

Accepted Manuscript

Indium-mediated cleavage of the trityl group from protected alcohols and diols

Cherif Behloul, Aicha Chouti, David Guijarro, Francisco Foubelo, Carmen Nájera, Miguel Yus



PII: S0040-4020(16)31042-0

DOI: [10.1016/j.tet.2016.10.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2016.10.020)

Reference: TET 28161

To appear in: *Tetrahedron*

Received Date: 9 July 2016

Revised Date: 26 September 2016

Accepted Date: 10 October 2016

Please cite this article as: Behloul C, Chouti A, Guijarro D, Foubelo F, Nájera C, Yus M, Indium-mediated cleavage of the trityl group from protected alcohols and diols, *Tetrahedron* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.tet.2016.10.020.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Indium-mediated cleavage of the trityl group from protected alcohols and diols

Cherif Behloul,^{*a} Aicha Chouti,^a David Guijarro,^{b,c} Francisco Foubelo,^{b,c,d} Carmen Nájera,^{b,d}

Miguel Yus^{b,d}

^a Laboratoire des Produits Naturels d'Origine Végétale et de Synthèse Organique, Université des Frères Mentouri-Constantine 25000 (Algérie)

^b Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Alicante, Apdo. 99, 03080 Alicante (Spain)

^c Instituto de Síntesis Orgánica (ISO), Universidad de Alicante, Apdo. 99, 03080 Alicante (Spain)

^d Centro de Innovación en Química Avanzada (ORFEO-CINQA), Universidad de Alicante, Apdo. 99, 03080 Alicante (Spain)

Tel/Fax: 213 31 81 88 62

Email: afiza72@gmail.com

Received: The date will be inserted once the manuscript is accepted.

Dedicated to Professor José Elguero on occasion of his 80th birthday

Keywords: indium, detritylation, trityl ethers, protected alcohols, protected diols

Abstract: The reaction of primary, secondary, allylic and benzylic trityl ethers with indium powder in MeOH/NH₄Cl led to reductive cleavage of the trityl-oxygen bond, affording the corresponding alcohols in good to excellent yield under very mild reaction conditions. The detritylation process could successfully be extended to mono and detritylated diols. This methodology represents a new and efficient detritylation procedure under mild reaction conditions.

1. Introduction

The use of indium metal¹ in reduction processes applied to synthetic organic chemistry² is based on the fact that its electrode potential (5.8 eV) is rather similar to that of alkali metals, such as sodium (5.1 eV) or lithium (5.4 eV) and, therefore, indium metal behaves as an excellent single electron transfer reagent.³ This ability has been used successfully for the cleavage of carbon-heteroatom bonds and, consequently, for the deprotection of several functionalities such as protected alcohols, amines or thioethers.⁴ Among interesting protecting groups, the triphenylmethyl (trityl) unit has been extensively used in carbohydrate,⁵ peptide,⁶ and nucleoside chemistry,⁷ mainly due to its steric demand. In addition, deprotection of the trityl group can be easily achieved by simple acid hydrolysis,⁴ this procedure being useful only for compounds having functionalities not-sensitive to acidic conditions: as an example when nucleosides are tritylated at the 5'-OH position, its treatment with protic acids leads, together with the expected detritylation, to the hydrolysis of the *N*-glycosidic bond.⁸

In the last years, we have been interested in the deprotection of several oxygen, nitrogen and sulfur-containing compounds⁹ using lithium and a catalytic amount of naphthalene¹⁰ followed by hydrolysis. Among them, we investigated detritylation processes involving trityl ethers^{9a} and trityl amines.^{9b} In addition, more recently, we have explored the use of other metals, such as indium¹¹ or zinc,¹² in both cases in methanol, for the effective detritylation of 1-trityltetrazoles.^{13,14} In this paper,

we report the indium-promoted detritylation of trityl ethers as an efficient method for the deprotection of this type of compounds under very mild reaction conditions.

2. Results and discussion

As a model reaction we treated *n*-nonadecyl trityl ether (**1a**) with indium metal (1:1.7 molar ratio) under different reaction conditions finding that the optimal situation was the use of a mixture of methanol and aqueous ammonium chloride at reflux for 35 hours (Table 1, entry 8). Using other solvent combinations or diminishing the reaction temperature or time, no reaction occurred (Table 1, entries 1-7).

