Synthesis of *cis*-[Pt(C \equiv CR)₂(PPh₃)₂] and *trans*-[PtCl(C \equiv CR)(PPh₃)₂] Complexes. Crystal and Molecular Structures of *cis*-[Pt{C \equiv CC(OH)-Me₂}₂(PPh₃)₂][.]H₂O, *trans*-[PtCl{C \equiv CC(OH)Me₂}(PPh₃)₂][.]O.5PhMe, and *trans*-[PtCl{C \equiv CC(OH)MeEt}(PPh₃)₂][.]Me₂CO.† Influence of Bases and their Concentration on the Reactivity of *cis*-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂]

Anita Furlani, Silvia Licoccia, and Maria V. Russo

Dipartimento di Chimica, Università degli Studi di Roma 'la Sapienza,' 00185 Roma, Italy Angiola Chiesi Villa and Carlo Guastini Istituto di Strutturistica Chimica, Centro di Studio per la Strutturistica Diffrattometrica del C.N.R., 43100 Parma, Italy

Complexes cis-[Pt(C=CR)₂(PPh₃)₂] [R = C(OH)Me₂, C(OH)MeEt, CH(OH)Ph, or Ph] can be obtained from cis-[PtCl2(PPh3)2] and HC=CR in 30% aqueous ammonia as solvent. Complexes trans- $[PtCl(C=CR)(PPh_3)_2]$ [$R = CH_2OH$, CH(OH)Me, C(OH)Me_2, C(OH)MeEt, C₆H₁₀OH, or CH₂NEt₂] are obtained by using chloroform (or dichloromethane)-diethylamine as solvent. No dehydration reactions of the acetylenic alcohols are observed. X-Ray analyses on cis-[Pt{C=CC(OH)Me₂}₂(PPh₃)₂]·H₂O trans-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)Me₂}(PPh₃)₂]·0.5PhMe, and trans-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)MeEt}(PPh₃)₂]·Me₂CO revealed in the cis complex the presence of a water molecule forming two strong hydrogen bonds with the two cis-oriented hydroxy-groups of the acetylide ligands. In all the complexes the coordination around platinum is distorted square planar with the acetylide ligands σ -bonded to platinum. Crystallographic details : cis-[Pt{C=CC(OH)Me₂}₂(PPh₃)₂]·H₂O, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, with a = 15.473(5), b = 21.725(6), c = 13.439(4) Å, $\beta = 113.95(3)^{\circ}$, and Z = 4; R 0.052 for 3 110 observed reflections; trans-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)Me2}(PPh3)2].0.5PhMe, triclinic, space group P1, with a = 11.695(3), b = 19.690(6), c = 9.214(2) Å, $\alpha = 101.88(3), \beta = 104.26(3), \gamma = 85.55(3)^{\circ}$, and Z = 2; R = 0.053 for 3 961 observed reflections; [PtCl{C=CC(OH)MeEt}(PPh_3)_2]·Me_2CO, triclinic, space group P1, with a = 15.544(6), b = 12.567(4), c = 11.719(3) Å, $\alpha = 91.12(3)$, $\beta = 105.36(3)$, $\gamma = 111.39(3)^\circ$, and Z = 2; R = 0.046 for 4 704 observed reflections. The influence of NH₃, NHEt₂, and H₂NNH₂ on the reactivity of *cis*-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] with monosubstituted acetylenes is discussed.

We have previously found that cis-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] is an active catalyst for the linear polymerization of phenylacetylene and 2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol.^{1,2} Complexes with Pt-C σ bonds could be active intermediates. In an attempt to confirm this hypothesis we tried to prepare [PtCl(C=CR)(PPh₃)₂] and [Pt(C=CR)₂-(PPh₃)₂] complexes following the procedure reported by Chatt and Shaw.³ We obtained *trans*-[PtCl(C=CPh)(PPh₃)₂] and *cis*- and *trans*-[Pt(C=CPh)₂(PPh₃)₂] from phenylacetylene,⁴ but failed to obtain analogous complexes from 2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol.

With the aim of establishing general synthetic methods for platinum acetylides we studied the reactivity of cis-[PtCl₂-(PPh₃)₂] with monosubstituted acetylenes in the presence of bases. In this paper we report the reaction conditions under which cis-[Pt(C \equiv CR)₂(PPh₃)₂] and *trans*-[PtCl(C \equiv CR)(PPh₃)₂] complexes can be prepared, avoiding dehydration of any hydroxy-substituents of the acetylene. We also discuss the reactivity of cis-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] with monosubstituted acetylenes in the presence of NH₃, NHEt₂, and H₂NNH₂.

Results and Discussion

cis-[Pt(C=CR)₂(PPh₃)₂] Complexes.—The complex cis-[Pt(C=CPh)₂(PPh₃)₂] was the first example of a bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum bis(acetylide)⁴ of cis configuration; its structure was confirmed by X-ray analysis.⁵ Cullen and Hou⁶ prepared cis-[Pt(C=CCF₃)₂(PPh₃)₂] in 9% yield by treating tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)platinum(0) in benzene with 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne for 5 months at room temperature. Its structure was assigned on the basis of ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectroscopy. Earlier, Harbourne and Stone ⁷ had reported that 3,3,3-trifluoropropyne reacts under similar conditions to yield the ηbonded complex [Pt(HC=CCF₃)(PPh₃)₂] after 2 weeks.

From the reaction between cis-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] and HC=CR [R = Ph, C(OH)Me₂, C(OH)MeEt, or CH(OH)Ph] in 30% aqueous ammonia under reflux for a few minutes (see Experimental section) we have now obtained *cis*-bis(acetylides), although in mixtures with their *trans* isomers. The *cis* complexes can be isolated by fractional crystallization from benzene-ethanol at room temperature (Table 1).

The cis structure was confirmed by X-ray analysis of the complex cis- $[Pt{C=CC(OH)Me_2}_2(PPh_3)_2]$ (see below), which revealed the presence of a water molecule in 1:1 stoicheiometry.

The i.r. spectrum of *cis*-[Pt{C=CC(OH)Me₂}₂(PPh₃)₂]·H₂O exhibits a band at 3 540 cm⁻¹ (free OH), and a broad band between 3 450 and 3 150 cm⁻¹, with two unresolved maxima at *ca*. 3 320 and 3 280 cm⁻¹, indicating hydrogen bonding, as confirmed by the X-ray analysis (see below). A doublet at 2 165–2 145 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the C=C stretching

[†] cis-Bis(3-hydroxy-3-methylbut-1-ynyl)bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum-water (1/1), trans-chloro(3-hydroxy-3-methylbut-1ynyl)bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum-toluene (2/1), and transchloro(3-hydroxy-3-methylpent-1-ynyl)bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum-acetone (1/1).

Supplementary data available (No. SUP 23953, 58 pp.): structure factors, thermal parameters, H-atom co-ordinates for the three complexes. See Instructions for Authors, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, Issue 1, pp. xvii-xix.

