Letter pubs.acs.org/OrgLett

One-Pot Allylation-Intramolecular Vinylogous Michael Addition-Isomerization Cascade of o-Hydroxycinnamates and Congeners: Synthesis of Substituted Benzofuran Derivatives

Battu Harish,^{†,‡} Manyam Subbireddy,[†] Owk Obulesu,^{†,‡} and Surisetti Suresh^{*,†,‡}

[†]Organic Synthesis and Process Chemistry, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology (CSIR-IICT), Hyderabad 500 007, India [‡]Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad 201002, India

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A unique intramolecular vinylogous Michael addition leading to the synthesis of heterocycles has been disclosed. Base-promoted one-pot sequential O-allylation of ohydroxy-cinnamates or -cinnamonitrile or -chalcones with γ bromocrotonates followed by an intramolecular conjugate addition of vinylogous Michael donors resulted in the formation of highly substituted benzofuran derivatives in good to excellent yields. The intramolecular event followed by two [1,3]-H shifts leading to aromatization appears to be the key to the success of this unprecedented transformation.

■ he development of efficient approaches for C− heteroatom, C-C bond formations leading to the construction of heterocycles has been one of the most sought after research areas for organic chemists.¹ Michael reaction (conjugate addition) is among the most fundamental, classical C-C and C-heteroatom bond forming reactions in organic synthesis (Scheme 1, eq 1).² The vinylogous variant of the



Michael reaction has attracted considerable attention since it can provide the product with the retained C-C double bond from the vinylogous partner (Scheme 1, eq 2).³ The olefin functionality is usually intact and can be useful for further/ deliberate organic transformations. Though the intermolecular version of this reaction has been well-studied, the intramolecular vinylogous Michael addition (intra-VMA) reaction remains underexplored (Scheme 1, eq 3).4 In many ringforming events, the key VMA is intermolecular.^{3k-o} In some cases, the ring closure of an intra-VMA to the carbo- or heterocyclic ring features a 1,6-conjugate addition of non-



vinylogous donors.^{4a-f} In rare cases, intra-VMA of vinylogous donors is operative.^{4g,h}

Benzofurans are one of the "privileged" scaffolds in medicinal chemistry and drug discovery research programs. Several of the molecules containing a benzofuran core have shown potential biological activities, and many have become marketed drugs while few of them are on the World Health Organization's List of Essential Medicines.⁵ In particular, 2,3disubstituted benzofurans are among the important benzofuran derivatives that have exhibited excellent biological and therapeutic activities.⁵ Hence, there has been significant attention from organic chemists to access these scaffolds.⁵ However, direct methods to access 2,3-disubstituted benzofuran derivatives have been less explored, obviating the use of transition metals.⁶ One of the traditional ways to access benzofurans is based on the century-plus-old Rap-Stoermer reaction, which is essentially a base-meditated reaction of salicylaldehydes/o-hydroxyphenyl ketones and α -haloketones involving an intramolecular aldol-type reaction.⁷ Herein, we report the development of a base-mediated intra-VMAisomerization cascade to access 2,3-disubstituted benzofuran derivatives.

In continuation of our research program for the development of novel methods leading to the synthesis of fused heterocycles,8 we envisaged and considered an opportunity to develop intra-VMA to generate useful heterocyclic scaffolds. We have designed o-O-allyl cinnamate derivative 1a as a model substrate to test the intra-VMA hypothesis. Initially, the reaction of 1a with a base such as K₂CO₃ was performed.

Received: January 31, 2019

Gratifyingly, we have observed the formation of the corresponding 2,3-disubstituted benzofuran derivative 3a in excellent yield, while the possible 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran derivative 2a was not detected (Scheme 2). This reaction appears to go through intra-VMA. However, we did not observe a Dieckmann condensation product of 3a under the reaction conditions.



