Synthesis of C_6F_5 -Substituted Aminoethanols via Acetate Ion Mediated C_6F_5 -Group Transfer Reaction

Vitalij V. Levin,^a Alexander D. Dilman,^{*a} Pavel A. Belyakov,^a Alexander A. Korlyukov,^b Marina I. Struchkova,^a Mikhail Y. Antipin,^b Vladimir A. Tartakovsky^a

^a N. D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, Leninsky Prosp. 47, 119991 Moscow, Russian Federation Fax +7(95)1355328; E-mail: adil25@mail.ru

^b A. N. Nesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds, Vavilov Str. 28, 119991 Moscow, Russian Federation *Received 15 June 2005; revised 24 August 2005*

Abstract: A new approach for the synthesis of *N*-(pentafluorophenylmethyl)aminoethanols is developed. The method includes alkylation of imines with 2-tris(pentafluorophenyl)silyloxyethyl triflate, prepared from ethylene oxide and $(C_6F_5)_3$ SiOTf, to give 2-silyloxyethyliminium ions. Their treatment with sodium acetate induces C– C bond formation proceeding as transfer of the C_6F_5 group from the five-coordinate silicate intermediate to the iminium center.

Key words: silicon, hypercoordination, amino alcohols, imines, tris(pentafluorophenyl)silyl derivatives

β-Aminoalcohols constitute an important class of organic compounds. They have found wide utilization in asymmetric synthesis as chiral auxiliaries and ligands.¹ Another significant area of applications of β -aminoalcohols is associated with their diverse biological activity.² At the same time it is known that the presence of a fluorinated substituent at the α -position of the nitrogen atom of amines, i.e. as in the fragment R^f-CR₂-NR₂, also may provide derivatives displaying interesting pharmacological properties³ and the latter phenomenon has stimulated the intense investigations directed towards synthesis of fluorinated amines.⁴ As a consequence, fluorinated β-aminoalcohols, containing two adjacent pharmacophore fragments, have become attractive targets.⁵ Herein we present our studies towards a sub-class of fluorinated β-aminoalcohols, namely N-(pentafluorophenylmethyl)aminoethanols 1.

We have recently reported a method for the synthesis of (pentafluorophenylmethyl)amines via a three-component silicon Mannich reaction [Scheme 1, (a)].⁶ However, application of this protocol to the coupling of benzaldehyde and *N*-methylethanolamine afforded the desired product in only 28% yield after a reaction time of 67 hours.⁶ In this paper we describe a more efficient approach to aminoal-cohols **1** based on the coupling of imines, ethylene oxide, and tris(pentafluorophenyl)silyltriflate as a source of C_6F_5 group [Scheme 1, (b)]. The key steps of the process involve the formation of silyloxyethyliminium salts and Lewis base mediated transfer of the pentafluorophenyl group from the silicon to the iminium carbon atom.

Addition of ethylene oxide to the mixture of *N*-methyl-*C*phenylimine (**2a**) and $(C_6F_5)_3$ SiOTf⁷ in dichloromethane at room temperature cleanly gave iminium salt **3a** (Scheme 2). However this procedure cannot be applied to enolizable imines, since the latter are incompatible with silyl triflate. Thus, when *N*-methyl-*C*-isopropylimine (**2b**) was mixed with $(C_6F_5)_3$ SiOTf in CDCl₃, the *N*-silylenamine and protonated imine were immediately observed by NMR spectroscopy, suggesting that the silyl group is first transferred from $(C_6F_5)_3$ SiOTf onto **2b** followed by deprotonation of silyliminium cation by another molecule of **2b**⁸ (Scheme 2).

To overcome the problem of imine silulation we decided to introduce the siluloxyethyl group by means of direct alkylation of the imine. For this purpose we synthesized 2-



Scheme 1

SYNTHESIS 2006, No. 3, pp 0489–0495 Advanced online publication: 11.01.2006 DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-926278; Art ID: T08205SS © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York tris(pentafluorophenyl)silyloxyethyl triflate **4** by the interaction of $(C_6F_5)_3$ SiOTf with ethylene oxide in the presence of 5 mol% of pyridine⁹ (Equation 1). Surprisingly, triflate **4** turned out to be a quite stable solid (mp 63–66 °C), which can be recrystallized from hexane. This compound can be conveniently handled under an argon atmosphere at room temperature, whereas cooling to -25 °C is preferable for long-term storage to prevent decomposition.



Equation 1

The crystal and molecular structure of 4 was studied by Xray diffraction analysis (Figure 1). Analysis of Cambridge database reveals that compound 4 is the first example where the OTf group is bound to an acyclic chain,¹⁰ while published data include structures of aryl, vinyl, and carbohydrate triflates.¹¹ The O(2)–C(2) bond of 1.481 Å is close to that in carbohydrate derivatives (1.46–1.49 Å), but longer than in sp^2 bound triflates (1.41–1.45 Å). Of special note is the gauche arrangement of OTf and $OSi(C_6F_5)_3$ substituents (torsion angle OCCO is equal to 74.6°, see projection), which is characteristic for stereoelectronic interactions between σ_{C-H} and σ^*_{C-X} orbitals.¹² It should be also noted that in 4 the interatomic distance between O(2)of triflate group and C(16) of phenyl ring is equal to 2.956 Å, that is noticeably shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii (3.33 Å). Possibly the high steric overcrowding in molecule leads to weak nonbonding interactions between alkyl triflate and pentafluorophenyl moieties.