Table 1. Analytical and spectral data for the cis-[Pt(C=CR)₂(PPh₃)₂] complexes

	Yield		Analys	es (%) ª	I.r. ^b	(cm ⁻¹)	ILV
R	(%)	M.p. (θ/°C)	C	H	v(OH)	v(C≡C)	λ _{max.} /nm ^c
C(OH)Me ₂	60	180	61.4 (61.1)	5.35 (5.5)	3 540 3 320br 3 280br	2 165 2 145	286 275
C(OH)MeEt	40	184—187	62.3 (61.85)	5.5 (5.4)	3 510 3 320br 3 280br	2 130	285 275 (sh)
CH(OH)Ph	35	161164	65.3 (64.85)	4.9 (4.65)	3 420br	2 125	285 275 (ch)
Ph	30	206—208	67.8 (67.75)	4.35 (4.35) ^d		2 100	314

^a Calculated values in parentheses, for [Pt(C=CR)₂(PPh₃)₂]·H₂O unless otherwise indicated. ^b Nujol mull. ^c CHCl₃ solution. ^a For [Pt(C=CR)₂-(PPh₃)₂].

Table 2. Reaction conditions,^a and analytical and spectral data for the *trans*-[PtCl(C=CR)(PPh₃)₂] complexes

	Amoun	t/mmol			Vield	Min	A	nalyses (%) ^c		I.r. ^d (cm ⁻	⁻¹)	Цv
R	HC≡CR	NHEt ₂	Solvent ^b	t/min	(%)	(θ/°C)	C	H	Cl	v(OH)	vC=C)	v(Pt-Cl)	λ_{max}/nm^{e}
CH₂OH	9	3	CH ₂ Cl ₂	15	47.5	238—241	58.0 (57.8)	4.15 (4.1)	4.8 (4.4)	3 580	2 150	300	295 (sh)
CH(OH)Me	7	8	CHCl3	15	51.0	190	58.1 (58.3)	4.4 (4.3)	4.0 (4.3)	3 480br	2 140	320	295 (sh)
C(OH)Me ₂	10	8	CHCl3	120	60.0	201-203	60.4 (60.5)	4.8 (4.7)	4.0 (3.9) ^f	3 590	2 120	320	295 (sh)
C(OH)MeEt	8	8	CHCl3	120	62.0	172—174	60.85 (60.1)	5.0 (4.6)	4.15 (3.95) ^g	3 600 3 580 (sh)	2 1 3 0	315	295 (sh)
C ₆ H ₁₀ OH *	7	8	CHCl3	120	45.0	228229	63.5 (63.05)	5.2 (5.2)	2.60	3 580 3 550 (sh)	2 1 3 0	330	295 (sh)
CH(OH)Ph	8	8	CHCl3	15	10.0	205-208	61.4	4.0	4.1	3 585	2 120 2 100	320	295 (sh)
CH ₂ NEt ₂	3.5	3	CH ₂ Cl ₂	60	20.0	204—206	59.85 (59.7)	4.8	4.55		2 140	320	256 ^j

^a In all reactions 0.63 mmol of *cis*-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] was used. ^b 40 cm³. ^c Calculated values in parentheses. ^d Nujol mull. ^e In CHCl₃ solution unless otherwise indicated. ^f Calc. for [PtCl(C=CR)(PPh₃)₂]·0.5PhMe. ^g Calc. for [PtCl(C=CR)(PPh₃)₂]·Me₂CO. ^k 1-Hydroxycyclohexyl. ^l Calc. for [PtCl(C=CR)(PPh₃)₂]·PhMe. ^f In EtOH solution.

vibration and a strong band is observed at 1 660 cm⁻¹. The i.r. spectra of the other *cis* complexes of the acetylenic alcohols are similar (see Table 1).

A single band at *ca*. 2 125 cm⁻¹ is present in the spectra of cis-[Pt(C=CR)₂(PPh₃)₂] [R = C(OH)MeEt and Ph] however. It is noteworthy that some *trans* complexes exhibit split bands at *ca*. 2 100 cm⁻¹.⁸ A splitting of the C=C stretching absorption into a doublet cannot thus be taken as an indication of the *cis* structure of bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum bis(acetylides). The presence of an intense absorption at 540 cm⁻¹ in the i.r. spectra of all the complexes listed in Table 1, as previously reported,^{9,10} may instead be considered to be proof of the presence of two triphenylphosphine molecules in a *cis* orientation.

From the reaction between cis-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] and phenylacetylene in 30% aqueous ammonia the cis-bis(acetylide) complex can be separated by chromatography on a silica column. 1,4-Diphenylbutadiyne and [Pt(η -HC=CPh)(PPh₃)₂] were also formed (see Experimental section).

The side reactions probably take place through a mechanism involving reductive elimination of cis-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] to form [Pt(PPh₃)₂], favoured by the presence of the base, and oxidative dimerization of HC=CPh to form PhC=C-C=CPh. The active species [Pt(PPh₃)₂] would then react with phenylacetylene in excess to give [Pt(η -HC=CPh)(PPh₃)₂]. The overall process can be formulated as in reaction (i).

cis-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] + 2 NH₃ + 3 HC≡CPh →
[Pt(
$$\eta$$
-HC≡CPh)(PPh₃)₂] + PhC≡C⁻C≡CPh +
2 NH₄Cl (i)

Evidence for the formation of dimerization products of the acetylenic radicals was obtained only in the reaction with phenylacetylene.

Attempts were made to prepare *cis* complexes from *cis*-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] and HC=CCH₂OH, HC=CC₆H₁₀OH, HC= CCH(OH)Me and HC=CC(Me)=CH₂ under similar conditions. The compound HC=CCH₂OH gave a crude mixture from which *trans*-[Pt(C=CCH₂OH)₂(PPh₃)₂] and [Pt(η-CH=CCH₂OH)(PPh₃)₂] could be separated in low yield, but no *cis*-bis(acetylide) could be obtained. The reactions with the other acetylenes gave complex mixtures of products. Attempted purification by fractional crystallization or column chromatography led only to the more stable *trans* isomers.

trans-[PtCl(C \equiv CR)(PPh₃)₂] Complexes.—The complex cis-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] reacts with acetylenes in CHCl₃, in the presence of NHEt₂ (NHEt₂ : HC \equiv CR molar ratio ca. 1 : 1), giving trans-[PtCl(C \equiv CR)(PPh₃)₂] complexes (Table 2). Intramolecular dehydration of the hydroxy-groups of acetylenic alcohols, previously observed under similar conditions,¹⁰ does not take place. T.l.c. shows the presence of trans-bis(acetylide) complexes as side products, however. Monochloroacetylides were purified by fractional crystallization from various solvents. Chromatography on silica gel columns is unsatisfactory because of the occurrence of intraor inter-molecular dehydration involving the hydroxy groups of the acetylene ligand.

X-Ray analyses of trans-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)Me₂}(PPh₃)₂]



Figure 1. I.r. spectra of *trans*-[PtCl{ $C=CC(OH)Me_2$ }(PPh_3)_2] crystallized from: (a) toluene; (b) benzene—acetone; (c) benzene–tbutyl alcohol; (d) dichloromethane–diethyl ether

and *trans*-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)MeEt}(PPh_3)₂] reveal the presence of solvent molecules (see below).

The i.r. spectra of the *trans*-[PtCl(C=CR)(PPh₃)₂] complexes show a band at *ca.* 320 cm⁻¹, due to the Pt-Cl stretching vibration. A band at 540 cm⁻¹ is of low intensity, as in the spectra of other *trans*-bis(triphenylphosphine)platinum complexes.⁹ The OH stretching band occurs at *ca.* 3 600 cm⁻¹.

