

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 11 (2001) 1713–1716

Biphenyls as Surrogates of the Steroidal Backbone. Part 2: Discovery of a Novel Family of Non-steroidal $5-\alpha$ -Reductase Inhibitors

Dominique Lesuisse,^{a,*} Jean-François Gourvest,^b Eva Albert,^a Bernard Doucet,^b Catherine Hartmann,^b Jean-Michel Lefrançois,^a Sophie Tessier,^a Bernadette Tric^a and Georges Teutsch^a

> ^aMedicinal Chemistry, Aventis, 102 route de Noisy, 93235 Romainville Cedex, France ^bBone Diseases Group, Aventis, 102 route de Noisy, 93235 Romainville Cedex, France

> > Received 31 January 2001; revised 28 March 2001; accepted 18 April 2001

Abstract—A new family of non-steroidal 5- α -reductase inhibitors was designed by replacing the steroid skeleton of an inhibitor related to estrone by a biphenyl moiety. This hypothesis originated from the reported estrogenic activity of a few biphenyl compounds (see Part 1 of this paper; Lesuisse et al. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2001**, *11*, 1709). Two compounds turned out to be potent type 2 5- α -reductase inhibitors with IC₅₀'s of inhibition in the nanomolar range. These are to our knowledge amongst the most potent non-steroidal 5- α -reductase inhibitors described to date. © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Steroid 5- α -reductase (EC 1.3.99.5) is the enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of testosterone to di-hydrotestosterone (DHT), the most potent prostatic androgen. Finasteride 1, 1 one of the first described inhibitors of this enzyme, is currently marketed worldwide as a treatment for BPH. A few years ago, Holt and coworkers described a new class of steroidal inhibitors of 5- α -reductase, 2, incorporating the estradiol skeleton.² Based on these new potent estrone-derived inhibitors of the 5- α -reductase, we hypothesized that by introducing appropriate substituents non-steroidal estrogens could be tailored into 5-a-reductase inhibitors. We had recently identified a new family of estrogens based on the biphenyl scaffold.³ The best compounds in these series were the 2', 6'-dibromo- and dichlorobiphenyls 3 and 4. Based on these findings, we speculated that similar compounds where the 4-hydroxy and the 4'-hydroxymethyl groups would be replaced by 4-carboxylic acid and 4'-carboxamidomethyl groups, respectively, as in 5 could potentially be good $5-\alpha$ reductase inhibitors.

The objective of this paper is to describe the synthesis of this new series of compounds and the results we have obtained using this approach.



Chemistry⁴ and Biology⁵

We decided to first check the validity of the hypothesis by synthesizing the simplest derivative of **5** incorporating the desired functionalities (X=H, Y=-). Diisopropyl 4-hydroxy benzamide **6**⁶ was transformed into its triflate⁷ and condensed with tolyl boronic acid using standard conditions.⁸ The methyl group of **7** was

^{*}Corresponding author. Fax: +33-1-4991-5087; e-mail: dominique. lesuisse@aventis.com

⁰⁹⁶⁰⁻⁸⁹⁴X/01/\$ - see front matter \odot 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. P11: S0960-894X(01)00268-2

oxidized using potassium permanganate in pyridine⁹ to afford the desired carboxylic acid **8** with a good yield (Scheme 1). Even this simple compound proved to inhibit human prostate $5 - \alpha$ -reductase⁵ by about 30% at 1 μ M (compared to Finasteride 1¹⁰ (IC₅₀ = 1.2 10⁻⁸ M) and 2¹¹ (IC₅₀ = 10⁻⁹ M)) (Table 1).

