


REGIOSELECTIVE NUCLEOPHILIC SUBSTITUTION OF HALOGEN DERIVATIVES OF 1-SUBSTITUTED 4-OXO-1,4-DIHYDROQUINOLINE-3-CARBOXYLIC ACIDS

István Hermecz,* Lelle Vasvári-Debreczy, Benjamin Podányi, Géza Kereszturi, Mária Balogh, Ágnes Horváth, and Péter Várkonyi

Chinoin Pharmaceutical and Chemical Works Ltd., Budapest, P.O. Box 110, H-1325 Hungary

Abstract - The rate of the nucleophilic displacement of the fluoro atom of 7-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate could be enhanced either by the introduction of further fluoro atom(s) into position(s) 6 and/or 8, or by the formation of a boron chelate (e.g. **3**). The regioselectivity of the nucleophilic substitution of the chloro atom in 1-substituted 6-fluoro-7-chloro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acids could also be enhanced by the formation of a boron chelate (e.g. **7**).

The discovery¹ of the 3rd generation of quinoline-3-carboxylic acids² and the introduction of compounds of this type into human³ and veterinary⁴ therapy (e.g. **1**, "oxacins") were milestones in the treatment of bacterial infections.⁵ In the synthesis of these drugs, the regioselective nucleophilic substitution of a halogen atom in position 7 is a key step. When 6,7-difluoro intermediates (**2**, X = 6-F, 7-F) are applied,



the nucleophilic displacement of the fluoro atom in position 7 occurs with high regioselectivity.⁶ However, in the case of the application of the industrially more economic 6-fluoro-7-chloro intermediates

(2, X = 6-F, 7-Cl), the regioselectivity is dramatically lower,^{1, 6, 7} as the fluoro atom is a much better leaving group in aromatic nucleophilic substitution than the chloro atom.⁸

We report herein an investigation of the nucleophilic substitution of halogen derivatives of 1-substituted 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acids and esters (2, R¹ = H, Et) with piperazine or its 1- and 2-methyl derivative. The nucleophilic substitution of a halogen atom on the 1,4-dihydroquinolin-4-one skeleton (2) with *N*-nucleophiles has not been investigated systematically. 5,6,7,8-Tetrafluoroquinoline⁹ underwent nucleophilic displacement solely at position 7 with *N*- and *O*-nucleophiles, while of the 5-, 6-, 7- and 8-fluoroquinoline *N*-oxides¹⁰ only the 7-fluoro derivative reacted with piperazine.

Table 1. Rates¹¹ of Reaction of Fluoro Derivatives of Ethyl 1-Ethyl-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylates (2, R = R¹ = Et) with piperazine in DMSO-d₆ at 40 °C

X	5-F	6-F	7-F	8-F	5,6-F ₂	6,7-F ₂	7,8-F ₂	6,7,8-F ₃
k ^{a)}	0.19	0.00	0.017	0.00	1.07	0.88	0.11	2.22

a) x 10⁻³ l·mol⁻¹·sec⁻¹ Fluoro atom position given in *italics* denote reactive atoms.


We first investigated¹¹ the reactivity sequence of a fluoro atom in position 5, 6, 7 or 8 of quinoline-3-carboxylates (2, R = R¹ = Et, X = F) on treatment with piperazine in DMSO-d₆ at 40 °C (Table 1). Of the monofluoroquinolones, the 7-fluoro derivative was about 10 times less active than the 5-fluoro compound. No reaction occurred with 6- and 8-fluoro derivatives. When an additional fluoro atom was present in either position 6 or position 8, the reactivity of the 7-fluoro atom increased, and in the case of 6,7-difluoro derivative it was almost as reactive as the 5-fluoro atom in the 5,6-difluoro compound. The reactivity of the 7-fluoro atom was increased further in the 6,7,8-trifluoro derivative. Shibamori *et al.* investigated¹² the reactions of 1-cyclopropyl-4-oxo-5,6,7,8-tetrafluoro-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid and ester with piperidine (besides other amines) by HPLC. Mixture of 5- and 7-substituted derivatives was obtained, and the amount of the latter was slightly higher in the case of the 3-carboxylic acid. While the 5-substituted compound was the main product (over 87%) in toluene, approximately 1:1 mixture of the 5- and 7-substituted derivatives was formed in acetonitrile and in ethanol.