In the region 800–600 cm⁻¹ (Figure 1) different features are observed in the i.r. spectra of *trans*-[PtCl{C \equiv CC(OH)Me₂}-(PPh₃)₂] recrystallized from various solvent mixtures. Similar modifications of i.r. spectra have been observed previously for different crystalline adducts of [PtCl{C \equiv CC(Me)=CH₂}-(PPh₃)₂]^{11,12} and for [PtH(Cl)(PPh₃)₂].¹³

Structural Features.—cis-[Pt{C=CC(OH)Me₂}₂(PPh₃)₂]·H₂O Complex (1). The structure consists of monomeric units (Figure 2) where platinum is co-ordinated via σ bonds to two acetylide ligands and two triphenylphosphine molecules in a nearly square-planar cis arrangement (Tables 3 and 4). The water molecule, which was detected by the X-ray analysis, is not simply a crystallization molecule, but plays an important role in stabilizing the *cis* complex. The water oxygen atom forms two strong hydrogen bonds with the two cis-oriented hydroxy-groups acting as a donor atom (Table 3 and Figure 2). As a consequence of the presence of the hydrogen bonds and of steric interactions between the ligands, the bond angles around Pt differ from the 90° for a regular square-planar arrangement, ranging from 84.4(5) to 98.7(2)°, the largest value involving the bulky cis-triphenylphosphines (Table 3). The Pt-C distances [Pt-C(1) 2.02(2) and Pt-C(6) 1.99(2) Å]



Figure 2. The structure of complex (1), cis-[Pt{C=CC(OH)Me₂}₂(PPh₃)₂]·H₂O

Table 3. Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°) for cis-[Pt{C=CC(OH)Me₂}₂(PPh₃)₂]·H₂O (1)

Pt-C(1) Pt-C(6) Pt-P(1) Pt-P(2) C(1)-C(2)	2.02(2) 1.99(2) 2.307(5) 2.331(5) 1.17(2)	C(2)-C(3) C(3)-O(1) C(3)-C(4) C(3)-C(5) C(6)-C(7)	1.51(2) 1.41(2) 1.52(2) 1.49(3) 1.19(2)	C(7)-C(8) 1.5 C(8)-O(2) 1.4 C(8)-C(9) 1.4 C(8)-C(9) 1.4 C(8)-C(10) 1.5 P(1)-C(11) 1.8	51(2) 45(2) 49(3) 56(3) 34(1)	P(1)-C(21) P(1)-C(31) P(2)-C(41) P(2)-C(51) P(2)-C(61)	1.83(1) 1.83(1) 1.82(1) 1.85(1) 1.83(1)
O(1W)-H(2W) O(1W)-H(1W) O(1)-H(1)	1.05 1.14 0.93	O(1W) · · · O(1) O(1W) · · · O(2)	2.73(2) 2.69(2)	$O(1) \cdots O(2^{1}) * H(2W) \cdots O(1)$	2.81(2) 1.85	$H(1W) \cdots O(2)$ $H(1) \cdots O(2^{i}) *$	1.60 1.98
C(1)-Pt-C(6) P(2)-Pt-C(6) P(2)-Pt-C(1) P(1)-Pt-C(1) P(1)-Pt-C(1) P(1)-Pt-P(2) Pt-C(1)-C(2) C(1)-C(2)-C(3) C(2)-C(3)-O(1)	84.5(6) 84.4(5) 168.1(5) 176.3(5) 92.3(5) 98.7(2) 177(2) 178(2) 107(1)	$\begin{array}{c} C(2)-C(3)-C(5)\\ C(2)-C(3)-C(4)\\ O(1)-C(3)-C(5)\\ O(1)-C(3)-C(4)\\ C(4)-C(3)-C(5)\\ Pt-C(6)-C(7)\\ C(6)-C(7)-C(8)\\ C(7)-C(8)-O(2)\\ C(7)-C(8)-O(2)\\ C(7)-C(8)-C(10) \end{array}$	109(1) 110(1) 113(1) 107(1) 110(2) 178(1) 177(2) 108(1) 110(1)	C(7)-C(8)-C(9) O(2)-C(8)-C(10) O(2)-C(8)-C(9) C(9)-C(8)-C(10) Pt-P(1)-C(11) Pt-P(1)-C(21) Pt-P(1)-C(21) C(11)-P(1)-C(21)	110(2) 107(2) 113(2) 109(2) 118.2(4) 115.1(4) 109.2(4) 99.1(5)	C(11)-P(1)-C(2)-C(1)-C(2)-P(1)-C(2)-C(1)-C(2)-C(2)-C(2)-C(2)-C(2)-C(2)-C(2)-C(2	$\begin{array}{cccc} 31) & 105.0(5) \\ 31) & 109.3(5) \\ & 115.0(4) \\ 120.5(4) \\ 109.4(4) \\ 51) & 103.9(5) \\ 61) & 104.9(5) \\ 61) & 101.3(5) \end{array}$
H(1W)-O(1W)-H(2W) * i x, $\bar{y} - \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.	133	O(1W)-H(2W)-O(1)) 139	O(1)-H(1)-O(2 ⁱ) *	148	O(1W)-H(1W)	-O(2) 158

Table 4. Equations of least-squares planes and, in square brackets, distances (Å) of atoms from these planes *

Complex (1), cis-[Pt{C=CC(OH)Me₂}₂(PPh₃)₂]·H₂O Co-ordination plane: Pt, P(1), P(2), C(1), C(6) -0.9632X + 0.0047Y - 0.2687Z = -3.7002[Pt -0.006(2), P(1) 0.006(5), P(2) 0.010(5), C(1) 0.140(18), C(6) 0.056(20)]

Complex (2), trans-[PtCl{ $C \equiv CC(OH)Me_2$ }(PPh_3)_2]·0.5PhMe

```
Co-ordination plane: Pt, P(1), P(2), Cl, C(1)
0.0415X - 0.3765Y - 0.9255Z = -2.9609
```

[Pt - 0.005(1), P(1) 0.021(4), P(2) 0.021(4), Cl - 0.003(4), C(1) - 0.057(16)]

Complex (3), trans-[PtCl{ $C \equiv CC(OH)MeEt$ }(PPh₃)₂]·Me₂CO Co-ordination plane: Pt, P(1), P(2), Cl, C(1)

0.1995X + 0.9582Y + 0.2053Z = 3.2516[Pt -0.028(2), P(1) 0.043(3), P(2) 0.043(3), C1 -0.027(4), C(1) -0.142(9)]

* X, Y, and Z are co-ordinates (Å) referred to an orthogonal system of axes. The transformation matrix used was:

а	bcosγ	ccosβ
0	bsinγ	— csinβcosα
0	0	<i>c</i> sinβsinα

are in the range of values quoted in the literature ¹⁴ for acetylide *trans* complexes. Bond distances and angles in the two independent acetylide ligands are normal and the Pt- $C \equiv C - C$ systems do not deviate significantly from linearity (Table 3).

The two Pt-P distances [Pt-P(1) 2.307(5) and Pt-P(2) 2.331(5) Å], which fall in the upper part of the range of values observed for *trans* complexes,¹⁴ are significantly different, Pt-P(2) being longer apparently in order to relieve steric interactions between the ligands. The distances $C(6) \cdots C(61) 3.14(2)$, $C(6) \cdots C(66) 3.25(2)$, $C(6) \cdots C(46) 3.32(2)$, $C(1) \cdots C(11) 3.28(2)$, and $C(1) \cdots C(16) 3.22(2)$ Å are the shortest intramolecular contacts between the co-ordinating ligands. As observed for *trans*-[Pt(PPh₃)₂] complexes ¹⁵ the largest Pt-P-C angles [Pt-P(1)-C(11) 118.2(4) and Pt-P(2)-C(51) 120.5(4)°] involve the carbon atoms which are close to the co-

ordination plane as indicated by the torsion angles C(1)-Pt-P(1)-C(11) -5.1(6) and P(1)-Pt-P(2)-C(51) -13.3(5)°.