Triflate **4** smoothly reacted with imines **2** generating iminium salts **3** (Equation 2). The alkylation of *C*-arylimines was usually performed in refluxing dichloroethane and proceeded quite cleanly. The reaction of *N*-methyl-*C*-isopropylimine (**2b**) was carried out at room temperature for 16 hours furnishing salt **3b** along with significant amounts of by-products. Although isolation of pure **3b** was problematic, it was successfully employed in further transfor-

Synthesis 2006, No. 3, 489–495 © Thieme Stuttgart · New York

mation to give the final aminoethanol in a reasonable yield (vide infra). Imines derived from linear aldehydes, e.g. N-butyl-C-ethylimine, gave complex mixtures that may be tentatively rationalized by facile loss of a proton from silyloxyethyliminium cation at the rate comparable to the rate of alkylation. Iminium salts derived from Carylimines 3 can be isolated in individual state and characterized by ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy, as exemplified for 3a,c. For 3c the structure was established by Xray diffraction analysis (Figure 2) that demonstrated the gauche conformation of silvloxy and iminium functionalities similar to that in 4. The configuration of C-N double bond was established either by NOE experiments (for 3a, E:Z = 8:1) or from X-ray data (for **3c**, *E*). It is interesting to note that substituents R^1 and R^2 , being in *trans* orientation in starting imines 2a,c, are in *cis* arrangement in iminium salts **3a,c**. This phenomenon may be explained by the C-N double bond isomerization, which takes place either in starting imine prior to alkylation or in iminium cation.



Figure 1 The molecular structure of 4 presented in thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bonds and angles (Å and °): Si(1)-O(1) 1.614(1), Si(1)-C(11) 1.875(2), O(1)-C(1) 1.422(2), O(2)-C(2) 1.481(2), C(1)-C(2) 1.501(2); $O(1)-Si(1)-C11 109.40(6)^{\circ}$, $O(1)-C(1)-C(2)-O(2) -74.59(17)^{\circ}$.



Equation 2

The transformation of salts **3** into ethanolamines **1** relies on the activation of silicon atom by a Lewis base to generate pentacoordinate silicate intermediate with subsequent intra- or intermolecular transfer of C_6F_5 group onto iminium electrophile (Scheme 3). Using isolated salt **3a** as model substrate we performed the optimization of reaction conditions for the synthesis of **1a** ($R^1 = Ph$, $R^2 = Me$) by varying the nucleophilic mediator (Table 1).





Pyridine-N-oxide and hexamethylphosphoric acid triamide, which are known to be one of the strongest neutral silicon specific Lewis bases,13 proved to be completely unreactive coexisting with 3a with no signs of interaction (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). In recent reports Mukaiyama and co-workers demonstrated that lithium or tetrabutylammonium acetate in DMF effected trifluoromethylation of carbonyl compounds and activated imines by Me₃SiCF₃.¹⁴ We tested the Mukaiyama conditions for our system and observed that acetate ion taken in any form and in different solvents worked well for the transfer of C_6F_5 group in salt **3a**. Indeed, acetate ion either generated in situ from acetic acid and triethylamine or added in the form of lithium or sodium salt instantaneously initiated the reaction, furnishing after desilylative workup fluorinated aminoalcohol 1a in high yield (Table 1, entries 3-7). Employment of sodium acetate in tetrahydrofuran seems to be the most practical, and this procedure was selected for further investigations.¹⁵

Under the optimized conditions a series of imines was employed in acetate ion mediated synthesis of *N*-(pentafluorophenylmethyl)aminoethanols **1**. Table 2 lists the conditions for the generation of silyloxyethyliminium ions **3**, which were used without isolation. Their treatment with sodium acetate uniformly required 20 minutes at room temperature. Imines containing at the carbon atom electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents, *tert*-butyl and α -branched alkyl groups, gave good yields of products.

As obvious from these results, the transfer of C_6F_5 group leading to C–C bond formation was cleanly performed under standard conditions, and is expected to pose no problems when applied to different silyloxyiminium salts **3**. On the other hand, the synthesis of salts **3** involving C–N bond formation is more sensitive and substrate-dependent process, and, in fact, availability of salts **3** defines the scope of the present methodology.

In summary, a convenient approach for the preparation of N-(pentafluorophenylmethyl)aminoethanols based on coupling of imines with silyloxyethyl triflate derived from ethylene oxide and (C₆F₅)₃SiOTf, has been developed.