Table 1

Assayed conditions for the In-promoted cleavage of **1a** for 24 h

Entry	Solvent	T (°C)	Yield (%) ^a
1	In/MeOH/THF	rt	0
2	In/MeOH/THF	reflux	0
3	In/MeOH	rt	0
4	In/MeOH	reflux	0
5	In/THF	rt	0
6	In/THF	reflux	0
7	In/MeOH/THF/ NH ₄ Cl	rt	0
8	In/MeOH/NH ₄ Cl	reflux ^b	80


^aIsolated yield. ^bFor 35 h.

Having the best reaction conditions in hand, we studied the scope of the process. Primary (**1a-d**, Table 2, entries 1-4) or secondary (**1e-g**, Table 2, entries 5-7) trityl ethers were easily deprotected to afford the expected alcohols **2a-g** with yields ranging from 62 to 80%. Mono (**1h**, Table 2, entry 8) and ditritylated diols (**1i,j**, Table 2, entries 9 and 10) were fully deprotected using the same reaction conditions with the corresponding stoichiometry, affording the expected diols **2h-j**. The low yield obtained for diols **2h-j** could be explained by their partial solubility in water during the extractive work-up; actually no byproducts were observed in the reaction crude. Also allylic or benzylic derivatives (**1k** and **1l**, respectively, Table 2, entries 11 and 12) were detritylated without any


problem: allylic or benzylic carbon-oxygen cleavage was not observed in any case. Finally, the protected phenol **1m** (Table 2, entry 13) was submitted to the same protocol giving the parent phenol with reasonable yield.

Table 2

Indium-mediated detritylation of ethers **1**



Entry	Substrate	t (h)	Product	Yield (%) ^a
1	 1a	35	 2a	80
2	 1b	30	 2b	70
3	 1c	28	 2c	71
4	 1d	30	 2d	62
5	 1e	32	 2e	68
6	 1f	31	 2f	75
7	 1g	31	 2g	70
8	 1h	28	 2h	56
9	 1i	34	 2i	60



^a Yield of isolated product after purification by column chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate), based on the starting material **1**.

Starting ethers **1** were easily prepared from the corresponding commercially available alcohols **2** by a standard procedure: reaction of **2** with trityl chloride in the presence of triethylamine and a catalytic amount of 4-dimethylaminopyridine.^{9a}

Concerning a possible reaction mechanism, we believe that after the first single electron transfer (SET) from the metal to the ether, a trityl radical is formed, which after a second SET process gives the corresponding anion that in the reaction medium captures a chloride from ammonium chloride, giving trityl chloride. The alkoxide formed in the first step also traps a proton from the protic reaction medium to give the alcohol **2**. Actually, in order to support this proposal we were able to isolate trityl chloride (65%; column chromatography, silica gel hexane-EtOAc 9:1) in the detritylation of **1a** under the conditions shown in Table 2.

As a conclusion, we have reported here a simple, versatile and useful methodology for the detritylation of protected primary and secondary alcohols, as well as mono- or diprotected diols, and tritylated phenol, using indium in a protic medium.

3. Experimental part

3.1. General

FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Impact 400D spectrophotometer and Fourier Shimadzu FTIR-8201 PC using KBr pellets. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-300 spectrometer (300 MHz for ¹H and 75 MHz for ¹³C) and a Bruker AC-400 spectrometer (400 MHz for ¹H and 100 MHz for ¹³C) using CDCl₃, DMSO-*d*₆, or CD₃OD as solvent and TMS (δ = 0.00 ppm, ¹H) or CDCl₃ (δ = 77.0 ppm, ¹³C), DMSO-*d*₆ (δ = 2.50 ppm, ¹H; δ = 39.75 ppm, ¹³C), or CD₃OD (δ = 4.87 ppm, ¹H; δ = 49.0 ppm, ¹³C), Fourier

BRUCKER DPX 250 (250 MHz for ^1H and 62.5 MHz for ^{13}C) as internal standards; chemical shifts are given in δ (ppm) and coupling constants (J) in Hz. Multiplicities are given as s: singlet, d: doublet, t: triplet, td: triplet of doublet, m: multiplet. Elemental analyses were measured by the Technical Services at the University of Alicante. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (35-70 mesh). All reagents used for the synthesis of trityl ethers were of the best commercial grade and were used as received.