Packing is mainly determined by an intermolecular O(1)- $H(1) \cdots O(2^{i})$ hydrogen bond (Table 3) involving the hydroxy-groups of adjacent molecules. No other contact is less than the sum of the van der Waals radii. Thus it can be concluded that the O(2)-H hydroxy-group, whose hydrogen atom could be not located, is not engaged as a donor in any hydrogen bond, in agreement with the presence in the i.r. spectrum of a band at 3 540 cm⁻¹ due to free OH groups.

trans-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)Me₂}(PPh₃)₂]·0.5PhMe, Complex (2), and trans-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)MeEt}(PPh_3)2]·Me2CO, (3). In both complexes the structure consists of monomeric units where the organic ligand, trans to chlorine, is σ bonded to platinum (Figures 3 and 4). The co-ordination geometry is similar in the two complexes apart from some differences in bond angles which are probably due to the substitution of a methyl group by ethyl. The co-ordination around the platinum atom is square planar, with a small tetrahedral displacement (Table 4). The Pt-Cl and the Pt-C bond distances are compared with values for other complexes in Table 5. It is well known that the *trans* influence in platinum(11) square-planar complexes has two main components: the electrostatic component and the metal-to-ligand multiple-bond characteristic. The role of both effects can be evaluated from the data in Table 5, when the ligand at Pt is the -C=C-R group, and the trans-Pt-Cl bond distance is affected. The change in the electron-donating ability of the R substituents should allow the electrostatic effect to be evaluated. A comparison of the Pt-Cl bond distances in complexes (2), (3), (5), and (6) (Table 5) suggests that the electrostatic influence has a small effect, all the Pt-Cl distances being similar. The phenyl substituent [complex (4)] has a major influence however. This could be due either to an electrostatic effect or to the influence of conjugation, i.e. metal-carbon multiple-bond formation, which is excluded by the value of the Pt-C distance however. The $C \equiv C$ unit is a very difficult group to polarize (the differences in the C \equiv C bond distance are not significant), and, further, its influence is high per se. Moreover the Pt-C bond distances are very similar in the four complexes (2)-(5). The isopropenyl substituent [complex (6)] should provide an example of a complex with Pt-C multiple bond which is expected to have a



Figure 3. The structure of complex (2), trans-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)Me₂}(PPh₃)₂]·0.5PhMe



Figure 4. The structure of complex (3), trans-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)MeEt}(PPh_3)_2]·Me_2CO

	Complex R	(4) Ph	(6) C(Me)=CH2	(2) C(OH)Me ₂	(5) C ₆ H ₁₀ (OEt)	(3) C(OH)MeEt
	Pt-Cl	2.407(5)	2.363(6)	2.356(5)	2.345(5)	2.336(4)
	Pt-C	1.98(2)	1.84(2)	1.95(2)	1.94(2)	1.988(9)
	PtP	2.284(5)	2.296(5)	2.311(4)	2.307(4)	2.321(4)
	Pt-P	2.325(5)	2.300(5)	2.320(4)	2.310(4)	2.320(4)
	C≡C	1.18(3)	1.22(3)	1.18(2)	1.22(2)	1.15(2)
	Ref.	20 *	12	Present work	19	Present work
The data are fo	r molecule 2,	molecule 1 being	affected by disorde	er.		

Table 5. Selected bond lengths (Å) for trans-[PtCl(C=CR)(PPh₃)₂] complexes

large *trans* influence, but the Pt-Cl distance is unexpectedly little affected. The P-C distance in complex (6) may be unusually short owing to a conjugation effect. Unfortunately this effect cannot be demonstrated unequivocally by the sequence of C-C bond distances in the organic residues, which have high standard deviations. However, the main conclusion is that even in the presence of a structurally proven M-C multiple bond, the Pt-Cl distance is only slightly affected.

It is worth noting that in the complexes in Table 5 the Pt-P distances [mean 2.308(5) Å] are significantly longer than those in *trans*-[PtH{C=CC(OH)Me₂}(PPh₃)₂] [mean 2.273(5) Å¹⁶] which contains the same organic residue as complex (2), but hydrogen instead of chlorine *trans* to it. This is probably a consequence of the small size of hydrogen with respect to chlorine which allows the phosphine ligands to approach closer to the metal.

The geometry of the triphenylphosphine ligands is as expected with the Pt-P-C angles equal to or greater than tetrahedral values and the C-P-C angles smaller. As usual the largest Pt-P-C angles involve the carbon atoms closer to the co-ordination plane. Complex (2): Pt-P(1)-C(21) 119.9(3), Pt-P(2)-C(51) 116.5(4), C(1)-Pt-P(1)-C(21) -10.5(6), Cl-Pt-P(2)-C(51) 14.4(4)°; complex (3): Pt-P(1)-C(21) 118.4(2), Pt-P(2)-C(51) 117.1(2); C(1)-Pt-P(1)-C(21) -19.3(4), Cl-Pt-P(2)-C(51) 14.4(3)°.

All the other bond distances and angles are normal except for those involving the ethyl and methyl groups of compound (3) which are affected by high thermal motion. No contact less than the sum of the van der Waals radii is present, so in both structures the hydroxy-groups are free.

Reactivity of cis-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] with Acetylenes in the Presence of Bases.—Ammonia. (i) Chatt and Shaw³ reported that trans-[Pt(C \equiv CR)₂L₂] complexes can be prepared by the reaction of a sodium acetylide, NaC \equiv CR (R = H, Me, or Ph), with [PtCl₂L₂] (L = PEt₃ or AsEt₃) in liquid ammonia. Empsall et al.¹⁷ prepared several acetylide complexes by using the sodium derivatives of acetylenic alcohols (formed in situ in liquid ammonia) and cis-[PtCl₂L₂] (L = PMe₂Ph or AsMe₂Ph). By an analogous procedure we have obtained cisand trans-[Pt(C \equiv CPh)₂(PPh₃)₂] and trans-[PtCl(C \equiv CPh)-(PPh₃)₂],⁴ but the same method did not give good results with 2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol, because side reactions involving the hydroxy-group take place and complex mixtures of products are formed.

(*ii*) If the reactions between cis-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] and HC=CR (see above) are carried out by using 30% aqueous ammonia as solvent, cis-[Pt(C=CR)₂(PPh₃)₂] complexes can be obtained even when R contains a hydroxy-group. The stability of the cis complexes depends on the formation of hydrogen bonds between the hydroxy-group of the alcohol ligand and a water molecule, which is trapped between the two ligands in cispositions. When steric requirements inhibit the formation of hydrogen bonds, the stability of the *cis*-bis(acetylides) is low and the *trans* isomers are formed during crystallization.

(*iii*) When the acetylenic alcohols HC=CR [R = C(OH)-Me₂, C(OH)MeEt, or C₆H₁₀OH] are refluxed with *cis*-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] in the presence of a few drops of 30% aqueous ammonia for 10—15 min, the *trans*-bis(acetylides)[Pt(C=CR')₂-(PPh₃)₂] are obtained.¹⁰ Intramolecular dehydration of the tertiary hydroxy-groups takes place, however, and complexes of alkenylalkynes are formed (*i.e.* R' is an alkenyl rather than a hydroxyalkyl group).

