

# Silicon-(Thio)urea Lewis Acid Catalysis

Radim Hrdina,<sup>§</sup> Christian E. Müller,<sup>§</sup> Raffael C. Wende,<sup>§</sup> Katharina M. Lippert,<sup>§</sup> Mario Benassi,<sup>‡</sup> Bernhard Spengler,<sup>‡</sup> and Peter R. Schreiner<sup>\*,§</sup>

<sup>§</sup>Institute of Organic Chemistry, Justus-Liebig University, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 58, 35392 Giessen, Germany
 <sup>‡</sup>Institute of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, Justus-Liebig University, Schubertstr. 60, 35392 Giessen, Germany

S Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** We present a new class of catalysts based on the combination of N,N'-diaryl(thio)ureas and weak silicon Lewis acids (e.g., SiCl<sub>4</sub>). Such silicon—(thio)urea catalysts effectively catalyze the stereospecific rearrangement of epoxides to quaternary carbaldehydes.

**B** oth (thio)urea<sup>1</sup> and silicon-based catalysts have been successful individually for a large variety of reactions. Herein we show that the use of a catalyst that combines an N,N'-diaryl(thio)urea with a weak SiCl<sub>2</sub>R<sub>2</sub> Lewis acid is a powerful new approach. There are three strategies to activate silicon for catalysis: incorporation into a strained cycle,<sup>2</sup> connection to a strongly electron-withdrawing group,<sup>3</sup> and activation with Lewis bases.<sup>4</sup> Here we combine the first and second approach by *in situ* formation of a strained silacycle<sup>2h</sup> from readily available precursors: (thio)urea derivatives, halosilanes, and tertiary amines (Scheme 1).

We chose as our test reaction the rearrangement<sup>5</sup> of trisubstituted epoxides to aldehydes, which is demanding in terms of selectivity for the alkyl versus hydrogen shift, availability of catalytic variants, and stereospecificity (Scheme 2). For instance, Pd,<sup>6</sup> Bi,<sup>7</sup> Ir,<sup>8</sup> In,<sup>9</sup> V,<sup>10</sup> Er,<sup>11</sup> Fe,<sup>12</sup> and Cu<sup>13</sup> complexes promote the hydrogen shift, while B,<sup>14</sup> Al,<sup>15</sup> and Cr<sup>16</sup> favor alkyl migration. The rearrangement of alkyl groups *cis* to an aryl moiety is particularly challenging; indeed, such alkyl migrations occur stereospecifically only for Cr complexes.<sup>16</sup> The selectivities are highly sensitive to the substitution pattern of the epoxide. Quaternary carbaldehydes are versatile building blocks in organic synthesis and are attractive targets for catalysis.

1-Phenyl-1,2-cyclohexene oxide (1a) was selected to determine the optimal conditions for the rearrangement. Various chlorosilanes X were chosen to react with thiourea T1 to give the corresponding catalysts T1·X. The rearrangement proceeded well in polar aprotic solvents such as dichloromethane (DCM), chloroform, and acetonitrile. In tetrahydrofuran (THF), toluene, and EtOAc, no product formed. No combination of reagents other than that given in Scheme 3 led to the rearrangement product [for details, see the Supporting Information (SI)].

The yield of the rearrangement may depend on the electronwithdrawing ability of the (thio)urea derivative or the propensity for formation of the catalyst ( $TX \cdot A - UX \cdot A$ ) by SiCl<sub>4</sub> (A) in combination with various N,N'-diaryl(thio)ureas (TX-UX) (Scheme 4).

Catalyst T1·A proved to be the most reactive and was applied in the rearrangement of various trisubstituted epoxides

Scheme 1. In Situ Preparation of the Silicon-(Thio)urea Catalyst (X = O, S)



Scheme 2. Rearrangement of Epoxides to Aldehydes or Ketones Catalyzed by Lewis Acids



Scheme 3. Screening of Silane Precursors<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup> NMR yields are given.

(Scheme 5). The precursor alkenes were prepared by a Wittig reaction that produced mixtures of *E* and *Z* isomers; *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (*m*-CPBA) oxidation furnished the corresponding epoxides. The product distributions and conversions as well as the E/Z ratios of the unreacted epoxide starting materials for the rearrangement are summarized in Scheme 5 and the SI. Typically, the alkyl shift *trans* to the aryl moiety was considerably faster than that for the *cis* configuration, reaching completion within 1 h (vs 18 h for *cis*).

