



Heterogeneous & Homogeneous & Bio- & Nano-

CHEM **CAT** CHEM

CATALYSIS

Accepted Article

Title: Efficient and selective oxidation of aromatic amines to azoxy derivatives over aluminium and gallium oxide catalysts with nanorod morphology

Authors: Bhawan Singh, Dalmo Mandelli, and Paolo Pescarmona

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: *ChemCatChem* 10.1002/cctc.201901378

Link to VoR: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201901378>

WILEY-VCH

www.chemcatchem.org



Efficient and selective oxidation of aromatic amines to azoxy derivatives over aluminium and gallium oxide catalysts with nanorod morphology

Bhawan Singh,^{a,b,c} Dalmo Mandelli,^c Paolo P. Pescarmona^{a,*}


Abstract: Aluminium oxide and gallium oxide nanorods were identified as highly efficient heterogeneous catalysts for the selective oxidation of aromatic amines to azoxy compounds using hydrogen peroxide as environmentally friendly oxidant. This is the first report for the selective oxidation of aromatic amines to their azoxy derivatives without using transition metal catalysts. Among the tested transition-metal-free oxides, gallium oxide nanorods with small dimensions (9-52 nm length and 3-5 nm width) and fully accessible, high surface area (225 m² g⁻¹) displayed the best catalytic performance in terms of substrate versatility, activity and azoxybenzene selectivity. Furthermore, the catalyst loading, hydrogen peroxide type (aqueous or anhydrous), and the amount of solvent were tuned to optimise the catalytic performance, which allowed reaching almost full selectivity (98 %) towards azoxybenzene at high aniline conversion (94 %). Reusability tests showed that the gallium oxide nanorod catalyst can be recycled in consecutive runs with complete retention of the original activity and selectivity.

Introduction

The selective oxidation of aromatic amines into O-containing derivatives such as hydroxylamine, nitroso, nitro, oxime, azo and azoxy compounds by using a sustainable chemical process has a great fundamental as well as practical interest.^[1-4] Among this class of products, azoxy compounds have received considerable research attention owing to their versatile applications as dyes and pigments, reducing agents, analytical reagents, food additives, chemical stabilisers and polymer inhibitors.^[5-9] In addition, azoxy compounds are used as precursors for Wallach rearrangement, which offers a straightforward path for the synthesis of azo compounds with one aromatic ring substituted by a hydroxyl group in the aromatic *para*-position.^[10,11] Moreover, some derivatives of azoxy compounds containing both aryl and

alkyl groups are used in liquid crystal displays^[12] and therapeutic drugs.^[13,14]

Conventionally, azoxy compounds are synthesised either from the oxidation of amines or from the reduction of nitro compounds. In both cases, the formation of the azoxy derivatives is accomplished through the condensation of intermediate reaction products (Scheme 1). Due to the possible formation of different reaction products, it is quite challenging to control the reaction towards the selective formation of the azoxy product. Additionally, mild reaction conditions (i.e. low temperature and atmospheric pressure) and the use of green solvents and oxidants or reductants are preferable. For this purpose, the identification of an efficient and reusable catalyst is of the utmost importance.



Scheme 1. Reaction pathways involved in the synthesis of azoxy compounds

Until now, numerous transition metals based homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts have been developed for the selective oxidation of amines into azoxy compounds by using H₂O₂ or tert-butyl hydrogen peroxide (TBHP) as the oxidant. Homogeneous catalysts based on transition metals, such as tetrasteryl titanate,^[15] ruthenium chloride,^[16] and polyoxometalates^[17] have been reported to be active and selective in the oxidation of aromatic amines into azoxy compounds. Recently, the reaction could be achieved also with 2,2,2-trifluoroacetophenone as organo-catalyst using hydrogen peroxide as the oxidant.^[18] However, all these homogeneous systems suffer from the difficult separability and reusability of the catalyst. In order to overcome this issue, a variety of transition-metal-based heterogeneous catalysts including microporous and mesoporous titanosilicates (e.g. TS-1, Ti-Beta, Ti-ZSM-48, Ti-MCM-41, Ti-HMS, ETS-10, Ti-PILC),^[3,19-23] transition metal oxides (such as TiO₂,^[24,25] LiNbO₃,^[26] CuCr₂O₃ spinel^[27] and mesoporous CoO-SiO₂^[28]) and supported metal or metal oxide nanoparticles (such as Ag on WO₃,^[29] Ag on Fe₂O₃,^[30] MnO₂ on graphene oxide^[31] and Cu on CeO₂^[32]) have been employed for the oxidation of aromatic amines into azoxybenzene derivatives. Although all these heterogeneous catalytic systems are active in the selective oxidation of amines to azoxy derivatives, they still

[a] Dr. B. Singh, Prof. Dr. P. P. Pescarmona
Chemical Engineering Group, Engineering and Technology Institute
Groningen (ENTEG)
University of Groningen
Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands
E-mail: p.p.pescarmona@rug.nl

[b] Dr. B. Singh
Centre for Surface Chemistry and Catalysis, KU Leuven
Celestijnenlaan 200F, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium

[c] Dr. B. Singh, Prof. Dr. Dalmo Mandelli
Centre of Natural and Human Sciences
Federal University of ABC
166 Santa Adélia Street, Bangu, Santo André, SP 09210-170, Brazil

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

suffer from one or more drawbacks, such as the use of expensive transition metals that may be prone to leaching, diffusion limitations, complex and tedious catalyst synthesis, inefficient recyclability and the use of high loading of catalyst. In this respect, the development of an efficient, transition-metal-free heterogeneous catalyst that can operate with an environmentally benign oxidant as H_2O_2 in the selective oxidation of aromatic amines to azoxy derivatives is an attractive and timely goal.