We next performed a one-pot sequential allylation followed by intra-VMA using methyl *o*-hydroxycinnamate **4a** and methyl 4-bromocrotonate **5a** as precursors. Delightfully, this sequential transformation provided the corresponding 2,3-disubstituted benzofuran **3a** in 72% yield. Interestingly, in this one-pot reaction, we have also observed the formation of *O*-alkenyl derivative⁹ **1a**' in 23% yield, which is an isomer (tautomer) of the allylated product **1a** (Table 1, entry 1). We then conducted

Table 1. Optimization Study⁴

	DOMe Br 5a base		X	. (~	СООМе		COOMe
4a	solvent, ten	np, time 3a	ocoo	Me	1a	Vie 0	COOMe a'
entry	base	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	% yield of 3a ^b	% yield of 1a^b	% yield of 1a ′ ^b
1	K_2CO_3	DMF	80	24	72		23
2	K_3PO_4	DMF	80	24	49		21
3	DBU	DMF	80	24	62		17
4	NaH	DMF	80	24		34	
5	K_2CO_3	NMP	80	24	78		8
6	K_2CO_3	CH_3CN	80	24		24	39
7	K_2CO_3	^t BuOH	80	24			67
8	K ₂ CO ₃	NMP	100	24	84		6
9	K ₂ CO ₃	NMP	120	8	92		5
10		NMP	120	8			
^a Reaction conditions: 4a (0.5 mmol), 5a (0.75 mmol), base (1.5 mmol), solvent (3 mL). ^b Isolated yields.							

an optimization study for this one-pot transformation by varying the base, solvent, and reaction conditions in order to find suitable conditions to obtain benzofuran 3a in high yields (Table 1; also see Supporting Information for an extensive optimization survey). Use of K₃PO₄ and DBU provided moderate yields of 3a, while the formation of 1a' was also observed in low yields (Table 1, entries 2 and 3). However, sodium hydride gave only O-allyl derivative 1a in 34% yield (Table 1, entry 4). When we used NMP as a solvent, the desired product 3a was obtained in good yield (Table 1, entry 5). Other solvents like acetonitrile and tert-butyl alcohol were not effective in this transformation to provide the benzofuran 3a (Table 1, entries 6 and 7). To our delight, an increase in the temperature provided an excellent yield of 3a (Table 1, entries 8 and 9). As expected, this transformation failed in the absence of base (Table 1, entry 10).

We next sought to evaluate the scope of this transformation using the optimized reaction conditions (Scheme 3). Initially, *o*-hydroxycinnamates **4** and 4-bromocrotonates **5** containing





"Reaction conditions: 4 (0.5 mmol), 5 (0.75 mmol), K_2CO_3 (1.5 mmol), NMP (3 mL). ^bIsolated yields.

different alkyl groups on their ester parts have been subjected to the sequential allylation-intra-VMA (Scheme 3). Although the corresponding benzofuran derivatives 3a-e were obtained in good to excellent yields, the precursors bearing methyl esters were found to provide the highest yield of the benzofuran, 3a. We then used differently substituted methyl o-hydroxycinnamates 4 and methyl 4-bromocrotonate 5a in this sequential transformation. Methyl (*E*)-3-(2-hydroxynaphthalen-1-yl)acrylate as a substrate provided the corresponding naphthofuran 3f in good yield. Methyl o-hydroxycinnamates containing electron-donating groups produced the corresponding benzofuran derivatives 3g-i in good yields. Halogen-substituted ohydroxycinnamates furnished the corresponding benzofuran derivatives 3j-n in slightly lower yields, which may be attributed to the lower electron density on the OH group of 4 to undergo O-allylation. The structure of the benzofuran 3k was further confirmed by an X-ray crystal structure in addition to the standard spectroscopic methods. o-Hydroxycinnamate bearing both halogen and electron-donating substituents gave the corresponding benzofuran derivative 30 in high yields. An electron-withdrawing group such as a nitro group on ohydroxycinnamate was well tolerated and afforded the corresponding benzofuran derivative 3p in 74% yield. Introduction of an α -substituent such as a methyl group on the $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated ester part of 4 was also well tolerated and produced the corresponding benzofuran derivative 3q in 85% yield.