Received: November 29, 2010 Published: April 28, 2011





Scheme 5. Rearrangement of Epoxides to Quaternary Aldehydes Using Silicon–Thiourea Catalyst T1·A



We then turned our attention to the viability of our structural proposal<sup>17</sup> for the best catalyst **T1** · **A** (see Scheme 1) by utilizing a combination of NMR and IR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry (see the SI), and density functional theory (DFT) computations (Figures 1 and 2). The changes in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts upon addition of 1 equiv of *N*,*N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) to **T1** (Figure 1A, B and D, E) suggest monodeprotonation of **T1**. Although the  $pK_a$  value of **T1** is not known, it is likely to be just below or around that of protonated DIPEA ( $pK_a = 11.4$ ); diphenylurea has a  $pK_a$  of 13.4, <sup>18</sup> so it is reasonable to assume that the thiourea congener with the four added CF<sub>3</sub> groups is considerably more acidic. Hence, there should be a



**Figure 1.** (A-F) <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, respectively, of (A, D) **T1** alone; (B, E) after addition of 1 equiv of DIPEA (the NH signals are under the broadened signal at 7.8 ppm); and (C, F) after addition of 2 equiv of DIPEA and 1 equiv SiCl<sub>4</sub> to give **T1·A**. (G) <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectrum of **T1·A**.



Figure 2. B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p)-optimized structure of  $T1 \cdot A$ . NBO charges (in *e*) and selected bond lengths (in Å) and angles (in deg) are shown.

protonation/deprotonation equilibrium between T1 and the base, as also evidenced by the disappearance (in part under the CH signal at 7.8 ppm) of the N-H resonances. The C=S carbon





<sup>*a*</sup> Isolated yields are given.

Scheme 7. Chemoselectivity: Alkyl versus Hydrogen Shift



signal appeared upfield (by 10.6 ppm), in agreement with stronger shielding of the delocalized anion. The IR spectra of the same solutions (Figure S3 in the SI) support this analysis: the single N–H signal for T1 at 3375.2 cm<sup>-1</sup> split into two and lost intensity significantly. Upon addition of 1 equiv of  $SiCl_4$  (along with another 1 equiv of DIPEA) to this mixture,  $T1 \cdot A$  formed (Figure 1C, F), and the C=S carbon signal moved downfield by 15.1 ppm. The N-H signal no longer exchanged and appeared at 9.6 ppm. Similar changes were observed in the <sup>29</sup>Si spectra (Figure 1G; also see the SI). We also identified a 4J coupling of the thiourea ortho protons with Si, indicating that they must be in close proximity (Figure S17). The IR difference spectra (Figure S23) of a 1:2 mixture of T1 and DIPEA with and without A also showed the disappearance of the T1 N-H absorption  $(3400 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  and the appearance of the ammonium salt N-H bands at 2661  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ .

Additionally, we identified small signals in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (Figure 1C, F) indicative of a small concentration of another species, probably a dimer of  $T1 \cdot A$ . Our high-resolution (nanospray negative-ion mode) mass spectrometric experiments (Figures S18–S22) underline this observation. While it is unlikely that a spirocycle with Si in the center is involved,<sup>17</sup> we were unable to draw definitive conclusions about the exact nature of this minor component.

B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) computations (Figure 2; also see the SI) identified  $T1 \cdot A$  as a minimum with a planar four-membered central ring. The natural bond orbital (NBO) charges indicated that this structure may be regarded as an internal salt of a resonance-stabilized thiourea dianion with a Si dication. The large positive charge on Si in the complex suggests high tunability of the catalyst through substitution.

Next, the stereospecificity of the rearrangement was studied utilizing the *E* and *Z* isomers of **1b** and **1d** (Scheme 6). When 5 mol%  $T1 \cdot A$  was used, the enantioenriched (*E*)-epoxides



Figure 3. Change in ee over time in the rearrangement of (S,R)-1d to (R)-2d catalyzed by T1·A.