Comforted by this first result, we embarked on the synthesis of an analogue of 8 incorporating the required *ortho* disubstitution (5, X = Br, Y = -). The synthesis proved to be not as straightforward as envisaged. The way we finally succeeded in obtaining the desired compound was by the Ullmann coupling¹² of diisopropyl 4-chloro-3,5-dinitro benzamide 9 with methyl 4-iodobenzoate, followed by reduction, diazotation¹³ and hydrolysis to give desired compound 12 (Scheme 2). The dinitro biphenyl 10 was also elaborated into the nitroand amino-derivatives 14 and 16 and the iodo-derivatives 13 and 15 (see Table 1) using methods reported previously.³

To our surprise, none of the *ortho* disubstituted biphenyls **12–16** gave any detectable inhibition of the 5- α -reductase at 1 μ M (Table 1).

Because our experience in the field of biphenyls and estrogens³ had taught us that the chain mimicking the 17-position of the steroid nucleus had to be tailored to the appropriate length, we evaluated the inhibition behaviour of compounds obtained by varying the Y



Scheme 1.

Table 1. 5- α -Reductase inhibition by 2', 6'-disubstituted biphenyl derivatives of 8



Х	Y	Compound	% Inhib. (10 ⁻⁶ M)
Н	Н	8	32.5
Br	Br	12	0
NO ₂	Ι	13	0
$H_2 \tilde{N}$	NH_2	14	0
Ī	Ī	15	0
NO ₂	NO_2	16	0

linker between the biphenyl and the amide portion. The compounds 17–19 were obtained uneventfully.¹⁴ Of all unsubstituted biphenyl derivatives (Table 2), 19 inhibited the enzyme best and therefore we decided to keep this moiety for reinvestigating the biphenyl substitution.

In view of our initial hypothesis, we invested again most of our effort into the synthesis of ortho disubstituted halogen derivatives of 19. To this end, we used biphenyl intermediates we had prepared for our estrogen binding studies.³ Protected ortho halogenobiphenyls 20-25 incorporating a carboxylic acid function precursor were transformed by alkylation of the deprotected phenol with diisopropylamino-1-bromoacetamide 26. Oxidation or hydrolysis gave the desired carboxylic acids 27-32 (Scheme 3, Table 3). The new derivatives were evaluated against 5- α -reductase in comparison with 19. The results confirmed the trend seen with derivatives 12–16. No improvement of the inhibition properties was seen upon introduction of any halogen or methyl group in the ortho, ortho' position of either aromatic ring (Table 5).

Evidently, the criteria for estrogen receptor binding affinity of 4-hydroxy-4'-hydroxymethyl biphenyls which very stringently required 2',6'-disubstitution of the second aromatic ring mainly with chlorine and bromine, were not determinant for 5- α -reductase inhibition. In the absence of structural information on the active site of 5- α -reductase, we embarked on a wider exploration of the biphenyl substitution to improve the inhibition





Table 2. Influence of the length of the side chain on $5-\alpha$ -reductase inhibition



Х	Compound	% Inhib. (10 ⁻⁶ M)
	8	32.5
CH ₂	17	37
CH(Me)	18	26.9
OCH ₂	19	57.5



Scheme 3.

Table 3. Synthesis of o-disubstituted biphenyl derivatives 27-32 from 20-25

Starting compound	R	Х	Y	Р	Conditions (yield)	Compound
20	CH ₂ OH	F		Bn	(1) Jones (76%); (2) H ₂ /Pd/C (93%); (3) 26 /NaOH/DMSO (49%)	27
21	CHO	Cl		tBuPh2Si	(1) TBAF (Q); (2) 26/NaH/THF (68%); (3) NaClO ₂ /H ₂ NSO ₃ H (61%)	28
22	CHO	Me		tBuPh ₂ Si	(1) TBAF(Q); (2) 26/NaOH/DMSO (75%); (3) NaClO ₂ /H ₂ NSO ₃ H (71%)	29
23	COOMe		Cl	tBuMe ₂ Si	(1) TBAF(Q); (2) 26 /NaOH/DMSO (20%)	30
24	COOMe	Cl,H		Bn	(1) $H_2/Pd/C$ (99%); (2) 26/NaOH/DMSO (15%)	31
25	СНО	CF3,H	—	Bn	(1) H ₂ /Pd/C (Q); (2) Jones (95%); (3) 26 /NaOH/DMSO (16%)	32

