# **REACTIONS OF CH\_n^+ IONS WITH MOLECULES AT 300 K**

N.G. ADAMS and D. SMITH

Department of Space Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

Received 7 October 1977

The rate coefficients and product ion distributions have been obtained for the reactions of the  $CH_n^+$  ions (n = 0 to 4) with COS, H<sub>2</sub>S, H<sub>2</sub>CO, CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> in a SIFT at 300 K. The reactions are generally fast binary with multiple products except for CH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> for which fast ternary association channels are also observed in parallel with the binary channels.

#### 1. Introduction

As part of a comprehensive study of ion-molecule reactions important in the synthesis of molecules in interstellar clouds [1] and in planetary atmospheres [2,3] we have recently determined the rate coefficients and product ion distributions for the reactions of the  $CH_n^+$  ions (n = 0 to 4) with the relatively simple molecules H<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>[4-6]. Many other molecules have been observed in interstellar clouds [1] and thus it is pertinent to study the reactions of likely and observed interstellar ions with these molecules. To this end, we report here the rate coefficients and product ion distributions for the reactions of CH, with COS, H<sub>2</sub>S, H<sub>2</sub>CO, CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> at 300 K determined in our SIFT (selected ion flow tube) apparatus [7,8]. This study has also enabled us to more clearly establish trends in the reactivity of the various CH<sup>+</sup><sub>n</sub> ions with molecules and in two cases a comparison has been made between the reactions of oxygen-sulphur analogues, viz. CO2 and COS and H<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>S. Such comparisons are of fundamental interest since they give an insight into reaction mechanisms and into the parameters governing these mechanisms.

### 2. Experimental

The SIFT technique has previously been described

in detail [7] and the method of determining product ion distributions reported [8]. The  $CH_n^+$  ions were generated in an electron impact ion source containing  $CH_4$ , mass selected using a quadrupole mass filter and injected through a small orifice into flowing helium. The ions were injected at low energy ( $\approx 6 \text{ eV}$ ) essentially eliminating collisional fragmentation on the helium and subsequently thermalise in collision and convect down the flow tube. At a point downstream a controlled and monitored flow of reactant gas was added and the reaction observed using a conventional mass filter/ion counting equipment mounted at the downstream end of the flow tube.

The reactant gas CH<sub>3</sub>OH was added as an unsaturated mixture with He ( $\approx 4\%$  CH<sub>3</sub>OH) and monomeric H<sub>2</sub>CO gas was produced by thermal decomposition of paraformaldehyde. Rate coefficients were obtained from the exponential decay in the primary ion count rate with reactant gas flow rate. Such a decay is illustrated in fig. 1a for the reaction of CH<sup>+</sup> with H<sub>2</sub>CO. Also shown are the variations in the count rates for the primary product ions  $CH_3^+$ ,  $HCO^+$ ,  $H_3CO^+$  and  $H_2C_2O^+$ . This reaction is one of the most complex we have encountered since the product ion CH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> reacts with  $H_2CO$  to produce  $HCO^+$  (see also table 1) which itself reacts with H<sub>2</sub>CO to produce the terminating ion  $H_3CO^+$  [9]. Only  $H_2C_2O^+$  is not involved in further reaction. Thus the product distribution for the primary reaction cannot be obtained directly from fig. 1. This distribution, unaffected by secondary reactions can



Fig. 1. Variation of (a) the primary ion,  $CH^+$ , and product ion counts (10 s sampling period) and (b) the percentages of the ionized products as a function of the H<sub>2</sub>CO flow rate for the CH<sup>+</sup> + H<sub>2</sub>CO reaction.

readily be obtained by adopting the procedure of plotting the percentages of the various product ions as a function of reactant gas flow rate and extrapolating to zero flow as is illustrated in fig. 1b. Account has been taken of mass discrimination in the detection system by the method described earlier [8].

#### 3. Results

The binary rate coefficients (cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and percentage product ion distributions (bracketed) for all of the reactions studied are given in table 1. In the case of the CH<sub>3</sub><sup>4</sup> reactions with H<sub>2</sub>CO, CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>, in addition to the binary reaction channels, an association channel is observed. The significance of these association channels and their dependence on gas temperature is considered elsewhere [10]. The rate coefficients quoted for the  $CH_3^+$  reactions are for the binary channels only. The rate coefficients are considered to be accurate to  $\pm 20\%$  for COS,  $H_2S$ ,  $H_2CO$  and  $CH_3NH_2$  and  $\pm 30\%$  for CH<sub>3</sub>OH.

