ORGANOMETALLICS

Preparation, Characterization, and Catalytic Reactions of NCN Pincer Iron Complexes Containing Stannyl, Silyl, Methyl, and Phenyl Ligands

Jun-ichi Ito,* Satomi Hosokawa, Hairuzana Binti Khalid, and Hisao Nishiyama*

Department Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Preparation and reactivity of chiral and achiral NCN pincer Fe complexes containing bis(oxazolinyl)phenyl (abbreviated as phebox) ligands with SnMe₃, SiMe₃, Me, and Ph ligands were investigated. Irradiation of (phebox)SnMe₃ (2) with 1 equiv of $Fe(CO)_5$ led to oxidative addition to give NCN pincer stannyl complex (phebox)Fe(CO)₂(SnMe₃) (3). Similarly, oxidative addition of (phebox)SiMe₃ (4) with $Fe(CO)_5$ resulted in the formation of silyl complex (phebox)Fe(CO)₂SiMe₃ (5). Me and Ph complexes



 $(\text{phebox})\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{R}$ (7, R = Me; 8, R = Ph) were synthesized by transmetalation of the bromide complex $(\text{phebox})\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{Br}$ (1) with ZnMe₂ and ZnPh₂, respectively. These phebox Fe complexes served as catalysts for hydrosilylation of a ketone and C-H silylation of *N*-methylindole.

INTRODUCTION

Transition metal complexes containing meridional-type ligands have been applied to catalytic and stoichiometric reactions of organic and inorganic molecules.1 Since nonprecious metal catalysts are considered to be a significant research area toward environmentally friendly processes,² Fe complexes containing pyridine-based meridional ligands and related nitrogen ligands have been extensively developed as efficient catalysts in polymerization, oligomerization, cycloaddition, hydrosilylation, and hydrogenation, as well as asymmetric transformations.³⁻⁷ In contrast to neutral meridional pyridine ligands, NCN and PCP pincer ligands based on phenyl and alkyl scaffolds are expected to exhibit different properties arising from the anionic ligand framework with a Fe–C σ -bond. In addition, the presence of two metallacycles contributes to the robust structure. Since the early studies of $[C_6H_3(CH_2NMe_2)_2]$ FeCl₂^{8a,b} and $\{[C_6H_3(CH_2PMe_2)_2]FeCl_2\}_{n}^{8c}$ NCN and PCP pincer Fe complexes have been developed by several research groups for stoichiometric and catalytic reactions.^{8,9} In particular, C-H bond activation of PCP ligand precursors with Fe(PMe₃)₄ and $Fe(Me)_2(PMe_3)_4$ was used to form unique Fe hydride complexes, which served as efficient catalysts in hydrosilylation of carbonyl compounds and dehydrogenation of ammonia borane.8d-i However, examples of chiral and achiral NCN-Fe complexes remain to be explored compared to second- and third-row metals, such as Rh and Pd, likely due to their instability.

Reactivity of metal complexes is also affected by other ligands besides the spectator ancillary ligand. In that sense, group 14 elements, such as silyl, stannyl, alkyl, and aryl ligands, are considered to be important species for transition metal complexes.^{10–12} The characteristic aspects of silyl and stannyl complexes would be their strong *trans* effect and σ -donating characters.¹³ Since CpFe(CO)₂SiMe₃ was reported,¹⁴ various silyl transfer reactions mediated by Fe silyl species have been developed.¹⁵ Concurrently, Si–H and Sn–H oxidative addition and salt metathesis between an anionic complex and a silyl halide or a silyllithium and a metal halide were reported as common synthetic strategies for the preparation of silyl and stannyl complexes.¹⁰ In contrast, oxidative addition of Si–C and Sn–C is rare in Fe complexes, and examples are limited to reactions of cyclosilabutane or Ph₃Sn(CH₂)₂PPh₂ with Fe(0) carbonyl complexes.¹⁶

Recently, we described chiral NCN-Fe complexes (phebox)- $Fe(CO)_2Br$ (1) and their application to asymmetric hydrosilylation of ketones.^{9a} In the catalysis, the addition of Na(acac) (acac = acetylacetonate) enhanced reactivity and enantiose-lectivity, suggesting that the exchange of the Br ligand afforded a catalytically active species. We hypothesized that introduction of group 14 elements into the phebox-Fe framework might modify reactivity. In this context, oxidative addition of Si–C and Sn–C bonds of the phebox ligand precursor (phebox)-MMe₃ (M = Si, Sn) could be a versatile method.

Here, we report the preparation of NCN pincer Fe stannyl and silyl complexes, which was achieved by oxidative addition of SiMe₃- and SnMe₃-substituted ligand precursors. Structurally related alkyl and phenyl complexes are also described that were synthesized by transmetalation of the bromide complexes with organozinc reagents. Catalytic activities of these Fe complexes were evaluated in the hydrosilylation of ketones and C–H silylation of indole derivatives.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Following the preparation of $(\text{phebox-}dm)\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{Br}$ (1),^{9a} we examined oxidative addition of the stannyl precursor

Received: January 29, 2015 Published: April 1, 2015 (phebox-dm)SnMe₃ (2a)¹⁷ to Fe(0) carbonyl complexes. When thermal reaction of 2a with Fe₂(CO)₉ was carried out in THF at 50 °C for 12 h, the desired stannyl Fe complex (phebox-dm)-Fe(CO)₂SnMe₃ (3a) was obtained in 21% yield after purification by silica gel column chromatography (eq 1).