3.2. General procedure for tritylation of alcohols 2. Preparation of ethers **1**^{9a,13}

A solution of the commercially available alcohol **2** (5.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (2.5 mL) was added to a solution of trityl chloride (1.55 g, 5.5 mmol), Et_3N (1.25 mL, 8.8 mmol) and DMPA (46 mg, 0.2 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) at rt and the mixture was stirred overnight. The reaction was then quenched with water (2.5 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3×10 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with brine (2.5 mL) and dried over sodium sulfate. After evaporation of the solvents (15 Torr), the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane-EtOAc) affording the expected trityl ethers **1**. When diols were used (as precursor of ethers **1h-j**), a mixture of mono and deprotected products were obtained in variable proportions, which could be easily separated by column chromatography. Physical, spectroscopic and analytical data for the tritylated alcohols **1** follow.

3.2.1. Stearyl trityl ether (1a).¹³ White solid; yield 2.45 g (95%); mp: 70 °C; IR (cm^{-1}): 3000, 2950, 2400, 1500, 1450, 1300, 1050, 1010, 650; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 0.81 (t, 3 H, $J = 4.0$ Hz, CH_3), 1.18–1.56 [m, 24H, $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{12}$], 2.20–2.22 (m, 6H, 3 CH_2), 2.49–2.52 (m, 2H, CH_2CO), 2.96 (t, 2H, $J = 6.7$ Hz, CH_2O), 7.13–7.25 (m, 9H, ArH), 7.36–7.38 (m, 6H, ArH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 14.1 (CH_3), 22.6, 26.2, 29.3, 29.6 (8C), 30.0, 31.9, 39.9, 40.1, 40.3 [$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_{16}$], 63.6 (CH_2O), 86.5 (CO), 126.7 (3C), 127.6 (6C), 128.6 (6C), 144.5 (3C, ArC); MS m/z (%): 435 (M^+ -Ph, 8), 244 (39), 243 (100), 183 (29), 165 (15), 105 (16); Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{37}\text{H}_{52}\text{O}$: C, 86.66; H, 10.22. Found: C, 86.62; H, 10.26.

3.2.2. Hexyl trityl ether (1b).¹³ Colorless oil; yield 1.18 g (62%); IR (cm^{-1}): 3166, 3058, 3028, 1593, 1488, 1080, 1068; ^1H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 1.22 (d, 3H, $J = 6.1$ Hz, CH_3), 1.53–1.81 [m, 6H, $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3$], 3.08–3.17 (m, 2H, $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O}$), 3.76–3.88 (m, 2H, CH_2O), 7.23–7.37 (m, 9H, ArH), 7.42–7.50 (m, 6H, ArH); ^{13}C NMR (62.5 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 23.6 (CH_3), 26.5, 36.4 (2C), 63.8 [$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_4$], 68.0 (CH_2O), 86.7 (CO), 127.0 (3C), 127.8 (6C), 128.7 (6C), 144.3 (3C, ArC); MS m/z (%): 243 (M^+ -Ph- $\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$, 100), 183 (30), 167 (11), 166 (7), 165 (21), 105 (31), 77 (20); Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{28}\text{O}$: C, 87.16; H, 8.19. Found: C, 87.18; H, 8.16.

3.2.3. *n*-Decyl trityl ether (1c).^{9a} Colorless oil; yield 1.85 g (76%); IR (cm^{-1}): 3085, 3057, 3031, 1596, 1489, 1086, 1068; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 0.87 (t, 3H, $J = 8.0$ Hz, CH_3), 1.24–1.36 [m, 14H, $\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_7$], 1.51–1.65 (m, 2H, CH_2CO), 3.03 (t, 2H, $J = 6.7$ Hz, CH_2O), 7.19–7.31 (m, 9H, ArH), 7.43–7.46 (m, 6H, ArH); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 14.2 (CH_3), 22.8, 26.4, 29.4, 29.6, 29.7 (2C), 30.2, 32.0 [$\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_8$], 63.8 (CH_2O), 86.3 (CO), 126.9 (3C), 127.8 (6C), 128.8 (6C), 144.6 (3C, ArC); MS m/z (%): 400 (M^+ , 12), 323 (16), 244 (36), 243 (100), 183 (35), 165 (39), 105 (40).