We next turned our focus to study the scope of the electronwithdrawing groups on the alkene moieties of 4 and 5 (Scheme 4). Reaction of (E)-4-(2-hydroxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-one and





^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 4 (0.5 mmol), 5 (0.75 mmol), K_2CO_3 (1.5 mmol), NMP (3 mL). ^{*b*}Isolated yields.

methyl 4-bromocrotonate **5a** provided the corresponding benzofuran derivative **3r** in reasonable yield. Different salicylaldehyde-derived chalcones proved to be good substrates in the base-mediated sequential *O*-allylation—intra-VMA and provided the corresponding benzofuran derivatives **3s**—**x** in moderate to high yields. The reaction of *o*-hydroxycinnamonitrile and **5a** provided the corresponding benzofuran derivative **3y** in moderate yield. However, *o*-hydroxy β -nitrostyrene was found to be an unsuitable substrate, which decomposed under the reaction conditions. Instead of an ester group on **5**, we have also used different electron-withdrawing group such as a sulfone group on the allyl bromide partner **5**. Accordingly, the reaction of **4a** and (*E*)-((3-bromoprop-1-en-1-yl)sulfonyl)benzene **5c** was performed to obtain the corresponding benzofuran derivative **3z** in good yield.

We have monitored the present sequential reaction at different time intervals to follow the kinetics (Figure 1). After 1 h of reaction time, the benzofuran product 3a was isolated in 51% yield while the intermediates 1a and 1a' were formed in



Figure 1. Kinetics of the reaction.

10% and 34% yields, respectively. After 2 h, the yield of 3a was increased to 64%, and there was a decrease in the yields of 1a and 1a'. As the time increased, the intermediate 1a was not observed and yield of intermediate 1a' dropped significantly. At the end of 8 h, the yield of 3a reached 92%, and only traces of intermediate 1a' were observed. This study suggests that the formation of benzofuran 3a occurred via the intermediates 1a (or) 1a'.

We have conducted some experiments to gain insights into the reaction mechanism. Since we have observed both allylated product 1a and its isomer 1a' in the one-pot transformation (Table 1) and also at different time intervals (Figure 1) in the reaction of *o*-hydroxycinnamate 4a and 4-bromocrotonate 5a, we thought 1a and 1a' could be in equilibrium. We have conducted a reaction of 1a in the presence of 1 equiv of base and monitored the reaction to obtain 1a' (Scheme 5, eq 1). It

Scheme 5. Mechanistic Studies



was observed that either 1a or 1a' lead to the formation of 3ain excellent yields in the presence of base (Scheme 5, eq 2). From this result, it is reasonable to think that 1a or 1a' can be the intermediates in the present transformation. The use of cinnamyl bromide 5d, instead of 4-bromocrotonate, in the one-pot reaction did not proceed to completion to provide the corresponding benzofuran derivative, while only *o*-*O*-cinnamyl product 6a was obtained. When we subjected the *O*-cinnamyl cinnamate 6a to the optimized reaction conditions, however, the reaction did not proceed. *o*-*O*-Cinnamyl cinnamate 6a did not prove to be a substrate for the present transformation even with the use of a strong base like *n*-butyllithium (Scheme 5, eq 3). These results suggest that there is a requirement of an electron-withdrawing group on the allylic halide substrate to direct the key intramolecular vinylogous Michael reaction.

On the basis of the control experiments and literature reports,⁴ a plausible mechanism can be proposed for the present one-pot transformation leading to 2,3-disubstituted benzofurans (Scheme 6). Initially, O-allylation of o-hydrox-ycinnamate 4a with 4-bromocrotonate 5a takes place to provide intermediate 1a, which can be in equilibrium with its tautomer 1a'. In the presence of base, 1a/1a' would provide anionic intermediate I that can undergo intramolecular vinylogous Michael (conjugate) addition to provide the 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran intermediate II. The intermediate II would then undergo two consecutive [1,3]-H shifts leading to aromatization to furnish 2,3-disubstituted benzofuran product 3a.

