Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

PAPER



Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, **13**, 2689

A benzyl alcohol derivative of the BDPA radical for fast dissolution dynamic nuclear polarization NMR spectroscopy[†]

View Article Online

J. L. Muñoz-Gómez,^{a,b} E. Monteagudo,^c V. Lloveras,^{a,b} T. Parella,^c J. Veciana^{*a,b} and J. Vidal-Gancedo^{*a,b}

The synthesis, structural characterization and the successful application of a carbon centered radical derived from 1,3-bisdiphenylene-2-phenylallyl (BDPA), its benzyl alcohol derivative (BA-BDPA), as a polarizing agent for Dynamic Nuclear Polarization (DNP) are described. The reported BA-BDPA radical meets all the requirements to become a promising candidate for its use in *in vivo* DNP-NMR experiments: it is soluble in neat [1-¹³C]pyruvic acid, insoluble in the dissolution transfer solvent and is effective as a polarizing agent in fast dissolution DNP-NMR applications, without the need for using glassing agents. Moreover, it enables a simple but effective *in-line* radical filtration to obtain hyperpolarized solutions of [1-¹³C]pyruvic acid free of radicals that offers a better polarization performance.

Received 6th November 2014, Accepted 2nd January 2015 DOI: 10.1039/c4ob02356k

www.rsc.org/obc

Introduction

NMR spectroscopy has become a fundamental analytical technique¹ widely used for the identification and characterization of chemical and biochemical molecules under both solution and solid-state conditions, in terms of chemical structure, dynamics, and intra- and intermolecular interactions as well as for the complex mixture analysis. In addition, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is one of the best non-invasive clinical imaging methods currently used in medicine.² However, the progress of both NMR and MRI techniques is still limited owing to their relatively poor sensitivities due to the inherent low thermal-equilibrium Boltzmann polarization of some of the involved nuclear spins, such as ¹³C and ¹⁵N. During the last few years, enormous efforts have been devoted to enhance the NMR sensitivity, including the hardware development of cryogenically cooled probes³ and the availability of superconducting magnets with higher magnetic fields.⁴ Other

^cServei de Ressonància Magnètica Nuclear, Facultat de Ciències i Biociències, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Catalonia, Spain challenging approaches based on the application of hyperpolarization methods on modern liquid-state NMR spectroscopy are an ongoing field of research, namely optical pumping,^{5,6} para-hydrogen induced polarization^{7,8} (PHIP) and dynamic nuclear polarization^{9,10} (DNP). In particular, fast dissolution of highly-polarized small molecules combined with DNP¹¹ is one of the most efficient approaches. This technique is based on cooling down a solution sample, usually formed by (i) the analyte, (ii) a glassing solvent agent and (iii) a radical (typically a trityl radical), into a high magnetic field (3.35 T). Under such conditions and applying microwave irradiation at an appropriate frequency of the radical resonance, the strong polarization of unpaired electrons on free radical molecules, homogeneously distributed within the solution, can be transferred to nearby nuclei of the analyte. Then, the hyperpolarized frozen solution is automatically dissolved in a warm solvent (water or methanol) and quickly transferred to the NMR spectrometer, where the enhanced signals from the analyte must be quickly detected by conventional NMR techniques. To mention a few examples, fast dissolution DNP studies have been successfully reported in several in vitro and in vivo applications such as, for instance, for structural elucidation,^{3,12} to monitor chemical and biochemical reactions,^{13,14} to study molecular interactions,¹⁵ to develop new and ultrafast NMR experiments,^{16,17} to perform in vivo ¹³C imaging of metabolic reactions^{2,18,19} or seeking for new biomarkers by real-time molecular imaging.11,20,21

Currently, the water-soluble trityl-based stable free radical OX63²² (structure not shown) is the most frequently used polarizing agent in dissolution DNP for low- γ nuclei such as

^aInstitut de Ciència de Materials de Barcelona, (ICMAB-CSIC) Campus Universitari de Bellaterra, E-08193 Cerdanyola del Vallès, Spain. E-mail: j.vidal@icmab.es ^bNetworking Research Center on Bioengineering, Biomaterials and Nanomedicine (CIBER-BBN), Barcelona, Spain

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis and characterization of free radicals **3–8**, EPR spectra of BA-BDPA, polarization build-up curves for BA-BDPA at different concentrations, removal of BA-BDPA from the dissolution, UV-Visible and EPR of *in-line* filtered dissolution, cleaning of the polarizer dissolution line and experimental linewidths. See DOI: 10.1039/ c4ob02356k



Fig. 1 Structures of BDPA and BA-BDPA free radicals.