3.2.4. *Isoamyl trityl ether (1d)*.¹⁵ Colorless oil; yield 1.24 g (75%); IR (cm⁻¹): 3070, 3024, 2912, 1485, 1446, 1083; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.80 (d, 6H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, [m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂], 1.47–1.53 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂O), 1.72–1.79 [m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂], 3.06 (t, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, CH₂O), 7.25–7.36 (m, 9H, ArH), 7.49–7.51 (m, 6H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 22.8 (2CH₃), 25.2 (CH), 39.1 (CH₂), 68.2 (CH₂O), 86.4 (CO), 126.9 (3C), 127.8 (6C), 128.8 (6C), 144.6 (3C, ArC); MS *m/z* (%): 330 (M⁺, 10), 244 (32), 243 (100), 253 (11), 183 (33), 165 (32), 105 (25); Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₆O: C, 87.23; H, 7.93. Found: C, 87.25; H, 7.90.

3.2.5. *2-Trityloxyoctane (1e)*.^{9a} Colorless oil; yield 1.60 g (78%); IR (cm⁻¹): 3055, 2925, 1598, 1489, 1075, 1026; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.83 (t, 3H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, CH₃CH₂), 0.86 (d, 3H, *J* = 4.0 Hz, CH₃CO), 1.05–1.23 [m, 10H, (CH₂)₅], 3.51–3.58 (m, 1H, CHO), 7.19–7.30 (m, 9H, ArH), 7.49–7.52 (m, 6H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.2 (CH₃CH₂), 21.3 (CH₃CO), 22.7, 25.0, 29.5, 31.9, 37.6 [(CH₂)₅], 70.2 (CHO), 86.5 (CO), 126.8 (3C), 127.7 (6C), 129.1 (6C), 145.7 (3C, ArC); MS *m/z* (%): 372 (M⁺, 4), 244 (30), 243 (100), 183 (39), 165 (48), 105 (43).

3.2.6. *Cyclopentyl trityl ether (1f)*.¹³ White solid; yield 0.82 g (62%); mp 84 °C; IR (cm⁻¹): 3055, 3028, 1596, 1485, 1045; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.18–1.35 [m, 6H, (CH₂CHH)₂], 1.50–1.64 [m, 2H, (CH₂CHH)₂], 3.95–4.07 (m, 1H, CHO), 7.15–7.31 (m, 9H, ArH), 7.45–7.53 (m, 6H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 23.8, 33.7 [(CH₂)₄], 76.5 (CHO), 86.9 (CO), 126.8 (3C), 127.8 (6C), 129.0 (6C), 145.6 (3C, ArC); MS *m/z* (%): 251 (M⁺-Ph, 6), 244 (34), 243 (100), 183 (18), 166 (6), 165 (44), 105 (17); Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₄O: C, 87.76; H, 7.37. Found: C, 87.73; H, 7.41.

3.2.7. *Cyclohexyl trityl ether (1g)*.^{9a} White solid; yield 1.58 g (92%); mp 85 °C; IR (cm⁻¹): 3000, 3010, 1600, 1450, 1000; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.01–1.35 [m, 6H, (CH₂)₃], 1.52–1.61 (m, 4H, 2CH₂), 3.46 (m, 1H, CHO), 7.19–7.29 (m, 9H, ArH), 7.55–7.53 (m, 6H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 24.4 (2C), 25.9, 33.9 (2C) [(CH₂)₅], 72.1 (CHO), 86.5 (CO), 126.9 (3C), 127.7 (6C), 129.1 (6C), 145.8 (3C, ArC); MS *m/z* (%): 342 (M⁺, 2), 244 (25), 243 (100), 183 (16), 165 (39), 105 (20).

3.2.8. *5-Trityloxy-2-pentanol (1h)*.¹³ White solid; yield 0.82 g (62%); mp 84 °C; IR (cm⁻¹): 3024, 2950, 2862, 1593, 1488, 1045; ¹H NMR (250 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 0.87–1.52 [m, 8H, CH₃, 2CH₂, and OH], 3.47–3.56 (m, 2H, CH₂O), 3.92–3.99 (m, 1H, CHOH), 7.23–7.37 (m, 9H, ArH), 7.37–7.44 (m, 6H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (62.5 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ 23.1 (CH₃), 33.0, 36.1 [(CH₂)₂], 66.2 (CH₂O), 75.8 (CHOH), 86.3 (CO), 126.9 (3C), 127.8 (6C), 128.4 (6C), 145.0 (3C, ArC); MS *m/z* (%): 244 (M⁺-C₅H₁₀O₂, 35), 243 (100), 183 (20), 165 (11), 105 (28), 77 (15), 51(7); Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₆O₂: C, 83.20; H, 7.56. Found: C, 83.24; H, 7.55.