A class of transition-metal-free heterogeneous catalysts that has attracted research interest for the selective oxidation of unsaturated compounds to the corresponding epoxides in the last two decades consists of materials containing Ga or Al active sites, either as oxides (Al_2O_3 or Ga_2O_3)^[33–36] or as metallosilicates (Ga-MCM-41 , Al-MCM-41).^[37,38] These catalysts operate with hydrogen peroxide as an environmentally friendly oxidant under relatively mild reaction conditions. In addition, they also have shown to be active and selective catalysts towards the formation of alkyl lactates from triose sugars.^[39] Recently, our group discovered a template-free method to prepare Al_2O_3 and Ga_2O_3 nanorods (NR) with high specific surface area and a high population of accessible acid sites that act as catalytic sites for activating hydrogen peroxide.^[36,40] Here, we report for the first time the catalytic performance of these aluminium and gallium oxides as heterogeneous catalysts for the selective oxidation of aromatic amines to azoxy derivatives with hydrogen peroxide as the oxidant. We reasoned that Al_2O_3 -NR and Ga_2O_3 -NR could be suitable catalysts for this reaction not only owing to their ability to activate hydrogen peroxide but also because their acid sites could catalyse the condensation of the phenhydroxylamine and nitrosobenzene intermediates leading to the formation of azoxybenzene (Scheme 1). The observed promising activity of these catalysts was further optimised by screening and tuning (i) the catalyst loading, (ii) the hydrogen peroxide source (aqueous or anhydrous), and (iii) the use and amount of solvent. For the optimum catalysts identified in this work (Ga_2O_3 -NR), the versatility in the conversion of different substituted anilines and the reusability were demonstrated.

Recent reports showed that aluminium oxide and gallium oxide with nanorod morphology are highly active, selective and stable heterogeneous catalyst that are able to activate hydrogen peroxide towards the epoxidation of alkenes.^[36,40] This feature has the potential to be exploited in other oxidation reactions involving the activation of hydrogen peroxide. In this context, we studied a set of Al and Ga oxides with different morphologies and crystal structures as transition-metal-free heterogeneous catalysts for the oxidation of aromatic amines to azoxy derivatives using hydrogen peroxide as an environmentally friendly oxidant. Al_2O_3 and Ga_2O_3 nanorods were prepared according to an organic-template-free protocol developed by our group and their catalytic performance was compared to the two most common polymorphs of gallium oxide, i.e. $\gamma\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ (surface area: $155\text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, see Fig. S1 for X-ray diffraction and the N_2 -physisorption data) and $\beta\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ (surface area: $13\text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, see Fig. S2 for X-ray diffraction and the N_2 -physisorption data), and to two commercial aluminium oxides: Al_2O_3 activated, neutral Brockmann I (particle size ~ 150 mesh, surface area: $155\text{ m}^2/\text{g}$), $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ nanopowder (particle size < 50 nm, surface area: $171\text{ m}^2/\text{g}$). The structure and textural properties of Al_2O_3 -NR, e.g. surface area, nanorod morphology and presence of $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ phase are in line with our recent report (Fig. S3 for selected characterisation data).^[40] In this work, we improved the synthesis protocol of Ga_2O_3 -NR, reaching a higher specific surface area ($225\text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) and tuning the size of the nanorods. TEM analysis confirmed that the material presents the rod-like morphology with length varying from 9 to 52 nm and width between 3 and 5 nm (Fig. 1 A). The X-ray diffractogram displays two major broad diffraction peak at $2\theta = 35.54^\circ$ and 61.92° (Fig. 1 B), which match well with the expected pattern attributed to the $\epsilon\text{-Ga}_2\text{O}_3$ polymorph.^[36] The N_2 -adsorption-desorption isotherms of Ga_2O_3 -NR present the type II isotherm with H3 hysteresis loop over the relative pressure range of 0.62–0.97 (Fig. 1 C), attributed to the packing of the nanorods leading to the formation of slit-shaped interparticle voids. Additional characterisation data (FT-IR spectrum) can be found in the Supporting Information (Fig. S4). Initially, the Al and Ga oxides were screened as heterogeneous catalysts for the oxidation of aniline with 50 wt % aqueous

Results and Discussion




Figure 1. Characterisation of Ga_2O_3 -NR: (A) TEM images, (B) XRD pattern, and (C) N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms.

hydrogen peroxide as the oxidant in an environmentally acceptable and inexpensive aprotic solvent as ethyl acetate (Table 1). In the absence of catalyst, almost no conversion of aniline was observed (Entry 1, Table 1). Among the tested Al and Ga oxides, the ϵ -Ga₂O₃ polymorph in the form of small nanorods (Ga₂O₃-NR) showed the highest aniline conversion (49 % in 4 h, Table 1, Entry 7) with 92 % selectivity towards azoxybenzene. Azobenzene, which is an industrially relevant molecule used in the production of dyes, food additives, pigments and therapeutic agents, was observed as the only side product.^[5,41] This catalytic activity is markedly superior compared to that of Al₂O₃-NR and γ -Ga₂O₃, which showed moderate conversion of aniline (26 and 21 %, respectively, see Entries 4 and 6 in Table 1), with azoxybenzene as the main product. On the other hand, both types of commercial Al₂O₃ (Table 1, Entry 2 and 3), displayed significantly lower activity and nitrobenzene was formed as a major product besides azoxybenzene and azobenzene, which indicates the further oxidation of the nitrosobenzene intermediate (Scheme 1). β -Ga₂O₃, which has the lowest surface area, was virtually inactive in this reaction (Table 1, Entry 5).

Table 1. Catalytic performance of Al and Ga oxides in the oxidation of aniline using 50 wt % aqueous H₂O₂.