## 3.1. COS

The reactions with COS are relatively simple, proceeding by charge transfer (where this is energetically possible), by proton transfer and by S atom abstraction, all of which retain the strong CO bond. The rate coefficients are close to the values predicted by the ADO theory [11].

It is interesting to compare the data for COS with the corresponding data for the analogous  $CO_2$  molecule which we have obtained previously [4]. This data is also given in table 1. It can be seen that for every binary reaction channel observed with  $CO_2$ , the analogous channel is observed for COS. Conversely channels observed for COS are not always detected in the  $CO_2$  reactions; however in these latter cases the analogous  $CO_2$  channel is usually endoergic. Also, as for the COS reactions, those with  $CO_2$  proceed at close to the gas kinetic rate (with the exception of  $CH_3^+$ ).

# 3.2. H<sub>2</sub>S

For these reactions, charge transfer and proton transfer channels are evident and rate coefficients are again close to the ADO values. As in the COS reactions, HCS<sup>+</sup> and H<sub>3</sub>CS<sup>+</sup> are common product ions. Also included in table 1 are the corresponding data for  $H_2O$ reactions which have been reported previously (although detailed product distributions were not obtained) [4]. Again it can be seen that there are distinct similarities between the two sets of reactions. However in the case of the  $CH_4^+/H_2O$  reaction the apparently exoergic direct charge transfer channel is not observed. Charge transfer reactions when excergic usually proceed rapidly, a feature clearly illustrated by our accumulated data for CH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> reactions given in table 2 which suggests that the Franck-Condon factors may be unfavourable for ionization of H<sub>2</sub>O to states resonant with the CH<sub>4</sub> ionization potential. Calculation of the ergicities for

Table 1

Rate coefficients (cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and percentage product ion distributions (bracketed) for the reactions of CH<sub>n</sub><sup>+</sup> (n = 0 to 4) with several molecules at 300 K. Rate coefficients are expressed as for example 2.0(-9) to represent 2.0 × 10<sup>-9</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