Table 2. Rates¹¹ of Reaction of Ethyl 1-Ethyl-6,7,8-trifluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (2, R = R¹ = Et) with Piperazine or Its 1- or 2-Methyl Derivative in DMSO-d₆ at 40 °C

X = 6,7,8-F ₃	Piperazine	1-Methylpiperazine	2-Methylpiperazine
k ^{a)}	2.22	0.20	0.98 ^{b)}

a) x 10⁻³ l·mol⁻¹·sec⁻¹, b) lomefloxacin ethyl ester

The 6,7,8-trifluoro ester derivative (**2**, $R = R^1 = \text{Et}$, $X = 6,7,8\text{-F}_3$) similarly reacted with 2-methyl- or 1-methylpiperazines (Table 2). 2-Methylpiperazine reacted in position 4 and was about half as reactive as



piperazine, while 1-methylpiperazine was *ca.* ten times less reactive. The reaction rate ($k = 7.50 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ l}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$) was higher when 2-methylpiperazine reacted with 6,7,8-trifluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2**, $R = \text{Et}$, $R^1 = \text{H}$, $X = 6,7,8\text{-F}_3$) in DMSO-d_6 at 25°C . The nucleophilic displacement of the 7-fluoro atom was further enhanced when a boron chelate of quinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**3**) was applied. In DMSO-d_6 the reaction rate ($k = > 70 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ l}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$) was at least ten times higher, in the less polar CDCl_3 it was lower ($k = 2.83 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ l}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$).




Table 3. Reactions¹³ of 1-Substituted 6-Fluoro-7-chloro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic Acids (**4**) with Piperazine or with 1-Methylpiperazine in DMSO-d_6 at $120\text{--}130^\circ\text{C}$


	R	R^1	Ratio of 5 and 6 formed		R	R^1	Ratio of 5 and 6 formed
1	Et	H	80 ^a : 20	6	cycloPr	Me	87 : 13
2	Et	Me	82 ^b : 18	7	<i>p</i> F-Ph	H	83 : 17
3	NHMe	H	84 : 16	8	<i>p</i> F-Ph	Me	84 ^e : 16
4	NHMe	Me	86 ^c : 14	9	2-F-Et	H	89 : 11
5	cycloPr	H	87 ^d : 13	10	2-F-Et	Me	89 : 11

a) norfloxacin; b) pefloxacin; c) amifloxacin; d) ciprofloxacin; e) difloxacin



Koga *et al.* reported¹ that, besides norfloxacin (**5**, $R = \text{Et}$, $R^1 = \text{H}$) (66%), the biologically inactive isomeric 7-chloro-6-piperazino derivative (**6**, $R = \text{Et}$, $R^1 = \text{H}$) was isolated in 25% yield from the mixture of reaction products formed from 7-chloro-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2**, $R = \text{Et}$, $R^1 = \text{H}$, $X = 6\text{-F}$, 7-Cl) and excess piperazine at $130\text{--}140^\circ\text{C}$.

The reaction of 7-chloro-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (**2**, $R = R^1 = \text{Et}$, $X = 6\text{-F}$, 7-Cl) with piperazine in DMSO-d_6 at 40°C was sluggish ($k_{7\text{-Cl}} \approx 7 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ l}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$, $k_{6\text{-F}} \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ l}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$), but that of 7-chloro-6-fluoro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (**2**, $R = \text{Et}$,

$R^1 = H$, $X = 6-F$, $7-Cl$) with piperazine in DMSO- d_6 at $100^\circ C$ was faster ($k_{7-Cl} = 0.75 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ l}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$, $k_{6-F} = 0.20 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ l}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$). The reaction was not regioselective in either case.





The reactions¹³ of 1-substituted 6-fluoro-7-chloro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acids (4) with piperazine or with 1-methylpiperazine in DMSO- d_6 at $120-130^\circ C$ led to mixtures of 7- and 6-piperazino derivatives¹³ (5) and (6) in ratios varying between 80:20 and 89:11 (Table 3). Side-product formation could be decreased (to less than 5%) when boron chelates (7) were applied instead of the 3-carboxylic acids (4).