Entry	Catalyst	Surface area (m ² /g)	Conv. (%)	Sel. (%)			
				I	II	III	IV
1	No catalyst	-	≤ 1	-	-	-	-
2	Al ₂ O ₃ -activated, Brockmann-1	155	11	28	34	39	-
3	Al ₂ O ₃ -nanopowder	171	14	38	37	25	-
4	Al ₂ O ₃ -NR	376	26	86	14	-	-
5	β -Ga ₂ O ₃	13	≤ 1	-	-	-	-
6	γ -Ga ₂ O ₃	155	21	98	2	-	-
7	Ga ₂ O ₃ -NR	225	49	92	8	-	-

Reaction conditions: aniline (2 mmol), anisole (1 mmol, as GC internal standard), 50 wt % H₂O₂ (4 mmol), catalyst (20 mg), ethyl acetate (4 g) as solvent, 80 °C, 4 h.

These differences in activity and selectivity can be correlated to the reaction mechanism, which involves both oxidation and condensation reactions (Scheme 1). The higher activity of Ga₂O₃-NR is attributed to the high, accessible surface area and to the large surface density of coordinatively unsaturated sites acting as acid sites that are able to activate hydrogen peroxide. Both features stem from the nanorod morphology of this material.^[36] Previous work showed that Ga₂O₃-NR contains

approximately 0.63 acid sites per nm².^[36] With this figure in mind, the turnover number (TON) of Ga₂O₃-NR in the oxidation of aniline to azoxybenzene can be estimated to be 208. The differences in selectivity observed between the tested catalysts can be rationalised considering that the number and strength of Lewis and Brønsted acid sites on the surface of aluminium and gallium oxides depend not only on the intrinsic differences between the two metals, but also on the synthesis method of the materials, which determine surface area and morphology and thus also the surface density of accessible coordinatively unsaturated sites.^[36, 40] In this context, the higher selectivity towards nitrobenzene observed with the two commercial alumina indicates that these materials are relatively poor in acid sites that are able to catalyse the condensation reaction between the reaction intermediates (phenylhydroxylamine and nitrosobenzene, see Scheme 1), which leads to their further oxidation into nitrobenzene.




Figure 2. Conversion of aniline, selectivity of azo and azoxybenzene, and leaching test with 50 wt % aqueous H₂O₂ as a function of reaction time over Ga₂O₃-NR. The reaction conditions are the same as those in Table 1.

The catalytic performance of Ga₂O₃-NR in terms of activity and selectivity of the desired products for the oxidation of aniline was further investigated as a function of reaction time (Fig. 2). The conversion of aniline gradually reached 92 % in 20 h, after which only a slight increase in aniline conversion was observed (94 % in 26 h), suggesting the almost full consumption of hydrogen peroxide. This was confirmed by the negligible amount of H₂O₂ found in the reaction mixture after 26 h, as determined by titration with a 0.1 M Ce^{IV} solution. This indicates that a fraction of H₂O₂ disproportionated into H₂O and O₂. Based on these data, a 70 % efficiency of the utilisation of H₂O₂ in the oxidation of aniline was achieved with the Ga₂O₃-NR catalyst. Azoxybenzene was the main product at all stages of the reaction and its selectivity increased rapidly in the first 4 h of reaction, after which it slowly reached nearly full selectivity (98 %) after 26 h. Remarkably, azobenzene was the only one side product and its

selectivity decreased as a function of reaction time, suggesting the further oxidation of azobenzene to azoxybenzene (Scheme 1). No products of the over-oxidation of aniline such as nitrosobenzene and nitrobenzene were observed during the course of reaction, whereas these side-products are commonly observed with transition-metal-containing catalysts.^[3,21,22]




Figure 3: Recycling test of Ga₂O₃-NR as catalyst. Reaction conditions: aniline (2 mmol), anisole (1 mmol, as GC internal standard), 50 wt % H₂O₂ (4 mmol), Ga₂O₃-NR catalyst (20 mg), ethyl acetate (4 g) as solvent, 80 °C, 4 h.

Besides achieving promising catalytic activity and selectivity, it is crucial to demonstrate the truly heterogeneous nature and the recyclability of the catalyst as these features are essential in view of possible industrial application. For this purpose, a hot filtration leaching test was performed (Fig.2, dotted line). The catalyst was removed by hot filtration after 1 h of reaction when the conversion of aniline was 26 %. The filtrate was allowed to react for further 19 h reaching a final conversion of 28 %. This increase in aniline conversion after 20 h of reaction when compared to the 92 % conversion obtained after 20 h in the presence of Ga₂O₃-NR (Fig. 2) indicates that no or negligible leaching of active species occurs proving the truly heterogeneous nature of the Ga₂O₃-NR catalyst. The recyclability of Ga₂O₃-NR was evaluated in several consecutive runs. The colour of Ga₂O₃-NR was slightly changed from white to light brown at the end of the first catalytic test, which indicates the adsorption of organic species on the surface of the catalyst. In order to remove these organic species, the catalyst was washed at 80 °C with ethyl acetate and ethanol. By following this procedure, the activity and selectivity of Ga₂O₃-NR were fully retained in four consecutive runs (Fig. 3). This is in line with our previous results in the epoxidation of alkenes.^[36]

The versatility of the Ga₂O₃-NR catalyst was tested in the oxidation of a range of substituted aromatic amines containing electron donor or acceptor groups on the phenyl ring (Table 2). Under the employed reaction conditions, most substituted amines were effectively and selectively (≥ 92 %) converted into the corresponding azoxy-derivatives (Entries 2-4 and 6, Table 2).

The formation of the corresponding azo-derivatives was observed as the only minor side product. The behaviour was different when

Table 2: Oxidation of aromatic amines into their azoxy derivatives with 50 wt% H₂O₂ catalysed by Ga₂O₃-NR.