¹³C or ¹⁵N, mainly because it presents a narrow electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) linewidth, which is among the narrowest linewidths found in free radicals. This narrow linewidth translates into a low electron heat capacity and yields a lower spin temperature for the electron spin-spin interaction reservoir that is in thermal contact with the nuclear Zeeman system. Alternatively, nitroxide-based radicals and biradicals²³ present a wider EPR linewidth and, as a consequence, DNP of the nitroxide doped ¹³C substrates, which also proceeds predominantly via thermal mixing, yields a relatively lower polarization. Recently, the BDPA radical (Fig. 1), which has a comparable linewidth to OX63, has been demonstrated to be a versatile polarizing agent under a variety of conditions,²⁴ from solid-state DNP experiments²⁵ to fast dissolution DNP of [1-¹³C]pyruvic acid.²⁶ In the latter case, although the residual BDPA radical traces can be removed from the hyperpolarized solution by filtration, its insolubility into neat [1-13C]pyruvic acid requires the use of sulfolane as a glassing agent, hampering its application in in vivo experiments.

Herein we present the synthesis of a novel benzyl alcohol derivative of the BDPA (BA-BDPA, **8**) which is a carbon-centered free radical soluble in $[1-^{13}C]$ pyruvic acid. The DNP sample preparation conditions are similar to those for OX63 which do not require glassing agents. Besides, it is shown that the residual traces of the BA-BDPA radical can be rapidly removed during the transfer process, as in the case of the non-functionalized commercially available BDPA, becoming a promising polarizing agent for fast dissolution DNP-enhanced *in vivo* applications.

Material and methods

1,3-Bisdiphenylene-2-phenylallyl (BDPA) radical–benzene clathrate (1:1), $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid, sulfolane and all the solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Company, Ltd (Tres Cantos, Madrid, Spain) and were used without further purification.

X-band EPR

The EPR spectra (see ESI, Fig. S1[†]) were recorded at room temperature in THF (HPLC quality) using a Bruker

ELEXSYS E500 X-band spectrometer equipped with a field-frequency (F/F) lock accessory and built in NMR Gaussmeter. A rectangular (TE 102) cavity was used for the measurements.

Dynamic nuclear polarization

Sample preparations. (a) *BDPA solutions*: As described previously,²⁶ 4.0 mg BDPA were completely dissolved in 100 µl of sulfolane, then 100 µl of $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid were added to achieve a final sample concentration of 40 mM of BDPA. (b) *Radical* **8** *solutions*: 1.8 mg of **8** were completely dissolved in 100 µl of $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid and 0.36 µl of benzene were added. The final concentration of both BA-BDPA **8** and benzene was 40 mM. The same procedure was followed to obtain all sample solutions of **8** at different concentrations (20, 60 and 80 mM). (c) *OX63 solutions*: 2.4 mg of OX63 were completely dissolved in 100 µl of $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid yielding a final concentration of 15 mM of OX63.

DNP experiments. Aliquots of 20 µl were polarized using the HyperSense® (Oxford Instruments Molecular Biotools, Oxford, UK) commercial polarizer working at 3.35 T, 1.4 K. Samples were polarized for approximately 90 minutes irradiating at the frequency of the corresponding optimum positive peak P(+), determined by the 13C microwave sweep spectrum. The hyperpolarized sample was then dissolved in 4 ml of water and automatically transferred (10 s) into an empty NMR tube placed inside a Bruker AVANCE-III 600 MHz spectrometer (Bruker Biospin, Rheinstetten, Germany), previously locked and shimmed with a reference sample. The spectrometer was equipped with a 5 mm broadband TXI inverse probehead incorporating a z-gradient coil and working at a field strength of 14.1 T (600.13 and 150.03 MHz, ¹H and ¹³C frequencies respectively). After dissolution, the polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) transfer pipe line was cleaned with 3×4 ml methanol and 3×4 ml water, see the ESI.[†]

Liquid-state ¹³*C DNP-NMR measurements*: the ¹³*C* DNP-NMR spectra were acquired 10 s after the sample dissolution using a conventional 1D broadband heterodecoupled ¹³*C* pulse scheme employing a 90° RF pulse. The data were collected into 32 K data points during an acquisition time of 0.43 s and using a spectral width of 37 878 Hz in a single scan. After Fourier transformation, the frequency-domain spectra were then manually phased and baseline corrected.

After the complete decay of polarization, a conventional 1D ¹³C NMR thermal equilibrium spectrum was acquired with an acquisition time of 0.47 s and a recycle delay of 2 s. The data were collected into 32 K data points, with a spectral width of 34 722 Hz and as the sum of 32 transients using a 30° degree flip angle, unless otherwise stated.