3.2.9. *1,6-Di(trityloxy)hexane (1i)*.¹³ White solid; yield 1.60 g (53%); mp 160 °C; IR (cm⁻¹): 3000, 3010, 2950, 1450, 1000, 1010; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD-*d*₄): δ 1.30–1.33 [m, 4H, (CH₂CH₂CH₂O)₂], 1.53–1.62 [m, 4H, (CH₂CH₂CH₂O)₂], 3.00 [t, 4H, *J* = 6.6 Hz, (CH₂CH₂CH₂O)₂], 7.19–7.29 (m, 18H, ArH), 7.41–7.44 (m, 12H, ArH); ¹³C NMR: (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 26.2 (2C), 30.1 (2C) [(CH₂)₄], 63.6 (2CH₂O), 86.3 (2CO), 126.9 (6C), 127.8 (12C), 128.8 (12C), 144.6 (6C, ArC); MS *m/z* (%): 259 (3), 245 (4), 244 (31), 243 (100), 241 (2), 183 (4), 165 (20), 105 (13); Anal. Calcd for C₄₄H₄₂O₂: C, 87.67; H, 7.02. Found: C, 87.63; H, 7.03.

3.2.10. *1,4-Di(trityloxy)pentane (1j)*.^{9a} White solid; yield 1.62 g (55%); mp 160 °C; IR (cm⁻¹): 3083, 3052, 3022, 1598, 1500, 1081, 1075; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.88 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.1 Hz, CH₃), 1.04–1.24 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂), 1.38–1.69 (m, 2H, CH₂CHO), 2.73–2.95 (m, 2H, CH₂O), 3.49–3.62 (m, 1H, CHO), 7.08–7.58 (m, 30H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.2 (CH₃), 25.5, 34.0 [(CH₂)₂], 64.0 (CH₂O), 69.8 (CHO), 86.4 (CO), 86.6 (CO), 126.9 (3C), 127.7 (3C), 127.8 (6C), 128.0 (6C), 128.8 (6C), 129.1 (6C), 144.6 (3C), 145.6 (3C, ArC); MS (DIP) *m/z* (%): 588 (M⁺, 0.5), 244 (70), 243 (100), 165 (56), 105 (28); Anal. Calcd for C₄₃H₄₀O₂: C, 87.72; H, 6.85. Found: C, 87.70; H, 6.81.

3.2.11. *Geranyl trityl ether (1k)*.^{9a} Colorless oil; yield 1.75 g (88%); IR (cm⁻¹): 3043, 2920, 2866, 1670, 1596, 1446, 1049; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.52 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.67 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.75 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.04–2.21 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂), 3.67 (d, 2H, *J* = 6.4 Hz, CH₂O), 5.17 [t, 1H, *J* = 6.4 Hz HC=C(CH₃)₂], 5.55–5.46 (m, 1H, CH=CCH₂O), 7.24–7.39 (m, 9H, ArH), 7.50–7.56 (m, 6H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.6, 17.8, 25.8 (3CH₃), 26.5, 39.7 [(CH₂)₂], 61.4 (CH₂O), 86.7 (CO), 121.5, 124.2 (2CH=C), 126.9 (3C), 127.8 (6C), 127.8 (6C), 131.6, 138.6 (2C=CH), 144.5 (3C, ArC); MS: *m/z* (%) = 319 (M⁺-Ph, 0.5), 244 (39), 243 (100), 165 (34), 105 (11), 69 (11).

3.2.12. *Benzyl trityl ether (1l)*.^{9a} White solid; yield 1.60 g (92%); mp 95 °C; IR (cm⁻¹): 3163, 3031, 2896, 2866, 1593, 1488, 1076; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.51 (s, 2H, CH₂), 7.22–7.34 (m, 14H, ArH), 7.54–7.58 (m, 6H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 72.1 (CH₂), 86.5 (CO), 126.8 (2C), 127.3 (3C), 127.6, 127.8 (6C), 128.0 (2C), 129.1 (6C), 146.7, 146.9 (3C, ArC); MS *m/z* (%): 273 (M⁺-Ph, 3), 245 (18), 244 (100), 243 (85), 167 (11), 166 (16), 165 (68), 105 (51), 91 (88), 77 (27).