However, the formation of unidentified byproducts decreased the yield of **3a**. In contrast, the reaction of **2a** with $Fe(CO)_5$ did not proceed under heating at 50 °C. Oxidative addition requires a coordinatively unsaturated precursor $Fe(CO)_4$, which can be generated by the fragmentation of $Fe_2(CO)_9$.¹⁸ Thus, we examined the irradiation reaction of $Fe(CO)_5$ to generate a $Fe(CO)_4$ species. As a result, irradiation of a THF solution of **2a** and $Fe(CO)_5$ by a Xe lamp (250–385 nm) at room temperature for 12 h resulted in the formation of **3a** in 57% yield (eq 1). The use of a similar procedure provided the chiral complex (phebox-*ip*) $Fe(CO)_2SnMe_3$ (**3b**) in 62% yield. Notably, **3a** and **3b** are stable in air and light in the solid state. When a C_6D_6 solution of **3b** was irradiated by a Xe lamp for 15 h, decomposition of **3b** was not observed.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of 3a measured in C_6D_6 showed a signal from the SnMe₃ group at δ –0.08 ppm with satellite peaks (J_{SnH} = 38 Hz). The methyl groups on the oxazolines were observed as two singlet peaks at δ 0.96 and 0.97 in the integral ratio of 6H:6H. This spectral feature suggests that 3a has C_s symmetric geometry. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, the signal of the SnMe₃ group appeared at δ -7.1 ppm with satellite peaks $(J_{SnC} = 169 \text{ Hz})$. The IR spectrum showed CO stretching vibrations at 1988 and 1907 cm⁻¹. These peaks of 3a were shifted to lower frequency compared to those of 1a (2020 and 1969 cm⁻¹), suggesting enhanced back-donation. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of 3b, signals of the iPr groups on the oxazolines were observed as four independent peaks due to an unsymmetrical structure. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3b exhibited signals of the SnMe₃ at δ -0.02 and -7.1 ppm, respectively.

Crystals of **3a** were obtained by cooling a CH₃CN solution at -20 °C. The ORTEP diagram obtained by X-ray analysis is shown in Figure 1. The structure around the Fe center is described as a pseudo-octahedron with a meridionally coordinated phebox ligand. The Fe1–C1 bond length of 1.9226(19) Å is comparable to those of neutral NCN Fe complexes, **1a** [1.930(2) Å],^{9a} (phebox)Fe(CO)(CNtBu)Br [1.923(7) Å],^{9b} and [C₆H₃(CH₂NMe₂)₂]FeCl₂ [1.937(2) Å],^{8a} and shorter than those of the PCP-Fe complexes [C₆H₃(OPiPr₂)₂]-Fe(H)L₂ [L = PMe₃, CO; Fe–C = 1.995(2)–2.001(2) Å].^{8h,i} The SnMe₃ ligand is attached at a position vertical to the NCN ligand plane. This feature is a consequence of oxidative addition of the C–Sn bond of **2a** to the Fe(0) center. The Sn–Fe bond length of 2.5930(3) Å is slightly longer than in CpFe(CO)-(SnMe₃)₂(H) [2.558(1), 2.569(1) Å].¹⁹ The Fe1–C10 bond length [1.806(2) Å] is longer than the Fe1–C11 bond length



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of 3a at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): Fe1-C1 = 1.9226(19), Fe1-C10 = 1.806(2), Fe1-C11 = 1.790(2), Fe1-N1 = 2.0088(12), Fe1-Sn1 = 2.5930(3), C10-O2 = 1.137(3), C11-O3 = 1.153(3), Sn1-C12 = 2.1657(19), Sn1-C13 = 2.172(3). Selected angles (deg): N1-Fe1-N1 = 156.42(7), C1-Fe1-C11 164.54(9), Sn1-Fe1-C10 = 177.02(6), C1-Fe1-Sn1 = 78.55(6).

[1.790(2) Å] due to the *trans* influence of the SnMe₃ ligand. Another structural feature is that the equatorial CO ligand deviates significantly from linearity with the C1–Fe1–C11 bond angle of 164.54(9)°, compared to that of **1a** (175.82°).

Next, we studied oxidative addition of a C–Si bond of the organosilane precursor (phebox-dm)SiMe₃ (4a)²⁰ to synthesize a silyl complex (eq 2). The irradiation reaction of 4a with



 $Fe(CO)_5$ for 24 h led to the formation of the silyl complex (phebox-*dm*) $Fe(CO)_2(SiMe_3)$ (**5a**). The purification of the crude product by silica gel column chromatography afforded **5a** in 60% yield. Similarly, chiral complexes **5b**–**d**, containing isopropyl, phenyl, and benzyl groups on the oxazolines, were also obtained in 70–76% yields in a similar manner. Oxidative addition of the C–Si bond took longer compared to that of the C–Sn bond. As mentioned earlier, a C–Si bond cleavage by metal complexes was promoted by coordination of a phosphine ligand or the ring opening of distorted cyclosilabutane.^{16,21} In our case, coordination of the nitrogen atom on the oxazoline ring could act as a directing group for the C–Si bond cleavage.

Complexes 5a-d were identified on the basis of ¹H and ¹³C NMR, IR spectra, and elemental analysis. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of 5a, two singlet signals of methyl groups on the oxazolines were observed at δ 0.97 and 1.02 ppm due to the C_s symmetry. The methyl signal of the SiMe₃ group appeared at δ 0.04 ppm and 3.9 in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, respectively. In the IR spectrum, two CO absorptions were observed at 1982 and 1902 cm⁻¹, which were similar to those of **3a**.

Crystals of **5a** and **5b** were obtained by cooling a pentane solution to -20 °C. The ORTEP diagrams are shown in Figures 2 and 3. The complexes **5a** and **5b** were described as



Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of **5a** at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): Fe1-C1 = 1.920(2), Fe1-N1 = 2.0121(13), Fe1-S1 = 2.4006(10), Fe1-C10 = 1.823(2), Fe1-C11 = 1.789(2), C10-O2 = 1.134(3), C11-O3 = 1.156(3). Selected angles (deg): N1-Fe1-N1 = 156.87(7), C1-Fe1-C11 = 167.79(8), Si1-Fe1-C10 = 175.12(6).



Figure 3. ORTEP diagram of **5b** at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): F1-C1 = 1.9145(13), Fe1-C19 = 1.8176(14), Fe1-C20 = 1.7847(15), Fe1-N1 = 1.9915(11), Fe1-N2 = 2.0115(11), Fe1-Si1 = 2.4237(5), C19-O3 = 1.1414(17), C20-O4 = 1.1516(18), N1-Fe1-N2 = 156.90(5), C1-Fe1-C20 = 165.78(6), Si1-Fe1-C19 = 176.92(4).

pseudo-octahedrons with meridional coordination of the phebox ligand and *cis* arrangement of the CO ligands. The SiMe₃ ligand was perpendicularly coordinated to the phebox plane as described in the SnMe₃ ligand of **3a**. In **5a**, the Fe1–C1 bond length [1.920(2) Å] is comparable with those of other phebox Fe complexes.⁹ The Fe1–Si1 bond length of 2.4006(10) Å is slightly longer than those of (C_5H_5) Fe and (C_5Me_5) Fe silyl complexes (2.26–2.34 Å)^{15g,22a} and $(CO)_2(dppe)$ Fe(H)SiMe₃ [2.360(2) Å].^{22b} The Fe1–C10 bond length of 1.823(2) Å is slightly longer than the Fe1–C11 bond length of 1.789(2) Å, suggesting a *trans* influence of the silyl group as observed in the stannyl complex **3a**.