The liquid-state enhancements were calculated, as described previously,²⁷ by the division of the signal intensity of the hyperpolarized signal over the intensity of the thermal equilibrium signal multiplied by the square root of the number of scans.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of free radical 8. Conditions: (i) tBuOK, EtOH, reflux 16 h; (ii) Br₂ in CCl₄, room temperature, 5 min; (iii) NaOH in EtOH, reflux, 30 min; (iv) fluorene, tBuOK in DMA, room temperature, 1 h; (v) DIBALH, anhydrous THF, room temperature, 16 h; (vi) DBU in DCM, then AgNO₃.

Results and discussion

The synthesis of BDPA carboxylic acid 6 (see ESI[†]) is shown in Scheme 1.28 This multistep synthesis consisted of condensation between fluorene and 4-carboxybenzaldehyde followed by a double bond bromination to obtain compound 4. The latest step was improved by changing the previously reported reaction conditions: in CCl₄ and for only five minutes (Y: 88%). The next steps were the elimination of hydrogen bromine, which generates compound 5, and, finally, the allylic substitution which adds the final fluorene ring that completes the BDPA structure. The reduction reaction of the carboxylic group of 6 to the corresponding benzyl alcohol 7 was performed with diisobutylaluminium hydride (DIBALH) in excess. The acid reduction without anion formation was possible because of the big size of this reducing agent. This process could be naked-eye controlled by the absence of the typical blue colour corresponding to the BDPA anion. Finally, radical 8 was isolated by the treatment of the benzilic alcohol derivative with 1,8-diazabicycloundec-7-ene (DBU) followed by AgNO₃ as an oxidant agent in dichloromethane (DCM). The total yield of the synthesis of 8 was 40%.

The DNP experiments were carried out using freshly prepared solutions of radical 8 in $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid.[‡] The microwave sweep or ¹³C microwave DNP spectrum (Fig. 2) shows the ¹³C NMR signal intensity against the microwave irradiation frequency of 40 mM solution of BDPA and BA-BDPA radical 8.



Fig. 2 ¹³C microwave DNP spectrum of 50 μ l [1-¹³C] pyruvic acid doped with 40 mM **8** (solid circles) at 3.35 T and 1.4 K. The arrows indicate P(+) = 94.087 GHz and P(-) = 94.117 GHz polarization peaks, respectively. The microwave sweep spectrum of 50 μ l of 1 : 1 (v/v) [1-¹³C] pyruvic acid : sulfolane doped with 40 mM BDPA (open circles) is also shown. It is noteworthy that as the amount of [1-¹³C]pyruvic acid in the BDPA sample is half, the intensity of the signal is lower.

The optimum positive P(+) and negative P(-) peaks were determined for both samples by scanning from 94.000 to 94.200 GHz for 3 minutes at each frequency every 5 MHz. The optimum P(+) was set at 94.077 and 94.087 GHz for BDPA and **8**, respectively. The separation between positive and negative polarization peaks |P(+) - P(-)| for BDPA and **8** was 50 and 30 MHz, respectively. These close values and the comparable EPR linewidths for both radicals,²⁹ extracted from the microwave DNP spectra, suggest that the same dominant thermal mixing polarization transfer mechanism is operative in both cases.

In order to determine the optimum concentration of radical **8** to be dissolved in $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid, 20 µl sample aliquots with concentrations of 20, 40, 60 and 80 mM were polarized at an optimal frequency of 94.087 GHz, for approximately 90 minutes (see Fig. S2 in ESI†), and automatically transferred to the NMR spectrometer through a home-made *in-line* filtration system that consists of a high pressure adapter connected to a syringe filled with cotton (see Fig. S4 in ESI†). This filtration completely removed the radical from the dissolution down to 10^{-7} M, as confirmed by UV-Vis and EPR spectroscopy (see the final UV-Vis and EPR solution spectra in ESI, Fig. S5†).

The optimum concentration of radical 8 found was 40 mM (Fig. S2†). In Fig. 3 we show the ¹³C polarization build-up curve for the sample with radical 8 in the optimum concentration found and the ones corresponding to BDPA (40 mM) and OX63 (15 mM), for comparison. As can be observed in Fig. 3, the 40 mM solution of 8 provides better solid-state polarization levels with an important reduction in the polarization time with respect to the BDPA radical. This can be related to the reduction in the build-up time constant (T_c) which is three times lower. It can also be observed that comparable polarization levels are achieved between 8 and the

[‡]The radical is stable in solution at least for some hours. The EPR spectrum intensity and shape of a freshly prepared solution of the radical did not change after 2 hours.