Entry	Amines	Catalyst	Product (Azoxybenzene)	Conv. (%)	Azoxy product Sel. (%)
1		Ga ₂ O ₃ -NR		49	92
2		Ga ₂ O ₃ -NR		58	96
3		Ga ₂ O ₃ -NR		54	97
4		Ga ₂ O ₃ -NR		22	93
5		Ga ₂ O ₃ -NR		42	37
6		Ga ₂ O ₃ -NR		49	95
7		TS-1		2	96

Reaction conditions: amine (2 mmol), anisole (GC internal standard, 1 mmol), 50 wt% aqueous H₂O₂ (4 mmol), catalyst (20 mg), ethyl acetate (4g) as solvent, 4 h, 80 °C.

4-methoxy aniline (*para*-anisidine) was used as substrate (Entry 5, Table 2). In such case, a 42% conversion was achieved but the main product was the azo-derivative (52 % selectivity), with the other two products being the azoxy-derivative (38 % selectivity) and 4-amino-4'-methoxydiphenyl amine (10% selectivity). The different selectivity in the conversion of 4-methoxy aniline compared to other substituted anilines can be ascribed to the increased electron density on the -NH₂ group in the 4-methoxy aniline due to the resonance effect of the methoxy group. For what concerns the trend in activity, the aromatic amines containing an electron donating group (Entries 2, 6, Table 2) or a weakly electron withdrawing group (Entry 3, Table 2) were more effectively converted into the corresponding azoxy-derivatives compared to those containing a strong electron withdrawing group as -NO₂ (Entry 4, Table 2). No steric effects were observed (compare Entry 1 and 6 in Table 2). It is worth noting that the open structure of Ga₂O₃-NR allows

catalysing the conversion of bulky substrates, while a benchmark catalyst as TS-1 showed very poor activity in the conversion of 2-ethyl aniline due to diffusion limitations of this reactant in the micropores ($d = 0.56 \text{ nm}$)^[42] of the zeolite (compare Entries 6 and 7, Table 2).

With the purpose of fine-tuning of the performance of the $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$ catalyst, we investigated the effect of the catalyst loading, of the H_2O_2 source and of the amount of solvent on the conversion of aniline. The influence of the catalyst loading was studied over 5 mg, 10 mg, and 20 mg of $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$ per mmol of aniline using 50 wt % aqueous H_2O_2 as the oxidant (Fig. 4). The conversion of aniline and the selectivity towards azoxybenzene increase with the catalyst loading, reaching 70 % aniline conversion with 94 % azoxybenzene selectivity after 4 h reaction at 80 °C when employing 20 mg of $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$ loading per mmol of aniline.




Figure 4. Influence of $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$ catalyst loading on the conversion of aniline and on the selectivity towards of azo and azoxybenzene. Reaction conditions: 10 mg, 20 mg, and 40 mg of $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$, aniline (2 mmol), anisole (1 mmol, as GC internal standard), 50 wt % H_2O_2 (4 mmol), ethyl acetate (4 g) as solvent, 80 °C, 4 h.

Next, the effect of the H_2O_2 source was investigated. Hydrogen peroxide is commercially available in aqueous solution with different concentrations. The water supplied with the aqueous H_2O_2 and that formed during the reaction due to the utilisation or decomposition of H_2O_2 , can influence the catalyst activity and selectivity. For example, it is well-documented that water is detrimental to the catalytic performance of Al_2O_3 in the liquid phase oxidation of alkenes with H_2O_2 .^[33,43–45] This effect has been attributed to the absorption of H_2O on the catalyst surface, which hinders the access of H_2O_2 to the active sites. Considering the similarities between aluminium and gallium oxide,^[36,45] it is worthwhile to investigate the influence of water on the oxidation of aniline over $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$. Therefore, we performed the oxidation of aniline by using three sources of H_2O_2 : 50 wt % aqueous, 70 wt % aqueous, and 24.5 wt % of nearly anhydrous H_2O_2 in ethyl acetate (Fig. 5). Significant

improvement in the conversion of aniline and selectivity of azoxybenzene were observed as results of reducing the amount of water. The conversion of aniline increased from 49 to 62 %, and the selectivity of azoxybenzene from 92 to 98 %, by using 70 wt % aqueous H_2O_2 compared to 50 wt % aqueous H_2O_2 . The catalytic performance did not improve further with the use of nearly anhydrous H_2O_2 in ethyl acetate, indicating that the $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$ can operate efficiently also in the presence of some water.




Figure 5. Influence of the hydrogen peroxide source on the conversion of aniline and on the selectivity towards azo and azoxybenzene over $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$. Reaction conditions: aniline (2 mmol), anisole (1 mmol, as GC internal standard), H_2O_2 (4 mmol), $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$ catalyst (20 mg), ethyl acetate (4 g) as solvent, 80 °C, 4 h.

According to the principles of green chemistry, benign solvents or ideally no solvent should be employed in catalytic reactions. Besides ethyl acetate, which was selected as a green solvent in analogy to previous studies of epoxidation reactions catalysed by $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$ ^[40] and $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$,^[36] we tested dioxolane as alternative solvent but achieved lower activity and selectivity (Table S1). Further studies aimed at investigating if the reaction could be carried out with no or a minimum amount of solvent. This was studied with the $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$ catalyst using 24.5 wt% anhydrous H_2O_2 (in ethyl acetate) as oxidant. Decreasing the amount of ethyl acetate led to a gradual increase in the conversion of aniline, while retaining very high selectivity towards azoxybenzene in all cases (Table 3). The conversion of aniline obtained without using extra solvent (93 %, Entry 4, Table 3) was significantly higher compared to the reaction with the amount of ethyl acetate used in the rest of this work (Entry 1, Table 3). These results indicate that with the $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$ catalyst the use of a solvent is detrimental, most likely as a consequence of a dilution effect that decreases the interaction between aniline and H_2O_2 on the surface of $\text{Ga}_2\text{O}_3\text{-NR}$. When considering the option of not adding a solvent, it should be taken into account that these experiments were carried out with anhydrous hydrogen peroxide, which forms a single liquid phase with aniline, whereas with aqueous hydrogen peroxide a biphasic

liquid system would be formed with aniline in the absence of a solvent. In order to explore further the catalytic activity of Ga₂O₃-NR without adding a solvent, we tested the catalyst at lower temperature. Notably, Ga₂O₃-NR displayed significant activity also at 50 and even at 25 °C (Entry 4 and 5, Table 3), with only a minor decrease in selectivity towards azoxybenzene. Moreover, the activity and selectivity of the Ga₂O₃-NR were fully retained upon recycling also under these conditions (Entry 7, Table 3), in line with the results obtained with solvent (Figure 3).