 Table 1
 Variation of Lewis Basic Mediator and Reaction Conditions^a

| Entry | Lewis base | Solvent | Yield of 1a (%) ^b |
|-------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Py-N-oxide | CDCl ₃ -CD ₃ CN ^c | _ |
| 2 | (Me ₂ N) ₃ PO | CDCl ₃ -CD ₃ CN ^c | - |
| 3 | AcOH-Et ₃ N | CH_2Cl_2 | 75 |
| 4 | LiOAc | DMF | 79 |
| 5 | NaOAc | DMF | 87 |
| 6 | NaOAc | MeCN | 89 |
| 7 | NaOAc | THF | 94 |

^a Conditions: r.t., 20 min; the ratio of reagents: **3a**/Lewis base = 1:1.2. ^b Isolated yield.

^c Reaction performed in a mixed solvent and monitored by ¹H NMR.

The C–N bond formation occurs via alkylation of imines, whereas the key step for the C–C bond formation includes the activation of silicon with acetate ion, allowing for the transfer of pentaflurophenyl group from pentacoordinate silicate species onto iminium carbocation.



Figure 2 The molecular structure of 3c presented in thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms and triflate anion are omitted for clarity. Selected bonds and angles (Å and °): Si(1)–O(1) 1.615(2), C(1)–C(2) 1.498(4), O(1)–C(1) 1.414(3), N(1)–C(2) 1.489(4), N(1)–C(3)–C(4) 130.0(3)°, O(1)–C(1)–C(2)–N(1) –64.8(4)°.

Synthesis 2006, No. 3, 489-495 © Thieme Stuttgart · New York

D2

Table 2 Synthesis of Aminoalcohols 1^a

| R ¹ | 1. 4 , CICH ₂ CH ₂ CI, Δ 2. NaOAc, THF, 20 min | $ \xrightarrow{\text{Min}} \qquad $ | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|----|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 2 Entry | 2 | 1 R ¹ | R ² | 1 | Time (h) ^b | Yield of 1 (%) ^c | | |
| 1 | 2a | Ph | Me | 1a | 0.5 | 78 | | |
| 2 ^d | 2b | <i>i</i> -Pr | Me | 1b | 16 | 56 | | |
| 3 ^e | 2c | α-furyl | Me | 1c | 0.5 | 86 | | |
| 4 | 2d | <i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄ | Bn | 1d | 1 | 85 | | |
| 5 | 2e | t-Bu | Bn | 1e | 2 | 66 | | |
| 6 | 2f | p-ClC ₆ H ₄ | <i>n</i> -Bu | 1f | 2 | 73 | | |
| 7 | 2g | p-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄ | Me | 1g | 3 | 67 | | |
| 8 | 2h | Ph | Ph | 1h | 5 | 74 | | |
| 9 | 2i | α-thienyl | <i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 1i | 1 | 80 | | |
| 10 | 2j | α-furyl | Ph | 1j | 0.5 | 72 | | |
| 11 | 2k | E-PhCH=CH | Ph | 1k | 3 | 78 | | |
| 12 | 21 | c-C ₅ H ₉ | Bn | 11 | 1 | 67 | _ | |

^a The ratio of reagents: 2/4/NaOAc = 1:1.05:1.2.

^b Time for the interaction of **2** with **4** to give iminium salt **3**.

^c Isolated yield.

^d Interaction of **2** with **4** was performed in CH_2Cl_2 at r.t.

^e Interaction of 2 with 4 was performed in THF at r.t.

All reactions were performed under an argon atmosphere. Dichloromethane and dichloroethane were distilled from CaH₂ prior to use. Acetonitrile was distilled from CaH₂ and stored over MS 4 Å. Column chromatography was carried out employing Merck silica gel (Kieselgel 60, 230–400 mesh). Precoated silica gel plates F 254 were used for TLC; visualizing with UV and/or acidic aq KMnO₄ solution. ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were obtained on Bruker AM-300, WM-250, or AC-200 spectrometers. Microanalyses were performed on a Karlo Erba 1106 instrument.

2-Tris(pentafluorophenyl)silyloxyethyl Triflate (4)

Pyridine (31 µL, 0.38 mmol) and ethylene oxide (0.47 mL, 9.41 mmol) were successively added to a suspension of silyltriflate (5.11 g, 7.53 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) at 0 °C. After 10 min at 0 °C the cooling bath was removed, the mixture was stirred for an additional 20 min at r.t., and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum. Hexane (20 mL) was added, and the mixture was heated up to reflux and filtered through glass wool while hot, the residue was washed with hexane $(2 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ at r.t. The combined hexane phase was warmed to obtain a clear solution and left in the freezer (-23 °C) overnight. The cold solvent was decanted, the solid was washed with hexane (5 mL), and dried under vacuum to afford 4 (4.51 g) that corresponds to 83% yield. However, according to ¹H NMR the product contains ca. 10 mol% of unidentified impurities. Further purification of this material failed. The single crystals for X-ray analysis¹⁶ were grown by recrystallization from large amount of hexane at 5 °C; mp 63-66 °C.

¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4.26 (t, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 2 H), 4.64 (t, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 62.9$, 75.6, 104.1 (tm, J = 27 Hz), 118.6 (q, J = 319.4 Hz), 137.6 (dm, J = 255.5 Hz), 144.2 (dm, J = 259.8 Hz), 149.3 (dm, J = 247.0 Hz).