Fig. 3 ¹³C polarization build-up curve of 20 μ l [1-¹³C]pyruvic acid doped with 40 mM **8** (open triangles). The curves of 20 μ l 1:1 (v/v) [1-¹³C]pyruvic acid : sulfolane doped with 40 mM BDPA (open circles) and 20 μ l [1-¹³C]pyruvic acid doped with 15 mM OX63 (crosses) are also shown for comparison. It is noteworthy that the amount of [1-¹³C] pyruvic acid in the BDPA sample is half of the others because of its formulation, [1-¹³C]pyruvic acid : sulfolane (1:1). The polarization build-up time constants (*T_c*) are also shown for each corresponding curve.



Fig. 4 Hyperpolarized, Hyp (θ = 90°, 1 scan) and thermal equilibrium, TH (θ = 30°, 32 scans) ¹³C DNP-NMR spectra of [1-¹³C1]pyruvic acid after filtration. The TH spectrum is enlarged 50 times.

OX63 trityl radical. The polarizing build-up curves (Fig. 3) were recorded in the solid state and the average liquid-state NMR enhancements (ε) were calculated after acquisition of the corresponding thermal equilibrium ¹³C spectrum (Fig. 4 and S6†). Table 1 shows the liquid-state NMR enhancements measured 10 s after dissolution of [1-¹³C]pyruvic acid samples doped with different concentrations of the studied radical: **8** (20, 40, 60 and 80 mM), BDPA (40 mM) and OX63 (15 mM). The best polarization for **8** remains the same than in the solid state (40 mM), although the time of polarization (and with it the build-up time constant) is not too much longer than in the 60 mM concentration (see Fig. S2 and S3 in ESI†).

Table 1Liquid-state NMR enhancements (ε) measured 10 s after dissolution of [1-13C] pyruvic acid samples doped with different concentrationsof 8, BDPA and OX63 radicals

Radical conc. (mM)	Hyperpolarized compound	Dissolution solvent	Liquid-state enhancement
BA-BDPA 20 mM BA-BDPA 40 mM BA-BDPA 60 mM BA-BDPA 80 mM BDPA 40 mM OX63 15 mM	$[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid $[1^{-13}C]$ pyruvic acid	Water Water Water Water Water Water	2191 2886 2726 1387 2454 2658

It is worth highlighting that radical **8** shows a great advantage over OX63 in the transfer process. Indeed, radical **8** is insoluble in the solvent transfer medium (H₂O) and hence it is totally retained in the filtering system (see Fig. S5 in ESI†). Thus, the transferred dissolution only contains the hyperpolarized pyruvic acid. In contrast, the radical OX63 remains in solution since it is soluble in the solvent used, making the relaxation of the hyperpolarized pyruvic acid solution faster, with the consequent loss of hyperpolarization due to the interaction of the free radical with the hyperpolarized nuclei.^{26,30,31}

Conclusions

A benzyl alcohol derivative of BDPA, **8**, has been synthesized, characterized and tested as a polarizing agent for fast dissolution DNP. This radical shows some advantages with respect to the commercially available BDPA, such as: (a) sulfolane is avoided as the glassing agent in the sample preparation, (b) better polarization levels are achieved under solid state DNP conditions and (c) better ¹³C signal NMR enhancements are reached under liquid NMR conditions. Moreover, the required polarization time has been substantially reduced by a factor of 2 when [1-¹³C]pyruvic acid is polarized. Additionally, it has been shown that radical **8** can be easily removed from the hyperpolarized solution in the transfer process, minimizing the loss of hyperpolarization for the presence of the paramagnetic free radical in the solution.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the DGI Grants "POMAS" (CTQ2010-19501) and "Be-Well" (CTQ2013-40480-R), MINECO (CTQ2012-32436), AGAUR Grant (2014SGR-0017) and MINECO Grant (PTA 2011-5037-I) for the subprogram Personal Técnico de Apoyo. We also thank the Networking Research Center on Bioengineering, Biomaterials and Nanomedicine (CIBER-BBN), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas by the JAE Grand, and Amable Bernabé for his work in MALDI spectrometry. NMR studies were carried out at the joint NMR facility of the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona and CIBER-BBN (Cerdanyola del Vallès).