Table 3: Effect of solvent nature and amount on the conversion of aniline and selectivity of azo and azoxybenzene with 24.5 wt % H₂O₂ (anhydrous, in ethyl acetate) over Ga₂O₃-NR.

Entry	Ethyl acetate (g)	T (°C)	Conv.(%)	Azobenzene Sel. (%)	Azoxybenzene Sel.(%)
1	4	80	61	2	98
2	2	80	63	2.2	98
3	1	80	69	1.9	98
4	No solvent	80	93	3	97
5	No solvent	50	47	4	96
6	No solvent	25	22	6	94
7 ^a	No solvent	50	46	5	95
8 ^b	No solvent	50	46	4	96

Reaction conditions: aniline (2 mmol), anisole (1 mmol) as GC internal standard, 24.5 wt % anhydrous H₂O₂ in ethyl acetate (4 mmol), Ga₂O₃-NR (20 mg), 4h. ^aWith reused catalyst. ^bIn the presence of TEMPO (1 mol % relative to H₂O₂) as radical scavenger.

Generally, the catalytic activation of hydrogen peroxide can occur through the formation of metal (hydro)peroxo species followed by heterolytic cleavage of the O-O bond, or follow a homolytic pathway involving the formation of hydroxyl radicals ([•]OH).^[46] It has been extensively reported that the epoxidation of alkenes with H₂O₂ over Al₂O₃ or Ga₂O₃ catalysts proceeds through the formation of hydroperoxo species.^[36,40,47] In order to gain more insight in the way in which Ga₂O₃-NR activates H₂O₂ towards the oxidation of aniline, a control experiment was performed in the presence of TEMPO (1 mol% to H₂O₂) as a radical scavenger. No difference was observed in the conversion of aniline and in the selectivity towards azoxybenzene with or without adding TEMPO (compare entry 5 and 8, Table 3). This result indicates that the catalytic mechanism over Ga₂O₃-NR does not involve radical species, thus ruling out homolytic cleavage of the peroxide bond. On the basis of these observations, a catalytic mechanism for the oxidation of aniline over Ga₂O₃-NR can be proposed (Scheme 2). Ga-OH groups located at the surface of the nanorods activate H₂O₂ through the formation of surface gallium hydroperoxide (Ga-OOH) species (steps 1 and 3), which are able to oxidise aniline to phenylhydroxylamine (step 2) and phenylhydroxylamine to

nitrosobenzene (step 4) through heterolytic cleavage of the O-O bond. The acid sites at the surface of Ga₂O₃-NR are also expected to catalyse the subsequent formation of azoxybenzene through the condensation of the phenylhydroxylamine and nitrosobenzene intermediates (step 5).



Scheme 2. Proposed catalytic cycle for the oxidation of aniline with H₂O₂ over Ga₂O₃-NR.

Conclusions

A set of Al and Ga oxides with different morphologies and crystal structures were studied as transition-metal-free heterogeneous catalysts for the oxidation of aromatic amines to azoxy derivatives using the environmentally friendly H₂O₂ as the oxidant. Gallium oxide nanorods displayed the most promising catalytic performance compared to the other transition-metal-free oxides, achieving 49 % conversion of aniline with 92 % selectivity towards azoxybenzene after 4 h of reaction at 80 °C, and reaching 94% conversion and nearly complete selectivity towards azoxybenzene (98%) at longer reaction times. The versatility of Ga₂O₃-NR was demonstrated in the conversion of substituted and bulky aromatic amines into their corresponding azoxy derivatives. Recycling tests demonstrated that the catalyst could be efficiently reused in consecutive catalytic cycles. The excellent catalytic results obtained with Ga₂O₃-NR are ascribed to the combination of high surface area, open structure and large surface density of coordinatively unsaturated sites acting as acid sites that stem from the nanorod morphology. Additionally, the activity of Ga₂O₃-NR could be substantially improved by using 70 % aqueous or 24.5 wt% anhydrous hydrogen peroxide instead of 50 % aqueous H₂O₂ as the oxidant

and by minimising the amount of solvent used in the catalytic tests. Under these optimised conditions, Ga₂O₃-NR was active also under very mild conditions (25 or 50 °C). A test in the presence of a radical scavenger demonstrated that the reaction proceeds through the heterolytic cleavage of the activated peroxide species. In summary, this work introduces an effective and attractive catalytic system for the synthesis of (substituted) azoxy compounds and at the same time represents an advance in the exploration of the scope of applications of gallium oxide nanorods.^{[36],[48]}

Experimental Section

Materials

Anhydrous granular gallium chloride (GaCl₃, 99.999%) was purchased from Strem Chemicals Inc. Aluminium-tri-sec-butoxide (Al(OBu^t)₃, 97%), aniline (99.5%), anisole (99 %), 50 wt% aqueous H₂O₂ were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The substituted anilines and 2-butanol (99%) were obtained from Acros Chemicals. Aqueous ammonia (25 wt%), ethanol, ethyl acetate (99.95%) were purchased from Boom chemicals. β-Ga₂O₃ (≥ 99.99 % trace metal basis); γ-Al₂O₃ activated, neutral, Brockmann I; and γ-Al₂O₃ nanopowder were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. 70 wt % aqueous H₂O₂ was provided by Peróxidos do Brasil (Solvay) in Brazil, for academic research. 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as radical scavenger.