	C <sup>+</sup>	CH <sup>+</sup>	CH <sup>+</sup> <sub>2</sub>	СН <sup>+</sup>	CH <sup>‡</sup>
cos	$CS^{+} + CO(80)$ $COS^{+} + C(20)$ 2.0(-9)	HCS <sup>+</sup> + CO(55) HCOS <sup>+</sup> + C(45) 1.9(-9)	$HCS^{+} + HCO(60)$ $H_2CS^{+} + CO(40)$ 1.8(-9)	H <sub>3</sub> CS <sup>+</sup> + CO(100) 1 2(-9)	HCOS <sup>+</sup> + CH <sub>3</sub> (70) COS <sup>+</sup> + CH <sub>4</sub> (30) 1.4(-9)
H <sub>2</sub> S	HCS <sup>+</sup> + H(75) H <sub>2</sub> S <sup>+</sup> + C(25)	HCS <sup>+</sup> + H <sub>2</sub> (70) H <sub>3</sub> S <sup>+</sup> + C(30)	H <sub>3</sub> CS <sup>+</sup> + H(80) HCS <sup>+</sup> + H <sub>2</sub> + H(10) H <sub>2</sub> S <sup>+</sup> + CH(10)	$H_3CS^+ + H_2 (100)$	H <sub>3</sub> S <sup>+</sup> + CH <sub>3</sub> (55) H <sub>2</sub> S <sup>+</sup> + CH <sub>4</sub> (45)
	1.7(9)	2.1(-9)	2.3(-9)	1.4(-9)	2.1(-9)
H <sub>2</sub> CO	CH <sup>+</sup> <sub>2</sub> + CO(60) H <sub>2</sub> CO <sup>+</sup> + C(20) HCO <sup>+</sup> + CH(20)	$CH_3^+ + CO(30)$ $H_3CO^+ + C(30)$ $HCO^+ + CH_2(30)$ $H_2C_2O^+ + H(10)$	$HCO^{+} + CH_{3}(85)$ $H_{3}C_{2}O^{+} + H(10)$ $H_{2}C_{2}O^{+} + H_{2}(5)$	HCO <sup>+</sup> + CH <sub>4</sub> (100) CH <sup>+</sup> <sub>3</sub> - H <sub>2</sub> CO + He a)	H <sub>3</sub> CO <sup>+</sup> + CH <sub>3</sub> (55) H <sub>2</sub> CO <sup>+</sup> + CH <sub>4</sub> (45)
	3.9(-9)	3 2 (-9)	3.3 (-9)	1.6(-9)	3 6(9)
СН₃ОН	CH <sup>+</sup> <sub>3</sub> + HCO(80) H <sub>3</sub> CO <sup>+</sup> + CH(20)	$CH_3^+ + H_2CO(50)$ $CH_3OH_2^+ + C(40)$ $H_2CO^+ + CH_2(10)$	CH <sub>3</sub> OH <sup>+</sup> + CH(50) H <sub>3</sub> CO <sup>+</sup> + CH <sub>3</sub> (50)	$H_3CO^+ + CH_4 (100)$ $CH_3^+ \cdot CH_3OH + He^{a}$	$CH_{3}OH^{+} + CH_{4}$ (60) $CH_{3}OH_{2}^{+} + CH_{3}$ (40)
	2 6(9)	2.9(-9)	2.6(9)	2.3(-9)	3.0(9)
CH <sub>3</sub> NII <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sup>±</sup> + C (65) CH <sub>2</sub> NH <sup>±</sup> + CH (35)	CH <sub>2</sub> NH <sup>+</sup> <sub>2</sub> + CH <sub>2</sub> (50) CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sup>+</sup> <sub>3</sub> + C(40) CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sup>+</sup> <sub>2</sub> + CH(10)	CH <sub>2</sub> NH <sup>±</sup> + CH <sub>3</sub> (55) CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sup>±</sup> + CH <sub>2</sub> (35) CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sup>±</sup> + CH(10)	CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sup>+</sup> <sub>2</sub> + CH <sub>3</sub> (55) CH <sub>2</sub> NH <sup>+</sup> <sub>2</sub> + CH <sub>4</sub> (45) CH <sup>+</sup> <sub>3</sub> - CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>2</sub> + He <sup>a</sup>	CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sup>+</sup> <sub>2</sub> + CH <sub>4</sub> (60) CH <sub>2</sub> NH <sup>+</sup> <sub>2</sub> + CH <sub>4</sub> + H(40) )
	2 2(-9)	2 2(-9)	2.1(-9)	2.2(-9)	2.2(-9)
CO <sub>2</sub> b)	CO <sup>+</sup> + CO(100) 1.1(-9)	HCO <sup>+</sup> + CO(100) 1.6(-9)	H <sub>2</sub> CO <sup>+</sup> + CO(100) 1.6(9)	CH <sub>3</sub> · CO <sub>2</sub> + He a) ternary	HCO <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> + CH <sub>3</sub> (100) 1.2(-9)
H <sub>2</sub> O b)	HCO <sup>+</sup> + H(100)	$HCO^+ + H_2$	$H_3CO^+ + H$	$CH_3^+ \cdot H_2O + Hea)$	$H_{3}O^{+} + CH_{3}(100)$
		$H_2CO^+ + H$ $H_3O^+ + C$	H <sub>3</sub> O <sup>+</sup> + CH	ternary	
	2.5(-9)	2.9(-9)	29(-9)	-	2.6 (-9)

a) Ternary association product observed. The rate coefficient quoted is that for the binary channels only.

b) Previous data from ref. [4] and included for comparison with the COS and H<sub>2</sub>S reactions. For the H<sub>2</sub>O reactions a detailed product distribution was not obtained. The major product is underlined.

the  $CH_2^+/H_2S$  and  $CH_2^+/H_2O$  proton transfer reactions using the available thermochemical data [12] apparently indicates these channels to be endoergic, clearly not possible since proton transfer is a very significant channel in these fast gas kinetic reactions. This then implies that the literature value of the CH proton affinity (7.6 eV) is too large by at least four tenths of an electron volt.

The reactions of the  $CH_n^+$  ions with  $H_2S$  have been studied previously by Huntress and co-workers [13, 14]. The rate coefficients and product ion distributions for the C<sup>+</sup> reaction (2.0(-9) cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, HCS<sup>+</sup> (72%),  $H_2S^+$ (28%) [13]) and for the CH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> reaction (1.3(-9) cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>,  $H_3S^+$  (55%),  $H_2S^+$  (42%),  $CH_5^+$  (6%) [14]) are similar to the present values except that we do not observe the minor product  $CH_5^+$ . For the  $CH^+$ ,  $CH_2^+$  and  $CH_3^+$  reactions the agreement is not good, the ICR rate coefficients being consistently lower by about a factor of 3. Also the very significant proton transfer to  $H_2S$  from  $CH^+$  and  $CH_2^+$  that we observe was not observed in the ICR experiment. However both  $CH_4$  and  $H_2S$  were simultaneously present in the ICR cell which must complicate the identification of the products of a given reaction.