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -160.34 (m, *meta*), -146.71 (tt, J = 5.1, 19.4 Hz, *para*), -128.81 (m, *ortho*), -76.10 (s, CF₃).

Impurities

¹H NMR (200 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 3.70$ (t, J = 4.5 Hz), 3.77 (t, J = 4.3 Hz), 4.06 (t, J = 4.1 Hz), 4.53 (t, J = 4.5 Hz).

N-Methyl-*N*-[2-tris(pentafluorophenyl)silyloxyethyl]-*C*-phenyliminium Triflate (3a)

Imine **2a** (68 mg, 0.571 mmol) was added to a suspension of triflate **4** (433 mg, 0.6 mmol) in DCE (1 mL) at r.t. The mixture was refluxed for 30 min followed by cooling to 0 °C that caused formation of the precipitate. The solvent was decanted, the resulting powder was washed with DCE–hexane (1:2, 2×0.5 mL), and dried under vacuum to give **3a** (312 mg, 65%); mp 171–173 °C.

E Isomer

¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃–CD₃CN, 4:1): δ = 3.68 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 4.14–4.27 [m, 4 H, (CH₂)₂], 7.47–7.59 (m, 2 H, CH_{Ph}), 7.62–7.79 (m, 3 H, CH_{Ph}), 8.82 (s, 1 H, =CH). In the major isomer an NOE between the CH=N and (CH₂)₂ protons was observed.

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃–CD₃CN, 4:1): δ = 41.7, 60.7, 64.7, 103.6 (tm, *J* = 27.4 Hz), 129.2, 132.5, 136.0, 137.5 (dm, *J* = 254.0 Hz), 144.0 (dm, *J* = 259.4 Hz), 149.0 (dm, *J* = 246.8 Hz), 173.0.

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃–CD₃CN, 4:1): δ = -161.12 (m, *meta*), -147.73 (tt, *J* = 5.6, 18.8 Hz, *para*), -129.34 (dm, *J* = 20.8 Hz, *ortho*), -80.18 (s, CF₃).

Z Isomer

¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃–CD₃CN, 4:1): δ = 8.99 (s, 1 H, =CH).

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃-CD₃CN, 4:1): δ = 47.8, 55.2, 60.3, 174.5.

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃–CD₃CN, 4:1): $\delta = -147.56$ (tt, J = 5.6, 19.4 Hz, *para*).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{29}H_{13}F_{18}NO_4SSi:$ C, 41.39; H, 1.56; N, 1.66. Found: C, 41.28; H, 1.54; N, 1.64.

N-Methyl-*N*-[2-tris(pentafluorophenyl)silyloxyethyl]-*C*-(fur-furyliminium Triflate (3c)

Imine **2c** (68 mg, 0.571 mmol) was added to a suspension of triflate **4** (467 mg, 0.649 mmol) in DCE (1 mL) at r.t. After stirring for 30 min the mixture was cooled to 0 °C that caused formation of the precipitate. The solvent was decanted, the resulting powder was washed with DCE (2×0.5 mL), and dried under vacuum to give **3c** (318 mg, 67% yield). The single crystals for X-ray analysis were grown by recrystallization from DCE–MeCN; mp 173–175 °C (decomp).

¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃–CD₃CN, 4:1): δ = 3.71 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 4.05 (t, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 2 H, CH₂) 4.17 (t, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 2 H, CH₂), 6.79 (dd, *J* = 1.6, 3.9 Hz, 1 H, CH_{Ar}), 7.80 (d, *J* = 3.9 Hz, 1 H, CH_{Ar}), 8.07 (s, 1 H, CH_{Ar}), 8.51 (s, 1 H, CH).

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃–CD₃CN, 4:1): δ = 41.2, 61.2, 63.5, 103.2 (tm, *J* = 24.1 Hz), 115.2, 135.6, 137.0 (dm, *J* = 252.7 Hz), 143.6 (dm, *J* = 258.3 Hz), 148.6 (dm, *J* = 244.1 Hz), 153.5, 154.7.

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃–CD₃CN, 4:1): δ = –160.93 (m, *meta*), –147.51 (tt, *J* = 5.6, 20.1 Hz, *para*), –129.83 (dm, *J* = 19.4 Hz, *ortho*), –80.20 (s, CF₃).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{27}H_{11}F_{18}NO_5SSi$: C, 39.00; H, 1.33; N, 1.68. Found: C, 38.79; H, 1.29; N, 1.71.

Synthesis of Ethanolamines 1; General Procedure

Imine **2a,d–l** (0.95 mmol) was added to a suspension of triflate **4** (722 mg, 1 mmol) in DCE (1.8 mL) at r.t. The resulting mixture was refluxed for a time indicated in Table 2 and evaporated under vacuum to give crude **3a,d–l**. To the residue THF (2 mL) and powdered NaOAc (93 mg, 1.14 mmol) were successively added, the mixture was stirred for 20 min, cooled with ice/water bath, and quenched with sat. aq Na₂CO₃ (0.2 mL). After stirring for several minutes at r.t. the mixture was diluted with hexane–EtOAc (10 mL, 3:1), filtered through Na₂SO₄, concentrated and chromatographed on silica gel.