Catalyst Synthesis

Aluminium oxide nanorods (Al₂O₃-NR) were synthesised according to the protocol developed by some of us and reported in detail recently.^[40] In a typical synthesis, aluminium tri-sec-butoxide (1.23 g, 5 mmol) was weighed in a 25 ml round bottle flask, then 2-butanol (1.60 g) was added dropwise within 10 min. The obtained clear solution was stirred for 30 min. After that, a solution of ammonium hydroxide (25 wt% aqueous) and absolute ethanol (1:1 v/v, 1.6 ml) was added dropwise over 30 min. The resulting white gel was stirred for 1.5 h and then heated at 70 °C for 23 h. Then, the obtained material was aged for 3 days at ambient temperature while stirring. Finally, the white precipitate was collected by centrifugation, thoroughly washed with absolute ethanol, and dried overnight in an oven at 80 °C in air. Finally, the powder was calcined in air at 400 °C for 10 h with a heating rate of 3 °C min⁻¹, leading to a typical yield of approximately 82 %, assuming the product formula of Al₂O₃.

Gallium oxide nanorods were prepared according to a modified version of a method previously reported by some of us.^[36] This new protocol allows a precise control of the morphology and size of the nanorods, which is achieved by the adjustment of the addition of H₂O and 2-butanol. The required amount of anhydrous granular gallium chloride (99.999%) was weighed in a glove box under N₂ atmosphere because of its highly hygroscopic and deliquescent nature. In a typical synthesis, 4.80 g of 2-butanol were added dropwise using a pressure-equalising dropping funnel within 5 min into a 50 ml round bottom flask containing 15 mmol of

gallium chloride under stirring at room temperature. As a result, a dark brown solution was formed while HCl gas evolved. The solution was stirred for 10 min and then 17.23 g of 2-butanol were added dropwise using a pressure-equalising dropping funnel over 30 min. The solution was stirred for further 1 h to obtain a homogeneous solution with a dark orange-brown colour. Next, a homogeneous solution of 2.94 g of milli-Q water and 7.23 g of 2-butanol were added dropwise using a pressure-equalising dropping funnel over 1 h and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. Finally, the resultant solution was stirred for 23 h at 70 °C and aged for 3 days at room temperature, yielding the gallium oxide nanorods in the form of a white solid precipitate. The obtained solid was separated by centrifugation (4500 rpm) and thoroughly washed with absolute ethanol (45 ml x 5 times) and then dried at 80 °C for overnight. A typical yield of the gallium oxide nanorods was 18 %, assuming a product with the formula of Ga₂O₃.

γ-Ga₂O₃ was synthesised according to a procedure from the literature.^[49] Briefly, 3.0 g of high-purity gallium nitrate (Strem Chemicals) were mixed in 50 ml of ethanol. Then, a solution of aqueous ammonia (25 wt %) diluted in ethanol (50 vol %) was added dropwise under continuous stirring at room temperature until no further precipitation was observed. The resulting precipitate was filtered, thoroughly washed with ethanol, and dried in vacuum at 60 °C for overnight. Finally, the white solid was calcined in air at 500 °C for 1 h with a heating rate of 3 °C min⁻¹, leading to a typical yield of approximately 96 %, assuming the product formula of Ga₂O₃.

Catalyst Characterisation

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with a Cu Kα (λ = 0.15406 nm) radiation with a beam voltage of 40 kV and a beam current of 40 mA. The measurements were carried out in the region of 2θ = 10 to 80 ° with a scanning rate of 1.0 °/min, and the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) was employed to assign the XRD patterns. N₂-sorption experiments were performed using a Micromeritics ASAP-2020 instrument using N₂ as adsorbate at -196 °C. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method was used to calculate the specific surface area. Prior to the measurement, the samples were degassed under reduced pressure at 120 °C for 12 h (5 °C/min). Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were measured on an IRTracer-100 spectrometer by averaging 32 scans with a spatial resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded on the Philips CM12 microscope operating at 120 kV. Prior to the measurement, the samples were suspended in ethanol by sonication for 5 min and then deposited onto a holey carbon film on a Cu grid.

Catalytic tests

The catalytic tests for the conversion of aromatic amines into their azoxy derivatives were carried out in a 48-well parallel reaction block that allows the individual magnetic stirring of each well. In a typical reaction procedure, 20 mg of the catalyst, 4 g of ethyl acetate, and 4 mmol of aqueous hydrogen peroxide were mixed in a 10 ml glass vial. Then, a solution of 2 mmol of amines and 1 mmol of anisole as GC internal standard was added to the mixture. The obtained liquid is monophasic.

The vials were capped with aluminium crimp seals with PTFE septa and placed each in a different position in the 48-well parallel reaction block. Then, each reaction mixture was stirred vigorously (900 rpm) at 80 °C for 4 h. Selected catalytic tests were performed in duplicate or in triplicate. In such cases, the mean values for conversion and selectivity was reported (the standard deviation in the conversion of aniline and selectivity towards azoxybenzene was ± 2 %). Three types of hydrogen peroxide were employed in these tests (always using the same molar ratio between H₂O₂ and aniline): 50 wt% aqueous solution, 70 wt% aqueous solution and 24.5 wt% anhydrous in ethyl acetate. It should be noted that the concentration of hydrogen peroxide was almost the same (ca. 3 wt% of the reaction mixture) in all the catalytic tests. The catalytic tests with 70 wt% aqueous H₂O₂ were performed in Brazil. 24.5 wt% anhydrous H₂O₂ in ethyl acetate was prepared by removing water from the homogeneous solution of 20 ml of 70 wt% aqueous H₂O₂ and 188 ml of ethyl acetate by means of a Dean-Stark trap at 110 °C. Special attention should be dedicated to safety during this preparation, because anhydrous hydrogen peroxide in flammable organic solvents should be considered as dangerous and explosive.^[50] Therefore, the preparation was carried out in a fume hood with a well ventilated preparation set-up in which the Dean-Stark trap was connected with a water condenser with open lid to release the oxygen generated by hydrogen peroxide decomposition and the possible explosive gas mixtures of solvent and oxygen. The concentration of H₂O₂ was determined by titration of the solution with a 0.1 M solution of Ce(SO₄)₂.