Notes and references

- 1 T. Claridge, *High-resolution NMR techniques in organic chemistry*, 2008.
- 2 J. Kurhanewicz, D. B. Vigneron, K. Brindle, E. Y. Chekmenev, R. J. Deberardinis, G. G. Green, R. R. Rizi, B. D. Ross and W. S. Warren, *Neoplasia*, 2011, 13, 81.
- 3 H. Kovacs, D. Moskau and M. Spraul, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.*, 2005, **46**, 131.
- 4 R. Fu, W. W. Brey, K. Shetty, P. Gor'kov, S. Saha, J. R. Long,
 S. C. Grant, E. Y. Chekmenev, J. Hu, Z. Gan, M. Sharma,
 F. Zhang, T. M. Logan, R. Brüschweller, A. Edison, A. Blue,
 I. R. Dixon, W. D. Markiewicz and T. Cross, *J. Magn. Reson.*,
 2005, 177, 1.
- 5 F. Colegrove, L. Schearer and G. Walters, *Phys. Rev.*, 1963, 132, 2561.
- 6 H.-U. Kauczor, R. Surkau and T. Roberts, *Eur. Radiol.*, 1998, 8, 820.
- 7 S. B. Duckett and R. E. Mewis, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 1247.
- 8 C. Bowers and D. Weitekamp, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1986, 57, 2645.
- 9 A. Abragam and M. Goldman, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, 1978, 41, 395.
- 10 K. H. Sze, Q. Wu, H. S. Tse and G. Zhu, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2012, **326**, 215.
- J. H. Ardenkjaer-Larsen, B. Fridlund, A. Gram, G. Hansson, L. Hansson, M. H. Lerche, R. Servin, M. Thaning and K. Golman, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2003, 100, 10158.
- 12 M. Ragavan, H.-Y. Chen, G. Sekar and C. Hilty, *Anal. Chem.*, 2011, 83, 6054.
- 13 S. Bowen and C. Hilty, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 5235.
- 14 H. Zeng, Y. Lee and C. Hilty, Anal. Chem., 2010, 82, 8897.
- M. H. Lerche, S. Meier, P. R. Jensen, H. Baumann,
 B. O. Petersen, M. Karlsson, J. O. Duus and
 J. H. Ardenkjaer-Larsen, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 2010, 203, 52.
- 16 L. Frydman and D. Blazina, Nat. Phys., 2007, 3, 415.

- 17 P. Giraudeau, Y. Shrot and L. Frydman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 13902.
- 18 M. E. Merritt, C. Harrison, C. Storey, F. M. Jeffrey, D. Sherry and C. R. Malloy, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 19773.
- 19 F. A. Gallagher, M. I. Kettunen and K. M. Brindle, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.*, 2009, 55, 285.
- 20 K. M. Brindle, S. E. Bohndiek, F. A. Gallagher and M. I. Kettunen, *Magn. Reson. Med.*, 2011, **66**, 505.
- 21 M. Karlsson, P. R. Jensen, J. Ø. Duus, S. Meier and M. H. Lerche, *Appl. Magn. Reson.*, 2012, **43**, 223.
- 22 J. H. Ardenkjaer-Larsen, I. Laursen, I. Leunbach, G. Ehnholm, L.-G. Wistrand, J. S. Petersson and K. Golman, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 1998, 133, 1.
- 23 (a) M. K. Kiesewetter, B. Corzilius, A. A. Smith, R. G. Griffin and T. M. Swager, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 4537;
 (b) E. L. Dane, B. Corzilius, E. Rizzato, P. Stocker, T. Maly, A. A. Smith, R. R. Griffin, O. Ouai and T. M. Swager, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, 77, 1789.
- 24 V. K. Michaelis, A. A. Smith, B. Corzilius, O. Haze, T. M. Swager and R. R. Griffin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 2935.
- 25 L. Becerra, G. Gerfen, R. Temkin, D. Singel and R. Griffin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1993, **71**, 3561.
- 26 L. Lumata, S. J. Ratnakar, A. Jindal, M. Merritt, A. Comment, C. Malloy, A. D. Sherry and Z. Kovacs, *Chem.* – *Eur. J*, 2011, 17, 10825.
- 27 J. C. Paniagua, V. Mugnaini, C. Gabellieri, M. Feliz, N. Roques, J. Veciana and M. Pons, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **12**, 5824.
- 28 E. L. Dane, T. Maly, G. T. Debelouchina, R. G. Griffin and T. M. Swager, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 1871.
- 29 L. Lumata, M. E. Merritt, C. R. Malloy, A. D. Sherry and Z. Kovacs, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2012, **116**, 5129.
- 30 P. Miéville, P. Ahuja, R. Sarkar, S. Jannin, P. R. Vasos,
 S. Gerber-Lemaire, M. Mishkovsky, A. Comment,
 R. Gruetter, O. Ouari, P. Tordo and G. Bodenhausen,
 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 6182.
- 31 M. Carravetta, O. Johannessen and M. Levitt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2004, **92**, 153003.

Paper