We were interested in comparing the methyl and phenyl complexes to the stannyl and silyl complexes. Thus far, $CpFe(CO)_2R$ has been prepared by oxidative addition of MeI to $[CpFe(CO)_2]^-$ or transmetalation of $CpFe(CO)_2X$ with organometallic reagents.²³ In this regard, we examined

transmetalation of 1a and 1b with several organometallic reagents. As a result, reaction of 1a with 2 equiv of $ZnMe_2$ at room temperature for 15 min resulted in the formation of methyl complex 7a, which was isolated in 84% yield by column chromatography (eq 3). Similarly, reaction of 1b with $ZnMe_2$



afforded the methyl complex 7b in 55% yield. On the other hand, the use of MeLi and MeMgBr resulted in decomposition with a free phebox ligand. Unfortunately, irradiation of (phebox-dm)Me with Fe(CO)₅ in THF- d_8 did not afford 7a.

In the ¹H NMR spectrum of 7a, two singlets assigned to the methyl groups on the oxazolines were observed at δ 0.91 and 0.98 ppm. The characteristic singlet signal of the methyl ligand appeared at δ –0.02 ppm. This chemical shift was in the range of other iron methyl complexes.²⁴ The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 7a showed a signal for the methyl ligand at δ 13.6 ppm. The IR spectrum of 7a revealed two CO absorptions at 1982 and 1927 cm⁻¹, which were shifted to higher wave numbers compared to 3a and 5a.

The phenyl complex 8 was also synthesized by treatment of 1a with an excess amount of ZnPh₂ in 52% yield (eq 3). The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 8 showed the signal assigned to the *ipso*-carbon of the phenyl ligand at δ 165.0 ppm.

Crystals of **7a** and **8** were obtained by cooling a pentane solution to -20 °C. The geometry around the Fe atom is pseudo-octahedral, and the methyl and phenyl ligands are coordinated to the vertical position of the phebox plane (Figures 4 and 5). In **7a**, the Fe–Me bond length (Fe1–C17 = 2.071(3) Å) is in the range of other Fe methyl complexes (Fe–Me = 2.00–2.18 Å).²⁵ The Fe–Ph bond in **8** of 2.0619(19) Å was also found to be similar to those of other iron phenyl complexes (Fe–Ph = 1.99–2.09 Å).²⁶

In comparing structures of 3a, 5a, 7a, and 8, the Fe–CO bond *trans* to the stannyl, silyl, methyl, and phenyl ligands was significantly affected by these ligands. The longest distance of the Fe–CO bond was observed in the silyl complex 5a. This trend likely follows the strength of the *trans* influence of these ligands.¹³

In order to evaluate the catalytic activity of this series of phebox Fe complexes with stannyl, silyl, and alkyl ligands, asymmetric hydrosilylation of ketone **9** was examined.^{7a-e,27} The catalytic reaction of **9** with 1.5 equiv of **11a** was performed in the presence of 2 mol % of the Fe complexes at 50 °C for 24 h (Table 1). The silyl complex **5b** was found to show the highest reactivity among **3b**, **5b**, and **7b** to give the corresponding (*R*)-alcohol **10a** in 98% yield with 32% ee (entries 1–3). Low reactivity of the stannyl complex **3b** was probably due to its high stability.²⁸ In the case of **7b**, decomposition of the phebox-Fe framework might lead to the decrease in yield and enantioselectivity. We previously reported



Figure 4. ORTEP diagram of 7a at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): Fe1-C1 = 1.924(2), Fe1-N1 = 2.007(2), Fe1-N2 = 2.004(2), Fe1-C17 = 2.071(3), Fe1-C18 = 1.802(3), Fe1-C19 = 1.789(3), C18-O3 = 1.143(3), C19-O4 = 1.149(3). Selected angles (deg): N1-Fe1-N2 = 156.84(8), C1-Fe1-C19 = 169.158(11).



Figure 5. ORTEP diagram of 8 at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): Fe1–C1 = 1.9236(18), Fe1–N1 = 2.0046(16), Fe1–N2 = 2.0059(16), Fe1–C17 = 2.0619(19), Fe1–C23 = 1.8031(19), Fe1–C24 = 1.802(2), C23–O3 = 1.138(2), C24–O4 = 1.149(2). Selected angles (deg): N1–Fe2–N2 = 156.85(6), C1–Fe1–C24 = 175.32(8).

that the bromide complex **1b** required a base, Na(acac), to facilitate the hydrosilylation of **9**.^{9a} In contrast, the silyl complex **5b** underwent the catalytic reaction in the absence of bases, indicating that **5b** served as an efficient precursor. The use of nonpolar solvents promoted the catalytic reaction, while THF and MeCN resulted in a decrease in the yield (entries 4–6). Silyl complexes **5c** and **5d** with phenyl and benzyl groups required longer times to complete the reaction probably due to the presence of bulky substituents (entries 7 and 8). Regarding the hydrosilanes, **11a** was found to be the best reducing agent (entries 4, 9–11). Catalytic reaction under irradiation of a Xe lamp at room temperature resulted in low yields (entries 12, 13). Other aromatic ketones **9b–e** gave high yields, but modest enantioselectivity (entries 14–17). Notably, the absolute configuration of **10a** was the same as that obtained by **1b**.^{9a}

A proposed mechanism is shown in Scheme 1, following the mechanism of Rh-catalyzed hydrosilylation of ketones proposed by Ojima and co-workers.²⁹ We propose the silyl intermediate **A** as the active species. Insertion of a C=O bond into the Fe–Si bond of **B** forms a silyloxyalkyl intermediate **C**.³⁰ Reaction of **C** with hydrosilane gives the product and regenerates **A**. In this regard, oxidative addition of a Si–H bond and reductive elimination of the product might proceed following the proposed mechanism of the formation of CpFe(CO)₂SiR₃ from CpFe(CO)₂Me.^{15e,f,n,31} We previously reported that the