Conversion and selectivity were determined by gas chromatography (GC) on an Agilent 7890 B gas chromatograph, equipped with DB-5 fused silica column (15 m; 0.32 mm) and low thermal module (LTM) or on an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph, equipped with an HP 5 capillary column (30 m; 0.25 mm). The products were identified by comparison with standard solutions or by gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy analysis (GC-MS) on an Agilent Hewlett-Packard-HP 6890 gas chromatograph coupled with an Agilent Hewlett-Packard 5973 MSD mass spectrometer, equipped with Rxi®-5 Sil MS capillary column (30 m; 0.25 mm). Since 2 mol of amine are required for the formation of 1 mol of the corresponding azo and azoxy-derivatives, the conversion of aromatic amines and selectivity of azo and azoxy derivatives were calculated using the following equations:

Conversion of amines (%) = [mol of amine converted / initial mol of amine] x 100 %

where, mol of amines converted = [initial mol of amines – mol of amine left after reaction]

Selectivity of azo or azoxy derivatives (%) = [(2 x mol of azo or azoxy-derivative) / mol of amine converted] x 100 %

For the recycling tests, at the end of catalytic test, the reaction mixture was kept at room temperature for 30 min to allow the catalyst to settle down at the bottom of the glass vial. Then, most (90-95 vol.%) of the supernatant organic solution was removed using a pipette or a syringe. Next, 10 ml of ethyl acetate were added and the sample was stirred for 2 h at 80 °C. Then, the supernatant ethyl acetate was again removed and discarded after the catalyst settled on the bottom of vial. This washing

protocol was repeated again two times. Thereafter, the sample was transferred into a 50 ml centrifuge tube and dispersed in 40 ml of absolute ethanol. Then, the sample was centrifuged for 20 min at 4200 rpm to deposit the catalyst and the supernatant ethanol solution was removed. The washing procedure was repeated two times. Finally, the catalyst was dried overnight at 110 °C and reused for further catalytic tests. This described washing protocol was repeated for each recycling test. All recyclability tests were performed in triplicate and average values for conversion and selectivity were reported (the standard deviation in the conversion of aniline and selectivity towards azoxybenzene was ± 3 %).

Leaching tests were performed under the general reaction conditions employed for the catalytic tests (vide supra). The catalyst was removed from the reaction mixture by centrifugation after 1 h reaction at 80 °C. A small aliquot of the filtrate was analysed by GC and the rest was allowed to react at 80 °C. An aliquot of the reaction solution was taken at the time intervals of 4 h and 20 h and analysed by GC.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge sponsoring in the frame of project G0D9313N from FWO (Flemish Science Foundation, Belgium) and of the related project 490043/2013-5 from CNPq (National Council for Scientific and Technological Development, Brazil). We thank Dr. Marc Stuart for assistance in the TEM measurements. We thank Yehan Tao for useful discussion. We also thank Leon Rohrbach, and Jan Henk Marsman for technical and analytical support.

Keywords: Transition-metal-free oxide, aniline oxidation, azoxybenzene, hydrogen peroxide, nanorods

- [1] S. Catino, E. Farris, *Concise Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*, **1985**.
- [2] E. Merino, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 3835.
- [3] S. Gontier, *J. Catal.* **1995**, *157*, 124–132.
- [4] S. Sakaue, T. Tsubakino, Y. Nishiyama, Y. Ishii, *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 3633–3638.
- [5] Y. Dai, C. Li, Y. Shen, T. Lim, J. Xu, Y. Li, H. Niemantsverdriet, F. Besenbacher, N. Lock, R. Su, *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 1–7.
- [6] A. Rezaeifard, M. Jafarpour, M. A. Naseri, R. Shariati, *Dye. Pigment.* **2008**, *76*, 840–843.
- [7] A. B. E. Vix, P. Müller-Buschbaum, W. Stocker, M. Stamm, J. P. Rabe, *Langmuir* **2000**, *16*, 10456–10462.
- [8] J. M. Huang, J. F. Kuo, C. Y. Chen, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **1995**, *55*, 1217–1229.
- [9] C. L. Folia, I. Alonso, J. Ortega, J. Etxebarría, I. Pintre, M. B. Ros, *Chem. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 4617–4626.
- [10] E. Bunzel, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1975**, *8*, 132–139.
- [11] E. Bunzel, *Can. J. Chem.* **2000**, *78*, 1251–1271.
- [12] J. P. Snyder, V. T. Bandurco, F. Darack, H. Olsen, *J. Am. Chem.*