Scheme 8. Simplified Mechanistic Proposal for the Epoxide Rearrangements Catalyzed by  $T1 \cdot X [Ar = 3,5$ -Bis(trifluoro-methyl)benzene]



rearranged in good yields to the corresponding aldehydes **2b** and **2d**, respectively, within 1 h with only marginal losses of enantiopurity. The absolute configurations of the products were determined by comparison with published data.<sup>19</sup>

The enantioenriched Z derivatives (S,R)-1b and (S,R)-1d (from Shi epoxidation)<sup>20</sup> underwent partial hydrogen shifts, leading to ketones as byproducts: 3% ketone 3b (74% ee) and 5% ketone 3d (76% ee) formed. We screened the chemoselectivities (Scheme 7) with T1·X and found that T1·A was the most selective, affording 85% aldehyde 2b (76% ee) and only 3% ketone 3b (74% ee). For comparison, the rearrangement of (S,R)-1b catalyzed by 25 mol % Et<sub>2</sub>AlCl<sup>21</sup> in toluene gave 47% aldehyde (R)-2b stereospecifically, with the same stereochemistry as with T1·X. This implies that these catalyst systems are mechanistically similar.

Surprisingly, the enantiopurity of the rearranging (S,R)-1b and (S,R)-1d increased over time with all T1·X (as shown for T1·A in Figure 3). The product ee also increased until it reached that of the starting material. The increase in the enantiomeric purity of the starting material was not due to autocatalysis by the product, as demonstrated by a negative control experiment utilizing racemic 1b with 5 mol% T1·A [(R)-2b (10%, 76% ee)]. We preliminarily rationalize these findings in terms of a mechanism similar to a kinetic resolution of nonracemic starting materials.<sup>22</sup> The increase in ee during the reaction may be due to diastereomeric transition structures formed in the simplest case

through complexation of  $T1 \cdot X$  with the starting material. This would lead to diastereomeric matched and mismatched combinations in the transition states (Scheme 8). A full mechanistic investigation is in progress.

We have shown that Lewis acid catalysis can be combined with (thio)urea catalysis, as exemplified by the novel catalyst  $T1 \cdot A$  that enables the stereospecific rearrangement of trisubstituted epoxides to quaternary aromatic carbaldehydes. A natural extension of this work is the use of chiral thio(urea) derivatives and the application to enantioselective reactions.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

**Supporting Information.** Experimental procedures and spectroscopic data for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

prs@org.chemie.uni-giessen.de

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation (fellowship to R.H.). M.B. and B.S. acknowledge financial support by the Hessian Ministry of Science and Arts (HMWK) through LOEWE Focus "AmbiProbe".

## REFERENCES

(a) Schreiner, P. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2003, 32, 289.
 (b) Connon, S. J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2006, 12, 5418.
 (c) Taylor, M. S.; Jacobsen, E. N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1520.
 (d) Doyle, A. G.; Jacobsen, E. N. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5713.
 (e) Zhang, Z. G.; Schreiner, P. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1187.

(2) (a) Myers, A. G.; Kephart, S. E.; Chen, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7922. (b) Denmark, S. E.; Griedel, B. D.; Coe, D. M.; Schnute, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 7026. (c) Matsumoto, K.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 7152. (d) Kinnaird, J. W. A.; Ng, P. Y.; Kubota, K.; Wang, X.; Leighton, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 7920. (e) Berger, R.; Rabbat, P. M. A.; Leighton, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9596. (f) Kubota, K.; Leighton, J. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 946. (g) Berger, R.; Duff, K.; Leighton, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5686. (h) Kubota, K.; Hamblett, C. L.; Wang, X.; Leighton, J. L. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 11397. (i) Lee, S. K.; Tambar, U. K.; Perl, N. R.; Leighton, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10248.

(3) Dilman, A. D.; Ioffe, S. L. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 733.

(4) (a) Kobayashi, S.; Nishio, K. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 6620. (b) Denmark, S. E.; Wynn, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6199. (c) Denmark, S. E.; Wynn, T.; Beutner, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13405. (d) Malkov, A. V.; Orsini, M.; Pernazza, D.; Muir, K. W.; Langer, V.; Meghani, P.; Kočovský, P. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1047. (e) Denmark, S. E.; Beutner, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7800. (f) Denmark, S. E.; Fan, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7825. (g) Kobayashi, S.; Ogawa, C.; Konishi, H.; Sugiura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6610. (h) Denmark, S. E.; Beutner, G. L.; Wynn, T.; Eastgate, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 3774. (i) Malkov, A. V.; Stewart-Liddon, A. J. P.; Ramírez-López, P.; Bendova, L.; Haigh, D.; Kočovský, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1432. (j) Denmark, S. E.; Heemstra, J. R. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5668. (k) Denmark, S. E.; Chung, W. J. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 4582. (1) Malkov, A. V.; Ramírez-López, P.; Biedermannová, L.; Rulíšek, L.; Dufková, L.; Kotora, M.; Zhu, F. J.; Kocovsky, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5341. (m) Hrdina, R.; Opekar, F.; Roithová, J.; Kotora, M. Chem. Commun. 2009, 2314. (n) Denmark,

S. E.; Wilson, T. W. Synlett **2010**, 1723. (o) Denmark, S. E.; Beutner, G. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2008**, 47, 1560.