Scheme 6. Plausible Reaction Mechanism



To demonstrate the practicality and scalability of the present one-pot transformation, a model reaction of 4a and 5a was performed on a multigram scale to afford 3a in high yields (Scheme 7, eq 1). We have subjected the benzofuran derivative

Scheme 7. Gram-Scale Synthesis of 3a and Hydrolysis of Ester Groups of 3a



3a to base-mediated ester hydrolysis to obtain benzofuran bearing 1,7-dicarboxylic acid 7 in excellent yield (Scheme 7, eq 2). Seven-membered linear 1,7-dicarboxylic acids have been established as key precursors in the biosynthesis of essential compounds.¹⁰

In conclusion, an unprecedented intramolecular vinylogous Michael addition-isomerization has been demonstrated. The reaction of o-hydroxy-cinnamates/-cinnamonitrile/-chalcones and γ -bromocrotonates provided 2,3-disubstituted benzofuran derivatives. This one-pot transformation occurred via intermolecular O-allylation and intramolecular C-C bond formation followed by isomerization. It was established that Oallylated or O-vinylated intermediates can lead to the formation of the title compounds via a vinylogous Michael addition-isomerization cascade. Variously substituted benzofuran derivatives tethered with electron-withdrawing groups have been synthesized in good to excellent yields. Efforts are underway to develop and explore the applications of the underexplored intramolecular vinylogous Michael addition for the synthesis of biologically important and targeted heterocyclic and carbocyclic compounds.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.9b00414.

Experimental data, optimization data, characterization information, and NMR spectra (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1887439 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: surisetti@iict.res.in, suresh.surisetti@yahoo.in.

ORCID ®

Surisetti Suresh: 0000-0003-0202-5781

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Science & Engineering Research Board (SERB), Department of Science and Technology (DST), India, for an Extramural Research grant (EMR/2017/002601). O.O. and B.H. thank CSIR and M.S. thanks SERB for financial support in the form of fellowships. We thank Dr. N. Jagadeesh Babu, CSIR-IICT, for single-crystal X-ray structure analysis. IICT Communication No. IICT/Pubs./2019/034.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry III; Katritzky, A. R., Ramsden, C. A., Scriven, E. F. V., Taylor, R. J. K., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2008. (b) Heterocyclic Chemistry at a Glance, Joule, J. A., Mills, K., Eds.; John Wiley: West Sussex, 2013.

(2) (a) Michael, A. J. Prakt. Chem. 1887, 35, 349. (b) Clayden, J.; Greeves, N.; Warren, S.; Wothers, P. Organic Chemistry; 2nd ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2012. (c) Perlmutter, P. Conjugate Addition Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Pergamon: Oxford, 1992.
(d) Li, P.; Wang, J.; Kwong, F. Y. Asymmetric Michael Addition and Related Reactions. In Stereoselective Synthesis of Drugs and Natural Products; Andrushko, V., Andrushko, N., Eds.; Wiley: Hoboken, 2013.
(e) Quintard, A., Alexakis, A. Organocatalytic Conjugate Addition. In Stereoselective Synthesis of Drugs and Natural Products; Andrushko, V., Andrushko, N., Eds.; Wiley: Hoboken, 2013.