- Soc. **1974**, *96*, 5158–5166.
- [13] E. Abushanab, I. I. Sytwu, A. Zabbo, L. Goodman, *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 2017–2020.
- [14] W. J. McGahren, M. P. Kunstmann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1969**, *91*, 2808–2810.
- [15] K. Kosswig, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1971**, *749*, 206–208.
- [16] G. Barak, Y. Sasson, *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 3484–3486.
- [17] D. Sloboda-Rozner, P. Witte, P. L. Alsters, R. Neumann, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2004**, *346*, 339–345.
- [18] E. Voutyritsa, A. Theodorou, M. G. Kokotou, C. G. Kokotos, *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19*, 1291–1298.
- [19] H. R. Sonawane, a. V. Pol, P. P. Moghe, S. S. Biswas, a. Sudalai, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 1215.
- [20] S. Gontier, A. Tuel, *Appl. Catal. A, Gen.* **1994**, *118*, 173–186.
- [21] T. Selvam, A. V. Ramaswamy, *Catal. Letters* **1995**, *31*, 103–113.
- [22] S. B. Waghmode, S. M. Sabne, S. Sivasanker, *Green Chem.* **2001**, *3*, 285–288.
- [23] N. Jagtap, V. Ramaswamy, *Appl. Clay Sci.* **2006**, *33*, 89–98.
- [24] H. Tumma, N. Nagaraju, K. V. Reddy, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2009**, *353*, 54–60.
- [25] L. Yang, G. Shi, X. Ke, R. Shen, L. Zhang, *CrystEngComm* **2014**, *16*, 1620–1624.
- [26] N. L. V. Carreno, V. G. Deon, R. M. Silva, L. R. Santana, R. M. Pereira, M. O. Orlandi, W. M. Ventura, A. Dias, J. G. Taylor, H. V. Fajardo, et al., *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *6*, 1680–1691.
- [27] S. S. Acharyya, S. Ghosh, R. Bal, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2014**, *2*, 584–589.
- [28] C. F. Chang, S. T. Liu, *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.* **2009**, *299*, 121–126.
- [29] S. Ghosh, S. S. Acharyya, T. Sasaki, R. Bal, *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 1867–1876.
- [30] B. Paul, S. K. Sharma, S. Adak, R. Khatun, G. Singh, D. Das, V. Joshi, S. Bhandari, S. S. Dhar, R. Bal, *New J. Chem.* **2019**, *43*, 8911–8918.
- [31] S. Kumari, A. Shekhar, D. D. Pathak, *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 61187–61192.
- [32] A. Shukla, R. K. Singha, L. N. S. Konathala, T. Sasaki, R. Bal, *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 22812–22820.
- [33] R. Rinaldi, J. Sepúlveda, U. Schuchardt, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2004**, *346*, 281–285.
- [34] P. P. Pescarmona, K. P. F. Janssen, P. A. Jacobs, *Chem. - A Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 6562–6572.
- [35] W. Lueangchaichaweng, L. Li, Q. Y. Wang, B. L. Su, C. Aprile, P. P. Pescarmona, *Catal. Today* **2013**, *203*, 66–75.
- [36] W. Lueangchaichaweng, N. R. Brooks, S. Fiorilli, E. Gobechiya, K. Lin, L. Li, S. Parres-Esclapez, E. Javon, S. Bals, G. Van Tendeloo, et al., *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 1585–1589.
- [37] P. P. Pescarmona, J. Van Noyen, P. A. Jacobs, *J. Catal.* **2007**, *251*, 307–314.
- [38] X. Collard, L. Li, W. Lueangchaichaweng, A. Bertrand, C. Aprile, P. P. Pescarmona, *Catal. Today* **2014**, *235*, 184–192.
- [39] L. Li, C. Stroobants, K. Lin, P. A. Jacobs, B. F. Sels, P. P. Pescarmona, *Green Chem.* **2011**, *13*, 1175–1181.
- [40] W. Lueangchaichaweng, B. Singh, D. Mandelli, W. A. Carvalho, S. Fiorilli, P. P. Pescarmona, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2019**, *571*, 180–187.
- [41] B. Dutta, S. Biswas, V. Sharma, N. O. Savage, S. P. Alpay, S. L. Suib, *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 2171–2175.
- [42] K. Na, C. Jo, J. Kim, W. S. Ahn, R. Ryoo, *ACS Catal.* **2011**, *1*, 901–907.
- [43] D. Mandelli, M. C. A. Van Vliet, R. A. Sheldon, U. Schuchardt, *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2001**, DOI 10.1016/S0926-860X(01)00693-7.
- [44] V. R. Choudhary, N. S. Patil, N. K. Chaudhari, S. K. Bhargava, *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.* **2005**, *227*, 217–222.
- [45] M. C. A. van Vliet, D. Mandelli, I. W. C. E. Arends, U. Schuchardt, R. A. Sheldon, *Green Chem.* **2001**, *3*, 243–246.
- [46] S.T. Oyama, *Mechanisms in Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Epoxidation Catalysis*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, **2008**.
- [47] R. Rinaldi, U. Schuchardt, *J. Catal.* **2005**, *236*, 335–345.
- [48] G. Meligrana, W. Lueangchaichaweng, F. Colò, M. Destro, S. Fiorilli, P. P. Pescarmona, C. Gerbaldi, *Electrochim. Acta* **2017**, *235*, 143–149.
- [49] C. Otero Areán, A. López Bellan, M. Peñarroya Mentrut, M. Rodríguez Delgado, G. Turnes Palomino, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **2000**, *40*, 35–42.
- [50] G. R. Astbury, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2002**, *6*, 893–895.

Entry for the Table of Contents (Please choose one layout)

Layout 1:

FULL PAPER

Gallium oxide nanorods with small dimensions (9-52 nm length and 3-5 nm width) and fully accessible, high surface area ($225 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) displayed high activity and selectivity as heterogeneous catalyst for the oxidation of aromatic amines to their azoxy derivatives with hydrogen peroxide as environmentally benign oxidant.



Bhawan Singh, Dalmo Mandelli, Paolo P. Pescarmona*

Page No. – Page No.
Efficient and selective oxidation of aromatic amines to azoxy derivatives over transition metal-free oxide catalysts with nanorods morphology

Accepted Manuscript