A similar dehydration was observed when the tertiary acetylenic alcohols were refluxed for *ca.* 12 h with *cis*-[PtCl₂-(PPh₃)₂] in chloroform, without addition of a base; *trans*-[PtCl(C=CR')(PPh₃)₂] complexes were formed. Substitution of both chloro-substituents by acetylenic radicals is difficult in the absence of a base but the intramolecular dehydration still occurs.¹⁰

Diethylamine. (i) In a previous investigation we found that if NHEt₂ is used as solvent, the reaction between *cis*-[PtCl₂-(PPh₃)₂] and the acetylenes HC=CR {R = Ph, C(Me)=CH₂, [CH₂]₆C=CH, CH₂OH, CH(OH)Me, CH(OH)Ph, CH₂CH-(OH)Me, C(OH)Me₂, C(OH)MeEt, C₆H₁₀OH, CH₂NHMe, CH₂NMe₂, CH₂NHCH₂Ph, or CH₂NEt₂}, under reflux for a few minutes (in the presence of CuI as a catalyst) gives *trans*-[Pt(C=CR)₂(PPh₃)₂] complexes in high yields.⁸ Diethylamine facilitates the elimination of HCl and the formation of Pt-C σ bonds, and inhibits dehydration reactions.

(*ii*) Complexes *trans*-[PtCl($C\equiv CR$)(PPh₃)₂] can be obtained from acetylenic alcohols when *cis*-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] reacts with HC \equiv CR (R includes a hydroxy-substituent) in chloroform in the presence of diethylamine (ratio of NHEt₂ to HC \equiv CR *ca*. 1:1). No dehydration of the tertiary hydroxy-groups takes place under these conditions.

Hydrazine. (i) Complexes $[Pt(\eta-HC \equiv CR)(PPh_3)_2]$ are obtained in the reaction between cis-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] and acetylenes in the presence of hydrazine or other reducing agents.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Keubler et al.21 studied in detail the reaction between cis- $[PtCl_2(PPh_3)_2]$ and hydrazine and found that $[Pt(N_2H)_2-$ (PPh₃)₂]X, [PtH(Cl)(PPh₃)₂], and [Pt(PPh₃)₂] were formed depending on the relative amounts of hydrazine and platinum complex. During our investigation of the reaction between cis- $[PtCl_2(PPh_3)_2]$ and $HC \equiv CC(Me) \equiv CH_2$ in the presence of hydrazine, we found that $[Pt{\eta-HC=CC(Me)=CH_2}(PPh_3)_2]$, trans-[PtCl{C(=CH₂)C(Me)=CH₂}(PPh₃)₂], and trans-[Pt{C= $CC(Me)=CH_2$ { $C(=CH_2)C(Me)=CH_2$ }(PPh_3)₂] could be obtained depending on the exact conditions (see Experimental section and ref. 22 for details). The reaction between cis- $[PtCl_2(PPh_3)_2]$ and phenylacetylene in ethanol in the presence of hydrazine hydrate gives a mixture of *trans*-[Pt(C=CPh)- $\{C(Ph)=CH_2\}(PPh_3)_2\}$ and $[Pt(\eta-HC=CPh)(PPh_3)_2]$. The complexes $[PtCl{C(R)=CH_2}(PPh_3)_2]$ and $[Pt(C=CR){C(R)=CH_2}-CH_2]$ $(PPh_3)_2$ [R = C(Me)=CH₂ or Ph] are products of insertion of the acetylenes HC=CR into the Pt-H bond of [PtH(Cl)-

Table 6. Fractional at	tomic co-ordinates (× 10 ⁴) for cis-[Pt{C=CC	$(OH)Me_2_2(PPh_3)_2] \cdot H_2O(1)$
------------------------	--	--------------------	--------------------------------------

Atom	X/a	Y/b	Z/c	Atom	X/a	Y/b	Z/c
Pt(1)	2 550(1)	126(1)	478(1)	C(25)	4 861(6)	1 960(4)	3 689(8)
P(1)	2 737(3)	760(2)	1 928(4)	C(26)	4 019(6)	1 625(4)	3 304(8)
P(2)	2 374(3)	880(2)	-825(3)	C(31)	1 680(6)	1 235(4)	1 581(9)
O(1)	2 326(10)	-2164(5)	1 640(10)	C(32)	818(6)	936(4)	1 031(9)
O(2)	2 508(10)	-1772(5)	-2100(10)	C(33)	-28(6)	1 261(4)	739(9)
C (1)	2 560(11)	-641(7)	1 339(12)	C(34)	-11(6)	1 884(4)	998(9)
C(2)	2 524(11)	-1.089(7)	1 802(14)	C(35)	851(6)	2 182(4)	1 548(9)
C(3)	2 510(12)	-1 678(7)	2 396(12)	C(36)	1 697(6)	1 858(4)	1 840(9)
C(4)	3 478(15)	- 1 794(8)	3 297(15)	C(41)	3 340(6)	926(5)	-1267(8)
C(5)	1 786(20)	-1 624(9)	2 863(23)	C(42)	3 465(6)	1 445(5)	-1806(8)
C(6)	2 346(12)	-4 59(7)	-734(13)	C(43)	4 203(6)	1 464(5)	-2149(8)
C(7)	2 211(11)	- 797 (7)	-1 481(14)	C(44)	4 816(6)	965(5)	-1 953(8)
C(8)	1 985(13)	-1 204(8)	-2 462(14)	C(45)	4 691(6)	446(5)	-1414(8)
C(9)	946(15)	-1304(10)	-3022(17)	C(46)	3 953(6)	427(5)	-1071(8)
C(10)	2 338(15)	- 895(9)	-3273(14)	C(51)	2 156(7)	1 691(4)	- 576(9)
O(1W)	2 925(12)	-1 917(5)	31(10)	C(52)	1 222(7)	1 890(4)	-930(9)
C(11)	2 921(7)	401(4)	3 236(7)	C(53)	1 028(7)	2 500(4)	-768(9)
C(12)	2 424(7)	609(4)	3 835(7)	C(54)	1 769(7)	2 911(4)	-252(9)
C(13)	2 662(7)	394(4)	4 892(7)	C(55)	2 704(7)	2 712(4)	101(9)
C(14)	3 397(7)	- 28(4)	5 350(7)	C(56)	2 897(7)	2 102(4)	-60(9)
C(15)	3 894(7)	-236(4)	4 751(7)	C(61)	1 324(6)	711(5)	-2.064(7)
C(16)	3 656(7)	-22(4)	3 694(7)	C(62)	1 263(6)	865(5)	-3098(7)
C(21)	3 781(6)	1 256(4)	2 381(8)	C(63)	427(6)	758(5)	-4011(7)
C(22)	4 384(6)	1 222(4)	1 843(8)	C(64)	- 348(6)	496(5)	- 3 890(7)
C(23)	5 225(6)	1 557(4)	2 228(8)	C(65)	- 287(6)	342(5)	-2 856(7)
C(24)	5 464(6)	1 926(4)	3 151(8)	C(66)	549(6)	449(5)	-1943(7)

 $(PPh_3)_2$, which is formed as an intermediate in the reaction between $[PtCl_2(PPh_3)_2]$ and hydrazine, and are also obtained if $[PtH(Cl)(PPh_3)_2]$ is used as starting material.²²

From the reaction between *cis*-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] and acetylenic alcohols in the presence of hydrazine we obtained only the complexes [Pt(η -HC \equiv CR)(PPh₃)₂] [R = CH₂OH, CH(OH)-Me, C(OH)Me₂, C(OH)MeEt, or C₆H₁₀OH] in good yields.²⁰ Therefore the reaction mechanisms depend largely on the substituent R of the acetylenes.