(5) (a) House, H. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 3070. (b) Naqvi,
S. M.; Horwitz, J. P.; Filler, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 6283. (c)
Meinwald, J.; Labana, S. S.; Chadha, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 582. (d) Rickborn, B.; Gerkin, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 4193.
(e) Rickborn, B.; Gerkin, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 1693.

(6) (a) Kulasegaram, S.; Kulawiec, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 7195.
(b) Kulasegaram, S.; Kulawiec, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6547.

(7) (a) Anderson, A. M.; Blazek, J. M.; Garg, P.; Payne, B. J.; Mohan, R. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 1527. (b) Bhatia, K. A.; Eash, K. J.; Leonard, N. M.; Oswald, M. C.; Mohan, R. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 8129.

(8) Karame, I.; Tommasino, M. L.; Lemaire, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 7687.

(9) Ranu, B. C.; Jana, U. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8212.

(10) Martínez, F.; del Campo, C.; Llama, E. F. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 1749.

(11) Procopio, A.; Dalpozzo, R.; De Nino, A.; Nardi, M.; Sindona, G.; Tagarelli, A. *Synlett* **2004**, 2633.

(12) (a) Suda, K.; Baba, K.; Nakajima, S.-i.; Takanami, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, 40, 7243. (b) Suda, K.; Baba, K.; Nakajima, S.-i.; Takanami, T. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 2570. (c) Ertürk, E.; Göllü, M.; Demir, A. S. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, 66, 2373.

(13) (a) Robinson, M. W. C.; Pillinger, K. S.; Graham, A. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, 47, 5919. (b) Robinson, M. W. C.; Pillinger, K. S.; Mabbett, I.; Timms, D. A.; Graham, A. E. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, 66, 8377.

(14) Ishihara, K.; Hanaki, N.; Yamamoto, H. Synlett 1995, 721.

(15) (a) Maruoka, K.; Murase, N.; Bureau, R.; Ooi, T.; Yamamoto,
H. *Tetrahedron* 1994, *50*, 3663. (b) Maruoka, K.; Ooi, T.; Yamamoto, H.
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, *111*, 6431.

(16) (a) Suda, K.; Kikkawa, T.; Nakajima, S.-i.; Takanami, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 9554. (b) Suda, K.; Nakajima, S.-i.; Satoh, Y.; Takanami, T. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1255.

(17) While Siddiqi et al. suggested that related complexes were isolable (see: Siddiqi, K. S.; Khan, N. H.; Kureshy, R. I.; Tabassum, S.; Zaidi, S. A. A. *Indian J. Chem.* **1987**, *26A*, 495), we were unable to isolate our catalysts. It should be noted, however, that those authors used ethanolic solutions for the preparation of the adducts. It is hard to imagine that the alcoholates of the respective metals would not form. Second, the IR data given in this paper for the fingerprint region at 450–425 cm<sup>-1</sup> do not agree with literature data for Si–N bond stretches, which should be at around 600 and 800 cm<sup>-1</sup> (see: Bürger, H.; Sawodny, W. *Spectrochim. Acta* **1967**, *23A*, 2827). For our complexes, we computed these absorptions to be 591.9, 609.9, 858.0, and 885.9 cm<sup>-1</sup> [at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level], in agreement with the literature.

(18) Bordwell, F. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 1988, 21, 456.

(19) Harrington-Frost, N.; Leuser, H.; Calaza, M. I.; Kneisel, F. F.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. **2003**, *5*, 2111. It should be noted that structure (S)-**2d** is the same as structure **15** in this reference. Shi has argued that the "backside" ("spiro") reaction mode in such epoxide rearrangements can be followed back to the opposite configurations in the starting epoxides; similar substrates were utilized in ref 20. To be sure, we also rearranged enantiomerically enriched **1b** with Et<sub>2</sub>AlCl (Scheme 7) and obtained the S-configured product.

(20) Wang, Z.-X.; Tu, Y.; Frohn, M.; Zhang, J.-R.; Shi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11224.

(21) Shen, Y.-M.; Wang, B.; Shi, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1429.

(22) Kagan, H. B.; Fiaud, J. C. Top. Stereochem. 1988, 18, 249.