pattern. Some of the chemistry and results are summarized hereunder. Hydroquinones **33** and **34** were transformed into suitably functionalized candidates by sequential reactions with diisopropylamino-1-bromoacetamide **26** and triflic anhydride followed by biphenyl coupling reactions of the resulting triflates with 4-formylphenyl boronic acid. Oxidation of the resulting aldehydes gave carboxylic acids **37** and **38**. The same sequence of reactions was undertaken for the 4-bromophenol derivatives **35** and **36** where coupling was performed right after alkylation with diisopropylamino-1bromoacetamide **26** to afford new compounds **39** and **40** (Scheme 4, Table 4).

2-Ethyl-1,4-hydroquinone was converted to both compounds **41** and **42** by an analogous sequence of reactions (data not shown).

All new compounds displayed inhibition of $5-\alpha$ -reductase to some extent (Table 5). Substitution of the first aromatic ring brought about no increase of inhibition compared to the unsubstituted compound (Table 5, cf. 27–29, 31, and

Table 5. $5-\alpha$ -Reductase inhibition by polysubstituted biphenyl derivatives of 19

HOOC R1 R3						
R1	R2	R3	R4	IC ₅₀	Compound	
H F Cl Me Cl,H CF ₃ ,H 			CH=CH- CN IPr NO ₂ — Et	$\begin{array}{c} 8.3.10^{-7} \text{ M} \\ > 10^{-6} \text{ M} \\ 8.7.10^{-7} \text{ M} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ $	19 27 28 29 30 31 32 37 38 39 40 41 42 1 2	



Scheme 4.

Table 4. Synthesis of polysubstituted biphenyl derivatives 37-40 from 33-36

Starting compound	R 1	R2	R3	Х	Conditions (yield)	Compound
33	-CH=CH-	-CH=CH-	_	ОН	(1) KH/DMF (38%); (2) 2,6-tBu ₂ Pyridine/CH ₂ Cl ₂ (69%); (3) (51%); (4) Jones/acetone (24%)	37
34	CN	CN	_	ОН	(1) NaH/DMF (60%); (2) IPr ₂ Net/CH ₃ CN (50%); (3) (13%); (4) PDC/DMF (40%)	38
35 36	<i>i</i> Pr NO ₂		<i>i</i> Pr F	Br Br	(1) NaH/DMF (96%); (3) (30%); (4) $Ag_2O/NaOH 1 N/THF$ (14%) (1) MeOK/DMSO (51%); (3) (17%); (4) $Ag_2O/NaOH 1 N/THF$ (88%)	39 40

1715

32 with **19**). Furthermore monosubstitution at the *ortho* position of the second aromatic ring had a detrimental effect on the inhibition (cf. **37**, **38**, and **41** to **19**). Finally, introduction of substituents *ortho* to the amide function had a dramatic effect on the inhibition (**39**, **40**). A fluorine and a nitro group in these positions improved the inhibition by 100-fold over the unsubstituted derivative **19**. These new compounds now displayed better inhibition than the reference Finasteride (see Table 5).

Finally, we tried to further improve **40** by replacing the diisopropylamide moiety by a trityl amide. Frye had described this as the optimal side chain for $5-\alpha$ -reductase inhibition by 6-azasteroid derivatives.¹⁵ However, in our case the resulting compound **43** was about 10-fold less active than the diisopropylamide analogue (IC₅₀=92 nM vs 9.8 nM).



Conclusion

Our study was initiated by our initial observations of the excellent estrogen receptor binding affinity of a series of 2',6'-disubstituted 4-hydroxy-4'-hydroxymethyl biphenyl derivatives.¹ This observation led us to hypothesize that a similar biphenyl scaffold suitably tailored could be a useful steroid replacement in other areas of steroid receptors and biosynthesis. Our study demonstrates that this is at least the case in the field of dihydrotestosterone biosynthesis with the discovery of a potent non-steroidal inhibitor of the type 2 5- α -reductase enzyme. Compound 40 is amongst the most potent non-steroidal inhibitor of the enzyme reported. Noteworthy in this regard is a publication by Abell of inhibitors somewhat chemically related like 44 and devoid of inhibitory activity on type 2 isoenzyme.¹⁶ The same team was also able to identify chemically related inhibitors like 45 by high throughput screening.17



A compound like **40** could be of value in the treatment of diseases related to the function of this enzyme.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank our colleagues and their collaborators in the Physical Chemistry Department and the Analytical Laboratory for their help in recording and interpreting the spectra and performing the elemental analysis, respectively.