Table 1. Asymmetric Hydrosilylation of Ketones^a



Ar = 4-PhC₆H₄ (**9a**), 4-ClC₆H₄ (**9b**), 3-MeOC₆H₄ (**9c**) 4-MeO₂CC₆H₄ (**9d**), 2-naphthyl (**9e**)

entry	cat.	ketone	HSiR ₃ ^b	solvent	yield (%)	ee (%)
1	3b	9a	11a	hexane	8	4
2	5b	9a	11a	hexane	98	32
3	7b	9a	11a	hexane	56	12
4	5b	9a	11a	toluene	99	34
5	5b	9a	11a	THF	17	8
6	5b	9a	11a	MeCN	0	
7^c	5c	9a	11a	toluene	90	21
8 ^c	5d	9a	11a	toluene	99	3
9	5b	9a	11b	toluene	85	15
10	5b	9a	11c	toluene	66	6
11	5b	9a	11d	toluene	2	0
12^d	3b	9a	11a	toluene	29	2
13^d	5b	9a	11a	toluene	31	8
14	5b	9b	11a	toluene	90	18
15	5b	9c	11a	toluene	81	16
16	5b	9d	11a	toluene	82	9
17	5b	9e	11a	toluene	88	10

^{*a*}Reaction condition: 9 (0.5 mmol), 11 (0.75 mmol), Fe catalyst (0.01 mmol), 50 °C, 24 h. ^{*b*}(EtO)₂MeSiH (11a), PhSiH₃ (11b), Ph₂SiH₂ (11c), (Me₃SiO)₂MeSiH (11d). ^{*c*}48 h. ^{*d*}Irradiation by Xe lamp at room temperature for 24 h.



coordination number of the phebox ligand was flexible.^{9b} A change in coordination between NCN-tridentate and NC-bidentate modes might promote the final exchange process.

We also examined the silyl transfer reaction using the phebox Fe complexes. In this context, direct C–H silylation of aromatic compounds with hydrosilane is an attractive transformation to silyl compounds.³² Recently, developments of C–H silylation of indole derivatives with hydrosilane catalyzed by Ir, Ru, and Fe have been reported.³³ When the mixture of 10 equiv of *N*-methylindole (12) and Ph₂MeSiH (11e) in the presence of the silyl complex **5a** (0.2 equiv) was heated at 60 °C for 3 days, the corresponding β -silylated indole 13 was obtained in 46% yield (eq 4).³⁴ In this reaction, the α -silylated product was



not detected as reported by Ru and Fe catalysts,^{33c,d} nor was incorporation of the SiMe₃ group observed. We are tentatively assuming that a Fe-SiPh₂Me intermediate as described in **A** of Scheme 1 was formed by reaction of **5a** and Ph₂MeSiH.³⁵ Electrophilic substitution with *N*-methylindole then produced the silylated compound **13**.

CONCLUSION

We described the preparation and characterization of a series of NCN pincer Fe complexes containing stannyl, silyl, methyl, and phenyl ligands. The silyl and stannyl complexes were successfully obtained by oxidative addition of C-Si and C-Sn bonds in the ligand precursors by $Fe(CO)_5$ under irradiation conditions. The methyl and phenyl complexes were synthesized by transmetalation of the bromide Fe complex with ZnMe₂ and ZnPh2, respectively. The phebox Fe complexes adopted similar pseudo-octahedral geometry, containing the meridionally NCN-coordinated phebox ligand with the η^1 -stannyl, silyl, methyl, and phenyl ligands at the apical position. The catalytic activity of pincer Fe complexes was evaluated in the hydrosilvlation of a ketone, where the silvl complex was found to be the most suitable catalyst. The silyl complex also catalyzed the C-H silvlation of N-methylindole with hydrosilane to give the β -silvlated indole compound.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures. All air- and moisture-sensitive compounds were manipulated using standard Schlenk and vacuum line techniques under an argon atmosphere. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained at 25 °C on a Varian Mercury 300 spectrometer. ¹H NMR chemical shifts are reported in δ units, in ppm, relative to the singlet at 7.26 ppm for CDCl₃ and 7.16 ppm for C₆D₆. ¹³C NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shifts relative to the triplet at 77.0 ppm for CDCl₃ and 128.0 ppm for C₆D₆. Infrared spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-230 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were recorded on a YANACO MT-6. Photoreaction was performed by using an ASAHI SPECTRA MAX-303 with a xenon lamp. Column chromatography was performed with a silica gel column (Kanto Kagaku Silica gel 60N). (Phebox)SnMe₃¹⁷ and (Phebox)SiMe₃²⁰ were prepared by the literature methods.

Reaction of (Phebox)SnMe₃ with Fe(CO)₅. To a THF solution (6 mL) of (phebox-dm)SnMe₃ (2a) (130 mg, 0.30 mmol) was added $Fe(CO)_5$ (45 µL, 0.33 mmol) under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred under irradiation for 12 h. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate/hexane (1:5) to give (phebox-dm)Fe-(CO)₂SnMe₃ (3a) (94.7 mg, 0.17 mmol, 57%). A similar procedure using (phebox-ip)SnMe₃ (2b) (139 mg, 0.30 mmol) gave (phebox-ip)-Fe(CO)₂SnMe₃ (3b) (106.8 mg, 0.19 mmol, 62%). 3a: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6 , rt): δ -0.08 (J_{SnH} = 38 Hz, 9H, SnMe₃), 0.96 (s, 6H), 0.97 (s, 6H), 3.66 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C_6D_6 , rt): δ -7.1 (J_{SnC} = 169 Hz, $SnMe_3$), 27.0, 28.6, 65.8, 82.2, 121.7, 124.8, 131.2, 168.1, 208.6, 214.7, 219.4. IR (KBr): ν 2973, 2901, 1988 ($\nu_{\rm CO}$), 1907 ($\nu_{\rm CO}$), 1605, 1541, 1484, 1397, 1335, 981, 728 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{28}FeN_2O_4Sn:$ C, 46.11; H, 5.16; N, 5.12. Found: C, 46.02; H, 5.27; N, 5.01. 3b: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6 , rt): δ -0.02 (J_{SnH} = 38 Hz, 9H, SnMe₃), 0.42 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.46 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.54 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.58 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 2.21–2.32 (m, 2H), 3.22–3.32 (m, 2H), 3.69–4.01 (m, 4H), 7.01 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C_6D_6 , rt): δ -7.1 (J_{SnC} = 170 Hz, SnMe3), 14.4, 15.2, 19.2, 20.3, 29.4, 30.2, 70.1, 71.0, 71.2, 71.4, 121.6, 125.0, 125.2, 130.1, 131.3, 169.7, 170.5, 208.7, 216.0, 218.2. IR (KBr): ν 2960, 2909, 1981 ($\nu_{\rm CO}$), 1903 ($\nu_{\rm CO}$), 1603, 1543, 1487, 1388, 1334, 1144, 968, 734 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{23}H_{32}FeN_2O_4Sn: C$, 48.04; H, 5.61; N, 4.87. Found: C, 48.45z; H, 5.88; N, 4.87.