R	Et	H		F	OCOMe	OCOEt
C-6	154.4	154.6	$\Delta\delta = \sim 1.5 \text{ ppm}$	156.0	156.2	156.0
C-7	125.6	126.6	$\Delta\delta = \sim 3.7-4.7 \text{ ppm}$	130.3	130.4	130.2

Scheme 1. Some selected ^{13}C NMR data in DMSO- d_6

Boron chelate formation (e.g. 7 or 10-12) decreases the electron density on C-7 more than on C-6 (see ^{13}C NMR data in Scheme 1). Accordingly, besides an enhancement of the reaction rate, the regioselectivity of the nucleophilic displacement of the 7-chloro atom is increased. Industrially, the application of acetyl derivatives¹⁴ (e.g. 7) is more favorable than that of fluoro derivatives (e.g. 10), whose formation involves use of the corrosive boron trifluoride etherate or 42% tetrafluoroboric acid.



14 $R = Cl$, $R^1 = H$
 15 $R = Cl$, $R^1 = B(OAc)_2$
 16 $R = F$, $R^1 = H$
 17 $R = F$, $R^1 = BF_2$



When an electron-donating atom or group was present at position 8 of the quinolone moiety, the regioselectivity could not be influenced by boron complex formation. Only the 9-piperazino derivative

(13) was formed from 9-fluoro-10-chloro-7-oxo-2,3-dihydro-7H-pyrido[1,2,3-de]-1,4-benzoxazine-6-carboxylic acid¹⁵ (14) and from its boron derivative¹⁶ (15), whereas both the 9,10-difluoro derivative¹⁵ (16) and its difluoro boron derivative¹⁷ (17) reacted with 1-methylpiperazine to give ofloxacin (18).

For some further examples of the applications of boron complexes to enhance the reactivity of the fluoro atom at position 7 of the quinolone moiety, see under Ref. 18.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. H. Koga, A. Itoh, S. Murayama, S. Suzue, and T. Irikura, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1980, **23**, 1358.
2. P. Remuzon and D. Bouzard *Stud. Med. Chem.*, 1996, **1**, 173.
3. H. C. Neu, *The Lancet*, 1987, 1319; D. T. W. Chu, *Exp. Opin. Ther. Patents*, 1996, **6**, 711 (*Chem. Abstr.*, 1996, **125**, 157463); R. Davic, A. Markham, and J. A. Balfour, *Drugs*, 1996, **51**, 1019.
4. M. Yagisawa, *J. Vet. Med.*, 1994, **47**, 241; S. Nakamura, *Drugs*, 1995, **49** (Suppl. 2), 152.
5. V. T. Andriole (ed.) "The Quinolones", Academic Press, London, 1988; J. S. Wolfson and D. C. Hooper, *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, 1989, **2**, 378.
6. C. B. Ziegler Jr., N. A. Kuck, S. M. Harris, and Y.-i. Lin, *J. Heterocyclic Chem.*, 1988, **25**, 1543; S. Deloisy, A. Olesker, and G. Lukacs, *Heterocycles*, 1995, **41**, 259.
7. U. R. Kalkote, V. T. Sathe, R. K. Kharul, S. P. Chavan, and T. Ravindranathan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 6785.
8. J. F. Bunnett, E. W. Garbisch Jr., and K. M. Pruitt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1957, **79**, 385; H. Suhr, *Chem. Ber.*, 1964, **97**, 3268.
9. G. M. Brooke, W. K. R. Musgrave, and R. J. D. Rutherford, *J. Chem. Soc. C*, 1966, 215.
10. M. Bellas and H. Suschitzky, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1963, 4007.
11. 1-Substituted 4-oxo-1,4-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (**2**, R = Et) (0.02 mol l^{-1}) reacted with a cyclic amine (0.06 mol l^{-1}) in DMSO- d_6 at 40°C in an NMR tube, and the reaction was monitored by ^1H NMR. The rate constant of the second-order reaction was calculated on the basis of the signal of 2-H, using the equation $dA/dt = -k[A]_0[B]$ with the MATLAB and MicroMath programs, where [A] denotes to the concentration of the starting quinoline, and [B] the concentration of the secondary amine.
12. K. Shibamori, H. Egawa, T. Miyamoto, Y. Nishimura, A. Hokawa, J. Nakano, and J. Matsumoto, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1990, **38**, 2390; see also T. Miyamoto, J. Matsumoto, K. Chiba, H. Egawa, K. Shibamori, A. Minamida, Y. Nishimura, H. Okady, M. Kataoka, M. Fujita, T. Hirose, and J. Nakano, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1990, **33**, 1645; D. B. Morau, T. S. Dunne, N. A. Kuck, and Y. Liu, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1989, **32**, 1313.

