake, B. Andrioletti, A. C. Try, C. Ruiperez and J. L. Sessler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 10438; (p) C. R. Cooper, N. Spencer and T. D. James, Chem. Commun., 1998, 1365; (q) R. S. Dickens, T. Gunnlaugsson, D. Parker and R. D. Peacock, Chem. Commun., 1988, 1643.

- 9 (a) S. Watanabe, N. Higashi, M. Kobayashi, K. Hamanaka, Y. Takata and K. Yoshida, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2000, **41**, 4583; (b) V. Král, A. Andrievsky and J. L. Sessler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 2954.
- 10 (a) Urea receptors have been used to bind bis-anions where the recognition was monitored by NMR, for examples see:B. R. Linton, M. S. Goodman, E. Fan, S. A. van Arman and A. D. Hamilton, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 7313; (b) E. Fan, S. A. van Arman, S. Kincaid and A. D. Hamilton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 369.
- 11 Preliminary communication:T. Gunnlaugsson, A. P. Davis, J. E. O'Brien and M. Glynn, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 2449.

- 12 (a) A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne and C. P. McCoy, *Nature*, 1993, **364**, 42; (b) A. P. de Silva and K. R. A. S. Sandanayake, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1990, **29**, 1173.
- 13 (a) R. A. Bissell, A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, P. L. M. Lynch, G. E. M. Maguire, C. P. McCoy and K. R. A. S. Sandanayake, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 1993, **168**, 223; (b) R. A. Bissell, A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, P. L. M. Lynch, G. E. M. Maguire and K. R. A. S. Sandanayake, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1992, **21**, 187.
- 14 A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, A. J. M. Huxley, C. P. McCoy, J. T. Rademacher and T. E. Rice, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, 97, 1515.
- 15 T. M. Fyles and V. V. Suresh, Can. J. Chem., 1994, 72, 1246.
- 16 (a) B. Altava, M. I. Burguete, B. Escuder, S. V. Luis, E. García-España and M. C. Muñoz, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, **53**, 2629; (b) B. Alpha, E. Anklam, R. Deschenaux, J. M. Lehn and M. Pietraskiewicz, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1988, **71**, 1043.
- 17 R. L. Barnes and J. B. Briks, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 1966, 291, 570.
- 18 (a) T. Gunnlaugsson, T. C. Lee and R. Parkesh, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2003, 1, 3265; (b) T. Gunnlaugsson, B. Bichell and C. Nolan, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 4989; (c) T. Gunnlaugsson, M. Nieuwenhuyzen, L. Richard and V. Thoss, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 2002, 141.
- 19 S. C. Burdette, G. K. Walkup, B. Spingler, R. Y. Tsien and S. J. Lippard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 7831.
- 20 M. Mei and S. Wu, New J. Chem., 2001, 25, 471.
- 21 S. Nishizawa, R. Kato and N. Teramae, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 9463.
- 22 C. R. Cooper and T. D. James, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 963.