Reaction of (Phebox)SiMe₃ with Fe(CO)₅. To a THF solution (6 mL) of (phebox-dm)SiMe₃ (4a) (103 mg, 0.30 mmol) was added $Fe(CO)_5$ (45 µL, 0.33 mmol) under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred under irradiation for 24 h. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate/hexane (1:5) to give (phebox-dm)Fe-(CO)₂SiMe₃ (5a) (83 mg, 0.18 mmol, 60%). A similar procedure using (phebox-ip)SiMe₃ (4b) (74.5 mg, 0.20 mmol), 4c (44.1 mg, 0.10 mmol), and 4d (46.9 mg, 0.10 mmol) gave (phebox-ip)-Fe(CO)₂SiMe₃ (5b) (74.3 mg, 0.152 mmol, 76%), 5c (38.7 mg, 0.070 mmol, 70%), and 5d (43.5 mg, 0.075 mmol, 75%), respectively. 5a: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, rt): δ 0.04 (s, 9H, SiMe₃), 0.97 (s, 6H), 1.02 (s, 6H), 3.69 (s, 4H), 7.03 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, I = 7.5 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆, rt): δ 3.9, 26.4, 29.0, 66.2, 82.1, 121.6, 124.3, 131.8, 168.1, 208.2, 216.6, 219.2. IR (KBr): ν 2969, 2903, 1982 (ν_{CO}), 1902 (ν_{CO}), 1606, 1543, 1486, 1396, 1337, 1204, 978, 828, 736 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₈FeN₂O₄Si: C, 55.27; H, 6.18; N, 6.14. Found: C, 55.28; H, 6.24; N, 6.15. 5b: ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, C_6 D_{61} \text{ rt}): \delta - 0.03 (s, 9H, SiMe_3), 0.49 (d, I = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 6H),$ 0.55 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.62 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 2.20–2.35 (m, 2H), 3.24–3.38 (m, 2H), 3.72–3.98 (m, 4H), 7.01 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $C_6 D_{61}$ rt): δ 4.0, 14.5, 16.1, 19.3, 20.8, 29.3, 30.1, 70.1, 71.1, 71.4, 71.7, 121.4, 124.6, 124.8, 130.0, 131.7, 169.5, 170.8, 208.1, 217.8, 218.2. IR (KBr): ν 2952, 1979 (ν_{CO}), 1901 (ν_{CO}), 1604, 1489, 1387, 1335, 1145, 966, 827, 732 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₃₂FeN₂O₄Si: C, 57.02; H, 6.66; N, 5.78. Found: C, 57.13; H, 6.80; N, 5.76. 5c: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6 , rt): δ 0.29 (s, 9H, Si Me_3), 3.93–4.26 (m, 6H), 6.96–7.16 (m, 11H), 7.81 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆, rt): δ 3.6, 70.0, 70.8, 77.5, 78.7, 121.3, 124.6, 124.7, 128.7, 129.0, 129.1, 129.5, 130.3, 131.5, 137.9, 140.4, 169.7, 170.1, 206.9, 216.3, 220.3. IR (KBr): 2962, 1981 (ν_{CO}), 1923 (ν_{CO}), 1483, 1390, 1261, 1142, 1089, 971 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{29}H_{28}FeN_2O_4Si$: C, 63.05; H, 5.11; N, 5.07. Found: C, 63.20; H, 4.93; N, 4.92. 5d: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, $C_6 D_{61}$ rt): $\delta 0.00$ (s, 9H, SiMe₃), 2.17 (t, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (t, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 3.66-3.94 (m, 8H), 6.79-7.07 (m, 11H), 7.74 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆, rt): δ 4.2, 41.7, 41.9, 67.1, 68.0, 75.8, 76.7, 121.7, 124.8, 124.9, 127.0, 127.1, 129.06, 129.1, 129.4, 130.6, 131.3, 137.25, 137.27, 170.0, 170.3, 207.3, 218.3, 218.6. IR (KBr): 2960, 1980 ($\nu_{\rm CO}$), 1912 ($\nu_{\rm CO}$), 1485, 1392, 1260, 1090, 970, 801, 732 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₃₂FeN₂O₄Si: C, 64.14; H, 5.56; N, 4.83. Found: C, 64.08; H, 5.57; N, 4.68.