13. Reactions were carried out in NMR tubes in DMSO- d_6 at 120–130 °C, and the ratios of **5** and **6** were calculated from the ^1H NMR spectra by comparing the integrals of the doublet signals of 5-H (~ 7.9 ppm, $^3J_{5\text{-H},6\text{-F}} = \sim 16.4$ Hz) in **5** and that of the singlet signal of 5-H (~ 8.3 ppm) in **6**.
14. The appropriate 1-substituted 6-fluoro-7-chloro-4-oxo-1,4-dihydro-4*H*-quinoline-6-carboxylate-(O^3, O^4)-bis(acetate-O)-boron **7** (2 mmol) and secondary amine (6 mmol) (piperazine and 1-methylpiperazine) in DMSO (10 mL) was stirred at 110 °C for 2 h. A solution of 3% aqueous sodium hydroxide (20 mL) was added to the brownish-red solution and the reaction mixture was boiled under reflux for 1 h. The hot pale-yellow solution was filtered and the pH value was adjusted to 7 by adding 96% acetic acid (*ca* 1.8 mL). The reaction mixture was left to crystallize in a refrigerator overnight. The white crystals were filtered off, washed with water and methanol, dried to give the appropriate **5**. Yield: norfloxacin ($\text{R} = \text{Et}$, $\text{R}^1 = \text{H}$) 93%, pefloxacin ($\text{R} = \text{Et}$, $\text{R}^1 = \text{Me}$) 96%, amifloxacin ($\text{R} = \text{NHMe}$, $\text{R}^1 = \text{Me}$) 92%, ciprofloxacin ($\text{R} = \text{cycloPr}$, $\text{R}^1 = \text{H}$) 95%, difloxacin ($\text{R} = p\text{F-Ph}$, $\text{R}^1 = \text{Me}$), which contains 3.5%, 2.9%, 2.3%, 2.2%, and 4.3% of isomeric **6**, respectively, according to ^1H NMR investigations.
15. I. Hermecz, G. Kereszturi, L. Vasvári-Debreczy, Á. Horváth, M. Balogh, G. Kovács, P. Ritli, J. Sipos, A. Pajor, and Z. Mészáros, PCT Int. Appl. 87 03,594 (*Chem. Abstr.*, 1987, **107**, 198652); I. Hermecz, G. Kereszturi, L. Vasvári-Debreczy, Á. Horváth, M. Balogh, G. Kovács, P. Ritli, J. Sipos, A. Pajor, and Z. Mészáros, PCT Int. Appl. 87 03,595 (*Chem. Abstr.*, 1987, **107**, 198651); I. Hermecz, G. Kereszturi, L. Vasvári-Debreczy, Á. Horváth, M. Balogh, P. Ritli, J. Sipos, A. Pajor, and K. Mármárosi, PCT Int. Appl. 88 07,998 (*Chem. Abstr.*, 1989, **110**, 173110).
16. I. Hayakawa, T. Hirimitsu, and Y. Tanaka, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1984, **32**, 4907.
17. The 9-fluoro-10-chloro-3-methyl-7-oxo-2,3-dihydro-7*H*-pyrido[1,2,3-*de*]-1,4-benzoxazine-6-carboxylate-(O^6, O^7)-bis(acetate-O)-boron (425 mg) and piperazine (258 mg) in DMSO (5 mL) was stirred at 110 °C for 1 h. The excess of piperazine was removed in *vacuo*, and the residue was treated with 6% aqueous sodium hydroxide (2 mL) and acetone (5 mL). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 1 h, and the pH of the solution was then adjusted to 7. The crystals of **13** were filtered off, washed with water, dried and recrystallized from methanol. mp >270 °C, yield 62% (225 mg), ^1H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ : 7.46 ppm (s, 8-H).
18. Daiichi Seiyaku Co., Ltd. Jap. Kokai Tokyo Koho 85 78,986 (*Chem. Abstr.*, 1985, **103**, 123491).
19. J. P. Sanchez, R. D. Gogliotti, J. M. Domagala, S. J. Gracheck, M. D. Huband, J. A. Sesnie, M. A. Cohen, and M. A. Shapiro, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1995, **38**, 4478; K. Hashimoto, Y. Okaichi, D. Nomi, H. Miyamoto, M. Bando, M. Kido, T. Fujimura, T. Furuta, and J. Minamikawa, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1996, **44**, 642; T. E. Renau, J. P. Sanchez, and J. M. Domagala, *J. Heterocyclic Chem.*, 1996, **33**, 1407.