Reactant	Percentages of products and ergicities (eV)			
moiecule	charge transfer	proton transfer	other channels	
H <sub>2</sub> a)	-2.7	-1.1	$100\%, CH_5^+ + H$	
$\tilde{N_2}$ a)	-2.9	-0.4		
$\tilde{O_2 a}$	100%, +0 6	-1.1	_	
CÕ a)	-1.3	100%, +0 7	-	
$CO_2$ a)	-1.1	100%, ≈0	_	
cos	30%, +1.5	70%, ≈+1.4	_	
H2O a)	+0.09	100%, +1.7	_	
H <sub>2</sub> S	45%, +2.3	55%, +1.6	<u></u>	
NH <sub>3</sub> b)	60%, +2.5	40%, +3 6	_	
CH <sub>4</sub> c)	resonant	100%, +0.04	-	
H <sub>2</sub> CO	45%, +1.8	55%, +18	_	
СН <sub>3</sub> ОН	60%, +1.9	40%, +2 5		
CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	60%, +3.7	+4.0	40%, $CH_2 NH_2^+ + CH_4 + H$	

Table 2

Percentage product ion distributions and ergicities for the reactions of  $CH_4^+$  with a series of molecules at 300 K. Excergicities are denoted by the + sign.

Previous data a) ref. [4], b) ref. [5], c) ref. [6].

## 3.3. H<sub>2</sub>CO

The  $C^+$ ,  $CH^+$  and  $CH_2^+$  reactions are complex with many product channels requiring considerable bond rearrangement. The common product ion HCO<sup>+</sup> cannot result from direct charge or proton transfer to H<sub>2</sub>CO followed by unimolecular decomposition of  $(H_2CO^+)^*$  or  $(H_3CO^+)^*$  respectively since this is energetically unfavourable. This reaction must proceed via an excited association complex. Such a close interaction is likely to produce condensation products with carbon-carbon bonds. That the product ions  $H_2C_2O^+$ and  $H_3C_2O^+$  are observed supports this deduction.  $CH_3^+$  is also more reactive with  $H_2CO$  than with most molecules, exhibiting both fast binary and ternary channels. Consistent with its general behaviour, CH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> reacts by charge and proton transfer (see table 1 and section 4).

The C<sup>+</sup> and CH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> reactions have previously been studied by Anicich et al. [13] and by Huntress [12] respectively. The agreement is good for the C<sup>+</sup> reaction, an ICR rate coefficient of 4.4 (-9) cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and a product distribution H<sub>2</sub>CO<sup>+</sup> (31%), CH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> (48%) and HCO<sup>+</sup> (21%) being obtained. In this ICR experiment the product distribution was determined under conditions for which 20% of the primary C<sup>+</sup> ions had reacted. Since the CH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> product rapidly reacts further with H<sub>2</sub>CO producing mainly HCO<sup>+</sup> (table 1) and since HCO<sup>+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>CO<sup>+</sup> also react rapidly with H<sub>2</sub>CO [9], the ICR product distribution is expected to be somewhat distorted. This could account for the small discrepancy between the product ion distributions obtained in the two experiments. For the CH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> reaction only the binary channel is observed in the low pressure ICR experiment. Detection of the collisionally stabilized association product is not expected at such low pressure ( $\approx 10^{-6}$  torr).

# 3.4. CH<sub>3</sub>OH

Reactions of the  $CH_n^+$  ions with this molecule produce the ions  $CH_3^+$ ,  $H_3CO^+$  and  $CH_3OH_2^+$  which are amongst the most stable ions we have observed.  $H_3CO^+$ is regularly observed in  $H_2CO$  and  $CH_3OH$  chemistry. An association product is again observed in the  $CH_3^+$ reaction in parallel with a fast binary channel and as usual  $CH_4^+$  reacts by charge and proton transfer. As part of a systematic study of C<sup>+</sup> reactions, Anicich et al. [13] have obtained a rate coefficient of 4.1(-9) cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for the reaction with CH<sub>3</sub>OH significantly greater than the present value and observe the product ions  $CH_3OH^+$ (33%) and HCO<sup>+</sup> (8%) in addition to the  $CH_3^+$  and  $H_3CO^+$  products which we observe. However, as discussed earlier the product distribution may be affected by secondary reactions. As in the case of the  $CH_3^+$  reaction with  $H_2CO$ , Huntress [12] observes only the binary product in the  $CH_3OH$  reaction with a rate coefficient of 1.3(-9) cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