3.2.13. *Phenyl trityl ether (1m)*.¹³ Colorless oil; yield 1.62 g (38%); IR (cm⁻¹): 3166, 3055, 2947, 1593, 1485, 1076; ¹H NMR: (250MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.63–6.79 (m, 3H, ArH), 6.94–7.03 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.22–7.31 (m, 9H, ArH), 7.33–7.44 (m, 6H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (62.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 86.5 (CO), 122.1 (2C), 122.4 (2C), 128.2 (3C), 128.6 (6C), 129.3 (6C), 145.3 (3C), 146.6 (ArC); MS *m/z* (%): 274 (20), 243 (55), 198 (14), 197 (100), 167 (20), 166 (16), 165 (65), 152 (9), 105 (35), 77 (26), 51 (5); Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₀O: C, 89.25; H, 5.99. Found: C, 89.23; H, 5.96.

3.3. General procedure for the detritylation of ethers 1.

Indium powder (0.02 g, 1.7 mmol) was added to a solution of the substrate (0.1 mmol) in methanol (3 mL) and a saturated ammonium chloride solution (1 mL), and the mixture was heated at reflux. After the time indicated in table 2, the cooled reaction mixture was then quenched with water (2.5 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 × 5 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with brine (2.5 mL) and dried over sodium sulfate. After evaporation of the solvents, the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane–EtOAc) affording the expected alcohols **2**, which were characterized by comparison of their chromatographic and spectroscopic data (NMR) with commercially available authentic samples. Mass and yields for compounds **2**, as well as for trityl chloride, follow.

3.3.1. *1-Octadecanol (2a)*. Yield 21.5 mg (80%); *Trityl chloride*. Yield 18.1 mg (65%).

3.3.2. *1-Hexanol (2b)*. Yield 7.2 mg (70%).

- 3.3.3. *1-Decanol (2c)*. Yield 11.3 mg (71%).
- 3.3.4. *3-Methyl-1-butanol (2d)*. Yield 5.5 mg (62%).
- 3.3.5. *2-Octanol (2e)*. Yield 8.9 mg (68%).
- 3.3.6. *Cyclopentanol (2f)*. Yield 6.5 mg (75%).
- 3.3.7. *Cyclohexanol (2g)*. Yield 7.0 mg (70%).
- 3.3.8. *1,4-Pentanediol (2h)*. Yield 5.8 mg (56%).
- 3.3.9. *1,6-Hexanediol (2i)*. Yield 7.1 mg (60%).
- 3.3.10. *1,4-Pentanediol (2j = 2h)*. Yield 6.0 mg (58%).
- 3.3.11. *Geraniol (2k)*. Yield 9.6 mg (62 %).
- 3.3.12. *Benzyl alcohols (2l)*. Yield 8.9 mg (81%).
- 3.3.13. *Phenol (2m)*. Yield 6.4 mg (68%).

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Agence National pour le Développement de la Research en Santé (Algérie) and the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (CTQ2011-24155, CTQ2011-24165), the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (CTQ2013-43446-P, CTQ2014-51912-REDC, CTQ2014-53695-P), FEDER, the Generalitat Valenciana (PROMETEO 2009/039, PROMETEOII/2014/017), and the University of Alicante. We also thank the Spanish Ministerio de Asuntos Exteriores y de Cooperación (AP/039112/11).

References and notes

- For monographs on metals in organic synthesis, see: (a) *Active Metals. Preparation, Characterization and Applications*; Fürstner, A., Ed.; VCH: Weinheim, 1995; (b) Cintas, P. *Activated Metals in Organic Synthesis*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 1993.
- For reviews, see: (a) Cintas, P. *Synlett* **1995**, 1089; (b) Li, C. J. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, 52, 5643; (c) Marshall, J. A. *Chemtracts Org. Chem.* **1997**, 10, 481; (d) Li, C. J.; Chan, T. K. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, 55, 11149.