Experimental

All chemicals were reagent grade and were used without further purification. The complex cis-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] was prepared according to the literature method.²³

I.r. spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer model 580-B spectrometer for Nujol mulls, u.v. spectra on a Beckman DK-2A spectrophotometer for solutions in CHCl₃. Elemental analyses were carried out by the Laboratorio di Microanalisi, Istituto di Chimica Farmaceutica, Pisa, Italy. Analytical and physical data are in Tables 1 and 2.

Syntheses.—cis-[Pt(C \equiv CR)₂(PPh₃)₂] [R = C(OH)Me₂, C(OH)MeEt, and CH(OH)Ph]. A suspension of cis-[PtCl₂-(PPh₃)₂] (0.5 g, 0.63 mmol), in 30% aqueous ammonia (30 cm³) with the acetylenic alcohol HC \equiv CR (*ca*. 5 mmol) was refluxed for 15 min. The products were obtained by addition of absolute ethanol (30 cm³) and purified by recrystallization from benzene–ethanol.

cis-[Pt(C \equiv CPh)₂(PPh₃)₂]. A suspension of cis-[PtCl₂-(PPh₃)₂] (0.5 g, 0.63 mmol) in 30% aqueous ammonia (30 cm³) with HC \equiv CPh (ca. 5 mmol) was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. The crude product, obtained by addition of absolute ethanol (ca. 30 cm³), was chromatographed on a silica gel column. Elution with CHCl₃ gave four fractions, containing (in order) 1,4-diphenylbutadiyne, trans-[Pt(C \equiv CPh)₂(PPh₃)₂], trans-[PtCl(C \equiv CPh)(PPh₃)₂], and cis-[Pt(C \equiv CPh)₂(PPh₃)₂].⁴ Crystallization of the crude reaction mixture from CHCl₃- EtOH sometimes led to the complex $[Pt(\eta-HC \equiv CPh)(PPh_3)_2]$, which decomposes on attempted chromatography.

trans-[PtCl(C \equiv CR)(PPh_3)₂]. The preparation of trans-[PtCl{C \equiv CC(OH)Me₂}(PPh_3)₂] is typical. The complex cis-[PtCl₂(PPh_3)₂] (0.5 g, 0.63 mmol), HC \equiv CC(OH)Me₂ (1 cm³, 10 mmol), and diethylamine (0.8 cm³, 8 mmol) were added to CHCl₃ (40 cm³) and the mixture was refluxed for 2 h. On addition of acetone (50 cm³) the product separated as a white microcrystalline powder which was recrystallized from toluene (or benzene)-acetone (1 : 2).

Optimum conditions for the preparation of the other [PtCl- $(C \equiv CR)(PPh_3)_2$] complexes are in Table 2.

trans-[PtCl{C(=CH₂)C(Me)=CH₂}(PPh₃)₂]. Hydrazine hydrate (7.2 mmol) was added dropwise to a suspension of *cis*-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] (0.25 mmol) in absolute ethanol (10 cm³). The compound HC=CC(Me)=CH₂ (10.6 mmol) was added to the resultant clear solution, and the mixture refluxed for *ca*. 5 min. On cooling to 0 °C, the product was obtained in *ca*. 80% yield.

trans-[Pt{C=CC(Me)=CH₂}{C(=CH₂)C(Me)=CH₂}(PPh₃)].

Hydrazine hydrate (10 mmol) was added dropwise to a suspension of *cis*-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] (0.50 mmol) in absolute ethanol (40—50 cm³). The compound HC \equiv CC(Me)=CH₂ (21.2 mmol) was added to the resultant clear solution, and the mixture refluxed for *ca*. 90 min. After 1—2 d at 0 °C, the product was obtained in *ca*. 50% yield.

[Pt{ η -HC=CC(Me)=CH₂}(PPh₃)₂]. The complex *cis*-[PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂] (0.25 mmol) was suspended in a small volume of absolute ethanol (*ca*. 4 cm³) and hydrazine hydrate (7.2 mmol) added dropwise. The compound HC=CC(Me)=CH₂ (21.2 mmol) was added to the resultant clear solution and the mixture refluxed for *ca*. 20 min. On cooling the product was obtained in *ca*. 60% yield.

Crystal Structure Determinations.—cis-[Pt{C \equiv CC(OH)-Me₂}₂(PPh₃)₂]·H₂O (1), trans-[PtCl{C \equiv CC(OH)Me₂}(PPh₃)₂]· 0.5PhMe (2), and trans-[PtCl{C \equiv CC(OH)MeEt}(PPh₃)₂]· Me₂CO (3) were mounted on a Philips PW 1100 diffractometer

Table 7. Fractional atomic co-ordinates ($\times 10^4$) for *trans*-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)Me₂}(PPh₃)₂]·0.5PhMe (2)

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$?/c
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	287(11)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	387(10)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	153(10)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	365(10)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$)36(10)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	495(10)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	283(10)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	501(9)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	373(9)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	254(9)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	260(9)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	385(9)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	496(9)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	552(12)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	362(12)
C(12) 1.072(0) 2.197(5) 6.462(0) $C(54)$ 6.705(0) 9.4(4) 2.0	580(12)
C(13) $10/2(3)$ $210/(3)$ $0403(3)$ $C(34)$ $0/93(9)$ $-84(4)$ 29	986(12)
C(14) 186(9) 1755(5) 6482(9) C(55) 6407(9) 354(4) 41	76(12)
C(15) - 508(9) = 1407(5) = 5113(9) = C(56) = 5854(9) = 991(4) = 3.9	959(12)
C(16) -317(9) 1 490(5) 3 725(9) C(61) 5 683(8) 2 662(4) 3 8	309(10)
C(21) 3(8) 2 844(4) 1 556(11) $C(62)$ 6 896(8) 2 580(4) 4 3	374(10)
C(22) = -715(8) = 3196(4) = 2488(11) = C(63) = 7503(8) = 3082(4) = 55	552(10)
C(23) - 1 387(8) 3 778(4) 2 102(11) C(64) 6 897(8) 3 665(4) 6 1	65(10)
C(24) - 1340(8) + 4007(4) = 783(11) + C(65) = 5683(8) = 3747(4) = 56	500(10)
$C(25) - 622(8) = 3\ 655(4) - 149(11) = C(66) = 5\ 076(8) = 3\ 245(4) = 4\ 4$	122(10)

Table 8. Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°) for trans-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)Me₂}(PPh₃)₂]·0.5PhMe (2) and trans-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)-MeEt}(PPh₃)₂]·Me₂CO (3)