Reaction of 1a with ZnMe₂. To a toluene solution (10 mL) of (phebox-dm)Fe(CO)₂Br (1a) (231 mg, 0.5 mmol) was added a hexane solution of ZnMe₂ (1 M, 1 mL, 1 mmol) under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate/hexane (1:6) to give (phebox-*dm*)Fe(CO)₂Me (7a) (170.6 mg, 0.42 mmol, 84%). A similar procedure using (phebox-ip)Fe(CO)₂Br (1b) (245 mg, 0.50 mmol) gave (phebox-ip)Fe(CO)₂Me₃ (7b) (117.7 mg, 0.28 mmol, 55%). 7a: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6 , rt): δ –0.02 (s, 3H, Me), 0.91 (s, 6H), 0.98 (s, 6H), 3.56 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 3.63 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆, rt): δ 13.6 (Me), 27.3, 27.9, 65.7, 82.1, 122.0, 124.9, 131.1, 168.5, 207.7, 219.9, 226.1. IR (KBr): v 2971, 2931, 2870, 1982 (v_{CO}), 1927 $(\nu_{\rm CO})$, 1610, 1485, 1394, 1338, 1206, 1140, 979, 734 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C19H22FeN2O4: C, 57.30; H, 5.57; N, 7.03. Found: C, 56.59; H, 5.80; N, 6.85. Correct elemental analysis could not be obtained after several attempts. 7b: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6 , rt): δ 0.09 (br, 3H, *Me*), 0.44 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.46 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.55 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 0.59 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.19 (br, 2H), 3.19 (br, 2H), 3.60-3.90 (br, 4H), 7.03 (br, 1H), 7.68 (br, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆, rt): δ 12.2 (Me), 14.5, 15.0, 19.2, 19.8, 29.5, 29.9, 68.7, 71.0, 71.1, 71.4, 122.0, 125.1, 125.2, 130.4, 130.5, 170.1, 170.5, 207.7, 218.3, 226.2. IR (KBr): ν 2961, 2873, 1987 (ν_{CO}), 1921 (ν_{CO}), 1651, 1611, 1556, 1487, 1386, 1335, 1250, 1145, 968, 733 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₆FeN₂O₄: C, 59.17; H, 6.15; N, 6.57. Found: C, 58.01; H, 6.33; N, 6.29. Correct elemental analysis could not be obtained after several attempts.

Reaction of 1a with ZnPh₂. To (phebox-*dm*)Fe(CO)₂Br (1a) (185 mg, 0.4 mmol) and ZnPh₂ (351 mg, 1.6 mmol) was added toluene (8 mL) under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at room temperature for 1 min, the reaction mixture was quickly purified by column chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate/hexane (1:6) to give (phebox-*dm*)Fe(CO)₂Ph (8) (96.6 mg, 20.8 mmol, 52%). 8: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, rt): δ 0.85 (s, 6H), 0.92 (s, 6H), 3.42 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.55 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.01–7.07 (m, 4H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.65–7.72 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, C₆D₆, rt): δ 27.1, 28.3, 66.2, 81.9, 122.5, 122.9, 125.6, 126.5, 130.2, 132.0, 132.3, 139.6, 165.0 (Fe-C_{ipso}), 169.5, 209.2, 216.1, 221.1. IR (KBr): ν 2963, 2005 (ν_{CO}), 1935 (ν_{CO}), 1611, 1549, 1486, 1397, 1261, 1094, 1022, 803 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₄FeN₂O₄: C, 62.62; H, 5.26; N, 6.09. Found: C, 62.09; H, 5.29; N, 5.81. Correct elemental analysis could not be obtained after several attempts.

Hydrosilylation of 9a. To a solution of Fe catalyst (0.01 mmol) and **9a** (0.5 mmol) was added hydrosilane (0.75 mmol) by a syringe under an argon atmosphere. After being stirred at 50 °C for 24 h, a HCl solution was added at 0 °C. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The extract was washed with brine and saturated NaHCO₃ and dried over Na₂SO₄. After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography with ethyl acetate/ hexane to give **10a**.

Reaction of 12 with Ph₂MeSiH. To a solution of Fe catalyst (0.06 mmol) and **12** (3 mmol) was added Ph₂MeSiH (0.3 mmol) by a syringe under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 3 days. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give **13**.

X-ray Diffraction. The diffraction data for 3a, 5a, 5b, 7a, and 8 were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.710$ 73 Å). An empirical absorption correction was applied by using SADABS. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F^2 using SHELXTL. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All hydrogen atoms were located on calculated positions and refined as rigid groups. Crystallographic data are summarized in the Supporting Information.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experimental procedure and characterization of 13, crystallographic data and CIF files for 3a, 5a, 5b, 7a, and 8, and NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: jito@apchem.nagoya-u.ac.jp (J. Ito). *E-mail: hnishi@apchem.nagoya-u.ac.jp (H. Nishiyama).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Nos. 22245014, 26410114).

REFERENCES

(1) Reviews for pincer complexes: (a) Albrecht, M.; van Koten, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3750–3781. (b) van der Boom, M. E.; Milstein, D. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1759–1792. (c) Choi, J.; MacArthur, A. H. R.; Brookhart, M.; Goldman, A. S. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1761–1779. (d) Selander, N.; Szabo, K. J. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 2048–2076. (e) The Chemistry of Pincer Compounds; Morales-Morales, D., Jensen, C. M., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, U.K., 2007. (f) Topics in Organometallic Chemistry; van Koten, G., Milstein, D., Eds.; Springer: Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; Vol. 40.

(2) Reviews for Fe catalysts: (a) Gopalaiah, K. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 3248–3296. (b) Correa, A.; Mancheño, O. G.; Bolm, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1108–1117. (c) Sun, C.-L.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1293–1314. (d) Bolm, C.; Legros, J.; Paih, J. L.; Zani, L. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 6217–6254. (e) Morris, R. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2282–2291. (f) Enthaler, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3317–3321. (g) Junge, K.; Schrö der, K.; Beller, M. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 4849–4859.

(3) (a) Small, B. L.; Brookhart, M.; Bennett, A. M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 4049–4050. (b) Britovsek, G. J. P.; Gibson, V. C.; Kimberley, B. S.; Maddox, P. J.; McTavish, S. J.; Solan, G. A.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Chem. Commun. 1998, 849–850. (c) Britovsek, G. J. P.; Bruce, M.; Gibson, V. C.; Kimberley, B. S.; Maddox, P. J.; Mastroianni, S.; McTavish, S. J.; Redshaw, C.; Solan, G. A.; Strömberg, S.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 8728– 8740. (d) Takeuchi, D.; Matsuura, R.; Park, S.; Osakada, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7002–7003. (e) Boudier, A.; Breuil, P.-A. R.; Magna, L.; Olivier-Bourbigou, H.; Braunstein, P. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 1398–1407. (f) Bouwkamp, M. W.; Bowman, A. C.; Lobkovsky, E.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13340–13341. (g) Bart, S. C.; Lobkovsky, E.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13794–13807. (h) Enthaler, S.; Hagemann, B.; Erre, G.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Chem.—Asian J. 2006, 1, 598–604.