The interaction of imine 2b with 4 was performed in CH_2Cl_2 at r.t. for 16 h, followed by concentration in vacuum. Subsequent treatment of 3b was carried out in THF according to the general procedure.

The interaction of **2c** with **4** was performed in THF at r.t. for 30 min followed by the addition of NaOAc. Subsequent workup was carried out according to the general procedure.

(±)-N-Methyl-N-(pentafluorophenylphenylmethyl)-2-amino-ethanol (1a)^6

Yield: 245 mg (78%); oil; $R_f 0.36$ (hexanes–EtOAc, 3:1).

(±)-N-Methyl-N-(2-methyl-1-pentafluorophenylpropyl)-2-aminoethanol (1b)

Yield: 158 mg (56%); oil; $R_f 0.22$ (hexanes–EtOAc, 4:1).

¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.73$ (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, Me), 1.13 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, Me), 2.15 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 3 H, NCH₃), 2.32–2.61 (m, 4 H, OH + Me₂CH + NCH₂), 3.51–3.63 (m, 2 H, CH₂O), 3.67 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1 H, CHN).

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 20.4, 21.0, 27.6 (t, J = 3.2 Hz), 36.0 (t, J = 1.7 Hz), 55.4, 58.0, 67.1 (t, J = 2.9 Hz), 110.6 (tm, J = 18.9 Hz), 137.5 (dm, J = 252.0 Hz), 139.9 (dm, J = 253.4 Hz), 145.2 (dm, J = 244.9 Hz).

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -162.56 (m, *meta*), -156.05 (t, *J* = 20.8 Hz, *para*), -138.58 (br Δv_{1/2} = 429.5 Hz, *ortho*).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{13}H_{16}F_5NO:$ C, 52.53; H, 5.43; N, 4.71. Found: C, 52.47; H, 5.49; N, 4.74.

(±)-N-(Furyl-2-pentafluorophenylmethyl)-N-methyl-2-amino-ethanol (1c)

Yield: 262 mg (86%); oil; $R_f 0.22$ (hexanes–EtOAc, 2:1).

¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.30 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 2.50–2.77 (m, 3 H, NCH₂ + OH), 3.54–3.69 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 5.32 (m, 1 H, CH), 6.37 (m, 2 H, 2CH_{Ar}), 7.35 (s, 1 H, CH_{Ar}).

¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 38.4, 55.6, 58.2, 58.3, 108.3, 110.5, 111.6 (tm, J = 17.1 Hz), 137.6 (dm, J = 254.0 Hz), 140.7 (dm, J = 254.9 Hz), 142.2, 145.4 (dm, J = 254.0 Hz), 151.0.

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -162.31 (m, *meta*), -155.06 (t, *J* = 20.8 Hz, *para*), -139.78 (m, *ortho*).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{12}F_5NO;$ C, 52.34; H, 3.77; N, 4.36. Found: C, 52.41; H, 4.79; N, 4.31.

(±)-N-Benzyl-N-(4-methoxyphenylpentafluorophenylmethyl)-2-aminoethanol (1d)

Yield: 353 mg (85%); oil; $R_f 0.30$ (hexanes–EtOAc, 3:1).

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.22 (s, 1 H, OH), 2.61–2.72 (m, 1 H, NCH_AH_BCH₂), 2.82–2.94 (m, 1 H, NCH_AH_BCH₂), 3.49–3.69 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 3.62 (d, J = 14.0 Hz, 1 H, PhCH_AH_B), 3.82 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.94 (d, J = 14.0 Hz, 1 H, PhCH_AH_B), 5.51 (s, 1 H, CH), 6.92 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H, CH_{Ar}), 7.24–7.40 (m, 5 H, Ph), 7.45 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H, CH_{Ar}).

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 52.5, 55.1, 55.8, 59.4, 61.1, 114.0, 114.4 (tm, *J* = 17.0 Hz), 127.2, 128.4, 128.6, 130.9, 137.7 (dm, *J* = 254.1 Hz), 138.6, 140.2 (dm, *J* = 254.1 Hz), 145.0 (dm, *J* = 249.1 Hz), 159.1.

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -162.23 (m, *meta*), -155.86 (t, *J* = 20.8 Hz, *para*), -138.59 (m, *ortho*).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{23}H_{20}F_5NO_2{:}$ C, 63.16; H, 4.61; N, 3.20. Found: C, 63.10; H, 4.68; N, 3.23.

(±)-*N*-Benzyl-*N*-(2,2-dimethyl-1-pentafluorophenylpropyl)-2aminoethanol (1e)

Yield: 243 mg (66%); oil; $R_f 0.42$ (hexanes–EtOAc, 3:1).

¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.09 [s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃], 2.13–2.37 (m, 2 H, NCH_AH_BCH₂ + OH), 2.94 (ddd, *J* = 5.4, 8.8, 14.2 Hz, 1 H, NCH_AH_BCH₂), 3.07 (ddd, *J* = 1.9, 2.5, 13.8 Hz, 1 H, PhCH_AH_B), 3.54 (ddd, *J* = 2.8, 5.5, 11.2 Hz, 1 H, OCH_AH_B), 3.77 (ddd, *J* = 4.0, 9.8, 11.2 Hz, 1 H, OCH_AH_B), 4.15 (d, *J* = 14.1 Hz, 1 H, PhCH_AH_B), 4.20 (s, 1 H, CH), 7.22–7.41 (m, 5 H, Ph).

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 29.2 (d, J = 4.3 Hz), 35.9, 53.8 (d, J = 4.3 Hz), 56.6 (d, J = 5.7 Hz), 59.5 (d, J = 1.4 Hz), 64.8 (d, J = 4.3 Hz), 111.0 (tm, J = 22.8 Hz), 127.4, 128.5, 128.7, 139.2 (d, J = 2.8 Hz).

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -162.84 (m, *meta*), -155.28 (t, *J* = 20.8 Hz, *para*), -138.30 (dt, *J* = 6.8, 25.2 Hz, *ortho*), -127.32 (dm, *J* = 25.2 Hz, *ortho*).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{22}F_5$ NO: C, 62.01; H, 5.72; N, 3.62. Found: C, 61.92; H, 5.74; N, 3.61.

$(\pm) \text{-}N\text{-}Butyl\text{-}N\text{-}(4\text{-}chlorophenylpentafluorophenylmethyl)\text{-}2-aminoethanol (1f) }$

Yield: 283 mg (73%); oil; $R_f 0.32$ (hexanes–EtOAc, 5:1).

¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 0.87 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 1.17–1.36 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.50 (quint, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂), 2.20–2.62 (m, 3 H, NCH₂ + OH), 2.65–2.91 (m, 2 H, NCH₂), 3.51–3.75 (m, 2 H, CH₂O), 5.49 (s, 1 H, CH), 7.23 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, CH_{Ar}), 7.37 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, CH_{Ar}).

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, $CDCl_3$): $\delta = 13.8$, 20.4, 28.6, 50.1, 51.9, 59.2, 60.2, 113.6 (tm, J = 16.3 Hz), 128.6, 128.8, 133.4, 137.7 (dm, J = 254.8 Hz), 137.8, 140.4 (dm, J = 246.3 Hz), 145.2 (dm, J = 244.1 Hz).

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -161.85 (m, meta), -155.14 (t, *J* = 20.8 Hz, para), -138.65 (dm, *J* = 16.7 Hz, ortho).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{19}ClF_5NO$: C, 55.96; H, 4.70; N, 3.43. Found: C, 55.84; H, 4.61; N, 3.31.

(±)-N-Methyl-N-(4-nitrophenylpentafluorophenylmethyl)-2aminoethanol (1g)

Yield: 240 mg (67%); oil; $R_f 0.26$ (hexanes–EtOAc, 3:2).

¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.28 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 2.60 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 2 H, NCH₂), 3.71 (t, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2 H, OCH₂), 5. 31 (s, 1 H, CH), 7.63 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, CH_{Ar}), 8.15 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H, CH_{Ar}).

¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 38.7, 56.3, 58.7, 63.6, 112.3 (tm, J = 14.7 Hz), 123.8, 127.9, 137.8 (dm, J = 254.0 Hz), 140.8 (dm, J = 255.8 Hz), 144.9 (dm, J = 252.2 Hz), 146.6, 147.2.

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -161.42$ (m, meta), -154.16 (t, J = 20.8 Hz, para), -138.73 (dm, J = 16.7 Hz, ortho).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{13}F_5N_2O_3$: C, 51.07; H, 3.48; N, 7.44. Found: C, 51.09; H, 3.61; N, 7.33.

(±)-N-(Pentafluorophenylphenylmethyl)-N-phenyl-2-aminoethanol (1h)

Yield: 277 mg (74%); oil; $R_f 0.27$ (hexanes–EtOAc, 3:1).

¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.86 (s, 1 H, OH), 3.34–3.56 [m, 4 H, (CH₂)₂], 6.35 (s, 1 H, CH), 6.90–7.11 (m, 3 H, CH_{Ph}), 7.23–7.49 (m, 7 H, CH_{Ph}).

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 51.2 (t, J = 2.3 Hz), 59.8, 60.7, 114.9 (tm, J = 14.2 Hz), 118.4, 121.4, 128.0, 128.1, 128.9, 129.3, 137.6 (dm, J = 253.4 Hz), 138.1, 140.4 (dm, J = 254.8 Hz), 144.9 (dm, J = 245.6 Hz), 147.8.

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -162.31$ (m, *meta*), -155.50 (t, *J* = 20.8 Hz, *para*), -140.58 (m, *ortho*).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{16}F_5$ NO: C, 64.12; H, 4.10; N, 3.56. Found: C, 64.21; H, 4.02; N, 3.56.

$(\pm) -N - (4-Methoxyphenyl) -N - (pentafluorophenylthiophenyl-2-methyl) -2-aminoethanol (1i)$

Yield: 326 mg (80%); oil; $R_f 0.31$ (hexanes–EtOAc, 3:1).

¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.38 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1 H, OH), 3.04–3.35 (m, 2 H, NCH₂), 3.39–3.52 (m, 2 H, OCH₂), 3.76 (s, 3 H, OMe), 6.16 (s, 1 H, CHN), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H, 2 × CH_{Ar}), 6.96 (dd, *J* = 3.7, 5.1 Hz, 1 H, CH_{thienyl}), 7.06–7.10 (m, 1 H, CH_{thienyl}), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H, 2 × CH_{Ar}), 7.30 (dd, *J* = 1.2, 5.1 Hz, 1 H, CH_{thienyl}).

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 53.6, 55.2, 59.4, 59.7, 114.4, 125.6, 125.9, 126.6, 126.7, 137.4 (dm, *J* = 253.4 Hz), 140.4 (dm, *J* = 254.1 Hz), 140.5, 142.6, 144.4 (dm, *J* = 254.1 Hz), 157.0.

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -162.43 (m, meta), -155.45 (t, *J* = 20.8 Hz, para), -139.39 (dm, *J* = 16.7 Hz, ortho).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{16}F_5NO_2S$: C, 55.94; H, 3.76; N, 3.36. Found: C, 56.00; H, 3.75; N, 3.23.

(±)-N-(Furyl-2-pentafluorophenylmethyl)-N-phenyl-2-aminoethanol (1j)

Yield: 262 mg (72); oil; $R_f 0.25$ (hexanes–EtOAc, 3:1).

¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.01 (br s, 1 H, OH), 3.40–3.60 [m, 4 H, (CH₂)₂], 6.31–6.44 (m, 3 H, 2 × CH_{Ar} + CHN), 6.87–7.02 (m, 3 H, CH_{Ar}), 7.23 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2 H, CH_{Ar}), 7.45 (s, 1 H, CH_{Ar}).

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 50.2 (t, *J* = 2.8 Hz), 54.6, 59.6, 109.9, 110.8, 112.8 (tm, *J* = 17.3 Hz), 117.5, 120.9, 129.4, 137.6 (dm, *J* = 254.1 Hz), 140.7 (dm, *J* = 254.8 Hz), 142.9, 145.0 (dm, *J* = 250.5 Hz), 146.9, 150.0.

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -162.27$ (m, *meta*), -155.02 (t, *J* = 20.8 Hz, *para*), -141.63 (m, *ortho*).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{14}F_5NO_2$: C, 59.53; H, 3.68; N, 3.65. Found: C, 59.41; H, 3.64; N, 3.61.

(±)-N-(1-Pentafluorophenyl-3-phenylallyl)-N-phenyl-2-aminoethanol (1k)

Yield: 311 mg (78%); oil; R_f 0.31 (hexanes–EtOAc, 3:1). Upon flash chromatography 0.5% of Et₃N was added to the eluent. The chromatographed product was > 98% pure, containing only traces of Et₃N and solvents. This compound is prone to decomposition on storage at r.t.

¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 2.35 (br s, 1 H, OH), 3.38–3.71 [m, 4 H, (CH₂)₂], 5.70 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 6.60–6.70 (m, 2 H, CH=CH), 6.96–7.49 (m, 10 H, 2 × Ph).

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 51.7, 59.5, 60.2, 114.9 (tm, *J* = 14.2 Hz), 120.7, 122.6, 126.0, 126.6, 128.3, 128.6, 129.3, 133.9, 135.9, 137.5 (dm, *J* = 253.4 Hz), 140.3 (dm, *J* = 242.0 Hz), 144.9 (dm, *J* = 251.9 Hz), 147.4.

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -162.51 (m, *meta*), -155.74(t, *J* = 20.8 Hz, *para*), -141.97 (dd, *J* = 5.6, 22.2 Hz, *ortho*).

(±)-N-Benzyl-N-(cyclopentylpentafluorophenylmethyl)-2-aminoethanol (11)

Yield: 263 mg (67%); oil; $R_f 0.30$ (hexanes–EtOAc, 5:1).

¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.80-1.05$ (m, 1 H, C₅H₉), 1.35–1.78 (m, 6 H, C₅H₉), 2.09–2.41 (m, 3 H, C₅H₉ + OH), 2.81–3.02 (m, 2 H, CH₂N), 3.10 (dt, *J* = 2.2, 13.7 Hz, 1 H, PhCH_AH_B), 3.39–3.53 (m, 1 H, OCH_AH_B), 3.71 (td, *J* = 3.4, 10.5 Hz, 1 H, OCH_AH_B), 4.01 (d, *J* = 11.7 Hz, 1 H, CHN), 4.09 (d, *J* = 13.7 Hz, 1 H, PhCH_AH_B), 7.25–7.39 (m, 5 H, Ph).

¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 25.1, 25.1, 30.8, 32.1, 40.0 (t, J = 2.7 Hz), 51.4, 54.4, 58.7, 62.0, 111.8 (tm, J = 19.0 Hz), 127.3, 128.5, 128.7, 137.5 (dm, J = 252.0 Hz), 139.1, 140.0 (dm, J = 253.4 Hz), 145.5 (dm, J = 242.7 Hz).