3. For reviews, see: (a) Podlech, J.; Maier, T. C. *Synthesis* **2003**, 633; (b) Yadav, J. S.; Antony, A.; George, J.; Subba, R. B. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, 591; (c) Shen, Z. L.; Wang, S. Y.; Chok, Y. K.; Xu, Y. H.; Loh, T. P. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 271.
4. For a general monograph, see: Wuts, P. G. M.; Green, T. W. *Green's Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*; J. Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, 2007.
5. Dekker, C. A.; Goodman, L. In *Chemistry and Biochemistry*; Pigman, W.; Horton, D., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1993; p 88.
6. Bodanszky, M.; Onetti, O. A. *Peptide Synthesis*; Interscience: New York, 1996; p 36.
7. Köster, H.; Hoppe, N.; Kohli, V.; Kröpelin, M.; Kaut, H.; Kulikowski, K. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 1980; vol 7, p 39.
8. Kunz, H.; Waldmann, H. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B.; Fleming, I.; Winterfieldt, E., Eds.; Oxford, 1991; vol 6, p 650.
9. (a) Yus, M.; Behloul, C.; Guijarro, D. *Synthesis* **2003**, 2179; (b) Behloul, C.; Guijarro, D.; Yus, M. *Synthesis* **2004**, 1274; (c) Behloul, C.; Guijarro, D.; Yus, M. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 6908; (d) Behloul, C.; Guijarro, D.; Yus, M. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 9319; (e) Behloul, C.; Guijarro, D.; Yus, M. *Synthesis* **2006**, 309; (f) Almansa, R.; Behloul, C.; Guijarro, D.; Yus, M. *Arkivoc* **2007**, (vii), 41; (g) Behloul, C.; Chouti, A.; Guijarro, D.; Nájera, C.; Yus, M. *Synthesis* **2015**, 47, 507.
10. For reviews, see: (a) Yus, M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1996**, *25*, 155; (b) Ramón, D. J.; Yus, M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, 225; (c) Yus, M. *Synlett* **2001**, 1197; (d) Yus, M. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2003**, *75*, 1453; (e) Yus, M. *The Chemistry of Organolithium Compounds*; Rappoport, Z.; Marek, I., Eds.; J. Wiley & Sons: Chichester: 2004; ch 11; (f) Candela, P.; Gómez, C.; Yus, M. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *40*, 795; (g) Yus, M. *Contrib. Sci.* **2010**, *6*, 155; (h) for an asymmetric version of this reaction, see: Martínez, R.; Pastor, I. M.; Yus, M. *Arkivoc* **2014**, (ii), 71; (i) for mechanistic studies, see: Yus, M.; Herrera, R. P.; Guijarro, A. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 2574; (j) de laViuda, M.; Yus, M.; Guijarro, A. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2011**, *115*, 14610; (k) for the previous papers on this chemistry from our group, see reference 9g.
11. Behloul, C.; Bouchelouche, K.; Guijarro, D.; Foubelo, F.; Nájera, C.; Yus, M. *Synlett* **2015**, 26, 2399.
12. Behloul, C.; Bouchelouche, K.; Hadji, Y.; Benseghir, S.; Guijarro, D.; Nájera, C.; Yus, M. *Synthesis* **2016**, 48, 2455.
13. We have also studied the use of metallic salts, such as lithium chloride for the detritylation of trityl ethers: Behloul, C.; Chouti, A.; Chabour, I.; Bengliz, B.; Guijarro, D.; Foubelo, F.; Nájera, C.; Yus, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2016**, *57*, 3526.
14. For other detritylation methodologies, involving triethylsilane,^{14a} low-valent titanium reagents,^{14b} cerium(IV) ammonium nitrate on silica gel,^{14c} protic^{14d} and Lewis acids^{14e} or bases,^{14f} electrolytic processes,^{14g} catalytic hydrogenation^{14h} or reduction with sodium in liquid ammonia¹⁴ⁱ see, for instance: (a) Imagawa, H.; Tsuchihashi, T.; Sing, R. K.; Yamamoto, H.;

- Sugihara, T.; Nishizawa, M. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, 5, 153; (b) Rele, S.; Nayak, S. K. *Synth. Commun.* **2002**, 32, 3533; (c) Hwu, J. R.; Jain, M. L.; Tsai, F.-Y.; Tsai, S.-C.; Balakumar, A.; Hakimelahi, G. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, 65, 5077; (d) Maltese, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 66, 7615; (e) Sabitha, G.; Reddy, E. V.; Swapna, R.; Mallikarjuna, R. N.; Yadav, J. S. *Synlett* **2004**, 1276; (f) Das, B.; Srinivas, K. V. N. S. *Synth. Commun.* **2002**, 32, 3027; (g) Marianovsky, V. G. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1976**, 15, 281; (h) Hanessian, S.; Rancourt, G. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1977**, 49, 1201; (i) Hanessian, S.; Gooke, N. G.; Dehoff, B.; Sakito, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, 112, 5276.
15. Nakayama, J.; Fujiwara, K.; Imura, M.; Hoshino, M. *Chem. Lett.* **1977**, 127.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