References and Notes

1. Gormley, G. H.; Stoner, E.; Bruskewitz, R. C.; Imperato-McGinley, J.; Walsh, P. C.; McConnel, J. D.; Andriole, G. L.; Geller, J.; Bracken, B. R.; Tenover, J. S.; Darracott-Vaughan, E.; Pappas, F.; Taylor, A.; Binkowitz, B.; Ng, J. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1992**, *327*, 1185.

2. Holt, D. A.; Levy, M. A.; Oh, H.-J.; Erb, J. M.; Heaslip, J. I.; Brandt, M.; Lan-Hargest, H.-Y.; Metcalf, B. W. J. Med. Chem. **1990**, *33*, 943.

3. Lesuisse, D.; Albert, E.; Bouchoux, F.; Cérède, E.; Lefrançois, J. M.; Levif, M. O.; Philibert, D.; Tessier, S.; Tric, B.; Teutsch, G. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2001**, *11*, 1709.

4. All final compounds had full analyses (NMR, IR, SM) along with correct elemental analyses.

5. A homogenate of type 2 5- α -reductase was prepared from human prostates according to: Liang, T.; Cascieri, M. A.; Cheung, A. H.; Reynolds, G. F.; Rasmusson, G. H. *Endocrinology*. **1985**, *117*, 571. The enzymatic activity was determined with an HPLC apparatus and an on-line detector (Flo-one) according to: Le Goff, J. M.; Martin, P. M. In *Progress in HPLC*, *Vol 3: Flow-through Radioactive Detectors in HPLC*; Parvey, H., Ed.; International Science: Utrecht, 1988; pp 79–85. 6. Synthesized from 4-hydroxybenzoic acid using thionyl chloride in dichloromethane followed by diisopropylamine. After a basic work up (ccNaOH) the expected compound was precipitated with 6 N HCl (74% yield).

7. Echavarren, A. M.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5478.

8. Huth, A.; Beetz, I.; Schumann, I. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 6679.

9. Fatiadi, A. J. *Synthesis* **1987**, 85. 10. Finasteride was extracted from the formulated Chibro-

Proscar[®].

11. 2 was synthesized as described in the literature: see ref 3.

12. For a general review on Ullmann coupling, see, for instance: Fanta, P. E. *Synthesis* **1974**, *1*, 9.

13. Beck, J. R.; Gajewski, R. P.; Lynch, M. P.; Wright, F. L. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1987, 24, 267.

14. Synthesis described elsewhere.

15. Frye, S. V.; Haffner, C. D.; Maloney, P. R.; Mook, R. A., Jr.; Dorsey, G. F., Jr.; Hiner, R. N.; Batchelor, K. W.; Bramson, H. N.; Stuart, J. D.; Schweiker, S. L.; Van Arnold, J.; Bickett, D. M.; Moss, M. L.; Tian, G.; Unwalla, R. J.; Lee, F. W.; Tippen; T. K.; James, M. K.; Grizzle, M. K.; Long, J. E.; Schuster, S. V. J. Med. Chem. **1993**, *36*, 4313.

16. Abell, A. D.; Brandt, M.; Levy, M. A.; Holt, D. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1996**, *6*, 481.

17. Holt, D. A.; Yamashita, D. S.; Konialan-Beck, A. L.; Luengo, J. I.; Abell, A. D.; Bergsma, D. J.; Brandt, M.; Levy, M. A. J. Med. Chem. **1995**, *38*, 13.