Pt-Cl Pt-C(1) Pt-P(1) Pt-P(2) C(1)-C(2) C(2)-C(3)	(2) 2.356(5) 1.95(2) 2.311(4) 2.320(4) 1.18(2) 1.50(3)	(3) 2.336(4) 1.988(9) 2.321(4) 2.320(4) 1.15(2) 1.52(2)	$\begin{array}{cccc} (2) \\ C(3)-O(1) & 1.46(3) \\ C(3)-C(4) & 1.50(3) \\ C(3)-C(5) & 1.49(3) \\ C(4)-C(6) & \\ P(1)-C(11) & 1.828(11) \end{array}$	(3) 1.49(2) 1.51(2) 1.47(2) 1.39(3) 1.830(5)	($P(1)-C(21)$ 1.820 P(1)-C(31) 1.815 P(2)-C(31) 1.811 P(2)-C(51) 1.816 P(2)-C(61) 1.829	2) (3 (9) 1.829((8) 1.816((10) 1.828((9) 1.832((8) 1.819(3) (6) (6) (5) (7) (6)
$\begin{array}{c} Cl-Pt-P(1)\\ Cl-Pt-P(2)\\ Cl-Pt-C(1)\\ P(1)-Pt-P(2)\\ P(1)-Pt-C(1)\\ P(2)-Pt-C(1)\\ P(2)-Pt-C(1)\\ Pt-C(1)-C(2)\\ C(1)-C(2)-C(3)\\ C(2)-C(3)-O(1) \end{array}$	(2) 87.8(2) 93.1(2) 177.3(5) 178.5(1) 94.4(5) 84.7(5) 175(1) 176(2) 107(2)	(3) 85.5(1) 94.0(1) 176.7(3) 176.4(2) 94.2(3) 86.5(3) 174(1) 178(1) 109(1)	$\begin{array}{c} (2) \\ C(2)-C(3)-C(5) & 111(2) \\ C(2)-C(3)-C(4) & 112(1) \\ O(1)-C(3)-C(5) & 106(2) \\ O(1)-C(3)-C(5) & 110(2) \\ C(4)-C(3)-C(5) & 111(2) \\ C(3)-C(4)-C(6) & \\ Pt-P(1)-C(11) & 110.4(1) \\ Pt-P(1)-C(21) & 119.9(1) \\ Pt-P(1)-C(31) & 111.8(1) \\ \end{array}$	(3) 110(1) 114(1) 106(1) 108(1) 110(1) 116(1) 3) 113.6(3) 3) 118.4(2) 3) 110.8(3)	$\begin{array}{c} C(11)-P(1)-C(21)\\ C(11)-P(1)-C(31)\\ C(21)-P(1)-C(31)\\ Pt-P(2)-C(41)\\ Pt-P(2)-C(51)\\ Pt-P(2)-C(51)\\ C(41)-P(2)-C(51)\\ C(41)-P(2)-C(61)\\ C(51)-P(2)-C(61)\\ \end{array}$	(2) 104.4(5) 107.8(5) 101.6(5) 110.5(3) 116.5(4) 112.4(3) 104.4(5) 107.4(5) 105.0(5)	(3) 102.8(3) 106.4(3) 103.7(3) 112.0(3) 117.1(2) 114.4(3) 103.0(3) 106.3(3) 102.9(3)

(graphite-monochromated Mo- K_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 0.710$ 7 Å) in a random orientation. The reduced cells were obtained using the program TRACER.²⁴ The unit-cell parameters were deduced from least-squares refinement of the 20 values of 21 reflections having 20 > 26° for all complexes.

Crystal data. $C_{46}H_{44}O_2P_2Pt$ ·H₂O (1), M = 903.9, monoclinic, a = 15.473(5), b = 21.725(6), c = 13.439(4) Å, $\beta = 113.95(3)^{\circ}$, U = 4.129(2) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.454$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 1.816, μ (Mo- K_{α}) = 35.5 cm⁻¹, space group $P2_1/c$; crystal dimensions $0.32 \times 0.34 \times 0.32$ mm.

C₄₁H₃₇ClOP₂Pt·0.5C₇H₈ (2), M = 884.3, triclinic, a = 11.695(3), b = 19.690(6), c = 9.214(2) Å, $\alpha = 101.88(3)$, $\beta = 104.26(3)$, $\gamma = 85.55(3)^{\circ}$, U = 2.011(1) Å³, Z = 2, $D_c = 1.460$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 882, μ (Mo- K_{α}) = 37.0 cm⁻¹, space group *P*I; crystal dimensions 0.32 × 0.10 × 0.40 mm.

 $C_{42}H_{39}ClOP_2Pt \cdot C_3H_6O$, M = 910.3, triclinic, a = 15.544-(6), b = 12.567(4), c = 11.719(3) Å, $\alpha = 91.12(3)$, $\beta = 105.36(3)$, $\gamma = 111.39(3)^\circ$, U = 2.038(1) Å³, Z = 2, $D_c = 105.36(3)$ 1.483 g cm⁻³, F(000) = 912, $\mu(Mo-K_{\alpha}) = 36.6$ cm⁻¹, space group *P*I; crystal dimensions $0.34 \times 0.22 \times 0.51$ mm.

Intensities were recorded at room temperature by ω —20 scans in the ranges 20 6—48° for complexes (1) and (2) and 6—49° for (3). No crystal decay or experimental instability was indicated by monitoring of standard reflections during the determinations. ψ Scans showed that crystal absorption effects could not be neglected, so the data for the three complexes were corrected for absorption by a semi-empirical method ²⁵ with maximum and minimum corrections of 1.112—1.003 for complex (1), 1.356—1.003 for (2), and 1.133— 1.003 for (3). 6 396 [for (1)], 6 276 [for (2)], and 6 629 [for (3)] unique reflections were collected; of these 3 110, 3 961, and 4 704, respectively, having $I > 3.0\sigma(I)$, were considered observed and used in the structure solutions and refinements.

Solution and refinement. The structures of the three complexes were solved by conventional heavy-atom methods (Patterson and Fourier) and refined by blocked full-matrix

Table 9. Fractional atomic co-ordinates ($\times 10^4$) for trans-[PtCl{C=CC(OH)MeEt}(PPh_3)_2]·Me_2CO (3)

Atom	X/a	Y/b	Z/c	Atom	X/a	Y/b	Z/c
Pt(1)	2 510(1)	2 374(1)	20(1)	C(26)	4 772(3)	1 446(5)	196(5)
CÌÙ	1 513(2)	2 722(3)	-1681(3)	C(31)	3 583(4)	3 350(4)	-2 156(5)
P(1)	3 413(2)	2 205(2)	-1214(2)	C(32)	3 959(4)	4 478(4)	- 1 587(5)
P(2)	1 657(2)	2 666(2)	1 265(2)	C(33)	4 135(4)	5 401(4)	-2 254(5)
O (1)	5 256(6)	1 721(8)	3 531(8)	C(34)	3 935(4)	5 195(4)	- 3 489(5)
$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{i})$	3 316(6)	1 982(7)	1 438(8)	C(35)	3 559(4)	4 068(4)	-4 057(5)
C(2)	3 706(8)	1 689(9)	2 273(9)	C(36)	3 383(4)	3 145(4)	-3391(5)
C(3)	4 203(8)	1 307(10)	3 399(9)	C(41)	2 294(4)	4 063(4)	2 197(5)
C(4)	3 832(14)	13(13)	3 390(14)	C(42)	3 300(4)	4 563(4)	2 502(5)
C(5)	4 114(11)	1 846(15)	4 460(10)	C(43)	3 799(4)	5 629(4)	3 214(5)
C(6)	3 843(14)	-619(14)	2 413(19)	C(44)	3 292(4)	6 193(4)	3 622(5)
O(2)	- 330(10)	4 048(16)	6 129(14)	C(45)	2 286(4)	5 692(4)	3 317(5)
C(7)	1 172(19)	5 334(15)	7 099(19)	C(46)	1 787(4)	4 627(4)	2 605(5)
C(8)	499(14)	4 391(18)	6 239(16)	C(51)	462(4)	2 681(5)	550(5)
C(9)	861(13)	3 754(17)	5 527(16)	C(52)	418(4)	3 565(5)	- 136(5)
C(11)	2 853(4)	849(4)	-2220(5)	C(53)	-476(4)	3 597(5)	-733(5)
C(12)	3 399(4)	484(4)	-2 787(5)	C(54)	-1325(4)	2 744(5)	- 644(5)
C(13)	2 975(4)	- 568(4)	-3524(5)	C(55)	-1280(4)	1 861(5)	42(5)
C(14)	2 004(4)	-1 254(4)	-3694(5)	C(56)	- 387(4)	1 829(5)	639(5)
C(15)	1 457(4)	- 889(4)	-3127(5)	C(61)	1 410(5)	1 592(4)	2 279(5)
C(16)	1 882(4)	163(4)	-2389(5)	C(62)	1 429(5)	1 862(4)	3 448(5)
C(21)	4 638(3)	2 277(5)	- 524(5)	C(63)	1 160(5)	985(4)	4 150(5)
C(22)	5 434(3)	3 154(5)	- 707(5)	C(64)	874(5)	- 162(4)	3 683(5)
C(23)	6 364(3)	3 200(5)	-171(5)	C(65)	856(5)	- 432(4)	2 514(5)
C(24)	6 498(3)	2 369(5)	549(5)	C(66)	1 124(5)	445(4)	1 812(5)
C(25)	5 703(3)	1 492(5)	732(5)	. /	. /		