(3) For selected reviews, see: (a) Casiraghi, G.; Battistini, L.; Curti, C.; Rassu, G.; Zanardi, F. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 3076. (b) Jurberg, I. D.; Chatterjee, I.; Tannert, R.; Melchiorre, P. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4869. (c) Jusseau, X.; Chabaud, L.; Guillou, C. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 2595. (d) Schneider, C.; Abels, F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 3531. (e) Hepburn, H. B.; Dell'Amico, L.; Melchiorre, P. Chem. Rec. 2016, 16, 1787. (f) Yin, Y.; Jiang, Z. ChemCatChem 2017, 9, 4306. For selected recent examples, see: (g) Bencivenni, G.; Galzerano, P.; Mazzanti, A.; Bartoli, G.; Melchiorre, P. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2010, 107, 20642. (h) Gu, X.; Guo, T.; Dai, Y.; Franchino, A.; Fei, J.; Zou, C.; Dixon, D. J.; Ye, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 10249. (i) Meng, F.; Li, X.; Torker, S.; Shi, Y.; Shen, X.; Hoveyda, A. H. Nature 2016, 537, 387. (j) Chen, X.-Y.; Liu, Q.; Chauhan, P.; Li, S.; Peuronen, A.; Rissanen, K.; Jafari, E.; Enders, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 6241. (k) Dell'Amico, L.; Companyó, X.; Naicker, T.; Bräuer, T. M.; Jørgensen, K. A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 5262. (1) Li, X.; Wang, S.; Li, T.; Li, J.; Li, H.; Wang, W. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5634. (m) Lv, H.; Jia, W.-Q.; Sun, L.-H.; Ye, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8607. (n) Sun, W.; Hong, L.; Zhu, G.; Wang, Z.; Wei, X.; Ni, J.; Wang, R. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 544. (o) Möhlmann, L.; Chang, G.-H.; Madhusudhan Reddy, G.; Lee, C.-J.; Lin, W. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 688.

(4) (a) Clinch, K.; Marquez, C. J.; Parrott, M. J.; Ramage, R. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 239. (b) Nara, S.; Toshima, H.; Ichihara, A.

Organic Letters

Tetrahedron Lett. **1996**, 37, 6745. (c) Angle, S. R.; Wada, T. Tetrahedron Lett. **1997**, 38, 7955. (d) Gray, D.; Gallagher, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2006**, 45, 2419. (e) Gallagher, T.; Derrick, I.; Durkin, P. M.; Haseler, C. A.; Hirschhäuser, C.; Magrone, P. J. Org. Chem. **2010**, 75, 3766. (f) Lee, K.; Kim, H.; Hong, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, 51, 5735. (g) de Figueiredo, R. M.; Fröhlich, R.; Christmann, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2008**, 47, 1450. (h) Wang, Z.-Y.; Wong, W.-T.; Yang, D. Org. Lett. **2013**, 15, 4980.

(5) For selected recent reviews, see: (a) Dawood, K. M. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 2013, 23, 1133. (b) Abu-Hashem, A. A.; Hussein, H. A. R.; Aly, A. S.; Gouda, M. A. Synth. Commun. 2014, 44, 2285.
(c) Radadiya, A.; Shah, A. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 97, 356.
(d) Naik, R.; Harmalkar, D. S.; Xu, X.; Jang, K.; Lee, K. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 90, 379. (e) Khanam, H.; Shamsuzzaman. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 97, 483. (f) Nevagi, R. J.; Dighe, S. N.; Dighe, S. N. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 97, 561. (g) Blanc, A.; Bénéteau, V.; Weibel, J.-M.; Pale, P. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 9184.