¹⁹F NMR (188 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = -162.11$ (m, meta), -155.53 (t, J = 21.5 Hz, para), -139.38 (br $\Delta v_{1/2} = 69.1$ Hz, ortho), -136.62 (br $\Delta v_{1/2} = 67.7$ Hz, ortho).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}F_5NO_2$: C, 63.15; H, 5.55; N, 3.51. Found: C, 63.11; H, 5.41; N, 3.51.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by INTAS (project # 2003-55-1185). We also thank Prof. S. L. Ioffe for start-up funding.

495

References

- (1) (a) Ager, D. J.; Prakash, I.; Schaad, D. R. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, 96, 835. (b) Pu, L.; Yu, H.-B. *Chem. Rev.* **2001**, *101*, 757.
- (2) (a) Bergmeier, S. C. *Tetrahedron* 2000, *56*, 2561.
 (b) Hieble, J. P.; Bondinell, W.; Ruffolo, R. R. J. Med. *Chem.* 1995, *38*, 3415.
- (3) (a) Kim, C.-Y.; Chang, J. S.; Doyon, J. B.; Baird, T. T. Jr.; Fierke, C. A.; Jain, A.; Christianson, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 12125. (b) Faraci, W. S.; Walsh, C. T. Biochemistry 1989, 28, 431. (c) Fluorine in Bioorganic Chemistry; Welch, C. T.; Eswarakrishnan, S., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1991.
- (4) (a) Magueur, G.; Crousse, B.; Bonnet-Delpon, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 2219. (b) Funabiki, K.; Nagamori, M.; Goushi, S.; Matsui, M. *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 1928. (c) Prakash, G. K. S.; Mandal, M.; Olah, G. A. *Synlett* 2001, 77. (d) Fustero, S.; Sanz-Cervera, J. F.; Piera, J.; Sanchez-Rosello, M.; Chiva, G.; Simon-Fuentes, A. J. *Fluorine Chem.* 2004, 125, 621.
- (5) (a) Bravo, P.; Crucianelli, M.; Ono, T.; Zanda, M. J. Fluorine Chem. 1999, 97, 27. (b) Prakash, G. K. S.; Mandal, M.; Schweizer, S.; Petasis, N. A.; Olah, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3718. (c) Correction: Prakash, G. K. S.; Mandal, M.; Schweizer, S.; Petasis, N. A.; Olah, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 6286. (d) Florfenicol represents an example of a fluorinated amino alcohol commercialized antibiotic widely used in the animal health market, see ref. 5a for discussion.
- (6) Dilman, A. D.; Belyakov, P. A.; Korlyukov, A. A.; Struchkova, M. I.; Tartakovsky, V. A. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 2913.
- (7) Levin, V. V.; Dilman, A. D.; Belyakov, P. A.; Korlyukov, A. A.; Struchkova, M. I.; Tartakovsky, V. A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2004, 5141.

- (8) When *N*-methyl-*C*-phenylimine (**2a**) was combined with $(C_6F_5)_3$ SiOTf in CDCl₃ no silyl iminium ion was observed (¹H, ¹⁹F NMR control). Correspondingly, it is believed that silyl iminium ion from **2b** and $(C_6F_5)_3$ SiOTf is formed in small equilibrium concentration.
- (9) In the absence of pyridine lower yields of 4 were achieved, presumably owing to oligomerization of ethylene oxide by traces of triflic acid.
- (10) The only reported primary alkyl derivative is p-B₁₀C₂Me₁₀ (CH₂OTf)₂, r(C–O) = 1.451 Å, structure JURCAA: Herzog, A.; Knobler, C. B.; Hawthorne, M. F.; Maderna, A.; Siebert, W. J. Org. Chem. **1999**, 64, 1045.
- (11) (a) Selected structures of *sp*² bound triflates: BASXEX, HOYWEX, XOHJEJ, LOKBAO. (b) Selected structures of carbohydrate triflates: HIFVAT, HUDDUK, UNEVAK.
- (12) Kirby, A. J. *The Anomeric Effect and Related Stereoelectronic Effects at Oxygen*; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, Heidelberg, **1983**.
- (13) Bassindale, A. R.; Stout, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 3403.
- (14) (a) Mukaiyama, T.; Kawano, Y.; Fujisawa, H. *Chem. Lett.*2005, *34*, 88. (b) Kawano, Y.; Fujisawa, H.; Mukaiyama, T. *Chem. Lett.*2005, *34*, 422. (c) Kawano, Y.; Mukaiyama, T. *Chem. Lett.*2005, *34*, 894. (d) For example of application of acetate ion as Lewis base for activation of Me₃SiAr, see: Effenberger, F.; Spiegler, W. *Chem. Ber.*1985, *118*, 3872.
- (15) NaOAc is insoluble in THF. However, it dissolves in reaction mixture as C₆F₅ group transfer occurs, thereby allowing for convenient monitoring of reaction progress.
- (16) Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC 275041 (for 4) and 275042 (for 3c) and are available free of charge at CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK [fax: +44 (1223)336033 or email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ca.uk].