(4) (a) Langer, R.; Leitus, G.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2120–2124. (b) Langer, R.; Diskin-Posner, Y.; Leitus, G.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9948–9952. (c) Langer, R.; Iron, M. A.; Konstantinovski, L.; Diskin-Posner, Y.; Leitus, G.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 7196–7209. (d) Srimani, D.; Diskin-Posner, Y.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 14131–14134.

(5) Peng, D.; Zhang, Y.; Du, X.; Zhang, L.; Leng, X.; Walter, M. D.; Huang, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 19154–19166.

(6) (a) Danopoulos, A. A.; Tsoureas, N.; Wright, J. A.; Light, M. E. Organometallics 2004, 23, 166–168. (b) McGuinness, D. S.; Gibson, V. C.; Steed, J. W. Organometallics 2004, 23, 6288–6292. (c) Danopoulos, A. A.; Wright, J. A.; Motherwell, W. B. Chem. Commun. 2005, 784–786. (d) Danopoulos, A. A.; Pugh, D.; Smith, H.; Saßmannshausen, J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2009, 15, 5491–5502. (e) Bedford, R. B.; Betham, M.; Bruce, D. W.; Danopoulos, A. A.; Frost, R. M.; Hird, M. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1104–1110. (f) Yu, R. P.; Darmon, J. M.; Hoyt, J. M.; Margulieux, G. W.; Turner, Z. R.; Chirik, P. J. ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 1760–1764. (g) Obligacion, J. V.; Chirik, P. J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2680–2683.

(7) (a) Inagaki, T.; Ito, A.; Ito, J.; Nishiyama, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9384–9387. (b) Inagaki, T.; Le, T. P.; Furuta, A.; Ito, J.; Nishiyama, H. Chem.—Eur. J. 2010, 16, 3090–3096. (c) Nishiyama, H.; Furuta, A. Chem. Commun. 2007, 760–762. (d) Tondreau, A. M.; Darmon, J. M.; Wile, B. M.; Floyd, S. K.; Lobkovsky, E.; Chirik, P. J. Organometallics 2009, 28, 3928–3940. (e) Langlotz, B. K.; Wadepohl, H.; Gade, L. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4670–4674. (f) Niwa, T.; Nakada, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 13538–13541. (g) Usuda, H.; Kuramochi, A.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4387–4390. (h) Jankowska, J.; Paradowska, J.; Rakiel, B.; Mlynarski, J. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 2228–2231. (i) Kawatsura, M.; Kajita, K.; Hayase, S.; Itoh, T. Synlett 2010, 1243–1246. (j) Nakanishi, M.; Salit, A.-F.; Bolm, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2008, 350, 1835–1840.

(8) (a) de Koster, A.; Kanters, J. A.; Spek, A. L.; van der Zeijden, A. A. H.; van Koten, G.; Vrieze, K. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 1985, 41, 893–895. (b) Contel, M.; Stol, M.; Casado, M. A.; van Klink, G. P. M.; Ellis, D. D.; Spek, A. L.; van Koten, G. Organometallics 2002, 21, 4556–4559. (c) Creaser, C. S.; Kaska, W. C. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1978, 30, L325–L326. (d) Xu, G.; Sun, H.; Li, X. Organometallics 2009, 28, 6090–6095. (e) Zhao, H.; Sun, H.; Li, X. Organometallics 2014, 33, 3535–3539. (f) Zhu, G.; Li, X.; Xu, G.; Wang, L.; Sun, H. Dalton Trans. 2014, 43, 8595–8598. (g) Bhattacharya, P.; Krause, J. A.; Guan, H. Organometallics 2011, 30, 4720–4729. (h) Bhattacharya, P.; Krause,

J. A.; Guan, H. Organometallics **2014**, 33, 6113–6121. (i) Bhattacharya, P.; Krause, J. A.; Guan, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 11153–11161.

(9) (a) Hosokawa, S.; Ito, J.; Nishiyama, H. Organometallics **2010**, *29*, 5773–5775. (b) Hosokawa, S.; Ito, J.; Nishiyama, H. Organometallics **2012**, *31*, 8283–8290.

(10) (a) Corey, J. Y. Chem. Rev. **2011**, 111, 863–1071. (b) Corey, J. Y.; Braddock-Wilking, J. Chem. Rev. **1999**, 99, 175–292. (c) Shimada, S.; Tanaka, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. **2006**, 250, 991–1011.

(11) (a) Holt, M. S.; Wilson, W. L.; Nelson, J. H. Chem. Rev. **1989**, 89, 11–49. (b) Braunstein, P.; Morise, X. Chem. Rev. **2000**, 100, 3541–3552. (c) Theys, R. D.; Dudley, M. E.; Hossain, M. M. Coord. Chem. Rev. **2009**, 253, 180–234.

(12) (a) Moss, J. R.; Smith, G. S.; Kaschula, G. H. In *Comprehensive* Organometallic Chemistry III; Crabtree, R. H.; Mingos, D. M. P., Eds.; Elsevier Ltd: Oxford, 2007; Vol. 6, pp 127–151. (b) Kerber, R. C. *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*; Abel, E. W.; Stone, F. G. A.; Wilkinson, G., Eds.; Pergamon Ltd: Oxford, 1995; Vol. 7, pp 101–229.

(13) Zhu, J.; Lin, Z. Y.; Marder, T. B. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 9384–9390.

(14) Piper, T. S.; Lemal, D.; Wilkinson, G. Naturwissenschaften 1956, 43, 129–129.