(6) For selected examples on the synthesis of 2,3-disubstituted benzofurans using transition-metal compounds, see: (a) Katritzky, A. R.; Ji, Y.; Fang, Y.; Prakash, I. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 5613. (b) Nakamura, I.; Mizushima, Y.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15022. (c) Nakamura, M.; Ilies, L.; Otsubo, S.; Nakamura, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 944. (d) Nakamura, M.; Ilies, L.; Otsubo, S.; Nakamura, E. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2803. (e) Lu, B.; Wang, B.; Zhang, Y.; Ma, D. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5337. (f) Eidamshaus, C.; Burch, J. D. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4211. (g) Isono, N.; Lautens, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1329. (h) Anxionnat, B.; Gomez Pardo, D.; Ricci, G.; Rossen, K.; Cossy, J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3876. (i) Lee, J. H.; Kim, M.; Kim, I. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 6153. (j) Agasti, S.; Maity, S.; Szabo, K. J.; Maiti, D. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 2331. (k) Ma, X.; Wu, F.; Yi, X.; Wang, H.; Chen, W. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 6862. (1) Boominathan, S. S. K.; Hou, R.-J.; Hu, W.-P.; Huang, P.-J.; Wang, J.-J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 2984. (m) Ohno, S.; Takamoto, K.; Fujioka, H.; Arisawa, M. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 2422. (n) Yamaguchi, M.; Ozawa, H.; Katsumata, H.; Akiyama, T.; Manabe, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2018, 59, 3175. For the synthesis of 2,3-disubstituted benzofurans using transition metal-free approaches, see: (o) Contiero, F.; Jones, K. M.; Matts, E. A.; Porzelle, A.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. Synlett 2009, 2009, 3003. (p) Hu, J.; Wu, L.-Y.; Wang, X.-C.; Hu, Y.-Y.; Niu, Y.-N.; Liu, X.-Y.; Yang, S.; Liang, Y.-M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 351. (q) Grimaldi, T. B.; Back, D. F.; Zeni, G. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11017. (r) Xie, Y.; Yu, C.; Que, Y.; Li, T.; Wang, Y.; Lu, Y.; Wang, W.; Shen, S.; Yao, C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 6463. (s) Liu, W.; Chen, N.; Yang, X.; Li, L.; Li, C.-J. Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 13120. (t) Mantovani, A. C.; Hernández, J. G.; Bolm, C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 2018, 2458 and references cited therein .

(7) (a) Rap, E. Gazz. Chim. Ital. 1895, 25, 285. (b) Stoermer, R. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1900, 312, 237. (c) Yoshizawa, K.; Toyota, S.; Toda, F.; Csöregh, I. Green Chem. 2003, 5, 353. (d) Gündoğdu-Karaburun, N.; Benkli, K.; Tunali, Y.; Uçucu, Ü.; Demirayak, Ş. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 41, 651. (e) Rao, M. L. N.; Awasthi, D. K.; Banerjee, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 431. (f) Shang, Y.; Wang, C.; He, X.; Ju, K.; Zhang, M.; Yu, S.; Wu, J. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 9629. (g) Kumaraswamy, G.; Ramakrishna, G.; Raju, R.; Padmaja, M. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 9814. (h) Seo, Y. H.; Damodar, K.; Kim, J.-K.; Jun, J.-G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2016, 26, 1521. (i) Kamboj, R. C.; Sharma, G.; Jindal, P.; Arora, R.; Kumar, D.; Kumar, S.; Kumar, P. Arabian J. Chem. 2017, 10, S3190. For vinylogous Rap–Stoermer condensation, see: (j) Reddy, S.; Thadkapally, S.; Mamidyala, M.; Nanubolu, J. B.; Menon, R. S. RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 8199.

(8) (a) Obulesu, O.; Murugesh, V.; Harish, B.; Suresh, S. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 6454. (b) Murugesh, V.; Bruneau, C.; Achard, M.; Sahoo, A. R.; Sharma, G. V. M.; Suresh, S. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 10448. (c) Harish, B.; Subbireddy, M.; Suresh, S. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 3338. (d) Obulesu, O.; Babu, K. H.; Nanubolu, J. B.; Suresh, S. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 2926. (e) Murugesh, V.; Harish, B.; Adiseshu, M.; Nanubolu, J. B.; Suresh, S. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 1309. (f) Obulesu, O.; Nanubolu, J. B.; Suresh, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 8232.

Letter

(9) Sunitha, K.; Balasubramanian, K. K. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 3269.
(10) (a) Manandhar, M.; Cronan, J. E. Mol. Microbiol. 2017, 104, 595. (b) Cronan, J. E.; Lin, S. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2011, 15, 407.