least squares with anisotropic thermal parameters for all nonhydrogen atoms except for the phenyl rings which were treated as rigid regular hexagons. All hydrogen atoms for complexes (1)—(3) were introduced in the final refinement as fixed contributors ($U = 0.012 \text{ Å}^2$).

For complex (1) the hydrogen atoms of the water molecule and one of the two hydroxy-groups were located on a difference electron-density map; other hydrogen atoms except for that of the other hydroxy-groups were introduced in calculated positions (C-H 1.08 Å). Final residual indices for (1) were R = 0.052, R' = 0.048, and S = 2.5 with weights w = 1.1025/ $[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.000\ 238\ F_o^2];\ R' = \Sigma w^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Delta F| / \Sigma w^{\frac{1}{2}} |F_o|;\ S =$ $[\Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / (N_o - N_v)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (N_o = number of observed reflections, N_v = number of parameters to be determined). A final electron-density difference map showed no features >0.3 e Å⁻³. Fractional atomic co-ordinates for the nonhydrogen atoms are in Table 6, and important bond lengths and angles are in Table 3.

For complex (2) the X-ray analysis revealed the presence of a toluene molecule of crystallization lying on a centre of symmetry, so its methyl carbon atom was assigned a site occupation factor of 0.5. None of the hydrogen atoms could be located from a difference map. They were introduced in calculated positions (C-H 1.08 Å) except for those of the hydroxy-group and toluene. The final R index was 0.053. Unit weights were used since these gave acceptable agreement analyses. A final difference map showed no features of chemical significance (all <0.4 e Å⁻³). Fractional atomic co-ordinates are in Table 7 (excluding hydrogen atoms), and important bond lengths and angles are in Table 8.

For complex (3) the X-ray analysis revealed the presence of an acetone molecule of crystallization. All the hydrogen atoms except for those of the hydroxy group and the acetone molecule were located in a difference map. Those of the acetone molecule were introduced in calculated positions (C⁻H 1.08 Å). Final residual indices were R = 0.046, R' = 0.046, and S = 1.75 with weights w = 1.064 7/[$\sigma^2(F_o)$ + 0.000 329 F_o^2]. A final difference map showed no features >0.5 e Å⁻³. Table 9 lists the final fractional atomic co-ordinates (excluding hydrogen atoms), and important bond lengths and angles are in Table 8.

Complex neutral-atom scattering factors for Pt, Cl, P, O, and C were taken from ref. 26, those for H from ref. 27. All calculations were carried out on the CDC 7600 computer of the Centro di Calcolo dell'Italia Nord-Orientale, using the SHELX 76 system of programs.²⁸

Acknowledgements

We thank the C.N.R. for financial support.

References

- 1 A. Furlani, I. Collamati, and G. Sartori, J. Organomet. Chem., 1959, 17, 453.
- 2 A. Furlani, P. Bicev, P. Carusi, and M. V. Russo, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett., 1971, 9, 19.
- 3 J. Chatt and B. L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc., 1959, 4020.
- 4 I. Collamati and A. Furlani, J. Organomet. Chem., 1969, 17, 457.
- 5 M. Bonamico, G. Dessy, V. Fares, M. V. Russo, and L. Scaramuzza, Cryst. Struct. Commun., 1977, 6, 39.
- 6 W. R. Cullen and F. L. Hou, Can. J. Chem., 1971, 49, 3404.
- 7 D. A. Harbourne and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1968, 1765.
- 8 A. Furlani and M. V. Russo, J. Organomet. Chem., 1979, 165, 101.
- 9 S. H. Mastin, Inorg. Chem., 1974, 13, 1003.
- 10 A. Furlani, P. Carusi, and M. V. Russo, J. Organomet. Chem., 1976, 116, 113.
- A. Chiesi Villa, A. Gaetani Manfredotti, C. Guastini, P. Carusi, A. Furlani, and M. V. Russo, Cryst. Struct. Commun., 1977, 6, 623.
- 12 A. Chiesi Villa, A. Gaetani Manfredotti, C. Guastini, P. Carusi, A. Furlani, and M. V. Russo, *Cryst. Struct. Commun.*, 1977, 6, 629.
- 13 I. Collmati, A. Furlani, and G. Attioli, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1970, 1964.

- 14 A. Furlani, M. V. Russo, S. Licoccia, and C. Guastini, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1979, 33, L125.
- C. J. Cardin, D. J. Cardin, M. F. Lappert, and K. W. Muir, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1978, 46 and refs. therein.
 A. Furlani, S. Licoccia, M. V. Russo, A. Chiesi Villa, and C.
- 16 A. Furlani, S. Licoccia, M. V. Russo, A. Chiesi Villa, and C. Guastini, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1982, 2449 and refs. therein.
- 17 H. D. Empsall, B. L. Shaw, and A. J. Stringer, J. Organomet. Chem., 1975, 94, 131.
- 18 J. Chatt, G. A. Rowe, and A. Williams, Proc. Chem. Soc., 1957, 208.
- 19 P. B. Tripathy and D. M. Roundhill, J. Organomet. Chem., 1970, 24, 247.
- 20 A. Furlani, P. Carusi, and M. V. Russo, J. Organomet. Chem., 1974, 67, 315.
- 21 M. Keubler, R. Ugo, and F. Conti, J, Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1975, 1081.

- 22 A. Furlani, M. V. Russo, A. Chiesi Villa, A. Gaetani Manfre-
- dotti, and C. Guastini, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1977, 2154.
- 23 K. A. Jensen, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1936, 229, 265.
- 24 S. L. Lawton and R. A. Jacobson, TRACER, a cell reduction program, Ames Laboratory, Iowa State University, 1965.
- 25 A. C. T. North, D. C. Phillips, and F. C. Mathews, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1958, 24, 351.
- 26 'International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography,' Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1974, vol. 4, pp. 99 and 149.
- 27 R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, and W. T. Simpson, J. Chem. Phys., 1965, 42, 3175.
- 28 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX, University of Cambridge, 1976.

Received 26th July 1983; Paper 3/1291