(15) (a) Fukumoto, K.; Oya, T.; Itazaki, M.; Nakazawa, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 38-39. (b) Itazaki, M.; Ueda, K.; Nakazawa, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 3313-3316. (c) Nakazawa, H.; Kamata, K.; Itazaki, M. Chem. Commun. 2005, 4004-4006. (d) Nakawaza, H.; Kawasaki, T.; Miyoshi, K.; Suresh, C. H.; Koga, N. Organometallics 2004, 23, 117-126. (e) Nakazawa, H.; Itazaki, M.; Kamata, K.; Ueda, K. Chem.-Asian J. 2007, 2, 882-888. (f) Renzetti, A.; Koga, N.; Nakazawa, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2014, 87, 59-68. (g) Tobita, H.; Ueno, K.; Shimoi, M.; Ogino, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3415-3420. (h) Ueno, K.; Tobita, H.; Shimoi, M.; Ogino, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4092-4093. (i) Tobita, H.; Matsuda, A.; Hashimoto, H.; Ueno, K.; Ogino, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 221-224. (j) Hashimoto, H.; Matsuda, A.; Tobita, H. Organometallics 2006, 25, 472-476. (k) Pannell, K. H.; Rozell, J. M., Jr.; Hernandez, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 4482-4485. (1) Jones, K. L.; Pannell, K. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 11336-11340. (m) Pannell, K. H.; Brun, M.-C.; Sharma, H.; Jones, K.; Sharma, S. Organometallics 1994, 13, 1075-1077. (n) Sharma, H. K.; Pannell, K. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7052-7054.

(16) (a) Cundy, C. S.; Eaborn, C.; Lappert, M. F. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 44, 291–297. (b) Cundy, C. S.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton. Trans. 1976, 910–914. (c) Cundy, C. S.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1978, 665–673. (d) Schubert, U.; Grubert, S.; Schulz, U.; Mock, S. Organometallics 1992, 11, 3163–3165. (e) Schubert, U.; Grubert, S. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4707–4713.

(17) Motoyama, Y.; Okano, M.; Narusawa, H.; Makihara, N.; Aoki, K.; Nishiyama, H. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 1580–1591.

(18) Braterman, P. S.; Wallace, W. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 30, C17–C18.

(19) Akita, M.; Oku, T.; Tanaka, M.; Moro-oka, Y. Organometallics **1991**, *10*, 3080–3089.

(20) Motoyama, Y.; Koga, Y.; Kobayashi, K.; Aoki, K.; Nishiyama, H. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 2968–2975.

(21) (a) Yamashita, H.; Tanaka, M.; Honda, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 8873–8874. (b) Temple, K.; Lough, A. J.; Sheridan, J. B.; Manners, I. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998, 2799–2805. (c) Mitton, S. J.; McDonald, R.; Turculet, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 8568–8571. (d) Kameo, H.; Ishii, S.; Nakazawa, H. Dalton Trans. 2013, 42, 4663–4669.

(22) (a) Hashimoto, H.; Sato, J.; Tobita, H. Organometallics 2009, 28, 3963–3965. (b) Knorr, M.; Müller, J.; Schubert, U. Chem. Ber. 1987, 120, 879–887.

(23) (a) Piper, T. S.; Wilkinson, G. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **1956**, 3, 104–124. (b) Wang, P.; Atwood, J. D. Organometallics **1993**, 12, 4247–4249. (c) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Molinari, L. Organometallics **2011**, 30, 3457–3460. (d) Asada, Y.; Yasuda, S.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Organometallics **2008**, 27, 6050–6052. (e) Yasuda, S.; Yorimitsu, H.;

Oshima, K. Organometallics 2008, 27, 4025–4027. (f) Yasuda, S.; Yorimitsu, H.; Oshima, K. Organometallics 2010, 29, 273–274.

(24) Kalman, S. E.; Petit, A.; Gunnoe, T. B.; Ess, D. H.; Gundari, T. R.; Sabat, M. *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 1797–1806.

(25) (a) Venturi, C.; Bellachioma, G.; Cardaci, G.; Macchioni, A.; Zuccaccia, C. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2005, 358, 3815-3823. (b) Fang, X.; Scott, B. L.; Watkin, J. G.; Kubas, G. J. Organometallics 2001, 20, 2413-2416. (c) Kisko, J. L.; Hascall, T.; Parkin, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 10561-10562. (d) Allen, O. R.; Dalgarno, S. J.; Field, L. D.; Jensen, P.; Turnbull, A. J.; Willis, A. C. Organometallics 2008, 27, 2092-2098. (e) Hashimoto, T.; Urban, S.; Hoshino, R.; Ohki, Y.; Tatsumi, K.; Glorius, F. Organometallics 2012, 31, 4474-4479. (f) Scott, J.; Gambarotta, S.; Korobkov, I.; Budzelaar, P. H. M. Organometallics 2005, 24, 6298-6300. (g) Tondreau, A. M.; Milsmann, C.; Patrick, A. D.; Hoyt, H. M.; Lobkovsky, E.; Wieghardt, K.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 15046-15059. (26) (a) Kalman, S. E.; Petit, A.; Gunnoe, T. B.; Ess, D. H.; Cundari, T. R.; Sabat, M. Organometallics 2013, 32, 1797-1806. (b) Fürstner, A.; Martin, R.; Krause, H.; Seidel, G.; Goddard, R.; Lehmann, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8773-8787. (c) Venturi, C.; Bellachioma, G.; Cardaci, G.; Macchioni, A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2004, 357, 3712-3720.

(27) (a) Brunner, H.; Rötzer, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 425, 119–124. (b) Brunner, H.; Fisch, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1990, 29, 1131–1132. (c) Shaikh, N. S.; Enthaler, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2497–2501.

(28) Spalding, T. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 149, 371-375.

(29) Ojima, I.; Kogure, T.; Kumagai, M.; Horiuchi, S.; Sato, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 122, 83–97.

(30) Vargas, R. M.; Hossain, M. M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1993, 204, 139–140.

(31) (a) Randolph, C. L.; Wrighton, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 3366–3374. (b) Tobita, H.; Izumi, H.; Ohnuki, S.; Ellerby, M. C.; Kikuchi, M.; Inomata, S.; Ogino, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 7013–7014.

(32) Hartwig, J. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 864-873.

(33) (a) Ishiyama, T.; Sata, K.; Nishio, Y.; Saiki, T.; Miyaura, N. Chem. Commun. 2005, 5065–5067. (b) Lu, B.; Falck, J. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7508–7510. (c) Klare, H. F. T.; Oestreich, M.; Ito, J.; Nishiyama, H.; Ohki, Y.; Tatsumi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 3312–3315. (d) Sunada, Y.; Soejima, H.; Nagashima, H. Organometallics 2014, 33, 5936–5939.

(34) Supporting Information.

(35) Kawano, Y.; Tobita, H.; Ogino, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 428, 125-143.