# 3.5. CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>

These reactions are relatively straightforward. Since CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> has a small ionization potential, direct charge transfer is energetically possible in all cases and is always observed to occur rapidly. Proton transfer to produce CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sup>+</sup><sub>3</sub>, when it occurs. is a minor channel although CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sup>+</sup><sub>3</sub> is a common product of secondary reactions and is invariably the stable terminating ion. For this particular ion because of these secondary reactions, a very minor proton transfer channel producing CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> would not be discernable and cannot therefore be ruled out.  $CH_2NH_2^+$  is an important product probably resulting from partial unimolecular decomposition of CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sup>+</sup> and CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sup>+</sup> produced by very exoergic direct charge transfer and proton transfer. That  $CH_3NH_3^+$  is not observed in the  $CH_4^+$  reaction is surprising since proton transfer from CH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> is usually rapid when energetically possible. In this case it probably occurs, but the large excergicity of the reaction  $(\approx 4 \text{ eV})$  is sufficient to fragment the  $(CH_3NH_3^+)^*$  thus removing it from the product ion spectrum.

Again Anicich et al. have studied the C<sup>+</sup> reaction obtaining a rate coefficient of 4.2(-9) cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (a factor of  $\approx 1.5$  greater than the present value) and in addition to CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> and CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> they observe two minor products H<sub>2</sub>CN<sup>+</sup> (6%) and CH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> (3%) not evident in the present experiments.

#### 4. Summary and conclusions

This study of the reactions of the  $CH_n^+$  ions with triatomic and polyatomic molecules adds to the considerable body of data now available for the reactions of these ions. The reactions are generally fast binary being approximately gas kinetic, often with multiple products. In the present measurements the structure of the product ions is usually obvious, however for the CH<sup>+</sup> and CH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> reactions with H<sub>2</sub>CO the structures of the product ions H<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> and H<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup> is not clear. Isotopic labelling may assist in the structural determination.

The  $CH_4^+$  reactions are interesting and worthy of

further comment. These reactions are listed in table 2 together with the product ion distributions and reaction energetics. In all of the reactions in this and our previous study [4] (with the exception of  $H_2O$ , a special case discussed in section 3), where charge transfer and/or proton transfer are excergic they are rapid and when these occur no other reaction channel is evident. For the CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> reaction both direct charge transfer and dissociative charge transfer products are observed presumably because of the large excergicity of the reaction (section 3). In all other cases where both charge transfer and proton transfer are observed, of these two processes the one favoured is that with the lower exoergicity, underlining the principle that to a large extent, thermochemical considerations control the mechanism by which reaction proceeds.

#### Acknowledgement

Our thanks are due to Professor A.P. Willmore for the provision of laboratory facilities, to the Science Research Council for financial support and to Derek Grief for helpful discussions.

### References

- [1] A. Dalgarno and J.H. Black, Rept. Progr. Phys. 39 (1976) 573.
- [2] W.T. Huntress Jr., Advan. At. Mol. Phys. 10 (1974) 295.
- [3] R S. Narcisi, in: Interactions between ions and molecules, ed. P. Ausloos (Plenum Press, New York, 1974) p. 635.
- [4] D. Smith and N.G. Adams, Intern. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 23 (1977) 123.
- [5] D. Smith and N G. Adams, Chem. Phys. Letters 47 (1977) 145.
- [6] N.G. Adams and D. Smith, Chem. Phys. Letters 47 (1977) 383.
- [7] N.G. Adams and D. Smith, Intern. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 21 (1976) 349.
- [8] N.G. Adams and D. Smith, J. Phys. B 9 (1976) 1439.
- [9] N.G. Adams, D. Smith and D. Grief, Intern. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys., to be published.
- [10] D. Smith and N.G. Adams, Chem. Phys. Letters 54 (1978) 535.
- [11] T. Su and M.T. Bowers, Intern. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 12 (1973) 347.
- [12] W.T. Huntress Jr., Astrophys. J. Suppl. (1977), to be published.
- [13] V.G. Anicich, W.T. Huntress Jr. and J.H. Futrell, Chem. Phys. Letters 40 (1976) 233.
- [14] W.T. Huntress Jr., R.F. Pinizzotto and J.B. Laudenslager, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95 (1973) 410.